Anterior cruciate ligament injury/reinjury in alpine ski racing: a narrative review
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Abstract: The purpose of the present review was to: 1) provide an overview of the current understanding on the epidemiology, etiology, risk factors, and prevention methods for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury in alpine ski racing; and 2) provide an overview of what is known pertaining to ACL reinjury and return to sport after ACL injury in alpine ski racing. Given that most of the scientific studies on ACL injuries in alpine ski racing have been descriptive, and that very few studies contributed higher level scientific evidence, a nonsystematic narrative review was employed. Three scholarly databases were searched for articles on ACL injury or knee injury in alpine ski racing. Studies were classified according to their relevance in relation to epidemiology, etiology, risk factors, and return to sport/reinjury prevention. Alpine ski racers (skiers) were found to be at high risk for knee injuries, and ACL tears were the most frequent diagnosis. Three primary ACL injury mechanism were identified that involved tibial internal rotation and anteriorly directed shear forces from ski equipment and the environment. While trunk muscle strength imbalance and genetics were found to be predictive of ACL injuries in development-level skiers, there was limited scientific data on ACL injury risk factors among elite skiers. Based on expert opinion, research on injury risk factors should focus on equipment design, course settings/speed, and athlete factors (eg, fitness). While skiers seem to make a successful recovery following ACL injury, there may be persistent neuromuscular deficits. Future research efforts should be directed toward prospective studies on ACL injury/reinjury prevention in both male and female skiers and toward the effects of knee injury on long-term health outcomes, such as the early development of osteoarthritis. International collaborations may be necessary to generate sufficient statistical power for ACL injury/reinjury prevention research in alpine ski racing.
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Introduction

Alpine ski racing is considered an extreme sport, and skiers are at increased risk for traumatic injury consequent to the high speeds, high external forces, and the unpredictable competitive environment.1–4 The knee joint and more specifically the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) are frequently injured during alpine ski racing.1–6 This is particularly concerning as ACL injury in young adulthood increases the risk of developing symptomatic knee joint osteoarthritis (OA) later in life.7 This places increased importance on ACL injury prevention and ensuring ACL injured skiers are fit to return to sport given the potential for persistent neuromuscular deficits consequent to ACL injury.8

Injury prevention is described by van Mechelen et al9 as a four-stage model. First, the injury incidence is established. Second, injury mechanisms and etiology are described. 3rd, injury risk factors are identified, and the last stage is prevention. Future research efforts should be directed toward prospective studies on ACL injury/reinjury prevention in both male and female skiers and toward the effects of knee injury on long-term health outcomes, such as the early development of osteoarthritis. International collaborations may be necessary to generate sufficient statistical power for ACL injury/reinjury prevention research in alpine ski racing.
Injury prevention strategies are then introduced followed by a return to stage one to evaluate the effects on injury incidence. The causation of sport related injury is multifactorial. Risk factors are classified as intrinsic to the athlete (eg, fitness, age, gender) and extrinsic to the athlete (eg, environmental factors, equipment factors). Of importance is identifying potential modifiable intrinsic risk factors that can be mitigated through exercise based (training) intervention programs. Intrinsically modifiable risk factors related to ACL injury include knee control particularly with respect to valgus loading, hamstring/quadriceps muscle strength, and quadriceps vs hamstring coactivity. Neuromuscular injury prevention training programs have also proved successful for reducing the incidence of ACL injuries in other high-risk sports. Following ACL reconstruction, 2 years may be required for full recovery of hamstring/quadriceps strength and knee function to preinjury values. Further, young athletes with previous ACL reconstruction are at a significantly elevated risk of ACL reinjury compared to their noninjured counterparts. This highlights the importance of identifying modifiable risk factors not only for primary ACL injury prevention but also for secondary ACL reinjury prevention.

The scientific literature on sport-related injury prevention and sport-specific ACL injury prevention research in other athlete populations may provide a helpful framework for future ACL injury/reinjury prevention research in alpine ski racing. Given the high prevalence of ACL injuries among alpine ski racers, it is also important to review the current scientific evidence on ACL injury/reinjury prevention specific to alpine ski racing. Thus, the primary purpose of the present review was to provide an overview of the scientific literature on the epidemiology, etiology, risk factors, and prevention methods related to ACL injury in alpine ski racing. A secondary objective was to provide an overview of the current knowledge on ACL reinjury and return to sport after ACL injury in alpine ski racing.

Methodology
Recently, a nonsystematic narrative review was conducted on the general topic of injury prevention in elite alpine ski racing. Given the paucity of studies contributing higher level evidence on ACL injury in alpine ski racing, and a larger emphasis on qualitative research studies, a nonsystematic narrative review was deemed the most appropriate methodology for the present review. Thus, in contrast to the goal of a systematic review that addresses a specific research question, we aimed to provide a summary of the relevant scientific literature at hand and to include a discussion on future considerations.

