Optimal management of posterior cruciate ligament injuries: current perspectives
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Background: The optimal management of posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) injuries is debated by orthopedic surgeons. A natural history study (NHS) of acute, isolated PCL tears in patients with a mean follow-up of 14.3 years was previously published. The purpose of this study was to compare and contrast the results of the NHS study with those of other studies with similar follow-up time after operative and nonoperative management of isolated PCL tears.

Material and methods: With reviewing the literature, six operative management and six nonoperative management studies were found for treating isolated PCL injuries. We analyzed the subjective and objective outcomes of these 12 studies and compared them to the results of the NHS to determine optimal management of PCL injuries.

Results: Final follow-up times ranged from a mean of 6.2 to 15 years in the nonoperative studies and 6.3 to 12 years in the operative studies. Side-to-side differences in laxity following surgical management ranged from 1.1 to 7 mm on KT-1000 arthrometer testing and 2.8 to 4.7 mm on Telos stress testing. Tegner scores at final follow-up ranged from 6.6 to 7.7 in nonoperative studies and 5.7 to 7.4 in operative studies. International Knee Documentation Committee scores were 73.4, 82.7, and 84 in nonoperative studies and 65 and 87 in the operative studies. Lysholm scores were 85.2 in the nonoperative study and ranged from 81 to 92.1 in operative studies. Osteoarthritis was reported with ranges from 17% to 88% in nonoperative studies and 13.3% to 63.6% in operative studies.

Conclusion: We found that the subjective and objective results in the NHS compare favorably to those of outcomes for PCL reconstruction. Unless a technique is found that can completely restore knee stability, it is unlikely that simply reducing posterior laxity will improve outcomes or prevent the development of osteoarthritis.
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Introduction
The optimal management of posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) injuries remains a topic of debate among orthopedic surgeons. Many authors recommend conservative management for isolated PCL injuries due to good subjective and functional outcomes.¹⁻⁶ Surgical management for PCL injuries is often recommended when there are associated ligament injuries or when patients have persistent pain and instability despite nonoperative management.⁷⁻¹³ Recently, PCL reconstruction has become a more common choice of treatment. Given that some studies showed worsening symptoms and an increase in degenerative changes with time,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ more surgeons are recommending PCL reconstruction for high-grade isolated tears.¹⁷⁻²¹ PCL reconstruction is aimed at restoring knee stability and preventing early onset of osteoarthritis.²² It
is assumed that reduction of the posterior laxity following PCL tears will improve short-term and long-term outcomes; however, restoring normal knee stability with reconstruction following PCL tears has yet to be achieved reliably.\textsuperscript{17,19,23–33} PCL reconstruction has shown good results in being able to reduce posterior laxity; however, it is still unknown whether simply reducing PCL laxity without complete stabilization will improve the subjective results or prevent osteoarthritis compared to nonoperative management.\textsuperscript{3,4,6} Given that surgery adds significant risk to patients, it should improve upon the results of nonoperative management.

Only a few studies, however, have prospectively studied the natural history of PCL tears.\textsuperscript{3,4,5,33} Most studies of nonoperative treatment have been retrospective and were of patients who sought treatment of chronic PCL laxity and painful symptoms or include patients with multiple knee ligament injuries.\textsuperscript{1,15,16,35} These studies do not give a true picture of the natural history of isolated PCL injuries and may represent a worse outcome than would be found from evaluating a population of patients prospectively after an acute, isolated PCL tear.

To compare the results of nonoperative and operative management, we must first understand the true natural history of a PCL injury. Shelbourne et al, published a prospective, long-term natural history study (NHS) of patients after an acute, isolated PCL injury with minimum 10-year follow-up (average 14.3 years).\textsuperscript{4} Only a few studies on management of isolated PCL injuries have reported similar long-term follow-ups, with most studies showing results at only 2–5 years.\textsuperscript{17–19,24,27,32–36} The purpose of this review is to compare and contrast the subjective and objective results of the NHS by Shelbourne et al\textsuperscript{4} (NHS) with those of other operative and nonoperative studies with similar long-term follow-up.

