Riociguat: a soluble guanylate cyclase stimulator for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension
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Abstract: Despite advances in treatments and improved survival, patients with pulmonary hypertension still experience poor exercise and functional capacity, which has a significant detrimental impact on their quality of life. The nitric oxide (NO)–soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC)–cyclic guanosine 3′,5′-monophosphate (cGMP) pathway has been shown to play an important role in cardiovascular physiology, especially in vasodilation and pulmonary vascular tone. The oral sGC stimulator riociguat has a dual mode of action on the NO–sGC–cGMP pathway: direct stimulation of sGC independent of NO and indirect simulation via sensitization of sGC to endogenous NO. Riociguat is now licensed in >50 countries worldwide, including in Europe, the USA, Canada, and Japan. Approval for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) was based on Phase III data from the PATENT studies, in which riociguat significantly improved exercise capacity, pulmonary vascular resistance, a range of secondary endpoints, and hemodynamic parameters in patients with symptomatic PAH. In the Phase III CHEST studies, riociguat consistently improved exercise capacity in patients with inoperable chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) or persistent/recurrent CTEPH after pulmonary endarterectomy and is now the only drug to be approved for this indication. Riociguat was well tolerated in long-term studies of PAH and CTEPH. This review describes the role of the NO–sGC–cGMP pathway in the pathophysiology of pulmonary hypertension, and reviews the clinical efficacy and safety of riociguat in patients with PAH and inoperable or persistent/recurrent CTEPH. Based on its demonstrated efficacy and established safety profile, riociguat is a promising treatment option for patients with PAH and CTEPH.
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Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a pathophysiological disorder that may involve multiple clinical conditions and can complicate the majority of cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. Group 1 PH comprises pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), a life-threatening disease characterized by increased pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) due to progressive remodeling of the pulmonary vasculature, which can ultimately lead to right heart failure (HF) and death. Before the development of targeted PAH-specific drugs, the prognosis of patients was usually poor, with a median survival of only 2.8 years from the time of diagnosis. Recent advances in treatments for PAH have improved survival, but many patients still experience poor exercise and functional capacity and symptoms such as shortness of breath, chest pain, and fatigue, which worsen health-related quality of life.

Other classes of PH include PH due to left HF (Group 2), PH due to lung diseases and/or hypoxia (Group 3), chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH; Group 4), and PH with unclear and/or multifactorial mechanisms (Group 5).
There is a substantial unmet need in the treatment of Group 4 PH – CTEPH.\(^1\) CTEPH is a rare but life-threatening condition that is viewed as a complex “dual” vascular disorder involving obstruction of the pulmonary vasculature by organized thromboembolic material and also remodeling of small distal arteries in non-occluded areas.\(^6\) Pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA) is the treatment of choice for CTEPH in eligible patients.\(^1\) However, some patients are ineligible for surgery and others develop persistent/recurrent PH after PEA, requiring alternative/further treatment. Similarities in the signaling pathways involved in the pathophysiology of PAH and CTEPH suggest that these patients may benefit from PAH-specific therapies.\(^7–9\)

One of the major pathways thought to be involved in the pathophysiology of PH is the nitric oxide (NO)–soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC)–cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) pathway.\(^10–12\) This article describes the role of the NO–sGC–cGMP pathway in the pathophysiology of PH, and reviews the clinical efficacy and safety of the sGC stimulator riociguat in patients with PAH and inoperable or persistent/recurrent CTEPH and preliminary investigations in other PH etiologies.\(^7–9\)

**The NO–sGC–cGMP pathway and riociguat’s mechanism of action**

Cyclic GMP is a pivotal second messenger controlling dilation and cellular proliferation within the vascular wall to maintain pulmonary vascular tone. NO is produced in endothelial cells with the involvement of several cofactors, such as asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) and tetrahydrobiopterin (Figure 1).\(^10\) It diffuses to smooth muscle cells, where it activates the intracellular enzyme sGC, which in turn catalyzes the conversion of guanosine-5’-triphosphate to cGMP. The primary route of NO synthesis is the oxidation of the amino group of L-arginine, catalyzed by NO synthases (NOSs). Reductions in NO, and subsequently cGMP, can thus increase the pressure within the pulmonary circulation. Elevated levels of ADMA, which competes with NOS-binding sites but does not activate the enzyme, can impair NO synthesis.\(^13\)

