Phase I clinical trial of sipuleucel-T combined with escalating doses of ipilimumab in progressive metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer
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Introduction

The number of new therapies for castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) is increasing. In the past 5 years sipuleucel-T (SIP-T), cabazitaxel, enzalutamide, abiraterone, and radium-223 have been United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA)-approved for prolonging survival. Some of these agents have also demonstrated an improvement in quality of life. Minimizing treatment-related side effects in this elderly, testosterone-deprived population is important. In the enzalutamide trial, for example, a meaningful 17-month delay in the time to the initiation of chemotherapy was achieved.¹

Background: Sipuleucel-T (SIP-T), which functions by stimulating cancer-specific dendritic cells, prolongs survival in men with prostate cancer. Ipilimumab (IPI) achieved a borderline survival advantage in a large randomized trial. SIP-T and IPI are potentially synergistic.

Patients and Methods: Nine men with progressive metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) were treated prospectively with SIP-T followed immediately by IPI with one of the following doses of IPI: 1 mg/kg at 1 week after SIP-T; 1 mg/kg at 1 and 4 weeks after SIP-T; or 1 mg/kg at 1, 4, and 7 weeks after SIP-T. Three patients were evaluated at each level. Cancer-specific immunoglobulins directed at granulocyte-macrophage-colony-stimulating factor/prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) fusion protein (PA2024) and PAP were measured prior to SIP-T, after SIP-T, 1 week after IPI, every other month for 5 months, then every 3 months for an additional 12 months.

Results: Adverse events of SIP-T were consistent with previous reports. IPI only caused a transient grade 1 rash in one patient. Median age, Gleason score, and number of previous hormonal interventions were 77 years, 8, and 3, respectively. Eight men had bone metastases and one had lymph node metastasis. Statistically significant increases in serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgG-IgM specific for PA2024 and PAP occurred after SIP-T. An additional statistically significant increase in the aforementioned immunoglobulins – above the levels achieved by SIP-T – occurred after IPI. Median clinical follow-up was 36 months (range: 26–40). Three patients died from progressive disease after 9, 18, and 20 months. Out of the remaining six patients, five of them needed further treatment that included abiraterone acetate, enzalutamide, radium-223 dichloride, and spot radiation. One patient had an undetectable PSA, who did not receive any other treatment except spot radiation. Median PSA at last follow-up for the surviving patients was 3.8 (range: 0.6–7.47).

Conclusion: In this small trial, the addition of IPI to SIP-T was well tolerated. IPI increased immunoglobulins specific for the PA2024 protein and PAP above the level achieved with SIP-T alone.
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With all these new, clinically active agents, research is now being focused on methods for maximizing efficacy through combination therapy and gene sequencing. The publication of CHAARTED results also draws attention to the benefit of leveraging existing therapies by starting treatment at earlier stage of the disease’s natural history.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) is a negative regulator of T-cell activation; ipilimumab (IPI) specifically blocks CTLA-4, thus enhancing T-cell activation. Based on this mechanism of action, we and others have hypothesized that IPI synergizes with SIP-T. In 2013, Prostate Oncology Specialists, a private medical oncology practicing center that specializes in prostate cancer, initiated a Phase I trial combining SIP-T with mini-dose IPI (SIPIPI). Nine patients were accrued, and preliminary results have previously been reported in abstract form.\textsuperscript{4} This study reports the impact of SIPIPI on immunoglobulin levels at baseline, after SIP-T, and after IPI administration. We also report the intermediate-term clinical outcome in terms of toxicity as well as PSA kinetics.

Patients and methods

Patients and inclusion criteria

Patients with confirmed metastatic adenocarcinoma of the prostate and castrate levels of testosterone with sequentially rising PSA levels were eligible for this study. The eligible patients had to meet the following criteria for inclusion: adequate renal, hepatic, and bone marrow function (defined as a creatinine \(<2\times\) the upper limit of normal, total bilirubin and serum aspartate aminotransferase \(<2\times\) the upper limit of normal, white blood cells (WBC) \(\geq2500/\mu\text{L}\), an absolute neutrophil count of \(\geq1000\), and a platelet count \(>100,000\)). All patients signed an institutional review board (IRB) approved informed consent. Patients with prior immune therapy; patients simultaneously undergoing treatment with any chemotherapy or hormonal therapy besides luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonist; patients with any history of autoimmune disease; patients with clinically significant cardiac or pulmonary disease, uncontrolled infection, diseases of the central nervous system, active secondary malignancy, HIV, hepatitis, or those currently receiving corticosteroids were excluded from this study. This study was approved by Aspire IRB. The Clinical Trial Identifier for this study is NCT01832870.

