Psychosis in behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia
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Background: Dementia is generally characterized by cognitive impairment that can be accompanied by psychotic symptoms; for example, visual hallucinations are a core feature of dementia with Lewy bodies, and delusions are often seen in Alzheimer’s disease. However, for behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), studies on the broad spectrum of psychotic symptoms are still lacking. The aim of this study was to systematically and prospectively subtype the wide spectrum of psychotic symptoms in probable and definite bvFTD.

Methods: In this study, a commonly used and validated clinical scale that quantifies the broad spectrum of psychotic symptoms (Positive and Negative Symptom Scale) was used in patients with probable and definite bvFTD (n=22) and with a primary psychiatric disorder (n=35) in a late-onset frontal lobe cohort. Median symptom duration was 2.8 years, and the patients were prospectively followed for 2 years.

Results: In total, 22.7% of bvFTD patients suffered from delusions, hallucinatory behavior, and suspiciousness, although the majority of the patients exhibited negative psychotic symptoms such as social and emotional withdrawal and blunted affect (95.5%) and formal thought disorders (81.8%). “Difficulty in abstract thinking” and “stereotypical thinking” (formal thought disorders) differentiated bvFTD from psychiatric disorders. The combined predictors difficulty in abstract thinking, stereotypical thinking, “anxiety”, “guilt feelings,” and “tension” explained 75.4% of variance in the diagnosis of bvFTD versus psychiatric diagnoses (P<0.001).

Conclusion: Delusions, hallucinatory behavior, and suspiciousness were present in one-fifth of bvFTD patients, whereas negative psychotic symptoms such as social and emotional withdrawal, blunted affect, and formal thought disorders were more frequently present. This suggests that negative psychotic symptoms and formal thought disorders have an important role in the psychiatric misdiagnosis in bvFTD; misdiagnosis in bvFTD might be reduced by systematically exploring the broad spectrum of psychiatric symptoms.
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Introduction

The behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) is the second most common early onset dementia and the most prevalent form of frontotemporal lobar degeneration. The clinical presentation of bvFTD has a wide range of symptoms, including prominent neuropsychiatric symptoms that often mimic psychiatric disorders. Various studies described the overlapping symptoms between bvFTD and psychiatric disorders, resulting in frequent misdiagnoses, with psychosis as one of the most common.

Although delusions are often present in Alzheimer’s disease and visual hallucinations are a core feature of dementia with Lewy bodies, studies on the broad spectrum of psychotic symptoms are still lacking. The aim of this study was to systematically and prospectively subtype the wide spectrum of psychotic symptoms in probable and definite bvFTD.
psychotic symptoms in bvFTD are still lacking.\textsuperscript{5,10} As defined by the classification criteria, symptoms of psychosis are classified as positive and negative and formal thought disorders.\textsuperscript{11} Positive psychotic symptoms refer to an excess or distortion of normal functions (eg, paranoia or hearing voices), negative symptoms reflect a diminution or loss of normal functions (eg, reduced motivation or reduced emotion), and formal thought disorders implicit a disorganization of thought.\textsuperscript{12–14} Previous studies on psychotic symptoms in bvFTD focused only on positive psychotic symptoms (eg, hallucinations, delusions, and paranoia) and found that these psychotic features were present in 10%–32% of bvFTD patients.\textsuperscript{3,15–17} Even though negative psychotic symptoms and formal thought disorders have led to frequent misdiagnosis of psychosis in bvFTD patients, comprehensive studies on the full spectrum of psychosis in bvFTD are lacking.\textsuperscript{3,8,18–20} Although the distinction between bvFTD and psychiatric disorders is a major diagnostic dilemma, especially in the early stages of disease,\textsuperscript{1,5,21} knowledge on psychotic symptoms in bvFTD based on this common broad definition of psychosis cannot be ignored. In one of the previous papers,\textsuperscript{22} the authors of the present study found that a positive history of psychiatric illness, male gender, lower Stereotypy Rating Inventory (SRI) scores, and higher Montgomery–Asberg Depression Scale scores were predictors of the diagnosis of a psychiatric disorder versus bvFTD, but a systematic prospective study on the broad definition of psychosis in bvFTD has not been published yet. Whether specific psychotic symptoms of bvFTD are unique and they can distinguish bvFTD from psychiatric disorders, and vice versa, would help the clinician considerably in daily practice from a diagnostic perspective.

