Hepatitis C virus pharmacogenomics in Latin American populations: implications in the era of direct-acting antivirals
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Abstract: In recent years, great progress has been made in the field of new therapeutic options for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. The new direct-acting antiviral agents (DAAs) represent a great hope for millions of chronically infected individuals because their use may lead to excellent cure rates with fewer side effects. In Latin America, the high prevalence of HCV genotype 1 infection and the significant association of Native American ancestry with risk predictive single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in IFNL4 and ITPA genes highlight the need to implement new treatment regimens in these populations. However, the universal accessibility to DAAs is still not a reality in the region as their high cost is one of the major, although not the only, limiting factors for their broad implementation. Therefore, under these circumstances, the assessment of host genetic markers be a useful tool to prioritize DAA treatment until global access to these new drugs can be achieved? This review will summarize the scientific evidences and the potential implications of HCV pharmacogenomics in this rapidly evolving era of anti-HCV drug development.
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Introduction

The combination of pegylated IFN-alpha plus ribavirin (PEG-IFN/RBV) has been the standard of care for chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection since late last century. The ultimate goal of this dual therapy is to eradicate HCV infection and thereby reduce the risk of progression to HCV-related liver complications. The endpoint of HCV treatment is to achieve a sustained virologic response (SVR), which has been strongly associated with viral clearance and effectively a cure.1

In addition to its limited efficacy with the most prevalent HCV genotype worldwide, the PEG-IFN/RBV combination therapy frequently produces intolerability and substantial adverse effects. Consequently, the assessment of several viral (genotypes, viral load, mutations, etc.) and host (age, gender, degree of liver fibrosis, and alcohol consumption, etc.) factors were promptly incorporated in the treatment decision making in order to satisfy the urgent need of prediction of the PEG-IFN/RBV outcome. However, despite these influencing determinants, the existence of ethnic variability and individual differences observed in the clinical setting could not be thoroughly explained; strongly suggesting that host genetic factors might also play a significant role in HCV treatment response.1

In 2009–2010, several research groups confirmed the significant association of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at IFNL4 and ITPA genes with PEG-IFN/RBV treatment outcome among HCV-infected patients from around the world.2,3 This
breakthrough not only impacted the prognosis and treatment of HCV infection, but also allowed to identify patients with unfavorable alleles, in whom the response to PEG-IFN/RBV treatment would be poor, making them ideal candidates for emerging therapies.

Recently, the development of direct-acting antiviral agents (DAAs) represents an outstanding progress in the field of therapeutic options for HCV chronic infection, which have improved the chance of cure and ameliorated the prognosis of the disease. These new antiviral agents offer a range of advantages compared with their predecessors, have multigenotypic activity, shorter schemes, fewer side effects, and higher cure rates, including for those in advanced stages of infection.\(^8,9\) In this current scenario, pharmacogenetics seems to have a reduced usefulness. However, the universal availability of these new treatment regimens – with DAAs alone or in combination with other DAAs or PEG-IFN/RBV – is still not a reality, particularly for HCV patients in the public health system of Latin American countries.\(^10-12\)

In this review, we summarize the scientific evidences and the potential implications of HCV pharmacogenomics in this rapidly evolving era of anti-HCV drug development.

**HCV in Latin America: burden of infection and accessibility to treatment**

The burden of HCV infection is expected to increase around the world and Latin America is no exception to this scenario.\(^13\)

In recent years, different studies have addressed the epidemiology of chronic HCV infection in Latin America.\(^14,15\) Nonetheless, there is still a paucity of information in the region, since most of the available data come from spontaneous demand studies in specific groups, which do not faithfully represent the prevalence in the general population.\(^16,17\)

Despite this limitation, it is estimated an average prevalence rate for HCV of 2.0%±0.25% in the adult population, which represents at least 10 million individuals who are anti-HCV positive in Latin America.\(^14,17,18\) On the whole, Latin America has the lowest HCV prevalence compared with other regions around the world. However, these rates vary among countries and even in different areas within the same country.