A literature search was conducted in three scholarly databases (PubMed, SPORTDiscus, and MEDLINE) using the search terms: “alpine skiing AND ACL” to identify relevant scientific articles. To ensure that we identified as many studies as possible with relevance to the present topic, a second search was performed using the search terms: “alpine skiing AND knee injury”. The abstracts of these studies were reviewed, and only papers written in English and published between 1991 and the time of this review were included. Additional inclusion criteria were studies examining alpine ski racers (including development level alpine ski racers and/or top-ranked alpine ski racers), and ACL injury, ACL reinjury, or return to sport after ACL injury. While the primary focus of this paper was on ACL injuries in alpine skiing, we also included papers that broadly evaluated knee injuries in alpine ski racers given the limited amount of research that exists in this area. Papers that focused on recreational alpine skiers were not considered. After the literature search was completed, the reference sections of these papers were cross-referenced to identify additional articles of interest. Figure 1 provides an overview of the data retrieval/analysis for identifying relevant scientific articles of interest. Papers were classified into four ACL injury categories in accordance with the model developed by van Mechelen et al: epidemiology, etiology, injury risk factors, and injury prevention methods. Those that focused on specific biomechanical or neuromuscular factors related to ACL injury were also included in the injury etiology category. Finally, those that focused on neuromuscular function after ACL injury, return to sport after ACL injury, and ACL reinjury were identified and categorized. To provide discussion around future directions for scientific research on ACL injury/reinjury prevention in alpine ski racing, studies from other athlete populations were included when relevant throughout this paper.

Results
Epidemiology of ACL injuries
Initial studies on the prevalence of ACL injuries among alpine ski racers and professional skiers have been limited to retrospective research designs on single teams and organizations. Stevenson et al conducted a retrospective review on the prevalence of ACL injuries in 404 collegiate alpine ski racers. ACL tears accounted for nearly 50% of all knee injuries, hence representing the major type of knee joint injury in this group of ski racers (Table 1). A total of 13% of study participants reported an ACL injury, with a significantly greater number of ACL injuries occurring in
female skiers (22%) compared to males (7%).

Twenty-two percent of skiers required a subsequent ACL revision surgery. In contrast, a study evaluating the prevalence of ACL injuries in a large group (n=7,155) of professional ski patrols found no difference in ACL injury rates between males and females.

Pujol et al retrospectively analyzed a database of 379 French alpine ski racers and found no difference in ACL injury rates between males and females. However, female skiers were younger (21±3.9 years) than males (23±3.9 years) when ACL injury was sustained (Table 1). Twenty-eight percent of skiers sustained at least one ACL injury, 19% of ACL injured skiers sustained a second ACL injury to the same knee, and 30% of these skiers sustained contralateral ACL injury. Additionally, the prevalence of ACL injury was highest among skiers with a top-30 world ranking compared to lower ranked skiers.

The need for improved injury surveillance led the International Ski Federation (FIS) to implement a systematic Injury Surveillance System (ISS) starting in 2006. Injuries during FIS World Cup competitions were documented using yearly retrospective interviews with athletes, coaches, and medical staff members from ten countries (Table 1). The absolute injury rate in training and competition was expressed as the number of injuries per 100 athletes per season. Relative injury rates were determined for the number of injuries occurring only during World Cup and World Championship competition and were expressed as the number of injuries per 1,000 runs. The knee was the most commonly injured body part (35.6% of all injuries), and 54% of knee injuries resulted in more than 28 days lost from sport. ACL injury was the most frequent and specific diagnosis, representing 14% of all injuries. The absolute injury rate was 5 ACL injuries per 100 athletes per season and the relative knee injury rate was 3.2 per 1,000 runs. Like the findings of Pujol et al, the relative injury rate was not different between elite male and female ski racers. However, there was an increased risk of knee injury in Downhill (Relative Risk: Downhill =1.84) compared to the...
other events (ie, Relative Risk: Slalom =1.43; Giant Slalom =0.90, Super Giant Slalom =1.05).1

The consistent observation of no sex-differences in ACL injury rates among elite alpine ski racers is unique as it is well established that female athletes are typically at increased risk for ACL injury compared to male athletes in other high-risk sports.24,25 A 6-year study using the FIS ISS comparing injury rates between male and female elite alpine ski racers reported no sex differences in the absolute ACL injury rates (Males: 5.5 ACL injuries/100 athletes/season; Females 5.4 ACL injuries/100 athletes/season) or relative ACL injury rates (Males: 1.7 ACL injuries/1,000 runs; Females: 1.2 ACL injuries/1,000 runs) (Table 1).26

Consistent with previous reports, the highest risk for knee injuries was in the speed events compared to the technical events.26 However, sex differences in ACL injury rates appear to exist in young ski racers (Table 1).27,28 A 10-year study evaluating risk factors for ACL injuries in young ski racers between 14 and 19 years of age found females to be at increased risk for ACL injury compared to males (Female-Male Risk Ratio: 2.3).27 Female ski racers 19 years of age were at the highest risk for ACL injury followed by female skiers 17 years of age. Additionally, while knee injuries are the most common injury type among elite alpine ski racers, there are mixed findings with respect to adolescent skiers.27–30 A 2-year study including 104 young ski racers reported ACL tears to be the third most common injury preceded by lower