**Method for review**

The NHS\textsuperscript{4} was a continuation of a previous study\textsuperscript{3} that evaluated 68 patients (13 female, 55 male) who were prospectively followed for acute, isolated PCL tears. They underwent nonoperative treatment and performed several subjective and objective evaluations throughout their follow-up period. The mean age at the time of injury was 26.2 years (range: 9.6–60.0 years). Forty-four patients were available for both subjective and objective evaluations at a minimum 10-year follow-up and mean of 14.3 years (range: 10–21 years) after injury; 68 patients completed subjective evaluations with a mean of 17.6 years from injury.

To compare and contrast the NHS\textsuperscript{4} results with those of other studies, a literature search of PubMed and OVID was performed to search for PCL treatment studies with long-term outcomes, as a way to compare the NHS to other studies of treating isolated PCL tears with similar follow-up times. Only a few studies had follow-up times >10 years after treatment. Therefore, the criteria were expanded to include studies with at least 6 years of follow-up; 12 articles were found that evaluated treatment of isolated PCL tears with reports of subjective and/or objective outcomes at follow-up times >6 years. The methods of these studies were read carefully to assure that the criteria excluded for any other ligamentous laxity. Six of these articles reported results following nonoperative management,\textsuperscript{1,5,6,14,15} and six articles reported results following operative management.\textsuperscript{25,26,28–30,44}

Table 1 shows each long-term study compared in this study and their treatment and follow-up times.

---

**Results**

**Healing potential**

When determining the optimal management of PCL injuries, it is important to first recognize that, unlike the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL), the PCL has been shown to have a natural, healing ability.\textsuperscript{45–50} Better synovial coverage and blood circulation, compared to that of the ACL, allows the PCL to regain or maintain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) continuity in most patients with PCL injuries. Continuity of the PCL was found in 20 of 21 patients at 6 months after treatment with a dynamic anterior drawer brace.\textsuperscript{47} Tewes et al\textsuperscript{10} reported on a series of 13 patients treated nonoperatively, 10 of whom showed PCL continuity on MRI. Even with high-grade tears or tears associated with other ligament damage, Shelbourne et al\textsuperscript{10} found that most will heal with nonoperative treatment. In their evaluation of 40 patients at an average follow-up of 3.2 years, all 18 low-grade and mid-grade injuries and 19 of the 22 high-grade injuries healed with continuity. All 6 of the low-grade and mid-grade injuries associated with other ligament damage revealed continuity and of the 11 high-grade injuries with associated ligament damage, only one PCL failed to regain continuity.

Although these studies report healing of the PCL, none could show a correlation between MRI continuity and clinical stability. Ahn et al\textsuperscript{41} showed that 27 of 38 knees had regained continuity on MRI, and that patients with PCL continuity showed statistically less posterior instability on follow-up physical examination and KT-1000 arthrometer testing. A prospective study of 17 patients treated with 6 weeks of cylinder cast immobilization followed by 6 weeks with a PCL brace showed a decrease in mean side-to-side difference on
posterior stress view from 7.4 to 3.5 mm and a decrease in mean side-to-side difference on KT-1000 arthrometer from 6.2 to 3.0 mm. Studies that evaluated PCL healing have also shown the development of a firm endpoint in many PCL tears treated nonoperatively.4,5