Treatment

Treatment was administered on an outpatient basis. On weeks 0, 2, and 4, commercially available SIP-T was infused. Patients received a minimum of 50 million autologous CD54+ cells activated with PA2024. All patients received a total of three doses of SIP-T. Patients were pre-medicated with 650 mg acetaminophen and 50 mg diphenhydramine orally. Subsequently, 1 mg/kg IPI was infused over 90 minutes starting 1 week after the last dose of SIP-T. The first three participants had a single infusion of IPI. Three additional participants received two doses of 1 mg/kg IPI at 1 and 4 weeks after the last dose of SIP-T. Three more additional participants received 1 mg/kg IPI at 1, 4, and 7 weeks after the last dose of SIP-T (Figure 1). After completion of SIPIPI, all patients were treated as per the standard of care for men with metastatic progressive CRPC.

Immune correlates

Immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgG-IgM immunoglobulins directed toward PA2024 or to prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) were measured prior to SIP-T, after SIP-T, 1 week after IPI, every other month for 5 months, then every 3 months for an additional 12 months (Figure 2).

Clinical monitoring

Clinically stable patients had medical office visits supervised by an MD in accordance with the aforementioned treatment and blood monitoring schedule. Subsequent to completion of all the scheduled immune testing, stable patients were followed up every 3 months. All visits included a standard medical history and physical evaluation as well as analysis of hepatic panel, complete blood count, renal panel, and PSA. Computed tomography and bone scans were performed every 6 months or more frequently if clinically indicated. No patient was lost during the follow-up period.

Study duration

Treatment was initiated on April 2013 for patient #1 and on April 2014 for patient #9. The last clinical analysis of the surviving patients was performed in September 2016.

Statistical methodology

IgG and IgG-IgM titers were log-transformed and then analyzed in a mixed-effects repeated-measures linear model. Time was treated as a categorical variable. Effects of time and dosage and the interaction of time and dosage were included in the model. The repeated-measures design included an autoregressive correlation structure (AR(1)). Presumably due to the small number of samples in each dosage group, there were no statistically significant differences between the three doses overall (main effect of dosage: \(p\)-value >0.05; interaction of time and dosage: \(p\)-value >0.05) or at any time point (all \(p\)-values >0.05) for any of the four immune responses. In three of the four analyzed immune responses, however, the titers were greatest for three doses, somewhat smaller for two doses and the smallest for a single dose. All three dosage levels were,
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Figure 1 Study schematic time schedule.

Abbreviations: IPI, ipilimumab; SAE, serious adverse event; SIP-T, sipuleucel-T; F/U, follow up; pts, patients; H&P, history and physical

therefore, combined to compare mean titers at different time points. In the combined data set (n = 9 patients), main effects of time were included in the model. The repeated-measures design included a first-order AR(1). Missing data were imputed with a missing-completely-at-random assumption.

Results

Patients

All nine patients had metastatic CRPC (mCRPC) with a rising PSA. Median age was 77 years. Median baseline PSA and Gleason score were 1.7 and 8, respectively. The median number of previous hormonal interventions was 3. Three patients underwent previous local radiation therapy and four patients underwent surgery plus radiation therapy. Two patients did not undergo previous radiation therapy or surgery. Eight patients had bone metastases, and one patient had metastases limited to lymph nodes. One patient underwent previous treatment with docetaxel in the adjuvant setting, and three had previously received abiraterone acetate (Table 1).

Safety and tolerability

All patients received full amounts of the scheduled doses of SIP-T and IPI. There were no unexpected toxicities from SIP-T. IPI caused a transient grade 1 rash in one patient. The rash resolved without treatment. This patient was accrued to the trial at the lowest dose level of IPI and therefore only received one dose of IPI.

Correlative immune studies

The mean PAP IgG titer increased significantly (p-value <0.001) from baseline to post-SIP-T from 252 to 636, a relative increase of 253% (Figure 2). The mean PAP IgG titer also increased significantly (p-value <0.001) from post-SIP-T to post-IPI from 636 to 1554, a relative increase of 244%. The mean PAP IgG titer reached a maximum of
Figure 2 Immunoglobulin titers at pre-SIP, post-SIP, and post-IPI.

Abbreviations: IgG, immunoglobulin G; IpI, ipilimumab; mo, months; PAP, prostatic acid phosphatase; SIP, sipuleucel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Date diagnosed, MM/YY</th>
<th>Gleason score</th>
<th>Surgery/ XRT</th>
<th>Mets Previous hormone interventions, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2/97</td>
<td>4+3</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>b 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2/13</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>b 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>7/93</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>n 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8/95</td>
<td>4+3</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>b 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>b 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8/07</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>b 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>11/08</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>b 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6/15</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>b 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>b 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: XRT, radiation therapy; Mets, metastases.

