Human omental adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell-conditioned medium alters the proteomic profile of epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines in vitro

Yanling Zhang¹,*
Weihong Dong¹,*
Junjie Wang²
Jing Cai¹
Zehua Wang¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Renhe Hospital, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, People’s Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract: Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been reported to participate in the formation of supportive tumor stroma. The abilities of proliferation and invasion of human epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) cells were significantly enhanced when indirectly cocultured with human omental adipose-derived MSCs (O-ADSCs) in vitro. However, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. In this study, EOC cells were cultured with conditioned medium (CM) from O-ADSCs (O-ADSC), and the effect of O-ADSC CM on the proteomic profile of EOC cells was assessed by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE), followed by liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry. The 2-DE assays revealed a global increase in protein expression in the EOC cells treated with CM. Nine proteins were identified from 11 selected protein spots with differential expression after treatment with CM from O-ADSCs. All the nine proteins have been linked to carcinoma and apoptosis, and the migration ability of tumor cells can be regulated by these proteins. Moreover, the upregulation of prohibitin and serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 1 in EOC cells treated with CM was further confirmed by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. These results suggest that O-ADSCs affect the proteomic profile of EOC cells via paracrine mechanism in favor of EOC progression.
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Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynecologic malignancy and the fifth leading cause of cancer deaths among females. The curative rate is >90% in patients with ovary-confined cancers. However, early symptoms of ovarian cancer are often confused with irritable bowel or premenstrual syndrome. Most patients are diagnosed at a late stage with dissemination of the tumor beyond the ovaries.¹

The omentum is one of the most common sites of ovarian cancer metastasis. However, the reason why the omentum is susceptible to ovarian cancer metastasis is yet unknown. Omental tumor microenvironment has been reported to promote ovarian cancer cell adhesion, invasion, and proliferation. Human omental adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (O-ADSCs) are a population of multipotent mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) contained in the omentum tissue that show tropism toward tumorigenic sites and participate in the formation of supportive tumor stroma. O-ADSCs could engrat in ovarian cancer stroma and contribute to the formation of a hospitable environment.
for cancer cells. Furthermore, O-ADSCs promoted pericyte coverage of blood vessels to enhance tumor vascularization and induced chemotherapy resistance by regulating metabolism via nitric oxide pathways in ovarian cancer. MSCs are known to interact with cancer cells through not only direct cell-to-cell contact but also the secretion of paracrine factors. Recent research showed that conditioned medium (CM) from MSCs significantly increased resistance to ciprofloxacin in urinary tract cancer cell lines. In ovarian cancer, MSC secretions were able to confer chemo-resistance to carboplatin through the inhibition of effector caspase activation and apoptosis blockade. Despite intensive investigation, the influence of MSCs on tumor progression remains unclear. Several studies suggested that MSCs promoted tumor growth, while others reported contradicting results. To explore the impact of O-ADSCs on human epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) development, we previously showed that O-ADSCs significantly promoted the proliferation and invasion of EOC cells in an indirect coculture system. However, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. The aim of this study was therefore to demonstrate the proteomic alterations in ovarian cancer cells resulting from treatment with CM from O-ADSCs and explore how O-ADSCs contribute to ovarian cancer progression.

Materials and methods

Reagents and apparatus

Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) was purchased from Gibco (Waltham, MA, USA), and fetal bovine serum (FBS) was also purchased from Gibco. Urea, CHAPS (zwitterionic detergent (CHAPS)), dithiothreitol (DTT), immobilized pH gradient (IPG) buffer, Tris-HCl, and IPG strips (17 cm, pH 4–7, linear) were purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA). Collagenase (Type I), protease inhibitory cocktail, and thiolourea were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), glycerol, Tris base, agarose, glycine, and Coomassie R-250 were purchased from BioSharp (Hefei, China). Iodoacetamide was purchased from TCI (Portland, OR, USA). Bromophenol blue was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). TRIzol reagent and reverse transcription kit were purchased from Takara (Shiga, Japan). Fast SYBR Green Master Mix was purchased from Toyobo (Osaka, Japan).