Laxity

Despite the ligament’s natural ability to heal, many studies on nonoperative treatment have found there to be some degree of residual knee laxity.5,34,35 The NHS found little change in laxity with time. Increased laxity from the initial examination was found in only 9% of patients and decreased laxity was found in only 16% of patients.4 Despite some residual laxity, most studies have shown that patients with PCL tears achieve good subjective results that are independent of the grade of laxity.4,5,34,35 The NHS showed good outcomes at a mean of 14 years after injury. Shelbourne and Muthukaruppan5 evaluated 215 patients treated nonoperatively at a mean of 7.8 years and found no correlation between subjective scores and grade of laxity. Due to this lack of correlation between laxity and subjective results, it is likely that in order to improve upon the results of nonoperative management, surgical reconstruction would need to completely restore the knee to normal laxity. Although most studies have reported good outcomes in reducing posterior knee laxity, normal stability is rarely ever achieved. Among the studies under investigation in this paper, the three most common evaluations used to determine outcomes of laxity were the posterior drawer test, KT-1000 arthrometer, and Telos stress radiographs.

Postoperative clinical evaluations were described in five of the six long-term studies on operative management of PCL tears.25,26,30,44 Song et al44 at a mean of 12 years, found that 83.3%–87% of patients in 2 groups had grade I laxity and the rest had grade II. Jackson et al26 at 10-year follow-up, showed that 36.4% of patients had grade 0 laxity, 54.5% had grade I laxity, and 9.1% had grade II laxity. Hermans et al25 at
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<tr>
<th>Table 1 Summary of studies reviewed</th>
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<tr>
<td>Natural history study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelbourne et al41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative nonoperative studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dejour et al2</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandy and Pusey1</td>
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Abbreviations: PCL, posterior cruciate ligament; ROM, range of motion.
9-year follow-up, found that posterior drawer testing revealed grade 0 in 9.1% of patients, grade I in 68.2%, and grade II in 22.7%. Shon et al., at 7.5 years, showed that 13 were grade I and 1 was grade II on physical examination. MacGillivray et al., at a mean follow-up of 6.3 years, found that only 38% of patients improved with respect to their grade following reconstruction with a transtibial technique.

The NHS revealed a mean KT-1000 arthrometer difference between the involved knee and noninvolved knee to range between 3.1 and 7.2 mm. Studies on surgical management of PCL injuries have varied tremendously in the ability to reduce posterior laxity on KT-1000 arthrometer examinations. Among the long-term studies that reported KT-1000 arthrometer measurements, Jackson et al. reported the lowest amount of residual laxity with a side-to-side difference of 1.1 mm and 17 of 20 patients having <3 mm of difference. Table 2 shows the mean side-to-side difference on KT-1000 arthrometer reported by the other long-term studies.

Three of the long-term studies on operative management reported side-to-side measurements with Telos stress radiographs. Table 3 shows the preoperative results and results at final follow-up.

### Range of motion

One concern with reconstruction of PCL injuries is the loss of range of motion. Few studies have evaluated knee range of motion following surgery, and the rate for range of motion deficits has been from 7% to 30%. Strength

**Table 2** Summary of mean KT-1000 arthrometer values at follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>Mean KT-1000 arthrometer side-to-side difference at follow-up (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonoperative studies</td>
<td>Parolie et al. (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patel et al. (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative studies</td>
<td>Jackson et al. (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hermans et al. (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MacGillivray et al. (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lipscomb et al. (28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Strength

Correlation between the functional outcomes of PCL treatment and return of strength has been variable. Parolie and Bergfeld and Cross and Powell reported better subjective results after PCL injury in patients with greater quadriceps muscle strength. Keller et al., however, found no relationship between quadriceps muscle strength and final outcome after PCL injury. Regardless, patients with PCL tears have been shown to be able to maintain nearly normal strength with nonoperative treatment, with measurements showing mean strength of >90% for both hamstring and quadriceps muscles (range: 93%–100%). Very few of the long-term studies evaluating PCL reconstruction reported on strength outcomes. Jackson et al. found that 18 of the 22 patients were able to achieve >90% of their contralateral knee distance on single-legged hop test. Lipscomb et al. found that Cybex evaluations revealed average quadriceps strength deficit of 7%–15% for the acute group and 6%–8% for the group with chronic PCL tears.