Table 1 Summary of study designs and ACL injury statistics for studies conducted with alpine ski racers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>ACL injury statistics</th>
<th>ACL re-injury statistics</th>
<th>Female vs male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective analysis of survey responses from collegiate-level alpine ski racers (response rate =40%)</td>
<td>1,010 surveys sent: 404 respondents; F: n=202; M: n=202 Skiers reporting ACL injury: n=54; F: n=35; M: n=18</td>
<td>Injury prevalence: 13% (overall); 22% (F); 7% (M)</td>
<td>Bilateral injury prevalence not reported Ipsilateral revision prevalence: 22% (overall); 27% (F); 13% (M)</td>
<td>Females at elevated risk for injury (relative risk ratio females vs males =3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-year retrospective analysis of a National program database of elite and nationally ranked alpine ski racers (1,836 skier-seasons)</td>
<td>Skiers in database: n=379; F: n=188; M: n=191 Skiers suffering at least one ACL reconstruction: n=105; F: n=53; M: n=52 ACL injuries: n=157</td>
<td>Injury prevalence: 28% (overall); 28% (F); 27% (M) Absolute injury rate: 5.7/100 skier-seasons (overall); 6.5/100 skier-seasons (F); 5.4/100 skier-season (M)</td>
<td>Bilateral injury prevalence: 30.5% (overall); 34% (F); 27% (M) Ipsilateral ACL revision prevalence: 19% (overall); 19% (F); 19% (M)</td>
<td>No difference found between females and males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly retrospective interviews with 10 National team programs competing in FIS World Cup competitions, conducted over two seasons to evaluate all injury types (response rate =100%)</td>
<td>Total interviews conducted: n=521; F: n=229; M: n=292 Total number of injuries reported: n=191 ACL injuries: n=26</td>
<td>Injury prevalence: 5% (overall); 14% of total injuries reported Absolute injury rate: 5/100 skiers/season* Relative knee injury rate: 3.2/1,000 runs; 2.6/1,000 runs (F); 3.7/1,000 runs (M)*</td>
<td>Bilateral ACL injuries and ACL revisions not reported</td>
<td>No difference found between females and males (relative risk ratio males vs females =1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly retrospective interviews with 10 National team programs competing in FIS World Cup competitions, conducted over six seasons (response rate not indicated)</td>
<td>Total interviews conducted: n=1,593; F: n=708; M: n=885 Total number of injuries reported: n=577 ACL injuries: n=26</td>
<td>Absolute injury rate: 5.4/100 skiers/season (F); 5.5/100 skiers/season (M) Relative injury rate: 1.2/1,000 runs (F); 1.7/1,000 runs (M)</td>
<td>Bilateral ACL injuries and ACL revisions not reported</td>
<td>No difference found between females and males (relative risk ratio males vs females =1.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year retrospective analysis of medical records from a development alpine ski racing program</td>
<td>Total number of skiers: n=370; F: n=175; M: n=195 ACL injuries: n=57; F: n=39; M: n=18</td>
<td>Injury prevalence: 15% (overall); 22% (F); 9% (M) Females 19 years of age at highest risk for injury</td>
<td>Bilateral ACL injuries and ACL revisions not reported</td>
<td>Females at higher risk than males (relative risk ratio females vs males =2.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective interviews with a development alpine ski racing program conducted over 2&lt;yr over 2 seasons</td>
<td>Total number of skiers interviewed: n=105; F: n=43; M: n=61 ACL injuries: n=14</td>
<td>Injury prevalence: 13% (overall); 12% (F); 15% (M) Bilateral ACL injuries and ACL revisions not reported</td>
<td>No difference found between females and males</td>
<td>No difference found between females and males</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *The number of ACL injuries is not reported separately for males and females. *Relative injury rates calculated from World Cup and World Championship competitions. Data were reported only for knee injuries and not ACL injuries. *Statistically significant difference, P<0.05.

Abbreviations: M, Male; F, Female; ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; FIS, International Ski Federation.
back pain and nontraumatic knee pain. 29 Conversely, a 5-year study including 431 Swedish adolescent alpine ski racers reported knee injuries to be the most common injury type. 30

**ACL injury mechanisms and etiology**

There is limited scientific data on the neuromuscular factors that contribute to ACL injury in elite alpine skiing. Thus, related biomechanics research may provide an indication of potential neuromuscular contributors to the ACL injury mechanisms. The ACL resists anteriorly directed shear and internal rotation forces applied on the tibia relative to the femur. 31-33 Internally developed forces from the quadriceps muscles may strain the ACL in the distal range of motion close to full knee extension, 33-35 while the hamstring muscles act as an ACL synergist by producing a posteriorly directed shear moment on the tibia. 33,36,37 Both the equipment and physical demands of alpine skiing may expose the knee joint to high levels of external loading that can strain the ACL, thereby causing injury. 32,38-42 Based on observations in five Canadian elite alpine ski racers, McConkey 41 proposed an ACL injury mechanism involving combined anterior shear loading on the knee from a passive external force imparted on the tibia from the ski boot (ie, boot-induced anterior drawer) and an active internal shear force from a strong quadriceps muscle contraction that occurred as the skier attempted to recover from a back-weighted, unbalanced jump landing.

