Quantum dots modulate intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} level in lung epithelial cells
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Abstract: While adverse effects of nanoparticles on lung health have previously been proposed, few studies have addressed the direct effects of nanoparticle exposure on the airway epithelium. In this work, we examine the response of the pulmonary airway to nanoparticles by measuring intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration ([Ca\textsuperscript{2+}],) in the Calu-3 epithelial layer stimulated by 3-mercaptopropionic-acid (3MPA) coated CdSe-CdS/ZnS core-multishell quantum dots (QDs). Simultaneous transient transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) decrease and global [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase in Calu-3 epithelial layer, accompanied by cell displacements, contraction, and expansion, were observed under QD deposition. This suggests that a QD-induced global [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase in the Calu-3 epithelial layer caused the transient TEER decrease. The [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase was marked and rapid in the apical region, while [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], decreased in the basolateral region of the epithelial layer. TEER transient response and extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entry induced by QD deposition were completely inhibited in cells treated with stretched-activated (SA) inhibitor GdCl\textsubscript{3} and store-operated calcium entry (SOCE) inhibitor BTP2 and in cells immersed in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free medium. The voltage-gated calcium channel (VGCC) inhibitor nifedipine decreased, stabilized, and suppressed the TEER response, but did not affect the [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase, due to QD deposition. This demonstrates that the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx activated by QDs’ mechanical stretch occurs through activation of both SA and SOCE channels. QD-induced [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase occurred in the Calu-3 epithelial layer after culturing for 15 days, while significant TEER drop only occurred after 23 days. This work provides a new perspective from which to study direct interactions between airway epithelium and nanoparticles and may help to reveal the pathologies of pulmonary disease.
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Introduction

Nanoparticles may enter the human body via the skin, the gastrointestinal tract, and the respiratory system, with the respiratory system considered the primary gateway. One of the most vulnerable situations in daily life is chronic exposure to airborne traffic particulate matters.\textsuperscript{1–3} While nanodrugs and their applications in medicine, particularly inhalation and respiratory drug delivery,\textsuperscript{4,5} and the cytotoxicity of nanomaterials\textsuperscript{6–7} have been extensively explored, few studies have addressed the direct effects of exposures to various particulate matters. The Calu-3 human lung adenocarcinoma cell line is commonly used to study the airway epithelial barrier because 1) it expresses the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) and several acinar cell markers, 2) it forms polarized monolayers with tight junctions, 3) it has robust cAMP-stimulated anion transport, 4) it responds to secretagogues that regulate the glands in vivo, and 5) it secretes mucus.\textsuperscript{8} Calu-3 cells were recently shown to display a transient transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) decrease in direct response to...
deposition of water-dispersible 3-mercaptopropionionic-acid (3MPA) coated CdSe-Cds/ZnS core-multishell QDs, with the decrement proportional to the QD dose.\(^9\) TEER is generally known to be an indicator of the integrity of tight junctions, reflecting the ionic conductance of the paracellular pathway in the epithelial layer.\(^10\) The reported QD-induced transient TEER decrease may, therefore, indicate a loosening of the tight junctions. However, no detectable morphological modifications were found in Calu-3 epithelial layers after repeated QD depositions, once per 6 days for 60 days.\(^9\)

Cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration [Ca\(^{2+}\)] plays a key role in a variety of cellular functions and is a master regulator of airway physiology. It controls fluid, mucus, and antimicrobial peptide secretion, ciliary beating, and smooth muscle contraction. Changes in [Ca\(^{2+}\)] regulate transepithelial ion transport and fluid secretion, including Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated K\(^+\) channels and Cl\(^{-}\) channels. New evidence has arisen concerning correlations between Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling and other signaling pathways (cAMP, NO) that impinge upon different ion transport pathways, including cAMP/PGA-activated CFTR anion channels.\(^11\) It has been further shown that the polarity of a cell monolayer can be changed by ion transport, fluid secretion, and ciliary movement.\(^12\) Abnormality in Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis in the airway epithelium may, therefore, contribute to development of airway hyper-responsiveness and the exacerbation of chronic lung diseases, such as asthma, COPD, silicosis/fibrosis, and lung infections.