### Return to activity

Some studies have recommended surgical management for high-demand athletes or to help patients improve function and increase activity. The long-term mean Tegner scores for nonoperative studies ranged from 6.6 to 7.7 and the scores for operative studies ranged from 5.7 to 7.4. The NHS found
a mean Tegner score of 6.9±1.5 at a mean of 17.6 years after injury. At final follow-up, 20 patients (45%) were still participating in jumping/pivoting sports (levels 8 and 9) at least at the recreational level. Seventeen patients (38.6%) had an activity level of 6 or 7, reporting involvement in recreational sports, such as tennis and golf. Seven patients (16%) were at level ≤5, indicating that patients were limited to activities of daily living. Other long-term studies of nonoperative treatment for PCL tears have found similarly good results. Dejour et al,15 at a mean follow-up of 15 years, concluded that rupture of the PCL did not significantly disturb the resumption of sports. They found that nearly all high-level athletes were able to resume sports at full capacity. Only one athlete, a gymnast, had to abandon competition. Interestingly, they found that patients participating in leisure activities seemed to be more inclined to change or abandon their sports. Boynton and Tietjens14 found that at 13.4 years, 26% of patients had no functional limitations and another 37% continued to participate in vigorous activities and sports but at a lower performance level. Patel et al14 found that 65% of patients continued recreational sports, with 24 of them playing at preinjury level and 13 at a decreased level. Dandy and Pusey1 reported that only 15% of patients were no longer able to pursue sporting or recreational activities due to their injury.

Return to activities in studies of operative management of PCL tears showed varied results. Song et al44 found that 58.3% of patients in the transtibial group and 63.3% in the tibial inlay group returned to preinjury sports activities. Jackson et al26 showed that regular participation in moderate to strenuous activities improved from 26.9% of patients preoperatively to 88.5% of patients at final evaluation. Lipscomb et al28 reported that 7 of 14 patients treated acutely were able to return to their previous sports, 4 returned to less strenuous sports, and 3 were unable to return to any sports activities. Seven of 11 patients treated for chronic tears were able to return to their previous sports and 4 returned to less strenuous sports. In an operative study by Chan et al,17 they found that many patients lowered their activity levels following reconstruction, “likely reflecting the realization that complete restoration of knee function after reconstructive surgery is extremely rare.”

### Subjective outcomes

It is reasonable to believe that surgical intervention is being offered to patients when they continue to experience undesirable symptoms after PCL injury. Many patients with chronic PCL tears continue to have pain and feelings of instability. Dejour et al15 found that of the 45 patients treated nonoperatively, 22 patients had moderate pain related to weather or prolonged effort, 13 had considerable pain that was intermittent, and 6 complained of constant pain. Thirteen of the 45 patients complained of instability, with 4 having only occasional instability, 7 having occasional instability even on flat ground, and 2 complaining of considerable instability on flat ground. Dandy and Pusey1 also found complaints of continued pain and instability in several patients, with pain being particularly evident when the knee was in a semi-flexed position. Patel et al34 in a prospective study of PCL injuries, found that 66% of patients had no pain, 24% had mild pain, and 10% had moderate pain on exertion. Of the 57 patients (58 knees), 91% had no giving way and 9% complained of occasional giving way, especially when going downstairs. Parolie and Bergfeld6 found that 48% of patients had no pain, 24% complained of occasional pain, 20% had pain only with exercise, and 8% complained of intermittent pain prior to and after exercise. Of the 25 patients, only 3 patients (12%) complained of the knee giving way with exercise and 2 (8%) complained of their knee giving way with activities of daily living.

Although PCL reconstruction reduces the amount of posterior laxity, without complete stabilization it is likely that patients will continue to have some pain and feelings of instability. MacGillivray et al29 found that 54% of patients reported no instability episodes following reconstruction, while 38% reported rare episodes and 8% reported occasional episodes. Lipscomb et al28 found that in the acute group, 5 of 14 patients related occasional discomfort and 3 had occasional giving way of their knee. In the chronic group, 4 of 11 patients reported occasional discomfort and 4 continued to have occasional giving way.