Tibial internal rotation forces are implicated in most ski-specific ACL injury mechanisms. 38 Hame et al 32 attempted to replicate ski-specific ACL injury mechanisms and evaluated the effects of tibial internal/external rotation torque at four knee joint flexion angles on ACL strain in 37 cadaveric knee specimens. ACL strain was greatest at 0° of knee flexion and in forced hyperflexion in combination with tibial internal rotation torque. 32 With regard to the amount of ACL strain during alpine skiing, the knee flexion angle appears to be of particular importance. 33,44 Specifically, at deeper angles of knee flexion, the knee joint geometry and lines of action for the quadriceps and hamstring muscles lend toward decreased anterior shear forces and less ACL strain. 33,43,44 Landing back weighted following a jump may not per se be sufficient to load the ACL. 33,44 However, an asymmetrical ski jump landing alongside a strong eccentric quadriceps contraction may generate a sufficiently large knee joint moment and high resultant anterior shear forces to cause ACL injury. 53-54 The trunk inclination angle in jump landings is also of importance for minimizing ACL strain. 55 This highlights the importance of technique and tactics in a failed landing alongside an imbalance in the active muscle forces as potential contributors to noncontact ACL tears in alpine skiing. 52,45 In fact, trunk orientation along with ankle, knee, and hip joint angles accounted for 88% of the variance in peak ACL force. 46 Additionally, equipment factors including ski bindings 49-42,47 and use of a stiffer ski boot are thought to increase anterior shear forces on the tibia during jump landings. 48

A rare and accidental recording of a single skier who suffered an ACL injury while participating in a study evaluating muscle activity patterns and kinematics of alpine ski jump landings provides an indication of the kinematic and muscle activity changes associated with an acute noncontact ACL injury in alpine skiing. 49 The quadriceps vs hamstring muscle activity and kinematic changes preceding/following the clapping period (ie, the time point when the tails of the skis contacted the snow to the time point when the full length of the skis were in contact with the snow) were measured. 49 Additional parameters included the clap angle or the angle of the skis relative to the slope of the hill at the initial point of contact and the duration of the clapping period. Compared to the noninjured study participants, the subject suffering an ACL tear landed in a slightly more backward position, had a shorter clap period duration (40 ms vs 95 ms for the reference group), a smaller clap angle (3° vs 31° for the reference group), demonstrated a lesser spatial change in the center of mass throughout the clapping period, and displayed relatively less hamstring muscle activity in the injured limb compared to the noninjured limb during the postclap period. 49 It was speculated that the smaller clap angle and clap period duration resulted in greater angular acceleration of the ski boot, and thus a greater anteriorly directed shear force on the tibia. 49 Additionally, the shorter clap duration may have decreased the time required for adequate protective activation of the hamstring/quadriceps muscles, prompting an analysis of rapid force capacity (rate of force development: RFD) strength ratios (H/Q Ratio) in alpine downhill skiers 50 and other athletes at risk for ACL injury. 51

In other high-risk sports for ACL injury, ACL injury mechanisms have been identified through video analysis. 52,53 Using a similar approach, Bere et al 54 analyzed video recordings from 20 elite alpine ski racers who sustained ACL injuries during competition. All but one of the ACL injuries occurred during skiing. 54 Three main noncontact ACL injury mechanisms were identified by Bere et al. 54 The slip-catch mechanism occurred most frequently (50% of cases) and happened while skiing (typically during a turn). 54 Pressure and snow contact was lost on the outer ski. Upon regaining contact with the snow, the ski caught causing a combination of rapid knee joint flexion, tibial internal rotation, and knee...
valgus. The second injury mechanism, the dynamic snow plow, occurred in 3/20 (15%) of all ACL injuries.44 In this condition, the skier was caught out of balance in a backward position and had an asymmetrical interlimb weighting. The unweighted ski drifted away from the skier placing the skier in a split position. The ski rolled from the outer edge to the inner edge, caught the snow, and forced the knee into valgus and tibial internal rotation. The final injury mechanism, landing unweighted, occurred in 4/20 (20%) of all ACL injuries.44 Here, the skier gets out of balance with the body mass moving backward during the landing. The tails of the skis contacted the snow first with a large clap angle and an extended knee joint angle. The proposed specific injury mechanism was a combination of tibiofemoral compression and anterior shear on the tibia as the skier attempted to recover from the back weighted position.54

The study performed by Bere et al54 provides new perspectives on potential ACL injury mechanisms for elite alpine ski racers. Notably, the dynamic snow plow and the slip-catch mechanisms occurred in 13/20 (65%) cases during downhill skiing,54 and involved a combination of rapid knee joint flexion, tibial internal rotation, and knee valgus loading in a short time frame (60 ms).55 These injury mechanisms are similar to the phantom foot mechanism that typically is observed in recreational alpine skiers.38 Tibial internal rotation appears to be a key loading factor in noncontact alpine skiing ACL injuries32,53 and tibial rotation forces may be increased with skis that have a small turning radius (ie, more aggressive self-steering skis).56–59