The production of cGMP is stimulated by NO via the NO–sGC–cGMP pathway (Figure 2).\(^11\) sGCs are found in cytosolic fractions of the cell and are activated by NO. This is in contrast to membrane-bound guanylate cyclases (GCs), which are activated by natriuretic peptide receptors such as GC-A and GC-B.\(^14\) The distribution of GC proteins across various cells is isoform specific.

sGC is a heme-containing heterodimer with various isoforms composed of two homologous subunits: α and β. The most common isoform is the α1β1 protein.\(^15\) The isoforms of sGC are important in different physiological processes. For example, the α1β1 isozyme plays a primary role in the mediation of vasodilation,\(^16\) including NO-mediated pulmonary vasodilation.\(^17,18\) The active catalytic site of sGC lies at the interface between the C-terminal domains of the two subunits. sGC is very sensitive to allosteric regulation. Binding of NO to sGC leads to structural changes in the helix α1 that activates the C-terminal catalytic domain.\(^19,20\) NO binding leads to increases in the synthesis of cGMP of approximately two orders of magnitude. cGMP targets, including phosphodiesterases (PDEs), ion-gated channels, and cGMP-dependent protein kinases (PKGs), participate in several physiological functions, such as vasodilation, platelet aggregation, and neurotransmission.\(^21–23\)

The role of sGC in the production of cGMP makes it a potential target for treatments that aim to cause vasodilation and reduce blood pressure in the pulmonary circulation, as is required in PAH. The NO–sGC–cGMP pathway is a crucial mechanism in the pathogenesis of PAH.\(^2\) Inhibitors of PDE type 5 (PDE5), such as sildenafil and tadalafil, prevent PDE5 from degrading cGMP and have been used as clinical therapy of PAH for many years.\(^1\) It thus follows that agents acting to directly stimulate the production of cGMP by increasing the activity of sGC could have a potent effect in the treatment of PAH.

Riociguat is the first-in-class sGC stimulator with a dual mode of action: it stimulates sGC directly, independent of NO, and also sensitizes sGC to endogenous NO by stabilizing
NO–sGC binding, leading to increased intracellular cGMP levels (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{11,12,24–26} Studies in mouse and rat models have shown that riociguat effectively reduces PH\textsuperscript{27} and reverses the associated right heart hypertrophy and ventricular remodeling.\textsuperscript{28} Riociguat has also been shown to markedly improve survival and attenuate systolic dysfunction, as well as target fibrotic tissue remodeling, in salt-sensitive Dahl rats.\textsuperscript{29} This may be the pathophysiological
foundation underlying reductions in urinary albumin excretion in diabetic endothelial NO knockout mice unresponsive to angiotensin receptor blockers, as well as cardiac and renal target-organ damage in both high- and low-renin hypertension rabbit models. Riociguat reduces infarct size and decreases the impact of infarction on left ventricular systolic function in mouse models of myocardial ischemia treated at the onset of reperfusion.

Pharmacokinetics of riociguat

Riociguat has been evaluated in a series of pharmacokinetic (PK) studies. It is readily absorbed, with time to peak plasma concentrations of 0.5–1.5 h after dosing, and shows complete oral absorption, with no clinically meaningful differences when taken with or without food. Riociguat is mainly cleared by cytochrome P450 (CYP450) metabolism (CYP1A1, CYP3A4, and CYP2J2) via conversion to its main metabolite, M1, and has an elimination half-life of ~12 h in patients with PH.

No clinically meaningful increase in riociguat exposure has been demonstrated in patients with mild hepatic impairment, although moderate hepatic impairment (Child–Pugh Class B) was associated with increased riociguat exposure compared with sex-matched, healthy control subjects. No data are available in patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child–Pugh Class C).

In patients with mild (creatinine clearance [CrCl] 80–50 mL/min), moderate (CrCl <50–30 mL/min), or severe (CrCl <30 mL/min) renal impairment, riociguat plasma concentrations were increased. Increased exposure associated with renal impairment was not accompanied by an increase in treatment-related adverse events (AEs). Data are limited in patients with severe renal impairment, and no data are available in patients with CrCl <15 mL/min or on dialysis.

There were no clinically meaningful effects of age, sex, weight, or race/ethnicity on the PK properties of riociguat in healthy volunteers. Smoking is known to induce CYP1A1, which has a major role in the metabolic clearance of riociguat, and plasma concentrations of riociguat are reduced by 50%–60% in smokers compared with nonsmokers.