Comparison of subjective outcomes is difficult due to the variety of evaluations that have been used to report these outcomes in studies. The most common evaluation used in the long-term studies on operative management was the Lysholm score, while the most common evaluation for the long-term studies on nonoperative management was the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) subjective score. Table 4 reports the results of the Lysholm and IKDC scores at final follow-up. The only nonoperative study to report a Lysholm knee score was Patel et al,34 who found an average score of 85.2, while operative long-term studies reported outcomes ranging from 81 to 92.1. The NHS reported Cincinnati Knee Rating Scores (CKRS)44 and IKDC scores. The NHS showed a mean CKRS at a mean of 17 years was 81.3; however, no operative studies reported this type of evaluation that makes comparisons difficult. A few of the long-term
studies did report IKDC scores at final evaluation. The NHS found a mean IKDC score of 73.4. Patel et al44 found a mean IKDC score of 84 at 6.9-year follow-up, and Shelbourne et al45 found a score of 82.7 at 7.8-year follow-up. Although this shows some limitation and subjective complaints by a number of patients, it compares with the long-term IKDC scores in surgical studies of 65 and 87 points by Hermans et al25 and Jackson et al,26 at 9- and 10-year follow-up, respectively. Within the NHS, 40 patients completed ≥4 CKRS evaluations at regular intervals through time. Twenty-five percent of patients were rated as consistently excellent, 5% were rated as consistently good, 2.5% were rated as consistently fair, and 2.5% were rated as consistently poor. Among the patients with inconstant evaluations, 12.5% had declin- 
ing scores, 22.5% had consistently improving scores, and 30% reported inconsistent scores throughout evaluations.4 Although many patients with chronic PCL tears continue to complain of pain and feelings of instability, the NHS found that IKDC scores at an average of 14 years compare favorably with those reported by Hermans et al25 and Jackson et al26 for long-term outcomes of PCL reconstruction. The NHS also found that 23% of patients continue to improve subjectively with time and many patients, 30%, had inconsistent scores during evaluations.4

**Osteoarthritis**

Along with restoring stability and improving outcomes, surgical reconstruction of the PCL is often recommended to prevent the future development of osteoarthritis.29 In the nonoperative study by Boynton and Tietjens,14 osteoarthritis was found in 88% of patients after meniscal surgery and 60% of patients that did not undergo meniscal surgery. Several studies have also suggested that the development of osteoarthritis increased with time from injury.15,16 Much of the increased research into PCL management has been due to the belief that the natural history of PCL injuries may not be as benign as previously thought. However, as mentioned previously, most of the nonoperative studies were retrospective and of patients seeking treatment for their PCL injury. More recent prospective studies on the natural history of PCL injuries has demonstrated far less degenerative changes.3,4,34 Furthermore, reconstruction of the PCL has yet to produce a technique that reliably restores knee stability, which is likely necessary in order to prevent arthritic changes. Among the long-term studies under review in this paper, 4 operative and 5 nonoperative studies reported on the frequency of osteoarthritis. In the NHS, 41% of patients had some evidence of osteoarthritis at a mean of 14.3 years, but only 11% of the patients had moderate to severe osteoarthritis. The overall grade of radiographs were rated as normal in 59%, nearly normal in 30%, abnormal in 9%, and severely abnormal in 2%. Only 5 patients (11%) had medial joint space narrowing >2.0 mm. Most of the osteoarthritic changes reported in studies on PCL injuries occur in the medial and patellofemoral compartments. The long-term studies on nonoperative management reported osteoarthritis in 17% to 88% of patients. Boynton and Tietjens,14 as mentioned above, reported the highest amount of osteoarthritis in long-term follow-ups. Parolie and Bergfeld6 found evidence of arthritis in 36% of patients, with 8 graded as mild, 1 as moderate, and none as severe. Dejour et al15 found osteoarthritis, mainly tibiofemoral, in 27% of patients. Patel et al44 found degenerative changes in 17% of patients, with mild medial compartment osteoarthritis in 7 knees, moderate medial compartment osteoarthritis in 3 knees, and mild patellofemoral compartment osteoarthritis in 4 knees. The long-term studies on operative management of PCL injuries reported osteoarthritis in 13.3% to 63.6% of patients. Lipscomb et al28 found degenerative changes in 42.9% of patients treated for acute PCL tears and 63.3% of patients treated for chronic PCL tears. Hermans et al25 reported medial joint line narrowing in 59% of patients, with IKDC ratings of normal in 9 patients, nearly normal in 10 patients, and abnormal in 3 patients. Jackson et al26 found evidence of osteoarthritis in 36% of patients, with 4 having osteophytes with normal joint space and 4 having moderate joint space narrowing. Song et al44 found that compared with the uninvolved knee, 16.7% of patients in the transtibial group and 13.3% in the tibial inlay group had above grade I osteoarthritic changes. It is believed that due to the biomechanical changes in PCL-deficient knees patients will suffer increased patellofemoral arthritis, leading to pain and difficulty with stairs. It appears, however,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonoperative studies</th>
<th>Lysholm score</th>
<th>IKDC score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelbourne et al4</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelbourne et al5</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel et al44</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermans et al25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacGillivray et al29</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shon et al30</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson et al26</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al44</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee.
that this perception may be unsubstantiated by true NHSs. Only 3 patients (7%) in the NHS had an abnormal grade of patellofemoral arthritis, which was similar to the rate of 16% found in the study by Patel et al and 11% found in the study by Boynton and Tietjens.