### ACL injury risk factors

#### Athlete risk factors

There is limited scientific data on risk factors for ACL injury in elite alpine ski racing but of interest are risk factors both internal and external to the athlete and modifiable risk factors that can be addressed through neuromuscular training programs.10,12,14,17–19,60,61 A 10-year prospective study evaluated the relationship between physical fitness and ACL injury risk in young competitive ski racers and found that trunk (core) strength was a significant predictor of ACL injury (Table 2).27 Specifically, skiers who suffered from ACL injury demonstrated diminished core strength compared to uninjured skiers along with a suboptimal trunk flexion to trunk extension strength ratio. However, none of the additional fitness parameters obtained were associated with ACL injury risk. Additionally, young female alpine ski racers were found to be at increased risk for ACL injury compared to their male counterparts.27 While female athletes are at higher risk for sustaining noncontact ACL injury across many sports,24 there are no sex differences found among elite alpine ski racing competitors competing at the World Cup level.1,26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>ACL injury risk factor</th>
<th>Injury prevention strategy</th>
<th>Effect on ACL injuries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-year retrospective analysis to evaluate relationship between fitness testing and ACL injuries (testing conducted 3×/yr)27</td>
<td>Total number of skiers: n=370; F: n=175; M: n=195 ACL injuries: n=57; F: n=39; M: n=18</td>
<td>Trunk flexor:extensor strength ratio imbalance Females at increased risk for injury</td>
<td>No studies found</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospectively conducted interviews with skiers training at a development alpine ski racing program over a 6-year period (response rate =70%).27</td>
<td>Total number of skiers interviewed: n=418; F: n=231; M: n=187 ACL injuries: n=65</td>
<td>Parents who suffered ACL injury (odds ratio of ACL injury if parents suffered ACL injury =1.95)</td>
<td>No studies found</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative study with expert stakeholders to identify injury risk factors (not specific to ACL injuries).46</td>
<td>Total number of expert stakeholders interviewed: n=61</td>
<td>Top-4 perceived injury risk factors (not specific to ACL injuries): 1) System ski, plate, binding, boot; 2) Changing snow conditions; 3) Speed and course settings; and 4) Physical aspects of skiers</td>
<td>Equipment modification to compare injury rates in preequipment change period (2006–2012) to post-equipment change period (2012–2015); ski modification includes increased sidecut radius and ski length for all disciplines (except slalom)11</td>
<td>While overall injuries decreased, no effect found on ACL injuries55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** M, Male; F, Female; ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; NA, not applicable.
While there is limited scientific data linking neuromuscular risk factors to ACL injury in elite alpine ski racers, sufficient hamstring vs quadriceps strength is thought to be important for ACL injury prevention in alpine ski racing.39,49,50,60,62,63 This may prove to be an important consideration as hamstring/quadriceps co-contraction is important for dynamic knee joint stabilization.35–37 Additionally, given the short time course of ACL injury in elite alpine ski racing,55 the RFD capacity of the hamstrings/quadriceps muscles may be important as well.68 While noninjured elite alpine ski racers may display marked bilateral hamstring/quadriceps strength symmetry,50,62 there are no studies to date linking a diminished hamstring/quadriceps strength ratio with increased risk of ACL injury. However, elite alpine ski racers who suffered an ACL injury produced peak hamstring torques at a more extended knee joint angle compared to their noninjured counterparts.39 Functional asymmetry testing has also been proposed as a potential screening tool for elite alpine ski racers.64 Currently, there are no studies showing a relationship between increased functional asymmetry and increased risk of ACL injury in skiers, although adolescent alpine ski racers injured their left limb more frequently than their right limb.30 Whether this was related to limb dominance related to strength asymmetry could not be determined.30

Despite the lack of empirical data supporting a link between physical fitness and ACL injury risk,27,65,66 expert stakeholders identify physical factors in the top five of potential risk factors for alpine skiing injuries (Table 2).66 Skier fatigue was also identified as a highly ranked injury risk factor,66 and alpine ski racing injuries typically occur in the final sections of the race presumably when fatigue factors are more present.67 To account for this aspect of temporal build-up of fatigue, a fatiguing ski-specific jump test protocol was proposed to evaluate potential ACL related neuromuscular risk factors, such as increased functional interlimb asymmetry and potentially harmful shifts in quadriceps vs hamstring coactivity.68

Skier technique and tactics may also be identified as potential athlete related risk factors for injury in ski racing.66,69 Video analysis on the events leading to ACL injury in 20 skiers found that skiers were often out of balance and made tactical errors.54,69 Additionally, ACL injuries involving the landing back-weighted mechanism were preceded by poor skier jump technique and tactical errors.69 The ability to make tactical decisions is related to an athlete’s psychological state and alpine skiers returning from injury/illness may be prone to poor decision-making at the return to sport transition.70 The effects of “injury contagion” or the consequences of a teammate’s season ending injury on the mental well-being of elite alpine ski racers was also investigated due to the possibility that being witness to a serious injury may alter an alpine skier’s confidence or tactical decision-making ability.71 However, no measurable effect was found.71

Finally, a genetic link appears to exist between adolescent alpine ski racers who suffer ACL injury and their parents (Table 2).72 A study evaluating the relationship between parents who suffered ACL injury in a group of 418 adolescent alpine ski racers found a significantly greater proportion of parental ACL injuries in the ACL injured group compared to the noninjured group.72 Most likely, this observation reflects that distinct anatomical features are inherited from parents to offspring, including various anatomical ACL injury risk factors such as a narrow intercondylar notch width, increased tibial plateau tilt angle, increased valgus angles, etc.