Nanoparticles have been reported to activate and stimulate cellular signaling pathways via mechanotransduction pathways, wherein integrin receptors, stretch activated (SA) ion channels, focal adhesions, and the cytoskeleton play key roles in activation.\(^13\) Recent evidence indicates that the mechanical force induced by magnetic nanoparticles can cause Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes in renal vascular smooth muscle cells and that the intensity of the Ca\(^{2+}\) spike depends upon the mechanical stimulation frequency.\(^14\) Tang et al have shown that unmodified CdSe-QDs elevated [Ca\(^{2+}\)] in primary cultures of rat hippocampal neurons, due to both extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) influx and internal Ca\(^{2+}\) release from endoplasmic reticulum (ER).\(^15\) Yan et al showed CdTe quantum dots (QDs) induced the cytotoxicity of human umbilical vein endothelial cells via ER stress-mediated apoptosis pathways.\(^16\) The 3MPA-coated QDs used in our previous study\(^9\) had carboxyl surface ligands that could link the QDs to the cell membrane proteins/receptors to cause a series of cell pathophysiological changes,\(^7,18\) even though QDs were not found in the Calu-3 cells after medium change (only small QD clusters were found in the extracellular junction areas after repeated QD depositions, once per 6 days for 60 days).

We speculate that the mechanical force of the QD deposition induced a global net Ca\(^{2+}\) influx in the Calu-3 epithelial layer, resulting in the macroscopically observed transient TEER decrease.\(^9\) In this work, we focused on studying the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx pathways in an effort to understand the cellular mechanism of QD-induced transient TEER decrease and unravel the early responses of Calu-3 epithelial layer to nanoparticles.

**Materials and methods**

**Reagents**

Oregon green 1,2-bis(o-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid, Acetoxymethyl (OGB-1, O6807) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA); Pluronic® F-127 (P2443-250G), nifedipine (N7634-1G), and ATP (adenosine disalt-sodium hydrate; A2383-1G) from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA); GdCl\(_3\) (4741) from Bio Techne (Minneapolis, MN, USA); BTP2 (5 mg) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Delaware Ave Santa Cruz, CA, USA); Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM, 30-2003) from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA).

Aqueous solution of 3MPA-coated CdSe-CdS/ZnS core-multishell QDs (8 \(\mu\)M) were prepared in house, as previously described in reference.\(^19\) The peak wavelength of the 3MPA-QD was 600 nm and the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the QD fluorescence peaks was \~25 nm. Their physiochemical properties have been carefully studied and reported, see previous reports.\(^19,20\) Moreover, no perceptible changes in the optical spectra of QDs in the QD-treated Calu-3 samples stored for 6 months were observed, indicating that these QDs are well surface-passivated and are very stable in the Calu-3 cell culture media.\(^9\) OGB-1 (50 µg/vial) was dissolved in 10 \(\mu\)L Pluronic F-127 (20%, w/v) to obtain a 4 mM stock solution. ATP solution of 100 mM was prepared fresh by dissolving 55.1 mg ATP powder into 1 mL deionized H\(_2\)O. BTP2 solution of 50 mM was prepared by dissolving 5 mg BTP2 into 237 µL DMSO and stored at \~20°C. Nifedipine solution of 10 mM was prepared by dissolving 3.5 mg into 1 mL DMSO and stored in the dark at 4°C for \~1 week before use. GdCl\(_3\) inhibits stretched-activated calcium channels,\(^21\) so GdCl\(_3\) solution of 100 mM was prepared by dissolving 37 mg GdCl\(_3\) in 1 mL H\(_2\)O. Ca\(^{2+}\)-rich Krebs-Ringer Buffer (KRB) contained 110 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 25 mM NaHCO\(_3\), 1 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\)-H\(_2\)O, 1.2 mM MgCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O, 10 mM D-glucose, 20 mM HEPES, and 1.0 mM CaCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O. To prepare Ca\(^{2+}\)-free KRB, CaCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O in Ca\(^{2+}\)-rich KRB was substituted with 2.5 mM EGTA. Both Ca\(^{2+}\)-rich and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free KRB were titrated to pH 7.4 with NaOH.

**Cell culture**

Human airway epithelial cells (Calu-3), purchased from ATCC (HTB-55TM), were cultured on Transwell-Clear inserts...
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(6-well clusters, 24-mm inserts with polyester membrane, pore diameter 0.4 μm, Corning, NY, USA), as previously described.\textsuperscript{22} Calu-3 cells (passages 3–6) were seeded into Transwell inserts at a density of 0.4×10\textsuperscript{4} cells/insert, then cultured in EMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (all purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a humified atmosphere (5% CO\textsubscript{2} at 37°C). The cell culture mediums of both the inserts and the compartments under the inserts (the basolateral compartments) were changed every 2 days.