The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer increased significantly ($p$-value <0.0001) from baseline to post-SIP-T from 920 to 8709, a relative increase of 947%. The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer also increased significantly ($p$-value = 0.002) from post-SIP-T to post-IPI from 8709 to 25,117, a relative increase of 288% (Figure 2). The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer reached a maximum of 25,117 post-IPI. The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer at month 1 remained high with a mean of 23,702, a relative increase of 272% over post-SIP-T. At 11 months, the mean titer decreased significantly from the maximum post-IPI (and was less than the post-SIP-T mean); months 14 and 17 also had significantly lower titers than the apex.

The mean PA2024 IgG titer increased significantly ($p$-value = 0.0001) from baseline to post-SIP-T from 307 to 1600 at month 1 post-IPI follow up, a relative increase of 252% over post-SIP-T. The mean PA2024 IgG titer at month 3 remained high with a mean of 1584, a relative increase of 249% over post-SIP-T. At no time point did the mean titer decrease significantly from the apex at 1 month (all $p$-values >0.05).

The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer increased significantly ($p$-value <0.0001) from baseline to post-SIP-T from 920 to 8709, a relative increase of 947%. The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer also increased significantly ($p$-value = 0.002) from post-SIP-T to post-IPI from 8709 to 25,117, a relative increase of 288% (Figure 2). The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer reached a maximum of 25,117 post-IPI. The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer at month 1 remained high with a mean of 23,702, a relative increase of 272% over post-SIP-T. At 11 months, the mean titer decreased significantly from the maximum post-IPI (and was less than the post-SIP-T mean); months 14 and 17 also had significantly lower titers than the apex.

The mean PA2024 IgG titer increased significantly ($p$-value = 0.0001) from baseline to post-SIP-T from 307 to 1600 at month 1 post-IPI follow up, a relative increase of 252% over post-SIP-T. The mean PA2024 IgG titer at month 3 remained high with a mean of 1584, a relative increase of 249% over post-SIP-T. At no time point did the mean titer decrease significantly from the apex at 1 month (all $p$-values >0.05).

The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer increased significantly ($p$-value <0.0001) from baseline to post-SIP-T from 920 to 8709, a relative increase of 947%. The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer also increased significantly ($p$-value = 0.002) from post-SIP-T to post-IPI from 8709 to 25,117, a relative increase of 288% (Figure 2). The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer reached a maximum of 25,117 post-IPI. The mean PAP IgG-IgM titer at month 1 remained high with a mean of 23,702, a relative increase of 272% over post-SIP-T. At 11 months, the mean titer decreased significantly from the maximum post-IPI (and was less than the post-SIP-T mean); months 14 and 17 also had significantly lower titers than the apex.
post-SIP-T to post-IPI from 741 to 2528, a relative increase of 341% (Figure 2). The mean PA2024 IgG titer reached a maximum at post-IPI. The mean PA2024 IgG titer at month 1 remained high with a mean of 2352, a relative increase of 317% over post-SIP-T. At month 8, the mean titer decreased significantly from the maximum at post-IPI and remained significantly lower at months 11–17 (p-value <0.05).

The mean PA2024 IgG-IgM titer increased significantly (p-value <0.0001) from baseline to post-SIP-T from 1317 to 25,600, a relative increase of 1943%. The mean PA2024 IgG-IgM titer also increased significantly (p-value = 0.001) from post-SIP-T to post-IPI from 25,600 to 98,944, a relative increase of 386% (Figure 2). The mean PA2024 IgG-IgM titer reached a maximum at post-IPI. The mean PA2024 IgG-IgM titer at month 1 remained high with a mean of 81,275, a relative increase of 317% over post-SIP-T. At month 5, the mean titer decreased significantly from the maximum at post-IPI and remained significantly lower at months 8–17 (p-value <0.05).

Clinical outcomes

Three patients died of progressive disease after 9, 18, and 20 months, respectively. Two of those underwent aggressive treatment with chemotherapy, radium 223, palliative radiation, and secondary hormonal maneuvers. The third patient refused subsequent therapy and expired after 9 months. Baseline PSA levels in the three patients who expired were 2.2, 66, and 81, respectively; median baseline PSA for the group as a whole was 1.7 (Table 1). Six patients remain alive, with a median post-treatment follow-up of 36 months. Post-study treatments were typical of those used in men with mCRPC.5

The significant augmentation of immunoglobulin levels seen after three cycles of SIP-T has been consistent in all the reported trials. In our study, the pattern of an additional statistically significant increase in immunoglobulin increase 2 weeks after the third infusion of SIP-T, which was continuously maintained without any further augmentation.9 A similar pattern of maximal immunoglobulin rise soon after the third dose of SIP-T without any further subsequent increase was also observed in the STAND trial.10 This pattern of maximal immunoglobulin increase after three cycles of SIP-T has been consistent in all the reported trials. In our study, the pattern of an additional statistically significant increase in immunoglobulin levels after mini-dose IPI indicates that there are additive or synergistic effects between SIP-T and IPI.