Based on its PK properties, the following recommendations for prescribing riociguat have been published:

- Caution should be exercised in prescribing riociguat to patients with moderate hepatic impairment, and riociguat should not be prescribed for patients with severe hepatic impairment.
- Riociguat is not recommended in patients with CrCl <30 mL/min in Europe and in patients with CrCl <15 mL/min in the USA.
- Current smokers should be advised to stop smoking because of the risk of lower response, and a dose decrease may be required in patients who stop smoking during treatment. The recommended starting dose of riociguat is 1 mg three times daily. For smokers, doses >2.5 mg three times daily may be considered to achieve similar exposure of riociguat to that observed in nonsmokers. The safety and effectiveness of riociguat doses >2.5 mg three times daily have not, however, been established.

Riociguat has PK interactions with or effects on the exposure to endothelin receptor antagonists (ERAs) or non-intravenous prostanoids that merit dose adjustment. Although coadministration of bosentan reduces riociguat plasma concentrations, it is not necessary to adjust the dose of riociguat in patients receiving bosentan beyond the standard adjustment scheme according to systolic blood pressure (SBP), which should compensate for increased riociguat exposure. In the Phase III PATENT-1 trial, treatment-naïve patients and those receiving combination therapy with other PH-specific therapies benefited equally from riociguat treatment, and it was concluded that 2.5 mg three times daily is the optimum riociguat dose for both patient groups. Owing to the potential to increase riociguat plasma concentrations, concomitant use with strong multipathway CYP and P-glycoprotein (P-gp)/breast cancer resistance protein inhibitors, such as azole antifungicals (eg, ketoconazole, itraconazole), or human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitors (eg, ritonavir), is not recommended in the European summary of product characteristics.

A starting dose of 0.5 mg three times daily should be considered in these patients according to the US prescribing information.

Drug interactions

In a double-blind, crossover, pharmacologic study in 30 healthy volunteers, no pharmacodynamic interactions were demonstrated between riociguat and warfarin. This is consistent with results from the PATENT-2 clinical trial, in which anticoagulation therapy was required by 61% of patients, with no evidence of interaction between riociguat and anticoagulants or increased risk of respiratory tract bleeding events. Several important drug-metabolizing enzymes have been tested in vitro for interactions with riociguat. The results suggested that riociguat is a P-gp substrate, and so its efficacy may be increased when coadministered with strong
Clinical trials
Phase I and II studies
Following Phase I dose-finding studies in healthy volunteers that showed that riociguat produced a mild reduction in BP and was well tolerated, the first Phase II trial was an acute hemodynamic study conducted in 19 patients with a diagnosis of PAH, distal CTEPH, or PH associated with mild-to-moderate interstitial lung disease (ILD). Incremental doses of riociguat were administered to four patients at hourly intervals until the mean arterial pressure was <60 mmHg or the heart rate increased to >140 bpm. Riociguat dosing up to 2.5 mg was generally well tolerated, but one patient had asymptomatic hypotension after a total dose of 5 mg. Another group of patients received a single dose of riociguat 1 mg (n=5) or riociguat 2.5 mg (n=10). The PK parameters of riociguat following single-dose administration are listed in Table 1. In both the 1 mg and 2.5 mg dose groups, riociguat achieved statistically significant reductions in mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP), PVR, SBP, and systemic vascular resistance (SVR) compared with baseline. An increase in cardiac index was also significant in both dose groups, although a significant increase in heart rate was observed only in the 2.5 mg group. Furthermore, the improvement in hemodynamic parameters observed with riociguat was greater than that observed with inhaled NO. The extent of the hemodynamic effects caused by riociguat correlated significantly with riociguat plasma concentrations, which in turn were dose-dependent and showed interindividual variability.

To evaluate the safety and tolerability and further explore the appropriate dosage of riociguat, a 12-week prospective, open-label, uncontrolled Phase II trial was initiated in patients with CTEPH who were not eligible for surgery (n=42) or PAH (n=33) and were treatment-naive or receiving ERAs alone. Patients received riociguat immediate-release tablets three times daily for 12 weeks. The starting dose was 1 mg and could be increased (according to peripheral SBP) by 0.5 mg every 2 weeks up to the maximum dose of 2.5 mg three times daily. In total, 41 patients with CTEPH and 31 with PAH completed the 12-week study. The maximum dose of riociguat 2.5 mg three times daily was received by 52 patients (72%) and was associated with only minor changes in SBP and heart rate. Exercise capacity was improved in 86% of patients during riociguat treatment; at 12 weeks, the median increase in 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) was 55 m (interquartile range, 20.0–107.0 m; P<0.0001). The extent of the improvement was slightly less among patients in World Health Organization (WHO) functional class (FC) III than among those in WHO FC II at baseline, especially in the PAH subgroup. Patients taking riociguat with bosentan experienced similar improvements to those receiving riociguat alone. Among patients who underwent a second right heart catheterization after treatment with riociguat, a significant improvement was observed in hemodynamic parameters, including mPAP and mean PVR. These improvements were consistent with the increases in 6MWD observed in the majority of patients.