TEER measurement

TEER was measured using an EVOM\textsuperscript{2} volt-ohm meter (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA). A Transwell plate was placed on a heating plate with a surface temperature of 37°C. Chopstick electrodes (STX2), first sterilized in 99.5% ethanol, were gently placed into one of the three ports in the insert so that one electrode had contact with the medium above the cells, and the other had contact with the medium in the basolateral compartment. During cell growth, TEER was measured daily. In general, the TEER value reached a plateau (between 2.5 and 3.0 kΩ⋅cm\textsuperscript{2}) after ca 18 culture days, indicating the formation of a tight epithelial cell layer.\textsuperscript{9}

Under QD deposition (50 μL of 5 μM QD solution), TEER was measured once per 10 s, for a total time duration of ca 20 min. Since mechanical stretch can cause ATP release, which in turn stimulates surrounding cells and spreads the intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave,\textsuperscript{23} administration of ATP (50 μL of 6 mM) was performed here as a positive control of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release. Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-rich KRB (50 μL) deposition was used as a negative control. In order to study their Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx effects, KRB, KRB containing BTP2 (50 μM), nifedipine (1 μM), or GdCl\textsubscript{3} (100 μM), and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free KRB replaced the cell medium 20 min before TEER measurements.

[Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], measurement

Calu-3 cells grown in the Transwell insert were loaded (in both the apical and basolateral compartments) with OGB-1 (4 μM) for 1 hour at 37°C in FBS-free EMEM buffer. (Note that loading OGB-1 in only the insert did not result in a proper staining, likely due to the polarization of the Calu-3 epithelial layer. Moreover, loading OGB-1 in the basolateral compartment resulted in a large amount of OGB-1 signal in the substrate membrane of the insert, see more in “Results” section, Figure 2A.) After loading, the cells were washed and refilled with KRB, and the inserts were placed on a cover glass mounted in a stage-top incubator, maintained at the standard cell culture conditions (37°C, 5% CO\textsubscript{2}). [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], was assessed by the fluorescence signal of OGB-1, which was excited by a laser of wavelength 488 nm. Two band pass filters were used to separately detect the emission signals from OGB-1 (490–545 nm) and QDs (588–642 nm).

The cells were preincubated with various mediums of different Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-rich or Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free KRB) or Ca\textsuperscript{2+} inhibitors (BTP2, nifedipine, or GdCl\textsubscript{3}) for 20 min. Imaging was acquired using z-stack and time-series scan modes for a total measurement time of ca 10 minutes, and the cells were stimulated with QDs, ATP, or KRB solutions at 2 and/or 6 minutes. The experiments were repeated four times on four independent Calu-3 cell culture batches.

Imaging and image analysis

Fluorescence signals and Calu-3 epithelial cells were studied by confocal and bright-field micrographs, using a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). TIFF micrographs were exported from ZEN original image files, using software ZEN 2012 (Zeiss, Germany) without compression, and analyzed offline, using MATLAB. Fluorescence intensities \( f(x,y,z,t) \) of OGB-1, QD, and bright-field signals (\( n=\)OGB1, \( n=\)QD, and \( n=\)BF) were calculated for each recorded time point and \((x,y,z)\) position (MATLAB commands imread and im2double).

Results

TEER measurement

Figure 1 presents typical TEER responses of Calu-3 epithelial layer of different pretreatments and culture ages to the QD deposition. Similar to the previous report,\textsuperscript{2} QD deposition induced a significant transient TEER decrease in the Calu-3 epithelial layer in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-rich KRB (see red line in Figure 1A). ATP deposition also prompted a significant, but slow, TEER decrease without a clear recovery within the time duration of the TEER measurement. Administration of store-operated calcium entry (SOCE)-inhibitor BTP2 (50 μM) partially inhibited the TEER decrease in response to the QD deposition, suggesting an involvement of SOCE channels (ie, TRPC channels) in stretch-activated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx. Both VGCC-inhibitor nifedipine and SA-channel inhibitor GdCl\textsubscript{3} reduced and stabilized TEER and totally disabled TEER response to QD deposition, probably a result of membrane depolarization caused by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx via SA channels. When Calu-3 cells were immersed in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free KRB, their TEER value quickly dropped to the baseline (ca 0.5 kΩ⋅cm\textsuperscript{2}). After 20 minutes incubation in a standard incubator, the TEER was slightly recovered. It dropped again when the cells were exposed to ambient air, and no TEER response to the QD deposition was detected. Changing back to Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-rich KRB did not recover the TEER until 30 minutes had elapsed (data not shown).
Since Ca\(^{2+}\) depletion in the extracellular medium significantly weakened the tight junctions that formed the Calu-3 epithelial monolayer, Ca\(^{2+}\)-free KRB impaired the TEER (Figure 1A). Cumulatively, these results point to the important role of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i in understanding the transient TEER response of the Calu-3 epithelial layer to QD deposition.