Most of the HCV-infected individuals in Latin America are in Brazil with more than 2.5 million cases, being among the 10 countries with more cases worldwide.\(^17,19,20\) The second largest epidemiologic burden due to HCV is found in Mexico with 1.6 million cases. The third and fourth place is held by Colombia and Argentina with ~690,000 and 342,000 cases, respectively.\(^17,21,22\)

There is a consensus that most cases occur among people aged 55 years and older.\(^23,24\) Likewise, it was estimated that the peak incidence occurred in the mid to late 90s and predicted a slow decline in the prevalence for the next decades as a result of prophylactic measures and the effectiveness of current antiviral agents.\(^17,25\) However, due to the fact that 75% of HCV infections evolve into chronicity, the consequences of the natural course of the disease are observed decades after the infection; resulting in future high costs of monitoring, hospitalization, or transplantation for health care systems.\(^17,18,20\)

As regards HCV genotypes, in Latin America >50% of the cases are caused by genotype 1, followed by 2 or 3 depending on the country.\(^26\) This poses a drawback, because the overall cure rate of patients infected with genotype 1 treated with PEG-IFN-α/RBV is low, being ~40%-50%,\(^1\) which represents high costs to governments without comparable effectiveness.

In addition, <2% of HCV chronically infected individuals have access to treatment.\(^18,24,25\) Particularly, access to standard PEG-IFN/RBV therapy is extremely limited in underserved populations without affiliation to health care systems, which are paradoxically the neediest.

In recent years, several DAAs have been developed. These new antiviral agents represent a great hope for millions of chronically infected individuals because their use may lead to excellent cure rates. Nevertheless, the high cost of these new drugs is one of the major, although not the only, limiting factors for its broad implementation, mainly in low- or lower-middle income countries (Figure 1).\(^10,11\)

In Latin America, the public health care systems often show fragmented schemes mostly concentrated on specific sectors of society. However, in many cases, macroeconomic troubles, political obstruction, and inadequate management led to pay-as-you-go in practice. Although HCV treatment-related costs are mostly responsibility of the public health system, in 50% of cases patients paid treatments from their own pocket, 25% were paid by private insurance, and only 25% treatments were afforded by the public health sector. Moreover, >95% of patients infected with viral hepatitis belong to a low-income population and/or are uninsured, and they cannot afford to pay the current market prices of DAAs (Figure 1).\(^27\)

In addition, viral hepatitis is not considered to be a severe health problem by health officials in Latin America, which in turn have manifested ignorance or reluctance toward a state program of detection or treatment of this disease. The lack of precise epidemiologic information about HCV infection is an ongoing difficulty, which translates into the lack of precision of who and how many people are infected and primary risk
factors involved. Another limiting factor is the corruption and slow bureaucracy to introduce these drugs; even though the pharmaceutical industry has declared a responsibility to create agreements based on the economy of each country for the marketing of the antiviral drugs (Figure 1).

At present, the first-wave of NS3/NS4 inhibitors (telaprevir and boceprevir), are the most available DAAs in Latin America. However, these are no longer used due to their limitations compared with the second-generation inhibitors and those targeted against other HCV genes.

Therefore, the strategy in the region is to use second-generation DAAs in those countries, where available, and delay patient treatment until arrival in those countries, where they are not.

In recent years, simeprevir, daclatasvir, and sofosbuvir have been or are being approved and are currently available in Argentina and Brazil. At the moment, the prescription is restricted to older patients with unequivocal signs of liver damage.

In 2014, Gilead announced a voluntary license agreement with several Indian drug manufacturers that allow these companies to produce and sell generic versions of sofosbuvir and ledipasvir. Bolivia is the only country in the region benefited from this measure.

In order to secure some price discounts on these high-cost treatments The Union of South American Nations has proposed the creation of a fund to negotiate centralized purchases of the HCV treatments and began the process of joint purchase of DAAs through the Pan American Health Organization Strategic Fund last year.

**HCV pharmacogenomics**

In 2009, four independent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) reached the same and definitive conclusion: polymorphisms rs12979860C/T and rs8099917G/T in or near the IL28B gene, also known as IFN lambda 3 (IFN-λ3 or IFNL3) but as the discovery of IFNL4 is now classified as an IFNL4 SNP, significantly affect the spontaneous clearance of acute HCV infection and the response to PEG-IFN/RBV therapy (Table 1). The two GWAS-identified SNPs, rs12979860 and rs8099917 are in low to strong linkage disequilibrium, based on specific populations tested, and both markers were used for predicting response to PEG-IFN and RBV therapy.