The aim of the present study was twofold: first, whether specific psychotic symptoms characterize bvFTD was investigated; and second, whether, despite clinical overlap, specific psychotic symptoms could distinguish bvFTD from a psychiatric disorder was studied. A commonly used and validated clinical scale was used to find psychosis and schizophrenia symptoms (the Positive and Negative Symptom Scale [PANSS]), which quantifies the broad spectrum of psychotic symptoms including positive and negative psychotic symptoms and formal thought disorders.\textsuperscript{23,24} As the PANSS is originally developed to prospectively evaluate psychotic symptomatology over time, it also includes a general subscale, wherein symptoms such as tension and anxiety are included.\textsuperscript{25–27} The aim of the present study was to define psychotic symptoms in patients with probable and definite bvFTD in comparison with patients with a psychiatric disorder, all exhibiting a late-onset frontal lobe (LOF) syndrome. At baseline, the broad spectrum of psychotic symptoms including positive and negative psychotic symptoms, formal thought disorders, and general symptoms were determined. All the patients were followed up during a period of 2 years, and the clinical diagnosis after 2 years was used as the gold standard.

Methods
Patients
Subjects were the participants of the LOF study, a longitudinal multicenter prospective follow-up study aimed at identifying (prodromal) bvFTD among a cohort of patients with frontal neuropsychiatric features. All the patients were recruited through the memory clinic of the VU University Medical Center (VUmc), Amsterdam, Alzheimer Center, and the Old Age Psychiatry Department of GGZ inGeest, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (inpatient and outpatient), between April 2011 and June 2013. Inclusion and exclusion criteria had been described previously.\textsuperscript{21} Patients aged ≥45 years were included when behavioral symptoms including apathy, disinhibition, and/or compulsive behavior dominated the clinical picture.

Diagnostic procedure
The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam. Before inclusion, written informed consent was obtained from all the participants or, in case of incompetence of giving a fully informed consent by the participant, the caregiver or legal representative. All the patients underwent a standardized assessment, including medical history and family history; informant-based history, physical, neurological, and psychiatric examinations; neuropsychological assessment; laboratory tests; and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain acquired on a 3T Sigma HDxt scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA) following a standard MRI protocol for dementia. In case of normal or insufficiently explanatory MRI results (not explaining frontosubcortical dysfunction), a [18F] FDG-PET scan was performed by using EXACT HRp scanner (Siemens/CTI, Knoxville, TN, USA). Neurological and psychiatric evaluation was done by both a neurologist and an experienced geriatric psychiatrist. In a multidisciplinary consensus meeting, the neurologist and psychiatrist determined the diagnosis. These diagnoses were based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition for psychiatric disorders and the International bvFTD Criteria Consortium for bvFTD.\textsuperscript{11,22} All the subjects were genetically screened for the presence of a C9orf repeat expansion, given
the great symptomatic overlap with psychiatric disorders and long disease courses that have been described in this mutation type.\textsuperscript{24,28} All the patients received at least 2 years of clinical follow-up, and the clinical diagnosis after 2 years was used as the gold standard.

In order to compare bvFTD patients with the most relevant diagnostic group with psychotic symptoms, of all the patients in the LOF study (n=137), the group of patients with probable and definite bvFTD (n=22) and patients with a primary psychiatric disorder (n=35) were selected. Patients with other neurodegenerative, neurological, or general diseases and patients with vascular cognitive impairment, relational problems, or possible bvFTD were therefore not taken into account. In total, 15 patients who did not complete the PANSS due to motivational and logistic problems were excluded. Of the 22 patients diagnosed with probable and definite bvFTD, four patients were diagnosed with definite bvFTD consisting of two C90RF72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion, one progranulin mutation, and one histopathologically confirmed tauopathy. Of the 35 patients with a clinical psychiatric diagnosis, two patients had schizophrenia, 11 were diagnosed with a major depressive disorder, four had a minor depressive disorder, six had a bipolar disorder, three had an autism spectrum disorder, one had an obsessive compulsive disorder, one had a general anxiety disorder, and seven had personality problems.