We performed further TEER studies on cells of different culture ages (days 8, 15, and 25) and found that the QD-induced transient TEER decrease occurred only in the cell layer grown for 25 days (Figure 1B). Weak TEER response to QD deposition was observed in 15-day-old cells whose TEER was a bit lower than 2.5 kΩ⋅cm\(^2\) (ca 2.2 kΩ⋅cm\(^2\)), which is generally considered to be the indication of the tight junction formation. This evidence suggests that only mature epithelial layers displayed significant TEER response to QD deposition.

Effects of QD deposition on [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i

To study the effects of QD deposition on [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i directly, we loaded the Calu-3 epithelial layer with OGB-1 and imaged its fluorescent signal using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Figure 2). Micrographs of the Calu-3 monolayer and QD distribution under QD deposition can be found in previous reports.\(^9\) The pixel intensity of either OGB-1, QDs, or bright-field was denoted as \(I(x,y,z,t)\), where \(n=\text{OGB1, QD, or bright-field}\), \((x,y)\) denotes the spatial position on an
Figure 2: Representative OGB-1 and QD fluorescent signal in Calu-3 cells during QD deposition.  
Notes: (A) From left to right: Insert with Calu-3 epithelial layer; orthogonal view of pseudo colored confocal OGB-1 signal; OGB-1 signal intensity vs z position defining z=0. Bright spots in the bright-field micrograph at z=6.4 µm are pores in the substrate membrane of the insert. (B) OGB-1 and QD signals were identified by lambda scan mode, using different excitation wavelengths. (C) Correlation between OGB-1 signal modulation and QD deposition was established by bright-field and QD signals. (D) OGB-1 signal modulation was z-dependent. OGB-1 increased at the apical side and decreased at the basolateral side. (E) 50 cells were randomly selected in the bright-field micrograph. OGB-1 modulation ratios of these cells, before and after QD deposition (see the two vertical red dotted lines in [D]), were grouped in different modulation ratio ranges.

Abbreviations: QD, quantum dot; OGB-1, Oregon green BAPTA-1, AM; ex, excitation wavelength.

The identifications of the OGB-1 and QD fluorescence were confirmed by their optical spectra, using lambda scan mode, by which OGB-1 was efficiently excited by 488 nm light and QDs by 405 nm light (Figure 2B). The correlation of the OGB-1 signal modulation to the QD deposition was established by simultaneous bright-field brightness decrease (bright-field was partially blockaded by QDs) and the appearance of the QD signal at the apical side of the Calu-3 epithelial layer (Figure 2C). Figure 2D shows the OGB-1 signal modulation ratios of these cells, before and after QD deposition (see the two vertical red dotted lines in [D]), were grouped in different modulation ratio ranges.

Abbreviations: QD, quantum dot; OGB-1, Oregon green BAPTA-1, AM; ex, excitation wavelength.
signals at different \( z \) planes. Note that OGB-1 signal was strong in the basolateral compartment and weak in the apical side (vertical axes in Figure 2D).

The most notable result of this section of study was the transient OGB-1 increase at the apical side and the decrease at the basolateral side (Figure 2D). We also found that \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) increase in the apical side was fast (ca 10–20 s after QD deposition), similar to the response time of the TEER to QD deposition (Figure 1), while \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) decrease in the basolateral side was somewhat slower (30 s after QD deposition). This was attributed to the polarization of the Calu-3 epithelial layer.