Prokunina-Olsson et al identified a transiently induced region in a new gene member, denoted IFNL4 gene, which shows a dinucleotide variant (rs368234815 or ss469415590 TT/ΔG). This novel interferon is produced only in carriers of ΔG allele carriers and has been related to unsuccessful viral clearance in the presence or absence of treatment (Table 1). IFNL4 variants rs368234815 and rs12979860 are in high linkage disequilibrium and provide similar predictive information in Asians, and comparable information in Europeans. However, in individuals of African ancestry there is a lower linkage disequilibrium between these markers and rs368234815 is significantly more informative than rs12979860.

Since then, various studies have verified the impact of IFNL4 on HCV infection and treatment outcome across different HCV genotypes and in individuals coinfected with HIV. Although on-treatment predictors are more significantly associated with therapeutic success, the viral genotype and the rs368234815 IFNL4 SNP are considered
**Table 1 Genetic factors related to HCV pharmacogenomics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCBI dbSNP id</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Alleles</th>
<th>Associated with</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs368234815</td>
<td>IFNL4</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Spontaneous and/or PEG-IFN/RBV treatment-induced HCV clearance</td>
<td>33–35, 40, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ΔG</td>
<td>HCV persistence and/or PEG-IFN/RBV treatment failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12979860</td>
<td>IFNL4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Spontaneous and/or PEG-IFN/RBV treatment-induced HCV clearance</td>
<td>2–5, 32, 35–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>HCV persistence and/or PEG-IFN/RBV treatment failure</td>
<td>2–5, 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs8099917</td>
<td>IFNL4</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Spontaneous and/or PEG-IFN/RBV treatment-induced HCV clearance</td>
<td>2–5, 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>HCV persistence and/or PEG-IFN/RBV treatment failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1127354</td>
<td>ITPA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Low risk of RBV-induced hemolytic anemia</td>
<td>47–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>High risk of RBV-induced hemolytic anemia</td>
<td>47–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7270101</td>
<td>ITPA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Low risk of RBV-induced hemolytic anemia</td>
<td>47–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>High risk of RBV-induced hemolytic anemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** HCV, hepatitis C virus; PEG-IFN/RBV, pegylated IFN-alpha plus ribavirin; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

the most powerful pretreatment predictors of response to PEG-IFN/RBV therapy.40

For treatment-naïve patients with genotype 1 infection who are treated with protease inhibitor combinations, IFNL4 genotypes predict response and also eligible for the shorter durations of therapy.41 Although all IFNL4 genotypes have improved response rates as compared with patients treated with PEG-IFN/RBV only, patients with the favorable IFNL4 genotype still have higher response rates with the protease inhibitor combination in treatment-naïve patients, and these response rates may guide patients and clinicians in their treatment decisions.

Second-wave DAAs, such as sofosbuvir, simeprevir, and daclatasvir, can be administered in combination with PEG-IFN/RBV as triple therapy for patients with HCV genotype 1. In these highly potent regimens, cirrhosis and IFNL4 genotype are still predictors of response.42,43 In contrast, although host genetic factors have a lesser impact on treatment response in IFN- and RBV-free regimens, recent studies have reported that the IFNL4 variants can influence the risk of antiviral resistance.44–46

In addition, recent reports have demonstrated that polymorphisms at other genes could also be related to the risk of PEG-IFN/RBV treatment withdrawal due to drug-related adverse effects.47–49 In this regard, one of the most important treatment-limiting toxicities is RBV-induced hemolytic anemia, which has been linked to two functional polymorphisms in the *ITPA* gene – a missense variant in exon 2 (rs1127354) and a splice-altering SNP in intron 2 (rs7270101) – and to a nonfunctional one (rs6051702).47–49 These variants induce an inosine triphosphate pyrophosphohydrolase deficiency, a benign red cell enzymopathy associated with a protective effect against RBV-induced hemolytic anemia in HCV-infected patients.47–50