Isolation and culture of O-ADSCs from omentum tissue

Omentum tissue was obtained from cancer-free female donors from omentum tissue. Isolation and culture of O-ADSCs were purchased from Takara (Shiga, Japan). Fast SYBR Green reagent and apparatus were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). TRIzol reagent and reverse transcription kit were purchased from TCI (Portland, OR, USA). Bromophenol blue was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). TRIzol reagent and apparatus were purchased from BioSharp (Hefei, China). Iodoacetamide was purchased from TCI (Portland, OR, USA). Collagenase (Type I), protease inhibitory cocktail, and thiolourea were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), glycerol, Tris base, agarose, glycine, and Coomassie R-250 were purchased from BioSharp (Hefei, China). Iodoacetamide was purchased from TCI (Portland, OR, USA). Collagenase (Type I), protease inhibitory cocktail, and thiolourea were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), glycerol, Tris base, agarose, glycine, and Coomassie R-250 were purchased from BioSharp (Hefei, China). Iodoacetamide was purchased from TCI (Portland, OR, USA). Bromophenol blue was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). TRIzol reagent and reverse transcription kit were purchased from Takara (Shiga, Japan). Fast SYBR Green Master Mix was purchased from Toyobo (Osaka, Japan).
SKOV3 was cultured for 7 days. For further confirmation of protein with differential expression in EOC cells treated with O-ADSC CM, cells were cultured for 0.5–1, 2, 4, and 6–8 days. EOC cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS as control.

Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE)

Cells were lysed with cold rehydration mix (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 65 mM DTT, and 0.2% IEP buffer) including 1 mM protease inhibitory cocktail for 10 min and then disrupted by ultrasound (VCX 130; Sonics, Newtown, CT, USA) for 10 cycles (on for 5 s and off for 10 s) at the amplitude of 35% on ice followed by centrifuging at 16,060g at 4°C (Labofuge 400R; Heraeus, Hanau, Germany) for 70 min, and finally, the supernatants were collected. Protein concentration was measured by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

2-DE was performed using a PROTEAN® IEF Cell apparatus (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For isoelectric focusing (IEF), a sample containing 2,500 μg protein was added to the above rehydration mix to make a final volume of 500 μL. The rehydration was performed with IPG strips (17 cm, pH 4–7, linear) for 14 h at 17°C. The IEF was run according to the following procedure: 350 V linear for 1 h, 750 V rapid for 1.5 h, 1,000 V rapid for 1.5 h, 10,000 V linear for 5 h, followed by 10,000 V rapid for 60,000 V h. After completion of IEF, the strips were conditioned in an equilibration buffer (7 M urea, 20% SDS, 20% glycerol, and 0.375 M Tris-HCl) containing 2% DTT for 13 min with gentle shaking and, then, transferred to an equilibration buffer containing 2.5% iodoacetamide for another 13 min with gentle shaking. For the second-dimension protein separation, the strips were placed in a gel cassette. The top reservoir contained the sealant solution (25 mM Tris base, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, and 0.001% bromophenol blue) and the bottom reservoir contained Tris-glycine buffer (2-De) 192 mM agarose, 0.1% SDS, and pH 8.3). The strips were run at a constant voltage setting: 80 V for 1 h, then 120 V until the bromophenol dye front migrated to the lower end of the gels. These experiments were repeated three times.

Gel imaging and analysis

After electrophoresis, the gels were stained by a modified Coomassie R-250 method, as previously described. Then, the gels were imaged using a scanner (GS-800 Calibrated Densitometer; Bio-Rad) and further analyzed using PDQuest (Version 8.0; Bio-Rad).

Protein analysis by liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) technology

According to their nearly neutral isoelectric point (pI) and more obvious difference in abundance, spots of interest were manually excised from the 2-DE gels and destained by washing with a mixture of 200 mM NH4HCO3/acetonitrile (1:1). Following reducing with DTT, alkylating with iodoacetamide, and digesting in-gel with trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), the proteins were degraded into peptides, which were lyophilized and subsequently dissolved in 2% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid. Each fraction was subjected to analysis by LC–MS/MS using a Nano HPLC (Eksigent, Dublin, CA, USA) coupled to a QSTAR Elite mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA).