With the monolayer formation, it was difficult to isolate the OGB-1 signals of individual cells, as the observed OGB-1 signal, distributed across the whole observation plane with peaks and depressions, did not always co-localize with cells in the bright-field micrograph. Moreover, cells in the Calu-3 epithelial layer displayed complicated movements in response to the QD deposition (see more below). In an effort to study the QD deposition effects at the individual cell level, fifty cells, well separated from each other, were identified and randomly chosen from the bright-field micrograph (Figure 2E). Their \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) modifications were calculated as

\[
R_{\text{cell}}(z) = \frac{\iint f_{\text{OGB}}(x,y,z,t_{after}) \, dx \, dy}{\iint f_{\text{OGB}}(x,y,z,t_{before}) \, dx \, dy}
\]

at different \( z \) positions, where \( t_{after} = 6 \text{ min 20 s} \) was the time after QD deposition, and \( t_{before} = 6 \text{ min 10 s} \) was the time before QD deposition (see the vertical dashed lines in Figure 2D). We then counted the number of cells whose \( R_{\text{cell}}(z) \) was in a certain range (data presented in Figure 2E), finding that OGB-1 signals in the basolateral side (\( z < 6.4 \mu m \)) of cells were all decreased, while the OGB-1 signals at the apical side (\( z = 19.1 \) and \( z = 25.5 \mu m \)) increased in nearly all fifty cells. Similar results were observed in all independent experiments performed in this study, though the absolute values of \( R_{\text{cell}}(z) \) varied.

In order to assess the overall \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) response of the Calu-3 epithelial layer, we normalized the time-dependent OGB-1 signal at each observation plane by its initial value, then integrated over \( z \) to obtain the global \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) modulation. The rationale behind such a numerical analysis scheme is that, since our microscope was inverted, the light signal from the apical side was subjected to more absorption and scattering than the light from the basolateral side. The real \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) increment in the apical side was therefore larger than shown in Figure 2D. Numerically, it is presented as

\[
F_{\text{OGB}}(z,t) = \iint f_{\text{OGB}}(x,y,z,t) \, dx \, dy
\]

Global \( F_{\text{OGB}}(t) = \iint \frac{f_{\text{OGB}}(z,t)}{F_{\text{OGB}}(z,t_0)} \, dz \)

Results of four independent OGB-1 measurements are shown in Figure 3, clearly displaying the global transient \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) increase in response to the QD deposition. Here, different cell batches, different \( xy \) observation areas, and different \( z \) steps and time steps were used to acquire the images. Moreover, a second QD deposition (Figure 3D) caused a similar transient \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) increase. In general, the temporal development of the OGB-1 signals of individual inserts varied significantly, similar to the TEER vs time relationships reported previously. More specifically, a profound transient \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) increase was displayed where the observation view happened to contain many cells that strongly responded to the QD deposition (Figure 3A). Notice that the exact location of the QD deposition, which was aimed to be the center of the scanning laser spot, varied in every experiment and was a strong, but uncontrollable, factor in determining the absolute amplitude of the OGB-1 signal modulation. (This differs significantly from the TEER measurement, which is always the value of the whole Calu-3 epithelial layer, as imaging is limited to a very small part of the Calu-3 epithelial layer.) The refined \( z \) scanning may have also helped reveal the strong OGB-1 signal modulation (Figure 3A). We reduced the spatial resolutions (Figure 3B–D) and observed that the response time of the OGB-1 signal to the QD deposition was about 10 s, matching the response time of the TEER previously shown in Figure 1. Since our early work did not find clear indication of any reduction in the integrity of the Calu-3 epithelial layer under QD deposition, the observed transient TEER decrease must imply a global \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) increase in the whole Calu-3 epithelial layer (Figure 3).

**[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) response induced by QD deposition when extracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) influx was inhibited**

For further in-depth study of the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) cellular mechanisms’ response to QD deposition, we attempted to block \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) release and/or influx by using \( \text{Ca}^{2+}-\)free medium, SOCE channel inhibitor BTP2, VGCC blocker nifedipine, and SA channel inhibitor GdCl\(_3\). We performed fast time-series scanning on a single observation plane close to the apical surface of the Calu-3 epithelial layer. The contribution of the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) influx from the extracellular side to the QD deposition was confirmed. When QDs were applied to the cells in \( \text{Ca}^{2+}-\)free media.
solution, the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal quickly declined and no perceptible response to the QD deposition was detected (Figure 4A). In cells pretreated with either BTP2 (50 \(\mu\)M) or GdCl\(_3\) (10 \(\mu\)M), the [Ca\(^{2+}\)] signal did not show any response to QD deposition (Figure 4B, and D). However, VGCC inhibitor nifedipine did not play a definitive role in inhibiting [Ca\(^{2+}\)] response to the QD deposition (Figure 4C), despite the fact that it reduced and stabilized TEER (Figure 1). This clearly suggests that a stretched-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, induced by QD deposition, occurred both via SA channels and SOCE channels and, in part, via a nifedipine-resistant pathway. Furthermore, ATP, an agonist of purinergic receptors used as a positive control of calcium release, triggered a transient Ca\(^{2+}\) increase, although the time duration of the increase was