It is important to highlight that *ITPA* gene polymorphisms are not related to treatment response but to the prediction of RBV-induced hemolytic anemia and thus, the need of dose-reduction or even treatment withdrawal (Table 1).49,51

Although new therapies with first-wave protease inhibitors improved SVR, they also increased side effects, such as rash and anemia. Several studies highlighted the *ITPA* role in predicting anemia in these new regimens.50,51 In the case of second-wave protease (such as simeprevir) and polymerase inhibitors (such as sofosbuvir), effectiveness have been further increased and adverse effects have been considerably reduced. Most of these regimens are RBV-free and they are already approved or undergoing phases II and III studies in different countries.42

**Genetic ancestry influences HCV pharmacogenomics in Latin America**

Along the end of the Pleistocene (11,700 years ago), a limited number of immigrants arrived to the American continent entering from the eastern edge of Siberia by crossing the Bering Strait. Immigrants explored the territories, some settled, others keep moving south and eastward within the American continent. After a long period of isolation, the continent was explored by Europeans conquerors who – after submitting the aboriginals – started the colonization process. The major transatlantic migratory contribution to Latin America was provided by the Iberians who colonized the major extension of the continent. During the late sixteenth and beginning of the seventeenth century, European colonists introduced West and East Sub-Saharan Africans as working forces. Throughout the Americas, even within the same country, historical differences in pattern of settlement and migration have resulted in a wide range of genetic variation reflecting complex admixed events involving actors of different ancestral background.53

Regarding the maternal lineage, in general, it can be observed a high proportion of Native American maternal ancestry, belonging to haplogroups A–D of the mitochondrial...
DNA. Certainly, the different proportion found in each country will depend on its own colonization history.54–58

In contrast, the paternal lineage depicts a different scenario. In this case, the European contribution is mainly represented by the R1b haplogroup in the Y-chromosome.55,59 The proportion of the Native American haplogroup Q1a3a, depends on the survival of the Native American males, given their killing carried out by Europeans during the conquest.

Although both male and maternal lineages offer an idea of the different parental populations contributions, autosomal ancestry-informative markers quantify the genetic admixture extent.55 In general, urban towns of Argentina, Mexico, Chile, Colombia, Uruguay, and South of Brazil showed that >55% of the global ancestry belongs to European contribution followed by Native American and <10% of African contribution.55,60,61 Instead, rural Mexico and urban populations in Peru and Bolivia showed the major contribution of Native American ancestry in part due to the high population density of aboriginal in the region at the moment of the conquest.50–63

Latin America experienced one of the largest admixture processes in a reduced span of time of just 20 generations. These circumstances have important implications for the expected relative proportion of ancestral genetic makeup with potential effects on disease susceptibilities, ability to metabolize therapeutic compounds demonstrating the need to genetic ancestry determination in future genetic association studies.

Several epidemiologic studies have demonstrated that the allele frequencies of IFNL4 and ITPA predictive SNPs exhibit variations among worldwide populations with different ancestry background.32,64 However, these data obtained from well-defined ethnic groups cannot be extrapolated to genetically admixed and multiethnic populations, such as those in Latin America.65

Several research groups have confirmed the significant association of IFNL4 and ITPA SNPs with PEG-IFN/RBV treatment outcome among HCV-infected Latin American patients.66–72 Meanwhile, in the general population of the region, these predictive polymorphisms exhibit extremely variable frequencies.73,74 Nevertheless, in order to avoid drawing false conclusions, it is important to highlight that the high heterogeneity of Latin American populations was not taken into consideration by these groups and, therefore, the analysis of genetic ancestry was not carried out in these studies.65