**Measurements**

At baseline, 30 symptoms, including positive psychotic symptoms, negative psychotic symptoms, formal thought disorders, and general psychopathology, were measured in patients diagnosed with probable and definite bvFTD and with a psychiatric disorder, by using the PANSS.\textsuperscript{26} Of the 30 items included in the PANSS, 7 items represent positive psychotic symptoms (ie, delusions, conceptual disorganization, hallucinatory behavior, excitement, grandiosity, suspiciousness, and hostility), 7 items represent negative psychotic symptoms (ie, blunted affect, emotional withdrawal, poor rapport, passive/apathetic social withdrawal, difficulty in abstract thinking, lack of spontaneity, and stereotypical thinking), and 16 items represent general psychopathology (ie, somatic concern, anxiety, guilt feelings, tension, mannerisms and posturing, depression, motor retardation, uncooperativeness, unusual thought content, disorientation, poor attention, lack of judgment/insight, disturbance of volition, poor impulse control, preoccupation, and active social avoidance). Formal thought disorders are defined by the items of conceptual disorganization, difficulty in abstract thinking, lack of spontaneity, and stereotypical thinking.\textsuperscript{14}

The PANSS is widely used in patients with neuropsychiatric symptoms, and it has been found to have good sensitivity and specificity for psychosis and schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{25–27,29}

The PANSS was administered as a ~45 min clinical interview. Patients were rated from 1 to 7 by a trained clinician regarding the 30 different symptoms, based on the interview with the patient as well as reports of family members about how the patients had functioned during the last week.\textsuperscript{26} The symptoms were rated on a 1–7 point scale, whereby 1 represents “the absence of symptoms” and 7 corresponds to “severe interference with daily life activities.”\textsuperscript{25–27} All the included patients underwent the PANSS, performed by trained clinicians who were blind for the clinical diagnosis (WK and FG). These clinicians did not have information about previous medical history, neither other advanced medical information. The PANSS scores were not included in the clinical evaluation.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (for Windows; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), Version 21. Group differences on sociodemographic variables were investigated by using independent t-tests and \( \chi^2 \) tests. Overall percentages of patients with psychotic symptoms were defined by counting all the patients who had symptoms at the positive or negative subscale score of \( \geq 3 \), as a rating of 3 is indicative of a symptom whose presence was clearly established and interferes in day-to-day functioning.\textsuperscript{27,30}

Group differences on symptoms of PANSS were measured by using Mann–Whitney U-test. A P-value of <0.01 was considered statistically significant. Furthermore, univariate logistic regression analyses were performed with diagnosis as a dependent variable. Variables with P-values <0.01 were selected, and in the next step, these variables were combined with a multivariate model to investigate the explained variance. Potential multicollinearity was investigated using the variance inflation factor <5 for each of the independent variables in the multivariable model by using linear regression analyses.

**Results**

**Clinical and demographic data**

Table 1 lists demographic data of the diagnostic groups. Patients were predominantly Caucasian (\( >90\% \)) and male (\( >60\% \)). Regarding symptom duration, education, the total score at the Mini-Mental State Examination, frontal
assessments battery, and Frontal Behavioral Inventory, the groups did not differ ($P>0.05$). Patients with probable and definite bvFTD were significantly older ($P=0.03$) and had a higher total score at the SRI compared with patients with a psychiatric diagnosis ($P<0.001$).

### Psychotic symptoms in bvFTD and psychiatric disorders

In 95.5% of the patients with probable and definite bvFTD, at least one of the psychotic symptoms was present (score $\geq 3$; Table 1). BvFTD patients were characterized not by positive psychotic symptoms but by negative psychotic symptoms and formal thought disorders (i.e., blunted affect, emotional withdrawal, poor rapport, apathetic social withdrawal, difficulty in abstract thinking, and stereotypical thinking) and items from the general subscale (Table 2). In total, 22.7% of bvFTD patients had delusions, hallucinatory behavior, and suspiciousness, whereas the majority of bvFTD patients exhibited negative psychotic symptoms (95.5%) and formal thought disorders (81.8%; Table 1).