**Figure 4** [Ca\(^{2+}\)] response to QD deposition when Ca\(^{2+}\) channels or resources were inhibited.

**Notes:** Ten cells were randomly chosen from the bright-field micrographs, and statistical data of their OGB-1 signals (mean value and standard deviation/error bars) are presented. (A) Ca\(^{2+}\)-free medium pretreatment; (B) BTP2 pretreatment; (C) Nifedipine pretreatment; (D) GdCl\(_3\) pretreatment; (E) Deposition of 50 \(\mu\)L of ATP (6 mM) in KRB; (F) Deposition of 50 \(\mu\)L KRB. Red dash lines mark the time points of the QD/ATP/KRB depositions.

**Abbreviations:** ATP, adenosine disalt-sodium hydrate; KRB, Krebs–Ringer Buffer; QD, quantum dot.
longer (Figure 4E), as was its TEER response (Figure 1). This result clearly demonstrates that [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i causes membrane depolarization, and it is in agreement with previous results suggesting a release of ATP after mechanical-stress. A total of 50 µL KRB (same volume of QD deposition) was deposited as a negative control, showing that OGB-1 signal increased only when QDs were present in the solution given to the cells (Figure 4F).

Cell movements

We noticed complicated cell movements (Figure 5A and B) in Calu-3 epithelial layer in response to the QD deposition, probably due to the fact that cells were closely interconnected in the Calu-3 epithelial layer. To quantify the cell movements, we differentiated the bright-field micrographs of the time series.

\[
\delta(x, y, z, t) = f_{bf}(x, y, z, t + \Delta t) - f_{bf}(x, y, z, t)
\]

We created a color scheme to depict the different cell movements (Figure 5C). The blue regions mark the negative values of \(\delta(x, y, z, t)\), the red regions denote positive \(\delta(x, y, z, t)\), and zero is green. To avoid possible cancelation of the blue \((\delta(x, y, z, t)<0)\) and red \((\delta(x, y, z, t)>0)\) strips in quantifying the cell movements (Figure 5E), two differentiation-summation schemes were employed, as per Figure 5D

\[
d(z, t) = \int \int \delta(x, y, z, t) dx dy, \quad D(z, t) = \int \int |\delta(x, y, z, t)| dx dy
\]

for \(z=12.7 \mu m\). The transient movement of cells induced by the QD deposition was thus clearly demonstrated. Many paired blue-red strips in Figure 5C are clearly visible in the \(D(t)\) spectrum of Figure 5D, indicating that a majority of cells displaced themselves after QD deposition. The \(d(t)\) spectrum shows a net contraction of all cells under observation directly after QD deposition \((d<0)\), followed by a net cell expansion \((d>0)\). The contraction–expansion cycles occurred several times, lasting ca 2 min, probably due to the continuous sedimentation of QDs after their administration. This was consistent with the finding that QD deposition induced mechanical stretch and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx, which, in turn, stimulated surrounding cells and spread the intracellular calcium wave. Since cells were closely inter-connected in the Calu-3 epithelial layer, such a collective cell displacement movement was anticipated.

We further analyzed the cell movements of various pretreated cells under QD/ATP/KRB administration and realized that the majority of the cells either contracted or expanded (Figure 5F–J) without apparent cell displacement. Cells placed in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free medium and pretreated with BTP2 underwent two to three cell contraction–expansion cycles, while cells pretreated with nifedipine displayed only one contraction–expansion cycle, after which they remained basically motionless. Note that the amplitude of the contraction–expansion in nifedipine-treated cells was 4–5 times higher than in other cases. This suggests that VGCC may interact with structural proteins involved in contraction–expansion processes and/or that nifedipine might directly inhibit some structural proteins. GdCl\textsubscript{3}-pretreated cells displayed very little cell movement (and no TEER or [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i modulation). ATP deposition induced one contraction–expansion cycle, while KRB deposition induced very small cell movements (Figure 5K).

[Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i response to QD deposition in Calu-3 layers of different culture ages

Next, we studied the [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i response of Calu-3 cells of different culture ages to the QD deposition. Calu-3 cell layers cultured for 8, 15, and 23 days were subjected to the identical QD deposition (50 µL QDs of 8 µM), and the results are reported in Figure 6. The cells cultured for 8 days displayed little OGB-1 response to the QD depositions (confirmed by the small, but still perceptible, cell movements, see Figure 6D and G), while the cells cultured for 15 (Figure 6E and H) and 23 days (Figure 6F and I) showed clear [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i increase in response to the QD deposition. The orthogonal micrographs of the Calu-3 cell cultures (Figure 6A–C) demonstrate that the thickness of cells/cell layers increased over time (green signal). Most importantly, QDs did not penetrate to the basolateral region of the cell layer cultured for 23 days, while sporadic holes could be observed in the OGB-1/QD merged micrograph of the cell culture of 15 days, explaining its low TEER value and insignificant TEER response to QD deposition in Figure 1.

Discussion

Airway epithelial cells create an efficient defense barrier against inhaled environmental pathogens, toxic agents, and particulates. The cells connect to each other via intercellular junctions. The tight junction regulates diffusions and allows the cell layer to form selectively permeable cellular barriers that separate the apical (luminal) and basolateral (abluminal) sides of the lung, thereby controlling transport processes and maintaining homeostasis. Such barrier integrity is vital to the physiological activities of the lung\textsuperscript{25} In our previous work,\textsuperscript{9} we reported a transient TEER decrease in the Calu-3 monolayer caused by periodic QD depositions every 6 days for 60 days. It was further demonstrated that the cells did not show clear morphological changes and that there was a very limited number of QDs in the cell monolayer.
Quantum dots modulate intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ level

Figure 5 QD deposition induced cell movements.
Notes: (A) Bright-field micrograph at t=3.2 min; (B) Bright-field micrograph at t=3.4 min; (C) Differentiation of A and B; (D) Cell movements under two successive QD depositions; (E) Color schemes to identify cell movements. The dotted-lined ellipse shows the cell before QD deposition, and the solid-lined ellipse shows the cell after QD deposition. Pairing of blue and red strips represents cell displacement, where red represents cell contraction and blue represents cell expansion. (F–K) Movements of cells under QD/ATP/KRB depositions in Ca$^{2+}$-free medium, BTP2, nifedipine, and GdCl$_3$ pretreatments, as well ATP/KRB stimulations.

Abbreviations: ATP, adenosine disalt-sodium hydrate; KRB, Krebs–Ringer Buffer; QD, quantum dot.

Note that our QDs were well passivated and stable in Calu-3 cell monolayer, possibly (partially) explaining the limited QD uptake by Calu-3 monolayer, even though they still caused a significant TEER response. These results point to the efficacy of the defense mechanisms of the airway epithelial barrier against QD deposition. From this conclusion, two questions arose. The first question concerns the observed transient TEER decrease. How did the QDs’ deposition induce the...
transient TEER decrease while allowing the integrity of the epithelial layer to remain intact? Second, the study was limited to only 60 days of repeated QD depositions. How do we correlate this study to the lung health issues induced by chronic exposure to various nanoparticles?

The first question is now answered by the conclusion that \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in the epithelial layer was transiently increased in response to the QD deposition. The increase of free ions and their polarized distribution decreased the electrical resistivity of the epithelial monolayer, resulting in the observed transient TEER decrease. These results were confirmed by the precise temporal correlation between the transient TEER decrease and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) increase.

The answer to the second question proves far more complicated. \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) is believed to be one of the key regulatory secondary messengers in secretory cells with regards to anion secretion and ciliary beating.\(^ {11,26} \) An increased \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) is known to trigger and increase ciliary beating and stimulate ion and mucus secretion, all of which is believed to protect the epithelial layer from repeated QD invasions, as previously reported.\(^9 \) Here, we found that QD deposition elevated the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in the Calu-3 epithelial layer with a polarized spatial distribution. This clearly implies that the QD deposition has a significant impact on the lung barrier.

The \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) permeable, nonselective SA cation channels have already been shown to be crucial for the mechanical stretch elevation of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in airway smooth muscle cells.\(^ {27-29} \) In this study, we found that mechanical stretch induced by nanoparticles, in this case QDs, elevated \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in the Calu-3 epithelial cell layer and that this elevation was suppressed
by removal of extracellular Ca$^{2+}$. This demonstrates that the [Ca$^{2+}$] increase triggered by mechanical stress is mainly a result of Ca$^{2+}$ influx from the extracellular side, rather than from the intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ stores. Moreover, the stretched-activated increase in [Ca$^{2+}$], was also blocked by GdCl$_3$, suggesting that mechanical stress causes Ca$^{2+}$ influx through SA channels.