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from cells using the TRIZol reagent, and cDNA was synthesized from 1,000 ng of total RNA using a reverse transcription kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The qRT-PCR was performed using an ABI StepOnePlus thermocycler (Applied Biosystems) using the Fast SYBR Green Master Mix. The sequences of the primers are listed in Table 1. Expressions of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), TATA box binding protein (TBP), and ribosomal protein, large, P0 (RPLP0) were used for normalization. The reactions for serine/arginine-rich splicing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Primer sequences for qRT-PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Forward primer sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>GTCCTTGGACACATCTGACCTTCGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRSF1</td>
<td>GGAACACAGATGGCCGATCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>TTGACCAACCAACTGCTAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP</td>
<td>TGCGAGCAGGCCAAGAGTGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPLP0</td>
<td>TAAAACCCCTGATGGCACATCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; PHB, prohibitin; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; RPLP0, ribosomal protein, large, P0; SRSF1, serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 1; TBP, TATA box binding protein.
factor 1 (SRSF1), TBP, and GAPDH were performed by two-step thermal cycling method: 95°C for 1 min, 40 PCR cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 59°C for 20 s, followed by generating melting curves to check the specificity of the reactions. The reaction condition for prohibitin (PHB) and RPLP0 was similar, but the annealing temperature was different as follows: PHB (61°C) and RPLP0 (60°C). Each reaction was repeated in triplicate. The results were calculated using the Ct (2^-ΔΔCt) method. These experiments were performed in three times using three EOC cell lines (SKOV3, A2780, and HO-8910).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11.0. The results of qRT-PCR were expressed as mean ± SD, and differences between groups were analyzed using the Student’s t-test and one-way analysis of variance as appropriate. All tests were two tailed, and the statistical significance level was set at P<0.05.

Results
O-ADSC CM alters the proteomic profile of human ovarian cancer cells
To explore the effects of O-ADSCs on the proteomic profile in EOC, proteomic expression analysis by 2-DE was performed using SKOV3 cells cultured in O-ADSC CM for 7 days. SKOV3 cells cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS were used as control. The results of gel analysis revealed an adequate reproducibility of assays and a global increase in protein expression in the cells treated with CM (757±60.7 vs 558±15.0 spots, P=0.019). 2-DE analysis revealed a total of 165 spots, which demonstrated a threefold increase or decrease in the protein expression level in the O-ADSC CM-treated SKOV3 cells relative to the control cells. Among these spots, 119 spots exhibited an increased expression and 46 spots showed a decreased expression in SKOV3 cells treated with O-ADSC CM compared to those cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS (Figure 1).

Functional significance of differentially expressed proteins
Eleven spots with differential expression were chosen for protein identification by LC–MS/MS analysis (Figure 1). Finally, the following nine proteins were identified (Table 2): PHB, SRSF1, β-actin (ACTB), tropomyosin 4 (TPM4), Cathepsin D (CTSD), heat shock protein 60 (HSP60), cytokinin 1 (CK1), pyruvate kinase isozyme M1/M2 (KPYM), and peroxiredoxin 3 (PRDX3). All the proteins have been reported to be relevant in tumor progression, and they may be associated with tumor formation (HSP60, PHB, and SRSF1) and cancer chemoresistance (KPYM, PHB, CTSD, and PRDX3) and used as clinical markers for cancer diagnosis (CK1 and TPM4). We summarized their associated diseases and functions in Table 3.

O-ADSC CM upregulates the mRNA level of PHB and SRSF1 in human ovarian cancer
Mutational activation of the Ras–Raf–MEK–ERK kinase pathway is frequently observed in a wide range of human cancers, including gynecological cancer, and PHB has been demonstrated to be mandatory for this signaling pathway.15,16 As

---

Figure 1 Representative two-dimensional electrophoretic images of human epithelial ovarian cancer SKOV3 cells untreated (A) and treated with CM from O-ADSC (B).

Notes: Eleven spots with significant alterations in expression that were subjected to mass spectrometry identification are encircled in white. Among them, spots 1–10 are enlarged and spot 11 becomes smaller after treatment with O-ADSC CM. No magnification.

Abbreviations: CM, conditioned medium; O-ADSC, omental adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell.
listed in Table 2, PHB was involved in tumor progression in ovarian cancer. 17,18 Resistance to chemotherapy usually arises in ovarian cancer. Major signaling pathways were observed to upregulate in this process, including Wnt/β-catenin, and SRSF1 was required for the synthesis of β-catenin protein. 19,20 Recent studies have shown that SRSF1 determined wild-type P53 expression in ovarian cancer. 21 Therefore, we further verified the differential expression of PHB and SRSF1 in three EOC cell lines (SKOV3, A2780, and HO-8910) with or without treatment with O-ADSC CM by qRT-PCR.