In 97.1% of the patients with a psychiatric disorder, psychotic symptoms were present, from both the positive and the negative subscales (Table 1). Symptoms from the general subscale were also present in patients with a psychiatric diagnosis (Table 2).

### Psychotic symptoms in bvFTD versus psychiatric disorders

The total score of the positive subscale of the PANSS was not different between bvFTD patients and patients with a psychiatric disorder. The total score of the negative subscale of the PANSS was significantly higher in patients with bvFTD than in patients with a psychiatric disorder (21.0 versus 18.0; $P=0.041$; Table 1).

By using logistic regression, a diagnosis of probable or definite bvFTD was associated with difficulty in abstract thinking (odds ratio [OR] $=1.86$, 95% confidence interval [CI] $=1.22–2.84$, $P=0.004$) and stereotypical thinking (OR $=1.83$, 95% CI $=1.21–2.76$, $P=0.004$), which both represent formal thought disorders. These symptoms distinguished patients with bvFTD from patients with a psychiatric disorder (Table 3).
Table 2 Psychotic symptoms in bvFTD patients and patients with psychiatric diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms, median (IQR) range</th>
<th>bvFTD (n=22)</th>
<th>Psychiatric diagnosis (n=35)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive psychotic symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 Delusions</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2 Conceptual disorganization</td>
<td>2 (2) 1–4</td>
<td>2 (1) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 Hallucinatory behavior</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–2</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–4</td>
<td>MW = 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4 Excitement</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–4</td>
<td>3 (2) 1–4</td>
<td>MW = 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5 Grandiosity</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–4</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>MW = 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6 Suspiciousness</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–4</td>
<td>2 (2) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 Hostility</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–3</td>
<td>MW = 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative psychotic symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1 Blunted affect</td>
<td>3 (2) 1–7</td>
<td>3 (1) 1–7</td>
<td>MW = 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2 Emotional withdrawal</td>
<td>3 (1) 1–6</td>
<td>3 (1) 1–6</td>
<td>MW = 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3 Poor rapport</td>
<td>4 (3) 1–6</td>
<td>3 (1) 1–6</td>
<td>MW = 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4 Apathetic social withdrawal</td>
<td>3 (2) 1–5</td>
<td>3 (2) 1–6</td>
<td>MW = 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5 Difficulty in abstract thinking</td>
<td>3 (3) 1–6</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–4</td>
<td>MW = 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N6 Lack of spontaneity</td>
<td>1 (3) 1–5</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7 Stereotypical thinking</td>
<td>3 (4) 1–7</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General subscale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1 Somatic concern</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–5</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–6</td>
<td>MW = 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2 Anxiety</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>2 (2) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3 Guilt feelings</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>2 (2) 1–6</td>
<td>MW = 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 Tension</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>3 (2) 1–6</td>
<td>MW = 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5 Mannerisms and posturing</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>MW = 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6 Depression</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–5</td>
<td>3 (4) 1–7</td>
<td>MW = 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7 Motor retardation</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–4</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–4</td>
<td>MW = 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G8 Uncooperativeness</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–5</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–4</td>
<td>MW = 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9 Unusual thought content</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–3</td>
<td>MW = 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10 Disorientation</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–6</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–3</td>
<td>MW = 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G11 Poor attention</td>
<td>1 (1) 1–4</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G12 Lack of judgment/insight</td>
<td>3 (1) 1–6</td>
<td>3 (2) 1–6</td>
<td>MW = 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G13 Disturbance of volition</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–4</td>
<td>1 (0) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G14 Poor impulse control</td>
<td>3 (2) 1–5</td>
<td>3 (1) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G15 Preoccupation</td>
<td>3 (3) 1–5</td>
<td>2 (2) 1–5</td>
<td>MW = 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G16 Active social avoidance</td>
<td>1 (2) 1–3</td>
<td>2 (2) 1–6</td>
<td>MW = 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bold represents a statistically significant value.

Abbreviations: bvFTD, behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; IQR, interquartile range; MW, Mann-Whitney U-test.