Complications
When considering surgical intervention for any medical condition, it is important to weigh the benefits of the operation with the potential risks. In addition to the standard risks associated with any surgical procedure, PCL reconstruction poses some relatively unique potential complications. Along with the more common complications of residual posterior laxity, motion loss, knee pain, and hardware pain, potential rarer complications include neurovascular injury, osteonecrosis, fractures, heterotopic ossification, and compartment syndrome. Residual posterior laxity is the most common postoperative complication following PCL reconstruction. As mentioned throughout this review, reconstruction of the PCL nearly always reduces posterior laxity but rarely normalizes it. Another complication following PCL reconstruction is loss of range of motion. Unlike reconstruction of the ACL, loss of motion following PCL reconstruction is more likely to be flexion than extension. Neurovascular injury, although rare, is the most feared complication of PCL reconstruction. Despite the proximity of the neurovascular structures to the PCL, only one case study was found describing a popliteal artery injury during a PCL reconstruction. Among the long-term studies evaluating operative management of PCL reconstructions, only three reported on complications of the procedures. Shon et al reported that among both treatment groups, 6 patients had mild anterior knee pain and 2 patients had pain around the staple that resolved after removal of the staple. There were no neurovascular, metal failure, or infections observed. Song et al reported that 5 cases in the transtibial group were complicated by saphenous nerve distribution numbness with mild tenderness at the incision site of tendon harvesting and 3 cases in the tibial inlay group had numbness and tenderness with scar discomfort along the posterior incision. There were no vascular complications. Hermans et al reported that 4 patients required hardware removal for residual pain and 1 patient required an open capsular release for postoperative arthrofibrosis. There were no infections or neurovascular complications.

Discussion
The optimal management for PCL injuries continues to be debated among orthopedic surgeons. Due to the risks of surgery, it should be clear that if surgical management is to be recommended to patients, it should improve upon the natural history of the injury. In order to determine this, however, one must first know what the long-term outcomes are of patients treated with nonoperative management. At a mean of 14 years after injury, the NHS found that patients have good subjective and objective outcomes that were not statistically significantly different between grades of PCL laxity. Due to these good results, we questioned whether surgical management of PCL injuries can improve any of these outcomes at long-term evaluation. In this review, we analyzed 12 other long-term studies and compared the results to the NHS. We looked at laxity, range of motion, strength, return to activity, symptoms, and prevention of osteoarthritis. We found that outside of laxity, nonoperative management of isolated PCL tears compared favorably with the long-term results of operative management.