Compared with untreated human EOC cells, PHB mRNA expression was increased in SKOV3 (2.110±0.235 folds, P=0.006), A2780 (3.522±0.956 folds, P=0.015), and HO-8910 (6.748±1.969 folds, P<0.001) cells after treatment with O-ADSC CM for 4–8 days (Figure 2A–C). Similarly, O-ADSC also upregulated the mRNA level of SRSF1 in

Table 2 Characteristics of differentially expressed protein alterations in SKOV3 cells between treated and untreated groups with O-ADSC CM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot number</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Mascot score</th>
<th>MW (Da)</th>
<th>pl</th>
<th>Up/downregulated after treatment with CM</th>
<th>Abbreviation name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>JAC06007</td>
<td>60 kDa heat shock protein</td>
<td>4,335</td>
<td>61,187</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>HSP60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>P60709</td>
<td>β-Actin</td>
<td>1,871</td>
<td>42,052</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>ACTB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NP-01193728</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase isozyme M1/M2</td>
<td>1,421</td>
<td>58,470</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>KPYM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CAG46507</td>
<td>Prohibitin</td>
<td>1,012</td>
<td>29,843</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NP-008855</td>
<td>Serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 1</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>27,842</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>SRSF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>No significant hits to report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AA14156</td>
<td>Cathepsin D</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>45,037</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>CTSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 and 9</td>
<td>P04624</td>
<td>Cytokeratin 1</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>66,170</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>CKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>P30048</td>
<td>Peroxiredoxin 3</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>28,017</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>PRDX3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NP-001138632</td>
<td>Tropomyosin 4</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>28,619</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>Downregulated</td>
<td>TPM4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CM, conditioned medium; MW, molecular weight; O-ADSC, omental adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell; pl, isoelectric point.

Table 3 Associated disease and functions of identified proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot number</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Associated disease (reference)</th>
<th>Protein function (reference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60 kDa heat shock protein</td>
<td>Colorectal cancer40 and hepatocellular carcinoma41</td>
<td>Involved in protein import and correct folding and associated with tumorigenesis40 and underexpression following treatment with chemotherapeutic agent41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>β-Actin</td>
<td>Colorectal neoplasia42</td>
<td>Always used as denominators of gene expression quantitation, but overexpression in colorectal neoplasia42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase isozyme M1/M2</td>
<td>Human malignant melanoma43</td>
<td>Associated in cell apoptosis43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Prohibitin</td>
<td>Ovarian cancer18</td>
<td>Stabilization of mitochondrial function,17,29 inhibits the intrinsic apoptotic pathway,18 and required for Ras-induced Raf–MEK–ERK activation and epithelial cell migration16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 1</td>
<td>Non-small-cell lung cancer25 and breast cancer26</td>
<td>A splicing factor as an oncoprotein,24 involved in tumor poor prognosis by promoting survivin stability,25 promotes mammary epithelial cell transformation,26 and enhances Wnt signaling activation20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cathepsin D</td>
<td>Pancreatic cancer44 and human cervical cancer45</td>
<td>Correlates with malignant progression44 and antiapoptotic mediator by inducing autophagy45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 and 9</td>
<td>Cytokeratin 1</td>
<td>Breast cancer44 and hepatocellular carcinoma44</td>
<td>Diagnosis marker44,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Peroxiredoxin 3</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma,46 prostate cancer,4 breast cancer,10 and ovarian cancer11</td>
<td>Involved in chemoresistance46–50 associated with NF-κB signaling pathway52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tropomyosin 4</td>
<td>Ovarian cancer53</td>
<td>Diagnosis marker53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MEK, mitogen-activated protein/extracellular signal-regulated kinase kinase; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB.
SKOV3 (1.844 ± 0.44 folds, \( P = 0.016 \)), A2780 (2.825 ± 0.991 folds, \( P = 0.038 \); 4.952 ± 1.488 folds, \( P < 0.001 \)), and HO-8910 (40.476 ± 19.574 folds, \( P = 0.033 \)) cells treated with O-ADSC CM for 4–6 days (Figure 2D–F).

