Preexposure of MCF-7 breast cancer cell line to dexamethasone alters the cytotoxic effect of paclitaxel but not 5-fluorouracil or epirubicin chemotherapy
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Purpose: Glucocorticoids (GCs) are often administered prior to any chemotherapeutics to prevent the secondary effects of anticancer agents. Glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) are expressed in several types of cancer cells, particularly in several histological types of breast cancer. Activation of GRs is not associated with any specific cellular response. Both proapoptotic and antiapoptotic responses have been observed, depending on the study or the type of breast cancer cells. Therefore, it is of relevance to investigate the possible modulation of apoptotic effect of chemotherapeutic agents when cancerous cells have previously been exposed to GCs.

Methods: In vitro cell growth was assayed by counting MCF-7 cells upon exposure to epirubicin (25 nM), 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) (15 µM), and paclitaxel (15 nM), either with or without prior exposure to the GC dexamethasone (Dex) (100 nM).

Results: Following preexposure to Dex, the antiapoptotic activity of paclitaxel was significantly reduced by 8.5% ($p<0.05$), but the activities of epirubicin and 5-FU remained unaltered.

Conclusion: In light of the finding that the response of MCF-7 cells pretreated with Dex was significantly reduced, we recommend that the function of GCs should be defined more precisely if they are to be used in conjunction with chemotherapy.
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Introduction

Glucocorticoids (GCs) are steroid hormones that are critically involved in regulating and resolving inflammatory processes in mammals. They are also involved in many other essential processes, including cellular metabolism, differentiation, apoptosis, and immune response.1 Clinically, GCs are used to treat allergic reactions and inflammatory or autoimmune diseases, to reduce soft tissue edema after solid organ transplantation, and to eliminate malignant lymphoid cells by triggering apoptotic cell death.2 Various doses of GCs, most commonly dexamethasone (Dex), are frequently administered throughout the course of chemotherapeutic treatment for solid tumors in order to reduce toxicity and to protect normal tissue from the negative effects of continued exposure to genotoxic drugs. Dex is particularly effective in preventing chemotherapy-related hyperemesis.3–5 First-line chemotherapy for early and advanced stage breast cancers is based mainly on anthracyclines (doxorubicin or epirubicin), cyclophosphamide, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), and taxanes (primarily paclitaxel).6,7 Taxanes, such as paclitaxel, are among the most common chemotherapeutic agents currently used for the treatment of breast cancer. Use of taxanes was initially
limited because of hypersensitivity reactions, but once these could be adequately managed, largely by premedication with GC, taxane chemotherapy became part of the standard breast cancer treatment in most Western countries.4

Glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) have been identified in several types of cancerous cells, including breast cancer cell lines such as MCF-7.9–11 Exposure of these receptors – functional in MCF-7 cells – to Dex has been reported to inhibit cell proliferation.12 Other in vitro studies have shown that GCs inhibit the growth of estrogen receptor (ER) α-positive (ERα-positive) (eg, MCF-7) cells by arresting cell cycle in G0/G1 phase. In contrast, proliferation of ERα-negative (eg, MDA-MB-231) cells is not inhibited by treatment with GCs, suggesting that GCs inhibit the proliferation of breast cancer cells via the ERα signaling pathway.13 GCs and mineralocorticoids can also cross talk with progesterone receptors to induce a progesterone-like effect in breast cancer.14 However, Dex treatment of MCF-7 cells has also been reported to promote cell proliferation by upregulating c-Myc, which is induced by the promotion of NFκB transcriptional activity.12,15 Loss of GR activation has been observed in BRCA1-mutated breast tissue.9 While the presence of GRs in specific breast cancer cell lines has been clearly established, their activation is associated with multiple and opposite effects. GCs exert an antiapoptotic effect. This antiapoptotic effect was studied further and could be mediated by the induction of the expression of other genes frequently associated with protection from cell apoptosis, such as Bcl-XL, BAK, SGK-1, and MKP-1. Concomitantly, Dex also reinforces its survival effect by downregulation of proapoptotic genes.16–18 Dex induces the expression of genes associated with protection against cell apoptosis. GRs disrupt p53-mediated regulation of cell survival. Loss of p53 activity has been linked with a range of human cancers. P53 mediates cell apoptosis in case of DNA damage or hypoxia.19

The majority of chemotherapy patients receive a preadministration of Dex. We deemed that this sequential treatment (ie, administration of Dex followed by a chemotherapeutic agent) warranted further assessment. The present study aimed to investigate what influence Dex treatment, prior to the administration of a chemotherapeutic agent (5-FU, epirubicin, or paclitaxel), had on the proliferation of MCF-7 cells.

Materials and methods
Cell culture
MCF-7 cells obtained from (ATCC Bioresource Centre, Manassas, VA, USA), were maintained at 37°C in a humidified cell incubator with a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Essential Medium (DMEM) containing phenol red and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (all from Life Technologies). For the experimental procedures, cells were seeded in DMEM (phenol red-free) supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped FBS and 100 nM 17β-estradiol.

Measurement of cell culture growth by cell counting
MCF-7 cells were plated at a density of 15,000 cells/mL in 12-well dishes and then incubated at 37°C with a 5% CO2 atmosphere. The following day, the cell cultures were given fresh medium, with or without Dex (control [CT]), at a concentration of 100 nM for 30 min. The cells were then incubated for 4 hours in a medium containing 15 nM paclitaxel (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or 15 µM 5-FU (Merck). Cells were treated with epirubicin hydrochloride (Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK) by culturing the cells for 3 days in the presence of 25 nM of the drug. Measurement of cell proliferation was performed 3 days after the drug treatments. Cells were dislodged from the surface of the culture vessel by treatment with 1 mL of trypsin–EDTA solution (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 min. After vigorous pipetting, the number of cells in the suspension was determined using an electronic cell counter (model Z1 Coulter counter; Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). The cell counter did not count nonviable cells and only recorded the number of live cells. An equivalent number of cells were counted for each experiment regardless of the treatment conditions.