Discussion

SIP-T is a US FDA-approved treatment that prolongs survival in men with mCRPC.5 This autologous cellular vaccine utilizes antigen-presenting cells activated by ex vivo exposure to a recombinant PAP granulocyte-macrophage-colony-stimulating factor fusion protein (PA2024). We hypothesized that low doses (1 mg/kg) of anti-CTLA-4 would be well tolerated post-SIP-T and would result in an augmented immune response to the vaccine. This was indeed the case; up to three doses of IPI at 1 mg/kg were not associated with significant adverse events. The discrepancy between this and published Phase I and Phase III data on IPI in prostate cancer likely reflect the higher doses used in prior studies. It has been reported that men receiving SIP-T who develop augmented immunoglobulin levels to PA2024 and PAP have improved survival.8 Studies of the pattern of rise in immunoglobulin levels after SIP-T show a maximal increase 2 weeks after the third infusion of SIP-T, which was continuously maintained without any further augmentation.9 A similar pattern of maximal immunoglobulin rise soon after the third dose of SIP-T without any further subsequent increase was also observed in the STAND trial.10 This pattern of maximal immunoglobulin increase after three cycles of SIP-T has been consistent in all the reported trials. In our study, the pattern of an additional statistically significant increase in immunoglobulin levels after mini-dose IPI indicates that there are additive or synergistic effects between SIP-T and IPI.

Serum immunoglobulin levels declined to the post-SIP-T/pre-IPI level 5–14 months after the completion of SIPIPI. The significant augmentation of immunoglobulin levels seen after IPI in conjunction with their subsequent decline to baseline after a median of 8 months suggests the possibility

Table 2 Experimental and subsequent treatment outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Start SIP/IPPI</th>
<th>IPI cycles</th>
<th>Base PSA</th>
<th>Rx #1</th>
<th>Rx #2</th>
<th>Rx #3</th>
<th>Rx #4</th>
<th>Last PSA and date</th>
<th>Months since SIP/IPPI treatment completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Abiraterone 1/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2 8/16</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>6/13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>XRT 8/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06 7/16</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>7/13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Abiraterone 2/14</td>
<td>Enzalutamide 3/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 7/16</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>7/13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Abiraterone 10/13</td>
<td>Enzalutamide 6/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.4 7/16</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>Enzalutamide 2/15</td>
<td>XRT 7/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.21 8/16</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>5/14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>Abiraterone 1/5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7 7/16</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>1/14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Enzalutamide 5/14</td>
<td>Tax/Carb 7/14</td>
<td>XRTx 3, Spheres</td>
<td></td>
<td>Expired 8/15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>6/13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>XRT 8/13</td>
<td>Abiraterone 8/13</td>
<td>Jev/Xel 12/14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Expired 2/15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Enzalutamide 1/14</td>
<td>Abiraterone 2/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Expired 7/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Dates are represented in the format “month/year”.

Abbreviations: IPI, ipilimumab; SIPIPI, sipuleucel-T with mini-dose ipilimumab; Rx, treatment; SIR, selective internal radiation; Tax, Taxotere; Carb, Carboplatin; Jev, Jevtana; Xel, Xeloda; XRT, radiation therapy.
that periodic redosing with low-dose IPI might maintain higher immunoglobulin levels and possibly further augment the anticancer effects of the SIPIPI combination. The fact that there was a statistically nonsignificant trend toward higher immunoglobulin levels with two or three doses of IPI (compared to one dose of IPI) also provides some evidence that an even more prolonged increase in immunoglobulin levels might occur with higher or repeated doses of IPI.

Firm conclusions about the clinical efficacy of SIPIPI in such a small series such as ours are difficult to derive. Retrospective analysis showed a potentially greater benefit of SIP-T in men with lower baseline PSA values. Applying this principle to our data, it is noteworthy that the median baseline PSA prior to SIPIPI of the three men who expired was 66, whereas for the six surviving men, the median baseline PSA was 1.7. The potential dichotomy between these two groups, from a survival perspective, is even more sharply defined as the median PSA of the surviving participants at last follow-up remained low at 3.8.

Conclusion

In summary, SIPIPI was well tolerated and resulted in cancer-specific immunoglobulin titers that increased above the pretreatment baseline with SIP-T and were further elevated after the administration of IPI. Over the ensuing 12 months of measurements, these elevated levels were maintained and then began to decline after 5–11 months. At the last clinical follow-up, 36 months after completing treatment, the six surviving patients had a median PSA of 3.8. These data support ongoing trials of SIPIPI in mCRPC with larger patient numbers, albeit with higher doses of IPI.
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