General psychopathological symptoms in bvFTD patients versus patients with psychiatric disorders

Specific symptoms from the general subscale of the PANSS did not distinguish patients with probable and definite bvFTD from patients with a psychiatric disorder. Nonetheless, the total score of the general subscale of the PANSS was significantly higher in patients with a psychiatric disorder than in patients with bvFTD (32.0 versus 28.0, P=0.046). Patients with a psychiatric disorder were characterized by anxiety (OR =0.32, 95% CI =0.14–0.72, P=0.006), guilt feelings (OR =0.41, 95% CI =0.20–0.84, P=0.015), and tension (OR =0.26, 95% CI =0.12–0.58, P=0.001), which distinguished them from bvFTD patients.

Predictive symptoms

The combined predictors difficulty in abstract thinking, stereotypical thinking, anxiety, guilt feeling, and tension explained 75.4% of the variance in the diagnosis of bvFTD versus psychiatric diagnoses (χ²=32.26, df=5, P<0.001).

Multivariate logistic regression showed that patients with an increased tension (score ≥3) had a significantly higher chance of having a psychiatric disorder and a lower chance of having bvFTD (OR =0.18, 95% CI =0.05–0.75, P=0.02).

Discussion

This is the first study thoroughly exploring the broad spectrum of psychotic symptoms in bvFTD patients, revealing that 95.5% of bvFTD patients exhibited at least one psychotic symptom, although not commonly the typical positive psychotic symptoms (ie, hallucinations, delusions, suspicion, but formal thought disorders and negative psychotic symptoms such as social and emotional withdrawal and blunted affect. Difficulty in abstract thinking and stereotypical thinking differentiated bvFTD patients from patients with a psychiatric diagnosis in this well-phenotyped cohort of patients exhibiting a frontal lobe syndrome. Patients with a psychiatric diagnosis were characterized by the presence of anxiety, guilt feelings, and tension (general subscale), which distinguished them from bvFTD patients.

Despite frequent misdiagnosis with schizophrenia, the finding that bvFTD patients were not mainly characterized by typical positive psychotic symptoms (ie, hallucinations, delusions, and suspiciousness) was partially in line with the previous studies. It has been found that although FTD can mimic schizophrenia, it rarely manifests with delusions and hallucinations. In a clinicopathological investigation and
review of cases, it was found that delusions, hallucinations, and paranoia are mainly present in young-onset FTD (in up to a third of bvFTD patients aged ≤30 years). This may partly explain why the authors found a lower prevalence (22.7%) in the present cohort, which was characterized by a higher mean age of bvFTD patients (58.95 years). A recent retrospective study on delusions, hallucinations, and paranoid ideas in neuropathologically verified bvFTD patients also revealed a relatively high prevalence of these positive psychotic symptoms (32%): 20.6% of the patients had paranoid ideas, and 17.5% had hallucinations and delusions in equal measure.7

As discussed by the authors of this study, psychotic symptoms may be present for only a short period or occur later during disease course, thereby explaining the relatively high rates in their retrospective study. The variation in the prevalence of positive psychotic symptoms in different studies may also be associated with sample size. An association with the amount of genetic mutations cannot be excluded. Psychotic symptoms have repeatedly been described in progranulin and C9ORF72 mutation carriers.24,28,35,36 As the present study cohort contained only three patients with a genetic mutation (13.6% of bvFTD patients), the percentage of known genetic variants was lower compared to other studies (~20%–50% of hereditary etiologies found in other studies).37,38

It is noteworthy that bvFTD patients in the present study tend to have a lower total score at the positive subscale of the PANSS than that found in studies on schizophrenia (9.5 in bvFTD patients in the present study versus 18.2 in schizophrenia patients).20 This suggests that these positive psychotic symptoms are not the most prominent symptoms in the clinical overlap of bvFTD and psychotic disorders.

Interestingly, it was found that the median score on the negative subscale of the PANSS was significantly higher in patients with bvFTD than in patients with a psychiatric diagnosis (predominantly mood disorders) in the present LOF cohort, but comparable to patients with schizophrenia as measured previously.20 This finding suggests that negative psychotic symptoms may have an important contributory role in psychotic misdiagnosis in bvFTD.