Surgical management of PCL injuries is often recommended to patients to regain stability in order to improve subjective outcomes, increase return to sports and activities, and prevent the development of osteoarthritis. Most patients following PCL reconstruction, however, continue to have residual knee laxity found on clinical posterior drawer tests, KT-1000 arthrometer, and Telos stress tests. Although the results are significantly improved, they fail to restore normal stability compared to the nonoperative knee. Without complete restoration of knee stability, we question whether simply reducing laxity will result in any clinical benefit to the patient. The NHS found that the subjective outcomes of patients are unrelated to the degree of laxity, and that subjective outcomes were similar to those reported in long-term follow-up of operative management. Comparisons are difficult due to differences in evaluation; however, we found a mean IKDC score of 73.4, which compares favorably with 65 and 87 reported by Hermans et al and Jackson et al at 9- and 10-year follow-ups, respectively. In addition, 23% of patients continue to improve with time and 30% of patients had inconsistent scores throughout the 14-year evaluation. Given the comparable subjective outcomes and possibility for further improvement over time, we encourage surgeons to be cautious in immediately offering PCL reconstruction for patients with acute flare-up of symptoms.

It is reasonable to assume that some surgeons recommend surgical management to improve function and return to sports. In a long-term study by Boutefnouchet et al, they concluded that “with a debatable retardation in the onset of secondary OA and favorable results obtained with functional nonoperative management, the most evident advantage of
PCL reconstruction is objective return of good level of function.” The NHS found, however, that many patients have good return to activity following nonoperative management, with a mean Tegner score of 6.9 at final follow-up.4 When looking at whether PCL reconstruction can improve a patient’s ability to return to a high level of activity, it is important to first determine whether the procedure is able to reliably restore normal knee stability. Most studies of patients after PCL reconstruction show that patients have residual knee laxity.25,26,29,30,44 It is likely that until PCL reconstruction is able to completely restore knee stability, it will not significantly improve return to sports and activities over that of appropriate rehabilitation and strengthening.

Likewise, without complete restoration of normal knee stability, it is unlikely that PCL reconstruction will be able to reduce the incidence of osteoarthritis compared to that of nonoperative management. Long-term studies found osteoarthritis in 17%–88% of patients treated nonoperatively4,14,15,34 and 13.3%–63.6% of patients following reconstruction.25,26,28,44 Although 41% of patients in the NHS had some osteoarthritic changes, only 11% had moderate or severe osteoarthritis with only 5 patients (11%) having joint line narrowing >2.0 mm.4 If reconstruction of the PCL is being performed to prevent the future development of osteoarthritis, it appears that, thus far, this goal has not been met.

As with any study on the management of PCL injuries, comparison of results is difficult due to a heterogeneity of patients, differences in treatment and surgical techniques, and differences in outcome evaluations. We attempted to eliminate heterogeneity by only using studies involving patients with isolated PCL tears. Although the NHS study was a minimum 10-year follow-up, we analyzed all studies with a mean follow-up >6 years in order to have adequate comparisons in all outcome evaluations. We found that nearly all subjective and objective outcomes in the NHS were similar to those of long-term operative outcomes. The only major difference was an increase in the reduction in laxity with operative management compared to nonoperative management; however, we found no correlation between subjective outcomes and grades of laxity. Furthermore, few patients following reconstruction have completely normal stability.

**Conclusion**

The subjective and objective results in the NHS compare favorably to those of long-term outcomes for PCL reconstruction. Unless a technique is found that can completely restore knee stability, it is unlikely that simply reducing posterior laxity will improve outcomes or prevent the development of osteoarthritis.
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