Phase II trials showed that riociguat was effective and well tolerated, and it was therefore entered into Phase III studies.

CHEST-1 and -2 Phase III study
The CHEST-1 study was the pivotal Phase III clinical study that led to riociguat being approved for use in the treatment of inoperable or persistent/recurrent CTEPH. It was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled 16-week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Geometric mean</th>
<th>CV%</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Geometric mean</th>
<th>CV%</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC, μg·h/L</td>
<td>602.3</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>456.5–749.6</td>
<td>1,411</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>597.5–3,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmax, μg/L</td>
<td>59.43</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>53.49–65.05</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>74.69–172.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmax, h</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.500–1.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.250–1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1/2, h</td>
<td>9.953</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.737–12.12</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>4.680–28.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vz/F, L/kg</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.307–0.393</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.005–0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F, L/h</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>1.334–2.191</td>
<td>1.771</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>0.801–4.184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Subjects valid for PK and pharmacodynamic analysis. This material has not been reviewed prior to release; therefore the European Respiratory Society may not be responsible for any errors, omissions or inaccuracies, or for any consequences arising there from, in the content. Reproduced with permission of the European Respiratory Society ©. European Respiratory Journal. 2009;33(4):785–792. DOI: 10.1183/09031936.0003808. Median.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the plasma concentration–time curve; Cmax, maximum plasma concentration; CL/F, apparent total clearance of the drug from plasma; CV, coefficient of variation; PK, pharmacokinetic; tmax, time to reach Cmax; t1/2, terminal half-life; Vz/F, apparent volume of distribution during the terminal phase.
study that was conducted in 26 countries in 261 patients with inoperable CTEPH or persistent/recurrent CTEPH after PEA. Eligible patients were aged between 18 and 80 years and had a 6MWD of 150–450 m, PVR $>300$ dyn·s·cm$^{-5}$, and mPAP $\geq 25$ mmHg. Eligible patients could not receive ERAs, PDE5 inhibitors, prostanoids, or NO donors within 3 months before entering the study. Patients enrolled in the study were randomly assigned in a 1:2 ratio to receive placebo or riociguat 0.5–2.5 mg three times daily, individually dose-adjusted according to SBP. The primary end point was change from baseline in 6MWD at Week 16; secondary efficacy end points included changes in PVR, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) level, WHO FC, time to clinical worsening, and quality of life variables.

The primary end point was met, with mean 6MWD at Week 16 increasing by 39 m in the riociguat group compared with a decrease of 6 m in the placebo group (Figure 4). Riociguat also improved several hemodynamic end points, including PVR and WHO FC, and reduced NT-proBNP (Table 2). Patients with inoperable CTEPH and post-PEA CTEPH showed comparable responses to riociguat treatment.

Patients who completed CHEST-1 without ongoing drug-related serious AEs (SAEs) were eligible to participate in the open-label extension study, CHEST-2, which assessed the long-term efficacy and safety of riociguat. CHEST-2 enrolled 237 patients, all of whom received riociguat up to 2.5 mg three times daily. In contrast to CHEST-1, patients could receive concomitant ERAs and prostanoids. In an interim analysis, with a March 2013 data cutoff, the mean treatment duration was 83 weeks and 179 patients had been treated with riociguat for over 1 year.

Compared with baseline values, the results of CHEST-2 at 1 year showed a 51-m increase in 6MWD, improvement in WHO FC in 47% of patients, and a reduction in NT-proBNP levels. The estimated rate of clinical worsening-free survival was 88%, and the estimated overall survival rate at 1 year was 97%.

In a final analysis of CHEST-2 conducted at the March 2014 data cutoff, 172 patients were ongoing, 171 had received $\geq 2$ years of treatment, and 18 patients had switched to the commercial drug. At 2 years, the improvement in 6MWD was maintained, FC had improved in 39% of patients, and the estimated survival was 93%. 6MWD improved in

---

**Figure 4** Mean change in 6MWD in the CHEST-1 study.

**Notes:** Last visit – last observed value (not including follow-up) for patients who completed the study or withdrew, except imputed worst value (0) in case of death or clinical worsening without a termination visit or a measurement at that termination visit. From *N Engl J Med*, Ghofrani HA, D’Armini AM, Grimminger F, et al; CHEST-1 Study Group, Riociguat for the treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. 369(4):319–329. Copyright © 2013 Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission from the Massachusetts Medical Society.