With a closer look at the specific negative psychotic symptoms that characterize bvFTD, it was found that the presence of blunted affect, emotional withdrawal, poor rapport, passive social withdrawal, difficulty in abstract thinking, and stereotypical thinking characterizes bvFTD. It has been described previously that stereotypies or compulsive acts are often among the earliest and most salient symptoms of bvFTD, and these symptoms evolved into the FTDC consensus criteria.25,39 Impairment of abstract thinking in bvFTD patients has been described previously as associated with the cognitive profile of predominately executive dysfunction in bvFTD.40 The discriminatory power of stereotypical thinking in bvFTD versus psychiatric disorders also fits with the finding of the present study concerning the total scores at SRI as discriminating between bvFTD patients and patients with a psychiatric disorder and with previous studies regarding the SRI in a similar cohort (submitted Vijverberg et al, 2016).

Beyond the original aim of the present study, it was found that patients with a psychiatric diagnosis had a higher total score at the general subscale of the PANSS and that the presence of anxiety, guilt feelings, and tension distinguished psychiatric patients from bvFTD patients in this LOF syndrome cohort. This suits with previous findings about psychiatric disorders, especially in mood disorders and anxiety disorders, as these features are all expressions of distress.41–43 This is the first time tension has been found as a predictor for a psychiatric diagnosis in a group of patients with late-onset behavioral disturbances. The low tension in bvFTD is probably associated with lack of distress of bvFTD patients. Impaired insight has been described as typical for bvFTD, and this also includes impairment in emotional awareness as defined by the lack of expression of concern of distress when confronted by difficulties.2

**Limitations**

There are some limitations in the present study. First of all, this study cannot draw conclusions about psychotic symptoms that differentiate bvFTD from schizophrenia. This

### Table 3 Univariate logistic regression and forward multivariate logistic regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items PANSS</th>
<th>Univariate logistic regression</th>
<th>Multivariate logistic regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in abstract thinking</td>
<td>1.86 (1.22–2.84)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereotyped thinking</td>
<td>1.83 (1.21–2.76)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0.32 (0.14–0.72)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilt feeling</td>
<td>0.41 (0.20–0.84)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension</td>
<td>0.26 (0.12–0.58)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Dependent variable: psychiatric diagnosis =0, probable and definite bvFTD =1. Bold represents a statistically significant value.

**Abbreviations:** bvFTD, behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PANSS, Positive and Negative Symptom Scale.
limitation arises from the heterogeneity of the psychiatric patient group and the small number of schizophrenia patients in the LOF cohort. This is a consequence of the design that is symptom-based, not etiology-based. Nevertheless, it is also convinced that this symptom-based design is an advantage as it resembles clinical practice. Besides, it has been acknowledged that there is an overrepresentation of psychotic symptoms in bvFTD patients with a known genetic background. An advantage is that the present cohort represented daily clinical practice as it was not enriched for genetic bvFTD. As a consequence, only three patients with a genetic mutation were included in the cohort, and it was not possible to do statistical analyses on these patients in particular. Another limitation is that neuropathological confirmation was obtained in only one bvFTD case. The diagnostically gold standard of 2 years approximates diagnostic certainty, but misdiagnosis after 2 years of diagnostic follow-up cannot be excluded.

Acknowledging the limitations, this is the first study systematically and prospectively subtyping the broad spectrum of psychotic and general symptoms in bvFTD patients and patients with a psychiatric diagnosis within a LOF cohort. Data from this study indicate that classic psychotic symptoms are infrequently present in bvFTD; however, negative psychotic symptoms such as social and emotional withdrawal, blunted affect, and formal thought disorders are present. It suggests that negative psychotic symptoms may contribute to the pitfall of psychotic misdiagnosis in bvFTD patients. Difficulty in abstract thinking and stereotypical thinking (negative subscale) are associated with probable and definite bvFTD, whereas anxiety, guilt feeling, and tension (general subscale) are associated with a psychiatric diagnosis. The combinations of these symptoms explained three-quarters of the variance in the diagnosis of bvFTD versus psychiatric diagnoses. Misdiagnosis in bvFTD patients can therefore be reduced by systematically exploring the broad spectrum of psychiatric symptoms.
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