**Equipment risk factors**

Equipment variables are perceived as risk factors for injury in ski racing (Table 2).66 Expert ski coaches identified the aggressiveness of the skis and boots and the inability of ski racers to control their equipment as a key contributor to ACL injury.69 The ski side cut radius, ski length, ski bindings, and the stiffness of ski boots are all important equipment factors that are thought to be related to knee injury risk in ski racing.20,39–42,48,69 As the ski side cut radius decreases, the self-steering effect of skis increases, rendering them less controllable in an injury situation.56–59,66,69 Increasing the side cut radius of giant slalom skis by 33% reduced skier kinetic energy by 5%.66 Longer skis provide more stability at high speeds,66 which is important as skier speed is also positively associated with increased injury risk.1,73

In fact, skier speed and the interaction between speed and jumps in the speed events were related to injury risk.73 Increasing ski length, decreasing ski width, and reducing the standing height (distance between ski base to binding plate cover) decreased skier kinetic energy during the steep sections of an alpine skiing course in expert alpine ski racers, which may be important for reducing skier speed, and thus minimizing injury risk.74 To reduce injuries, FIS changed the regulations for ski side cut radius for the 2012–2013 season.75 Side cut radius and ski length were increased for all disciplines except for slalom.75 While upper body injuries decreased, no statistically significant reduction in ACL injuries was found (Table 2).75

The ACL injury mechanism related to landing back weighted is thought to include anterior shear loading on the tibia resulting from the ski boot and a strong coupled
The effect of reducing skier speed on ACL injury risk remains a significant challenge for ski binding design. Nevertheless, current research efforts are directed toward improved ski-binding-boot systems to reduce adverse injuries on the ACL.47

Finally, the ski bindings may contribute to ACL injury risk. The ski bindings serve two purposes, namely, to release the ski if loading could potentially cause lower limb injury and to retain a rigid coupling between the boot and the ski. In 100% of slip-catch ACL injuries (ie, valgus/internal rotation mechanism), the ski either failed to release or released after the injury was sustained. The ability for ski bindings to differentiate between potentially injurious forces and normal forces encountered in ski racing may prove to be a significant challenge for ski binding design. Nevertheless, current research efforts are directed toward improved ski-binding-boot systems to reduce adverse injuries on the ACL.47

Environmental risk factors

Environmental factors including changing snow conditions, the course speed, and course settings are highly ranked among expert stakeholders as contributors to injury risk (Table 2).66 Further, expert alpine ski racing coaches highly ranked snow and course conditions as contributors to ACL injury in 20 cases including inconsistent and bumpy conditions, excessively challenging course sections that generate high speeds or compressions, poor visibility, or flat light and aggressive snow conditions (ie, hard dry snow that increases the risk of catching an edge). Skier speed resulting from the course design, jumps, and high force turns have also been linked with injury risk. It may be possible to reduce skier speed by altering the course design (eg, reducing gate distance), although a substantial change in course design may be required for a meaningful reduction in skier speed. The effect of reducing skier speed on ACL injury risk remains unknown.

ACL injury prevention

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies to date evaluating the effectiveness of ACL injury prevention training programs with elite alpine ski racers, and only a single study has evaluated the effects of changes to ski design on injury reduction.75 While the introduction of longer, less shaped skis was associated with an overall decrease in injuries, no reduction was found in ACL injuries among elite alpine ski racers.75 More research is required into modifications in equipment and slope course design to reduce the risk for ACL injury in alpine ski racing as ski bindings, boot stiffness, and steering ability (turn radius) of skis all contribute to ACL loading.44–48

In other sports with a high risk for ACL injury, injury prevention training programs have proved effective for reducing ACL injuries by improving neuromuscular risk factors associated with ACL injury. Interestingly, implementing an educational approach caused a 62% reduction in knee injuries in ski patrols who were shown videos of injury occurrences to increase awareness of technical and tactical contributors to the injury event. While tactical and technical errors are thought to contribute to ACL injuries in elite alpine ski racing, an educational approach for reducing ACL injuries among elite alpine ski racers has never been evaluated.

Neuromuscular injury prevention training programs may prove to be an effective method for reducing ACL injuries in alpine ski racing as skier fitness and fatigue-resistance are thought to be important factors for injury prevention. However, no link seems to exist between physical fitness variables and ACL injury risk, except for trunk strength in young ski racers. It seems important for ACL injury prevention and screening programs to have a high degree of specificity to the ACL injury mechanisms in ski racing. Based on the current data on ACL injury mechanisms in alpine ski racing, injury prevention training programs should address trunk control, knee/hip control (ie, tibial internal rotation, knee valgus, and hip internal rotation), functional lower-limb asymmetry, and hamstring/quadriceps muscle strength and RFD ratios.