**Abbreviations:** 6MWD, 6-minute walking distance; CI, confidence interval.
patients who had received riociguat in CHEST-1 and those originally randomized to placebo, although the increase was greater in the former riociguat group. Quality of life measured by EuroQol-5 Dimension (EQ-5D) questionnaire score was improved.52

Taken together, results from CHEST-1 and -2 indicate that riociguat significantly improves exercise capacity, other clinical outcomes, and hemodynamics in patients with inoperable CTEPH or persistent/recurrent CTEPH and that long-term treatment is associated with sustained clinical benefits and good long-term survival. The effects on hemodynamic values, which have been shown in other studies to predict outcomes, were considered clinically significant, while WHO FC, as well as indicating a patient’s perception of treatment benefit, correlates with survival among patients with CTEPH.53 Although comparisons between clinical trials should be made with caution, the CHEST-1 and -2 results were promising compared with those of the BENEFIT trial, in which bosentan decreased PVR compared with placebo in patients with CTEPH but did not significantly increase 6MWD.44 The observation in CHEST-2 that the former placebo group did not fully “catch up” in 6MWD with the former riociguat group indicates the importance of starting treatment early.

**PATENT-I and -2 Phase III study**

Approval of riociguat for the treatment of PAH was based on data from the pivotal Phase III PATENT-I study, a 12-week, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial in 443 patients with symptomatic PAH (PVR >300 dyne·s·cm⁻², mPAP ≥25 mmHg, 6MWD 150–450 m).45 Patients were eligible for the study if they were treatment-naïve or receiving ERAs or prostanoids (except for intravenous prostanoids) at stable doses for 90 days before study entry. Patients were randomly assigned in a 2:4:1 ratio to receive placebo, riociguat individually dose adjusted up to 2.5 mg three times

### Table 2 Changes in selected parameters in the CHEST-1 study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Mean change from baseline</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Mean change from baseline</th>
<th>Placebo-corrected LS mean difference</th>
<th>P-value, riociguat vs placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVR, dyne·s·cm⁻²</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>-226</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>+23</td>
<td>-246</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP, mmHg</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, L/min</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP, ng/L</td>
<td>1,508</td>
<td>-291</td>
<td>1,706</td>
<td>+76</td>
<td>-444</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO FC (I/II/III/IV)</td>
<td>2/32/62/5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/29/69/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved/unchanged/worsened (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>33/62/5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15/78/7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borg dyspnea score</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Baseline values are mean values. From *N Engl J Med*; Ghofrani HA, D’Armini AM, Grimminger F, et al; CHEST-1 Study Group, Riociguat for the treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, 369(4):319–329. Copyright © (2013) Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission from the Massachusetts Medical Society. *WHO FC data show percentages of patients in class I/II/III/IV and baseline, and percentages improved/unchanged/worsened at the end of Week 16. Abbreviations: CO, cardiac output; FC, functional class; LS, least squares; mPAP, mean pulmonary artery pressure; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; WHO, World Health Organization.*

### Table 3 Changes in selected parameters in the PATENT-1 study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Mean change from baseline</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Mean change from baseline</th>
<th>Placebo-corrected LS mean difference</th>
<th>P-value, riociguat vs placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVR, dyne·s·cm⁻²</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>-223</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-226</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP, mmHg</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, L/min</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP, ng/L</td>
<td>1,027</td>
<td>-198</td>
<td>1,228</td>
<td>+232</td>
<td>-432</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO FC (I/II/III/IV)</td>
<td>2/43/55/0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/48/46/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved/unchanged/worsened (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21/76/4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14/71/14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borg dyspnea score</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Baseline values are mean values. From *N Engl J Med*; Ghofrani HA, Galí N, Grimminger F, et al; Riociguat for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension, 369(4):330–340. Copyright © (2013) Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission from the Massachusetts Medical Society. *WHO FC data show percentages of patients in class I/II/III/IV and baseline, and percentages improved/unchanged/worsened at the end of Week 12. Abbreviations: CO, cardiac output; FC, functional class; LS, least squares; mPAP, mean pulmonary artery pressure; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; WHO, World Health Organization.*
daily, or riociguat 1.5 mg three times daily. The primary end point was the change from baseline in 6MWD at Week 12. Secondary end points included changes from baseline to Week 12 in PVR, NT-proBNP levels, WHO FC, time to clinical worsening, Borg dyspnea scale score, and quality of life questionnaire scores.