**Discussion**

Given that O-ADSCs represent an important component in the tumor microenvironment promoting ovarian cancer progression, we were interested in the influence of O-ADSCs on the proteomic profile of EOC cells, which can be helpful in understanding the biological mechanisms underlying the protumorigenic role of O-ADSCs. CM, a cell-free bioactive substrate, plays a pivotal role in various pathophysiological processes through paracrine mechanism. Treating tumor cells with CM from MSCs has been used as a useful model in many cancers, including ovarian cancer. Therefore, we evaluated the effect of O-ADSCs on the proteomic profile of EOC cells through this model. In this study, we found significant proteomic alterations in EOC cells after O-ADSC CM treatment and identified a serial of proteins with differential expression on the base of differential expression analysis of 2-DE results. Indeed, these proteins have been implicated in regulating a variety of biological behaviors of tumor cells, including cell apoptosis, migration, and chemoresistance. These findings strengthen the evidence supporting the tumor-promoting effect of O-ADSCs even in the absence of direct contact with tumor cell and open a door for further research on the paracrine-based mechanism.

Recently, adipose-derived MSCs (ADSCs) have been shown to release extracellular vesicles (EVs) that might include exosomes, microvesicles, and other entities. Accumulating evidence indicates that EVs play a critical role in the tumor–stroma cell interaction. Moreover, a series of paracrine factors secreted by ADSCs, including FGF10, VEGFC, and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), have been reported to promote tumor progression through microenvironment network, such as activating Wnt signaling in colon cancer cells. These findings support that ADSCs can regulate tumor cell function in the absence of direct cell–cell contact as shown in this study.

We found that PHB and SRSF1 were significantly upregulated in EOC cells cultured with O-ADSC CM. PHB,
mainly positioning in mitochondria, plays an important role to maintain mitochondria stabilization. In ovarian cancer, PHB has been reported to regulate cancer cell proliferation, apoptosis, and migration, partially through mediating MMP-2 and MMP-9 expressions. Moreover, in PHB-deficient cells, C-Raf kinase failed to interact with active Ras induced by epidermal growth factor and the adhesion complex proteins cadherin and β-catenin relocalize to the plasma membrane, resulting in stabilizing adherent junctions. There is now compelling evidence showing that PHB undergoes phosphorylation in response to insulin, growth factor, and immune stimulation, playing an important role in these signaling pathways. The expressions of MMPs are also regulated by growth factors in ovarian cancer. Several studies have demonstrated that MSCs are capable of producing a wide range of growth factors including epidermal growth factor. Maybe secreted growth factors are one of the mechanisms involved in elevated PHB level and probably further regulated MMPs in EOC cells treated with O-ADSC CM. SRFSF1 is a splicing factor that identified as an oncoprotein. SRFSF1 is overexpressed in several human cancers and has been shown to promote the proliferation, transformation, and survival of cancer cells by enhancing survivin expression. In addition, SRFSF1 could specially enhance β-catenin accumulation and Wnt signaling activation. Moreover, ADSCs regulated the expression of survivin and the activation of Wnt signaling in cancer cells via paracrine mechanism. Thus, the proteomic alterations resulting from O-ADSC CM, together with previous literature, cast light on the paracrine-mediated tumor-promoting activity of O-ADSCs in ovarian cancer.

In our previous study, O-ADSCs were found to be able to exacerbate the proliferation, invasion, and migration of EOC cells. Recently, Chen et al found that ADSCs could enhance the metastatic capacity of colon cancer cells by inducing epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and colon cancer cells in turn enhanced the paracrine signaling of ADSCs with unaltered differentiation potential. More importantly, emerging evidence showed that cancer cells could induce ADSCs to exhibit the phenotype of tumor-associated myofibroblasts (TAFs), which provided a favorable environment for tumor progression. In the present study, O-ADSC CM was used to investigate the effect of normal ADSCs on EOC cells. This experiment modal excludes the effect of direct ADSC–EOC cell contact and the influence of tumor cell on ADSC and, thus, may represent the conditions of an early-stage tumor.

Conclusion
Our findings indicate that O-ADSC CM alters the proteomic profile of EOC cells to promote tumor malignant progression, which shed light on the interaction between O-ADSCs and EOC cells, but the identification of the specific molecules, which are responsible for the observed protumorigenic effect of O-ADSC CM on EOC cells, should be further investigated.
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