Return to sport after ACL injury and ACL reinjury

Only 55% of ACL injured athletes manage to return to preinjury performance levels after ACL reconstruction. Similar findings exist among professional football players where only 65% return to top-level sport participation following ACL injury. ACL injured ski racers have longer and more successful ski careers compared to those without ACL injury. A 33-year retrospective study on French alpine ski racers found that 148 of the 477 ski racers who competed with the National team program for at least one season suffered an ACL injury. Notably, all skiers who suffered an ACL injury continued their career postinjury. Further, not only did the
group of skiers with ACL injury have longer careers than the skiers in the noninjured group but also they achieved more podium finishes and higher international rankings. However, the age at which the ACL injury occurred was found to be an important determinant of the long-term outcome, with more favorable outcomes found for the younger ski racers.

Despite the positive prognosis for ACL injured elite Alpine ski racers, 19% of ACL reconstructed skiers suffered a reinjury to the same knee, while 31% suffered bilateral ACL rupture. This finding and the high-risk nature of Alpine ski racing highlights the importance of functional assessments to determine a safe ski-specific return to sport progression following ACL injury. This is important as a medical professionals may rely only on a timeline based approach for returning athletes to sport after ACL reconstruction, despite that more objective functional measures are recommended.

In other high-risk sports, functional testing post-ACL reconstruction including measures of asymmetry, postural balance, and hip/knee muscle control are predictive of ACL reinjury. Assessments of functional asymmetry, hamstring/quadriceps muscle strength ratios including RFQ capacity, quadriceps vs hamstrings muscle coactivity, and fatigue-resistance of these neuromuscular factors have been proposed for elite Alpine ski racers. Additionally, rehabilitation programs following ACL reconstruction should be ski-specific and account for the unique physical and technical demands of Alpine ski racing. The skiier’s psychological readiness for return to sport is also important as ski racers may be prone to poor decision-making at the transition from rehabilitation to on snow training.

Observational studies of elite Alpine ski racers without ACL reconstruction show that significant neuromuscular deficits persist despite return to sport. Deficits include increased interlimb functional asymmetry in vertical jump takeoff and landing, increased between-limb hamstring/quadriceps strength asymmetries, and decreased quadriceps vs hamstring muscle activity in jump takeoff and landing. The consequences of returning to Alpine ski racing with these neuromuscular deficits are unknown, and it is unclear if specific neuromuscular changes may be characteristic of ACL reconstructed ski racers who successfully return after injury. To date, there are no links between these deficits and risk for ACL reinjury. However, ACL injury and the associated pathology including meniscal and chondral lesions are known to accelerate the progression of knee joint OA in young adults.

Ski-specific ACL injury patterns have been demonstrated previously including increased prevalence of multiligament injuries especially to the medial collateral ligament (MCL). Due to the high-force injury mechanisms, the associated pathology with primary ACL tears in elite Alpine ski racers may be significantly greater than in other sports including an increased prevalence of complex meniscal tears and chondral lesions. A high percentage of elite Alpine racers also require additional surgery to address progression in meniscal and chondral lesions, and 2 years may be required for interlimb functional asymmetries to diminish to levels of noninjured Alpine ski racers. Nevertheless, surgical repair of ACL tears and the associated knee joint injuries, respectively, is generally believed to lead to successful outcome for Alpine ski racers.

Discussion

Presently, the epidemiology and mechanisms of ACL injury are well described for elite Alpine ski racers, and ACL injuries involving three distinct injury mechanisms occur frequently. To date, only two distinct risk factors for ACL injury have been identified, namely 1) reduced trunk flexion/extension strength in young ski racers, and 2) a genetic link in adolescent skiers. While modifications to the skis have reduced overall injury rates, no change in ACL injury rates was found for elite Alpine ski racers. Apart from these factors, no other risk factors for ACL injury have been identified for Alpine ski racers, and no published scientific studies exist on ACL injury prevention training programs. In female athletes, decreased H/Q strength is associated with noncontact ACL injury, and female elite handball players who displayed a higher quadriceps vs hamstring muscle coactivity difference (ie, quadriceps dominance) were at increased risk of ACL injury. Functional asymmetries including increased knee abduction moments and valgus loading have also been associated with elevated ACL injury risk in female athletes. In other sports with a high risk for ACL injury, prevention programs have proved effective for reducing the incidence of ACL injury and to remove or reduce neuromuscular risk factors associated with ACL injury. Notably, neuromuscular injury prevention programs appear successful for reducing the relative risk of noncontact ACL injuries by more than 70% in high-risk athlete populations. Specific neuromuscular training programs focused on reducing high-risk lower limb biomechanics and improving lower limb motor control have been implemented as an adjunct to normal training, and as a warm-up prior to training and competition. Additionally, injury prevention programs may improve postural balance and diminish/remove the presence of specific neuromuscular
and biomechanical risk factors related to ACL injury. The effectiveness of such programs appears to be heavily dependent on athlete compliance and consistency in performing the injury prevention training program.