Statistical analysis
SigmaPlot® 11 software (Systat Software Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analyses. Parametric analysis was performed by Student’s t-test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Cell numbers decreased by more than half (p<0.001) when we incubated MCF-7 with 100 nM Dex for 30 min compared to untreated (CT) cells (Figure 1). No significant difference was seen between the samples exposed to epirubicin or to Dex and then epirubicin (Figure 2). This was also the case for the samples treated with 5-FU or Dex followed by 5-FU (Figure 3). By contrast, a statistically significant difference (p=0.038) was noted between samples treated with paclitaxel or with Dex followed by paclitaxel (Figure 4). Preexposure of MCF-7 cells to Dex before paclitaxel treatment reduced the cytotoxic effect of paclitaxel by 8.5%.
Discussion

GCs have been reported to have multiple modes of action, thus explaining their proapoptotic and antiapoptotic effects on ER-positive or ER-negative breast cancer cells, respectively. As GCs are administered systematically with epirubicin- and paclitaxel-based chemotherapies, the consequences of GC exposure in terms of the chemotherapeutic effect warranted further investigation.

We chose to study the apoptotic effects of epirubicin, 5-FU, and paclitaxel when MCF-7 cells were preexposed to Dex because they are the three main drugs currently used in routine chemotherapy. Since the anthracyclines doxorubicin and epirubicin have similar mechanisms of action, we did not perform additional testing with doxorubicin. In this study, we demonstrated that the apoptotic activity of paclitaxel was significantly reduced (by 8.5%) when MCF-7 cells were preexposed to Dex. No significant effect of Dex was seen with exposure to the chemotherapeutic agent 5-FU or epirubicin. Under in vitro conditions, paclitaxel enhances the polymerization of tubulin so as to form stable microtubules. It also interacts directly with microtubules and protects them from depolymerization by cold or calcium, both of which can readily depolymerize microtubules in the absence of the drug. Paclitaxel arrests cells at the G2–M phase of the cell cycle by the stabilization of spindle microtubules. However, the exact mechanism by which paclitaxel induces apoptosis is not clear. An explanation could be that taxanes act more than docetaxel
by phosphorylation of bcl2, thus abrogating the normal antiapoptotic function of bcl2.21

Wu et al21,18 demonstrated that pretreatment of breast cancer cell lines by Dex inhibits chemotherapy-induced apoptosis in a GR-dependent manner and that it is associated with transcriptional induction of at least two genes identified in our screening – SGK-1 and MKP-1.

By contrast, there was no difference in cell proliferation following treatment with 5-FU and epirubicin. Their mechanisms of action, however, are different from that of paclitaxel. 5-FU needs to be converted at the nucleotide level in order to exert its effect. It can be incorporated into RNA, leading to interference with the maturation of nuclear RNA. Its conversion to 5-fluoro-2′deoxy-5′monophosphate, which leads to interference with the maturation of nuclear RNA, is, however considered to be its main mechanism of action.15,22 Epirubicin is an anthracycline antibiotic; its antitumor activity is caused by its ability to interfere with transcription, resulting in inhibition of RNA synthesis.23

Under in vitro conditions, several, at times antagonistic, actions of GCs have been described.2,3,8-14 This underscores the relevance of a direct study of preexposure to GCs prior to chemotherapy. In our study, the results were dependent on the specific chemotherapeutic agent being administered, which may explain by the different cytotoxic mechanisms of these chemotherapeutics. However, the result of this study is not sufficient to warrant changing the current therapeutic approach. Other factors most certainly influence the tumor response in vivo. Indeed, it has been proposed that the peritumoral stroma and cancer-associated fibroblasts play an important role in breast tumorigenesis.24 The observed decrease in the efficacy of paclitaxel on MCF-7 cells previously exposed to Dex is, however, not sufficient to warrant changing the current therapeutic approach. Indeed, it has been proposed that the peritumoral stroma and cancer-associated fibroblasts play an important role in breast tumorigenesis.17,24 For example, in tumors that exhibit an aggressive metastatic phenotype, such as luminal HER2-positive and triple-negative, metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) expression is significantly increased.25 Dex could be acting at this level, by alleviating the effect of MMP-2.19,26 More recently, we demonstrated frequent expression of GRs in breast cancer-associated fibroblasts. The presence of GRs within breast tumors and the adjacent peritumoral stroma must be taken into account when GCs are prescribed to cancer patients. As shown in this study, GCs could substantially decrease the cellular response(s) caused by exposure to chemotherapeutics. The use of GCs other than Dex could be a solution. Recently, Orqueda et al showed that the rigid steroid 21-hydroxy-6,19-epoxyprogesterone (21OH-6, 19OP), a selective GR ligand, behaves as a dissociated GC that retains anti-inflammatory action without affecting the apoptotic process triggered by chemotherapeutic drugs. Indeed, contrary to Dex, 21OH-6, 19OP neither reverts the paclitaxel-induced caspase-3 activity nor induces the antiapoptotic Bcl-X(L) gene expression in murine tumor mammary epithelial cells.27

Conclusion
Since GCs affect the behavior of numerous cancer cell types, their function should be defined more precisely if they are to be used in conjunction with chemotherapy. Indeed, in this study we demonstrated a decrease in the response of MCF-7 cells to paclitaxel when they had been pretreated with Dex. Use of other antiemetogenic molecules should be considered in light of these results.
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