The population diversity in Latin American, with trihybrid ancestral roots and five centuries of admixture, cannot be detected by color/race self-identification and should be described by molecular approaches. In this regard, recent studies have concluded that the distribution of these SNPs is closely related to the genetic ancestry in Latin America.35,75–78 A study carried out among 2150 non-HCV-infected individuals from Argentina, Peru, Bolivia, and Paraguay, showed that the frequency of IFNL4 and ITPA SNPs exhibits a specific geographical pattern of distribution, even within the same country (Figures 2–4), and is closely related to the maternal and paternal ancestry of the population (Figures 5 and 6). In fact, the prevalence of favorable genotypes is significantly higher in populations with European ethnicity, intermediate in admixed individuals, and the lowest in populations with Native American ancestry background.75,76 These findings were confirmed in western Mexico, where the TT/GG/AG risk haplotype in the IFNL4 gene predominates in Native Americans when compared with the “Mestizos” (admixed) group.35 With respect to the association of these SNPs with HCV clearance in the presence or absence of PEG-IFN/RBV treatment, the protective alleles were more frequently observed among admixed Mexicans with spontaneous clearance of HCV infection.35 Moreover, studies carried out among HCV chronic patients from Brazil revealed that IFNL4 polymorphisms predict therapy response in patients with admixed ancestry.77,78 Interestingly, this association is influenced by ancestry; being the African genetic contribution higher among the nonresponder patients with the rs12979860 TT genotype, whereas the Native American and European genetic ancestry prevail in the SVR group.77

Implications in the era of DAAs

Several studies carried out in different geographical scenarios have shown that DAAs implementation is cost-effectiveness per person treated, but pent-up demand for treatment will create challenges for financing.10,79,80 Cost-effectiveness studies have not been conducted in Latin America and, therefore, the implication that these new therapies might have in health-related economics is unpredictable and its relevance in HCV control will probably remain negligible as long as access to the therapy cannot be expanded.81

In this region, the high rate of infections with HCV genotype 1 and the high frequency in Native American populations of the TT genotype of IFNL4 SNP rs12979860 – markers associated with poor response to PEG-IFN/RBV therapy in both cases35,75–78 – support the hypothesis that the implementation of IFN-free regimen should be beneficial in terms of cost–benefit. However, before or until global availability to DAAs is achieved, the identification of host predictive markers of antiviral therapy outcome could still be useful, as it will help prioritize DAA access.
Furthermore, it is important to highlight that IFNL4 not only plays an important role on establishing the likelihood of treatment response with PEG-IFN/RBV and to triple (single DAA plus PEG-IFN/RBV) and quadruple (two DAAAs plus PEG-IFN/RBV) regimens, but also exert, an effect on the early viral kinetics in HCV chronic patients receiving the emerging IFN and RBV-free schemes. In addition, recent studies have reported that the presence of resistance variants, number, and
Figure 3 ITPA SNP rs1127354.

Notes: Prevalence of the favorable (CA/AA) and risk (CC) genotypes of ITPA SNP rs1127354 in Bolivia (A, n=185), Peru (B, n=76), Paraguay (C, n=98), and the different geographic regions of Argentina: Central (D, n=991), Northwestern (E, n=250), Northeastern (F, n=260), Western (G, n=175), and Southern (H, n=115) areas. *p<0.05 when comparing samples from the Central region of Argentina with Peruvians and Paraguayans; **p<0.001 when comparing samples from the Central region of Argentina with those from the Northwestern region; ***p<0.0001 when comparing samples from the Central region of Argentina with Bolivians. Copyright ©2014. Nature Publishing Group.


Abbreviations: ITPA, inosine triphosphatase; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.
diversity of NS5A quasispecies is strongly associated with favorable IFNL4 genotypes, probably as a consequence of the fact that patients with the beneficial genotypes induce a more efficient antiviral response that results either in viral clearance or selection for viral adaptations. This regulating influence of host genetic factors on the nature of the viral quasispecies could be of clinical interest for consideration of IFN-free treatment options for patients with known IFNL4 status.44–46

In Latin America, pharmacogenomics could still provide an instrument to optimize HCV management, taking into account ethnic differences and explaining the variability in treatment response. As a consequence, HCV pharmacogenetics will still

Figure 4 ITPA SNP rs7270101.

Notes: Prevalence of the favorable (AC/CC) and risk (AA) genotypes of ITPA SNP rs7270101 in Bolivia (A, n=185), Peru (B, n=76), Paraguay (C, n=98), and the different geographic regions of Argentina: Central (D, n=991), Northwestern (E, n=250), Northeastern (F, n=260), Western (G, n=175), and Southern (H, n=115) areas. *p<0.05 when comparing samples from the Central region of Argentina with those from the Northeastern region; †p<0.0001 when comparing samples from the Central region of Argentina with those from the Northwestern region, Bolivians, and Peruvians.