The primary end point was met at Week 12; mean 6MWD increased from baseline by 30 m in the riociguat 2.5 mg-maximum group compared with a decrease of 6 m with placebo (Table 3).45 Riociguat also improved several hemodynamic end points, WHO FC, and Borg dyspnea score and reduced NT-proBNP (Table 3). Improvements were seen in both treatment-naïve patients and those pretreated with ERAs or non-intravenous prostanoids.43–45 Furthermore, riociguat increased the proportions of patients with PAH achieving predefined treatment goals known to correlate with improved outcomes, including clinically relevant thresholds for 6MWD, WHO FC, NT-proBNP levels, and hemodynamic parameters,55 illustrating the homogeneity of response to riociguat across a range of different parameters. There was also a significantly lower incidence of events indicating clinical worsening in the 2.5 mg-maximum group than in the placebo group.45

Patients completing PATENT-1 without ongoing drug-related SAEs were eligible to participate in the open-label, single-group, multicenter, PATENT-2 extension study.47 In total, 396 patients enrolled in PATENT-2; 84% had received treatment for at least 1 year at the March 2013 data cutoff. The mean treatment duration was 95 weeks.

At 1 year, the mean 6MWD had increased by 51 m (Figure 5, which also shows the changes in 6MWD in PATENT-1), WHO FC had improved in 33% of patients, and the decreases in NT-proBNP observed in PATENT-1 sustained.47 At 1 year, the estimated rates of clinical worsening-free survival and overall survival were 88% and 97%, respectively.47 After 2 years of PATENT-2, 275 patients were ongoing, 307 had received ≥2 years of treatment, and 13 patients had switched to the commercial drug.56,57 At 2 years, improvements in 6MWD were maintained, and the estimated survival was 93%.

The results from PATENT-1 and -2 show that riociguat significantly improves exercise capacity and several other secondary end points in patients with symptomatic PAH and that long-term treatment is associated with sustained clinical improvements. The increase in 6MWD with riociguat compared with placebo was within the range seen in trials of other medical therapies for PAH58–63 or considered clinically relevant in other studies.64–66 Delay of clinical worsening, as observed with riociguat in PATENT-1, is also considered clinically relevant.67 The long-term changes in 6MWD with riociguat in PATENT-2 were also in a similar range to those of long-term studies of other PAH therapies.68–70

**PATENT PLUS**

It had been hypothesized that concomitant use of riociguat with a PDE5 inhibitor may have additional benefit, as the two drugs target the same pathway via different mechanisms. This combination was evaluated in the randomized, double-blind PATENT PLUS study.56 Patients aged 18–75 years with symptomatic PAH receiving stable (≥90 days) sildenafil therapy (20 mg three times daily) were eligible for the

**Figure 5** Change from baseline in 6MWD in PATENT-1 and PATENT-2.

**Notes:** Note that “12 weeks” in PATENT-2 represents 24 weeks of treatment: treatment was continuous from PATENT-1 to PATENT-2. Reproduced with permission of the European Respiratory Society ©: European Respiratory Journal. 2015;45(5):1303–1313. DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00090614.47

**Abbreviation:** 6MWD, 6-minute walking distance.
study. The patients were randomized to receive riociguat (individually adjusted up to 2.5 mg three times daily: n=12) or placebo (n=6) for a total treatment duration of 12 weeks. The primary outcome was the maximum change in supine SBP from baseline within 4 h of dosing. There was no significant difference between the riociguat and placebo groups in the primary endpoint, other hemodynamic parameters, or exercise capacity. Combination therapy was found to be associated with a higher rate of discontinuation due to hypotension, possibly because both riociguat and PDE5 inhibitors were administered systemically, and not selectively to the pulmonary bed, therefore impacting systemic circulation. As a result of these observations, use of riociguat in patients receiving PDE5 inhibitors is contraindicated.