A main barrier to the implementation of ACL injury prevention training programs in alpine ski racing may be the paucity of scientific evidence on neuromuscular risk factors for ACL injury. On the other hand, it seems that the standard physical fitness testing regimes employed with elite alpine ski racers may not be specific enough to target the ACL injury mechanisms involved in alpine ski racing. Also, the descriptive nature of previous studies on ACL injury mechanisms in alpine ski racing may prove challenging for identifying the contributing intrinsic neuromuscular risk factors for ACL injury. Biomechanical analysis of knee loading in alpine ski racing linked with analysis of the ACL injury mechanisms in alpine ski racing may provide important clues regarding potential modifiable neuromuscular risk factors for ACL injury, which may serve to improve the design of future injury prevention training programs. Further efforts should also be directed toward more specific and sensitive biomechanical and neuromuscular testing modalities than has been done to date. Such efforts may include assessments of trunk/hip/knee control during landing movements, functional asymmetry testing, hamstring/quadriceps muscle strength testing, and on-snow neuromuscular assessments given that such approaches have proven effective for identifying athletes at risk for ACL injury in other athlete populations.

Future research on the reduction of adverse knee joint loading through ski-boot-binding system design and course design features also seems highly important. Based on the available evidence, it also appears that ACL injury prevention efforts could differ depending upon the skier performance level, including years of racing, ski racing discipline, and sex. At the highest performance level, ACL injury prevention efforts should be directed equally toward male and female ski racers due to the lack of sex-specific differences in ACL injury rates. However, among younger alpine ski racers, a specific focus on female alpine ski racers may be warranted. Thus, an important consideration might be to devise ACL injury prevention screening and programming according to specific subgroups of alpine ski racers. Developing ski-specific neuromuscular screening tests and prevention programs for ACL injury alongside prospectively designed studies to evaluate their effectiveness should be pursued. However, given the challenges around injury prevention research in athlete populations, such as small sample size, it may be necessary to use nonstandard statistical approaches and international scientific collaborations to adequately address these research questions.

Given the high occurrence of ACL reinjury, secondary injury prevention may be of equal importance as primary ACL injury prevention for alpine ski racers. Alpine ski racing is an extreme sport and involves a high incidence of traumatic injury. It seems critical to ensure that lower limb neuromuscular function is adequately restored following ACL injury and that skiers are fit to return to this high-risk activity. Currently, there are no generally accepted protocols for returning alpine ski racers back to snow after ACL injury. While recommendations have been made, the quality of the scientific evidence is limited to observational studies. In addition to appropriate neuromuscular testing protocols and on-snow progressions, the psychological readiness of skiers for risk taking should be considered in future scientific research efforts and should be included in return to sport assessments prior to unrestricted return to alpine ski racing. Currently, there are no scientific studies demonstrating a relationship between physical, functional, or psychological characteristics and risk for ACL reinjury in alpine ski racers. Yet, relationships between neuromuscular deficits and ACL reinjury have been identified in other athlete populations, and practitioners may be prone to relying solely on subjective criteria throughout the return to sport transition after ACL injury. For example, a comprehensive neuromuscular assessment following ACL injury predicted ACL reinjury with high sensitivity, whereas a review of the scientific literature found that only 13% of studies reported using objective criteria for return to sport after ACL injury.

A major consideration is the potential for ACL tears to be associated with injuries to knee structures other than the ACL, such as the menisci and articular cartilage. Such injuries have been reported to lead to the development of early knee joint OA in young athletes. It seems that alpine skiing-related ACL injury involves distinct injury patterns, and elite skiers may suffer a progressive worsening in chondral lesions and meniscal tears subsequent to primary ACL reconstruction. Ensuring that athletes have sufficient time to rehabilitate after ACL injury and that the associated injuries are given appropriate recovery time is important not only for achieving a safe return to the preinjury performance level but also with regard to promoting long-term health factors. Currently, the effects of ACL injury and injury to the associated knee structures on long-term health outcomes, such as the early development of knee OA, have not been investigated in an alpine ski racing population.
alpine ski racers will continue to race after primary ACL injury, future research should address this issue.

Limitations
While the main purpose of the present narrative review was to provide a summary of the scientific literature related to ACL injury/reinjury in alpine ski racing, there are potential limitations including bias in the article selection. We attempted to address this concern by providing a detailed account of paper selection process in the present review (Figure 1). Additionally, given the small number of studies providing higher quality scientific evidence on this topic, and the existence of mostly qualitative and descriptive studies, we were unable to undertake a quantitative systematic review. Nevertheless, the present review provides an overview of what is known around ACL injury/reinjury in alpine ski racing and also provides perspectives for future research.

Conclusion
In conclusion, epidemiological studies show that knee injuries and, more specifically, ACL tears are the most prevalent injury type in alpine ski racing and that these injuries result in a significant time loss from sport. The etiology of ACL injuries specifically around the injury event is well described, but there is limited scientific data on the internal neuromuscular factors involved in the injury process. Further, significant gaps in the scientific research exist around modifiable neuromuscular risk factors for ACL injury, and there are no studies to date that have implemented ACL injury prevention training programs in an alpine ski racing population. ACL reinjury is also prevalent in alpine ski racing, and alpine ski racers seem to have a disproportionately higher success rate for return to sport compared to other athlete populations. Nevertheless, neuromuscular deficits persist in ACL reconstructed elite alpine ski racers, and the long-term consequences of returning to sport after ACL injury on health outcomes such as the early development of knee joint OA remain unknown. Future research with unique study designs including international collaborations aimed at increasing sample sizes and the statistical power of ACL injury prevention investigations may be necessary to adequately address the gaps in the scientific literature.
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