Abbreviations: ITPA, inosine triphosphatase; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.
represent an ongoing challenge for Latin American clinicians and hepatologists in the treatment decision making.

**Conclusion**

In the last 5 years, resonant advances related to infection by hepatitis C have been obtained, making conceivable that HCV chronic infection will soon become an easier-to-treat disease.

Nowadays, HCV treatment varies throughout the world because some regions have access to the new DAAs, whereas other areas have access only to PEG-IFN/RBV. Although the high prevalence of HCV genotype 1 in Latin America and unfavorable predictive SNPs among Native Americans highlight the need to implement new treatment regimens in these populations, the universal accessibility to DAAs is still not a reality in the region. Therefore, the PEG-IFN/RBV treatment will still be the only therapy available until the costs of the new drugs have decreased and their access to HCV patients has globalized.
Figure 6 Paternal ancestry.

Notes: Prevalence of the Native American and non-Native American Y-SNPs haplogroups in Bolivia (A, n=185), Peru (B, n=76), Paraguay (C, n=98), and the different geographic regions of Argentina: Central (D, n=991), Northwestern (E, n=250), Northeastern (F, n=260), Western (G, n=175), and Southern (H, n=115) areas. a p<0.05 when comparing samples from the Central region of Argentina with those from the Southern and Western regions; b p<0.01 when comparing samples from the Central region of Argentina with those from Paraguay; and c p<0.0001 when comparing samples from the Central region of Argentina with those from the Northwestern region, Bolivia, and Peru. Copyright ©2014. Nature Publishing Group. Adapted from Trinks J, Hulanik ML, Caputo M, et al. Distribution of genetic polymorphisms associated with hepatitis C virus (HCV) antiviral response in a multiethnic and admixed population. Pharmacogenomics J. 2014;14(6):549–554.75 Adapted with permission from Trinks J, Hulanik ML, Caputo M, et al. Distribución de polimorfismos de nucleótido simple (SNPs) predictores de la respuesta al tratamiento antiviral en la infección crónica por el virus de la hepatitis C (HCV) en las distintas regiones geográficas de Argentina [Distribution of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) predictive of antiviral treatment response in hepatitis C virus (HCV) chronic infection in different geographic regions in Argentina]. Medicina (B Aires). 2014;74 (Suppl 3):S117–S118. Spanish.76

Abbreviation: Y-SNPs, Y-chromosome single-nucleotide polymorphisms.
In this review, the report of several studies concerning pharmacogenetic factors related to HCV treatment in Latin America might help to adjust the regional treatment policies for HCV infection based on greater certainty in studies with populations with such genetic characteristics. They also confirm that, in this vast territory, there are populations with different genotypic characteristics that might, depending on the situation, require different approaches to treatment and research.

In this perspective, the assessment of host genetic markers in all HCV patients to predict response and evaluate the risk–benefit of available therapies might be useful to improve strategies and fight the infection and could be a cost-effective means of risk stratification in the developing countries. In this regard, several scientific societies and studies have declared that, until global access to DAAs is guaranteed, treatment-naïve patients exhibiting favorable clinical, genetic, and virologic profiles could still benefit with the PEG-IFN/RBV regimen; thus restricting the use of newer and expensive treatment schemes for “difficult to treat” patients. Therefore, regardless of the great therapeutic advances, improvements in HCV surveillance, epidemiologic mapping, testing, prevention, and therapy are urgently needed.

In future decades, it is expected that, particularly in the developing countries, the burden of HCV disease increases due to the absence of an effective vaccine, the insufficient amount of public awareness, and preventive measures. Therefore, regardless of the great therapeutic advances, improvements in HCV surveillance, epidemiologic mapping, testing, prevention, and therapy are urgently needed. The challenge now is to overcome barriers to HCV testing and improve access to antiviral treatment in the low- and middle-income countries. Guarantee access to medicines is a joint responsibility of governments, multilateral agencies, and nongovernmental organizations. But also, pharmaceutical companies should be a part of the solution. Eventually, the global HCV control will be achieved with the joint efforts of governments, researchers, and public health workers.
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