Clinical trials of riociguat in other types of PH

A Phase IIb double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging hemodynamic study of riociguat was conducted in patients with PH associated with systolic left ventricular dysfunction (LEPHT). Patients with HF with left ventricular ejection fraction ≤40% and mPAP ≥25 mmHg were eligible for enrollment if standard therapy for 30 days had not ameliorated symptoms. In total, 201 patients were randomized in a 2:1:1:2 ratio to receive riociguat 0.5 mg, 1.0 mg, or 2.0 mg three times daily or placebo. The efficacy endpoints were placebo-corrected changes from baseline in right heart hemodynamic parameters after 16 weeks of treatment. Statistically significant improvements were achieved for PVR, SVR, cardiac index, and stroke volume index, without changes in heart rate or SBP, in patients receiving riociguat 2 mg vs those receiving placebo. By contrast, the changes in mPAP, PCWP, or transpulmonary gradient did not differ significantly between the riociguat and placebo groups. In keeping with the known dose-dependent relationship between riociguat and changes in hemodynamics, no statistically different changes were observed with the lower doses of riociguat (0.5 mg and 1.0 mg) compared with placebo. There were no significant differences in echocardiography parameters or clinical variables (such as New York Heart Association classification and clinical worsening) between the riociguat 2.0 mg and placebo groups. However, riociguat significantly reduced the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure score.

DILATE-1, a Phase IIa randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, single-dose clinical study, investigated riociguat as a treatment for PH associated with diastolic HF. The 39 patients enrolled were randomized to receive placebo (n=13) or riociguat 0.5 mg (n=8), 1.0 mg (n=8), or 2.0 mg (n=8) as single doses. No significant differences were observed between the riociguat 2.0 mg and placebo groups in peak decrease in mPAP (the primary efficacy variable), pulmonary arterial wedge pressure (PAWP), or PVR. However, stroke volume and cardiac index were significantly increased, without significantly changing the heart rate. Riociguat 2.0 mg also significantly improved right ventricular end-diastolic area and left atrial area, as determined by echocardiography, compared with placebo.

Riociguat has also been investigated in PH associated with ILD (PH-ILD). Riociguat has also been investigated in PH associated with ILD (PH-ILD) in an initial open-label, uncontrolled pilot study in 22 patients. The results were disappointing, only 18 patients completed the 12-week trial; there were four withdrawals due to AEs, of which two were considered to be drug related. Of the 15 patients who participated in the long-term extension phase, six withdrew from the study; the reasons for discontinuing therapy included death, lung transplantation, and intolerable AEs. Furthermore, there was only a small increase in 6MWD and no change in mPAP. It has been suggested that the slight decrease in oxygenation and increase in cardiac output may be a consequence of increased shunting and ventilation–perfusion mismatch. Subsequently, riociguat was investigated in the RISE-IIP study (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02138825) in patients with idiopathic interstitial pneumonia (IIP), a distinct category of ILD. Patients with IIP were randomized double blind in a 1:1 ratio to receive riociguat adjusted to a maximum of 2.5 mg three times daily or sham-adjusted placebo in a 10-week dose-adjustment phase, followed by a 16-week maintenance phase with 6MWD as the primary end point. An open-label extension phase was also planned. This study was terminated prematurely on the advice of the safety committee because of possible increases in mortality and AE rates in the riociguat arm. PH associated with IIP is now a contraindication to riociguat.

Safety

Riociguat is generally well tolerated and has a similar good safety profile in patients with CTEPH and in patients with treatment-naïve or pretreated PAH. Most AEs are related to the mechanism of action of riociguat and are of mild-to-moderate intensity. In a pooled analysis of the PATENT-1 and CHEST-1 studies, AEs occurring more frequently with riociguat vs placebo included headache (27% vs 18%, respectively), dyspepsia/gastritis (21% vs 8%), dizziness (20% vs 13%), nausea (14% vs 11%), diarrhea (12% vs 8%),
Discussion

The results of the PATENT and CHEST studies showed that patients with PAH or inoperable or persistent/recurrent CTEPH on long-term riociguat treatment have sustained improvements in clinical and functional status. As a result, riociguat is currently the only medication approved for the treatment of both PAH and CTEPH and is the only pharmacologic therapy approved for the treatment of inoperable or persistent/recurrent CTEPH following PEA. In addition, riociguat is the only drug to show consistent improvement in 6MWD in randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials in this condition. Furthermore, riociguat appears to have acceptable tolerability and side-effect profiles.