In metazoans, one primary source of [Ca$^{2+}$], signals in both excitable and nonexcitable cells is the family of store-operated Ca$^{2+}$ channels, which responds to the reduction of ER intraluminal Ca$^{2+}$ entry. The SOCE has been shown to play an important role in the ciliary beating and ion transport of airway epithelial cells. Our study indicated that SOCE inhibitor BTP2 could inhibit the [Ca$^{2+}$], increase in response to QD deposition in Calu-3 layer. This suggests not only that Ca$^{2+}$ release from ER was involved in the response of airway epithelial cells to the QD deposition, but also that, as previously hypothesized, SOCE channels are involved in mechanotransduction.

Ca$^{2+}$ ions in extracellular matrix are the main source of exchange between intra- and extracellular compartments. A direct relationship between Ca$^{2+}$ transport across the plasma membrane and the TEER has been reported. When Ca$^{2+}$ ions were removed from the culture medium, the TEER of the epithelial layer quickly dropped down to the TEER values of the blank insert (devoid of any cells, ca 0.5 kΩ·cm$^2$). Fifteen minutes incubation at 37°C, 5% CO$_2$ and humidified atmosphere increased the TEER slightly (Figure 1A). [Ca$^{2+}$], signal in cells fell rapidly under microscopic measurement and no response to the QD deposition was observed (Figure 4A). This demonstrated, once more, that extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ influx is an important resource to support [Ca$^{2+}$], activities in the Calu-3 epithelial layer.

In addition, several different voltage dependent Ca$^{2+}$ (Ca$_V$) channels have been identified in mammalian cells. Ca$_V$ channels are the key signal transducers of electrical signaling, converting depolarization of the cell membrane to an influx of Ca$^{2+}$ ions that initiates contraction, secretion, neuro transmission, and other intracellular regulatory events. Ca$_L$ channels conduct L-type Ca$^{2+}$ currents, which are important in excitation–contraction coupling, endocrine secretion, sensory transduction, and regulation of enzyme activity and gene expression. Calu-3 cells are shown to express Ca$_L$ channel. However, we found that blocking VGCC using nifedipine did not significantly inhibit the [Ca$^{2+}$], response to a QD deposition (Figure 4C), implying that VGCC plays a minor role in the response of Calu-3 monolayer to QD deposition. Interestingly, nifedipine decreased and stabilized TEER significantly (Figure 1A). The most likely explanation for this result is that nifedipine can act as an antagonist to the mineralocorticoid receptor, as previously reported, and inhibit some excitation-triggered responses, such as ion secretion.

Many studies use the plateau TEER value between 2.5 and 3.0 kΩ·cm$^2$ as an indicator of the formation of a tight epithelial cell monolayer. In the present work, liquid-covered Calu-3 cells cultured for 15 days and having a TEER of 2.0 kΩ·cm$^2$ did not show a significant TEER response to a QD deposition (Figure 1B). However, they did show a clear [Ca$^{2+}$], response, similar to cells cultured for 23 days (Figure 5). This indicates that the observed [Ca$^{2+}$], increase in response to the QD deposition occurred before the formation of the tight epithelial cell layer and, consequently, implies that Calu-3 cells had already developed a defense against the QD deposition before the formation of a tight intracellular structure. The faster and more marked change in the [Ca$^{2+}$], increase at the apical side of the epithelial layer points to the significant defensive role, partially confirmed by the cell movements, of cilia beating.

In conclusion, the 3MPA-coated core-multishell QDs studied in this work are well passivated and very stable in the Calu-3 cell monolayer. Their deposition, however, induced significant, simultaneous [Ca$^{2+}$], increase and TEER decrease in the Calu-3 epithelial layer in vitro, here blockaded by SA and SOCE channel inhibitors. This clearly implies that the QD deposition induces mechanical stress, known to affect proliferation, migration, synthesis ability, or cytokine production via altered Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis, thus significantly impacting the lung barrier. Cells within tissues are constantly subjected to mechanical forces, including hydrostatic pressure, pressure, shear stress, and tension. Cells can dynamically adapt to these nanoscale forces by modifying their behavior and reshaping their microenvironments, but loss of tissue homeostasis can contribute to disease. Once pushed beyond capability, the defense barrier will be damaged by the QD attack. This work presents a new perspective for examining the effect of excessive mechanical stress during nanoparticle deposition on the airway epithelium. Through such study, we hope to reveal and elucidate factors that contribute to pulmonary disease.
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