Hemoptysis and pulmonary hemorrhage are recognized complications of PH, particularly in CTEPH and in those taking anticoagulation therapy.1,35 Riociguat may potentially increase this risk of bleeding, especially in the presence of risk factors, such as recent episodes of serious hemoptysis.35 These observations suggest that the risk of pulmonary hemorrhage does not increase with the duration of riociguat exposure. Nonetheless, riociguat should be avoided in patients with a history of serious hemoptysis and those who have previously undergone bronchial arterial embolization.35

PAH and CTEPH are characterized by remodeling of the pulmonary vasculature leading to increased PVR. Changes in NO–sGC–cGMP signaling have been implicated in these processes.11,12,78 Several ex vivo and in vivo studies indicate that riociguat has pulmonary vasodilatory and anti-remodeling properties.12 The results seen with riociguat in PAH and CTEPH support the contention that the NO–sGC–cGMP pathway is involved in the pathophysiology of these disorders. As described earlier, riociguat appears to have a greater effect than other medical therapies on 6MWD in CTEPH, whereas its effect in PAH is similar to other drugs. These observations suggest different pathologies in the two conditions and possibly a more significant role for the NO–sGC–cGMP pathway in CTEPH.

Given that YC-1 – the prototype molecule of riociguat – inhibits hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) and that HIF-1 has been proven to participate in the progression of pulmonary vessel wall remodeling,79 it seems reasonable to hypothesize that riociguat may negatively affect HIF-1 signaling, thereby inhibiting the cell proliferation and apoptosis resistance involved in remodeling of the pulmonary vessel wall. It may be expected that riociguat could have long-term efficacy effects related to potential reversal of pathologic changes in the pulmonary artery, although further confirmatory studies are needed.

Studies of BAY 60-4554, the main metabolite of riociguat that also has sGC stimulating activity, in 42 patients with biventricular HF demonstrated improvements in biventricular preload and afterload, as well as cardiac index, indicating a potential benefit with riociguat in the treatment of LEPHT.80 However, in clinical trials of patients with PH associated with systolic ventricular dysfunction or diastolic HF, riociguat has shown minimal efficacy.71,72 As there is a huge gulf between preclinical research and clinical trials, further studies should

Table 4 Incidences of most common AEs with riociguat therapy in the CHEST-251 and PATENT-257 studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AE, n (%)</th>
<th>CHEST-2 (n=237)</th>
<th>PATENT-2 (n=396)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any AE</td>
<td>233 (98)</td>
<td>388 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEs in ≥15% of patients in either study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>67 (28)</td>
<td>118 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral edema</td>
<td>55 (23)</td>
<td>98 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>52 (22)</td>
<td>101 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>43 (18)</td>
<td>84 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>37 (16)</td>
<td>88 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>35 (15)</td>
<td>82 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>35 (15)</td>
<td>64 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>33 (14)</td>
<td>64 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>30 (13)</td>
<td>76 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>26 (11)</td>
<td>54 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>20 (8)</td>
<td>67 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEs of special interest in &gt;5% of patients in either study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>23 (10)</td>
<td>38 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>18 (8)</td>
<td>51 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other AEs of interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoptysis/pulmonary hemorrhage</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
<td>30 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-related AEs</td>
<td>114 (48)</td>
<td>232 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious AEs</td>
<td>129 (54)</td>
<td>238 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>23 (10)</td>
<td>38 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoptysis/pulmonary hemorrhage</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation due to AE</td>
<td>14 (6)</td>
<td>45 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: aBayer AG, data on file. bJudged by the investigator based on patient symptoms.

Abbreviation: AE, adverse event.
be undertaken to investigate undefined pathophysiological mechanisms in LEPHT.

Additional preclinical studies have demonstrated that riociguat has beneficial effects on other pathophysiological processes implicated in PH, including antifibrotic, antiproliferative, and anti-inflammatory effects, and it has recently been shown to have positive effects on experimental skin fibrosis. These findings suggested a potential role for riociguat in the treatment of systemic sclerosis, a rare, autoimmune rheumatic disease characterized by progressive fibrosis of the skin and internal organs. A randomized, double-blind, 52-week study is ongoing comparing riociguat with placebo in ~130 patients with diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02283762).

Conclusion
Riociguat is an sGC stimulator that increases cGMP levels through a dual mode of action (direct stimulation of sGC, independent of NO, and stabilization of NO–sGC binding). It significantly improves exercise capacity and hemodynamic parameters in patients with PAH (irrespective of whether they are treatment naïve or pretreated) and in patients with CTEPH that is not suitable for surgery or persists after surgery (although patients at increased risk of bleeding should be evaluated with care).

The efficacy of riociguat in the treatment of PAH is comparable to PAH-specific drugs currently used in clinical practice. Riociguat appears to be an exciting new targeted medication for PAH. Its significant efficacy in treating CTEPH is invaluable, and its potential influence on pathologic mechanisms is desirable.
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