Dementia and delirium, the outcomes in elderly hip fracture patients
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Background: Delirium in hip fractured patients is a frequent complication. Dementia is an important risk factor for delirium and is common in frail elderly. This study aimed to extend the previous knowledge on risk factors for delirium and the consequences. Special attention was given to patients with dementia and delirium.

Methods: This is a retrospective cohort study performed in the Amphia Hospital, Breda, the Netherlands. A full electronic patient file system (Hyperspace Version IU4: Epic, Inc., Verona, WI, USA) was used to assess data between January 2014 and September 2015. All patients presented were aged \( \geq \) 70 years with a hip fracture, who underwent surgery with osteosynthesis or arthroplasty. Patients were excluded in case of a pathological or a periprosthetic hip fracture, multiple traumatic injuries, and high-energy trauma. Patient and surgical characteristics were documented. Postoperative outcomes were noted. Delirium was screened using Delirium Observation Screening Scale and dementia was assessed from medical notes.

Results: Of a total of 566 included patients, 75% were females. The median age was 84 years (interquartile range: 9). Delirium was observed in 35%. Significant risk factors for delirium were a high American Society of Anesthesiology score, delirium in medical history, functional dependency, preoperative institutionalization, low hemoglobin level, and high amount of blood transfusion. Delirium was correlated with a longer hospital stay \( (P=0.001) \), increased association with complications \( (P<0.001) \), institutionalization \( (P<0.001) \), and 6-month mortality \( (P<0.001) \). Patients with dementia \( (N=168) \) had a higher delirium rate \( (57.7\%, P<0.001) \) but a shorter hospital stay \( (P<0.001) \). There was no significant difference in the 6-month mortality between delirious patients with \( (34.0\%) \) and without dementia \( (26.3\%) \).

Conclusion: Elderly patients with a hip fracture are vulnerable for delirium, especially when the patient has dementia. Patients who underwent an episode of delirium were at increased risk for adverse outcomes.
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Introduction

Hip fractures are an important cause of hospitalization in elderly people and, even those with major comorbidities, are in need of surgical intervention. The number of persons aged \( >70 \) will double within the next three decades, which will result in an increase in the incidence of hip fractures.\textsuperscript{1}

More than any other type of fracture, a hip fracture in elderly is associated with loss of independence, high morbidity and mortality (36%), and high health care costs.\textsuperscript{2}

A major complication in elderly hip fracture patients is delirium, with an incidence rate varying from 13% to 70%.\textsuperscript{3-12} Postoperative delirium is associated with poor outcomes, such as impaired functional and cognitive recovery, increased hospital length of stay, higher costs, and increased mortality.\textsuperscript{3-12}
No strong evidence exists on the treatment of delirium.\textsuperscript{13,14} However, Inouye et al\textsuperscript{8} stated that in the general geriatric population, 30\% to 40\% of the delirium episodes could be prevented by treatment of the risk factors. Several other studies were performed on delirium prevention in hip fracture patients, but the evidence remains weak.\textsuperscript{14–16} Orthogeriatric care for example has been described as an effective treatment for this patient group, but delirium was not always taken into account or the evidence was weak.\textsuperscript{17,18} Furthermore, a diversity of risk factors has been described in a heterogeneous group of patients and studies. Most studies were conducted on frail elderly, cardiothoracic surgery patients, patients admitted to long-term facility care or intensive care unit (ICU).\textsuperscript{19–22}

Dementia is one of the most important risk factors for delirium in the elderly and its prevalence is increasing.\textsuperscript{23} The effects of dementia on the outcome after delirium (delirium superimposed on dementia) have been rarely described and, to the best of our knowledge, never in elderly hip fracture patients.\textsuperscript{24,25}

The goal of this study was to add to the previous knowledge on the risk factors for delirium in elderly hip fracture patients. In addition, this study investigated the effects of dementia on delirium and the outcomes after an episode of delirium in this patient category.

**Patient and methods**

**Patient selection**

Ethical approval was deemed unnecessary by the institutional review board of the Amphia hospital due to its retrospective nature. Written consent was also deemed unnecessary by the institutional review board. Patients aged \(\geq 70\) years with an isolated hip fracture were included between January 1, 2014 and August 31, 2015 at the Amphia Hospital, Breda, the Netherlands. Patients included in this study underwent hip replacement therapy or osteosynthesis. In the event of a second hip fracture during the course of this study, data related to only the first hip fracture was used.

A hip fracture was defined as proximal femur fracture and classified according to the Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen (AO) classification: 31 A or 31 B. Femoral head fractures (AO 31 C) were not included.

Patients with a pathological or a peri-prosthetic hip fracture, as well as patients with multiple traumatic injuries and high-energy trauma were excluded.

The follow-up was documented by physicians and registered nurses. All study parameters were collected in an electronic patient file system: Hyperspace Version IU4 (Epic, Inc., Verona, WI, USA). Data were collected in validated scoring systems used in the electronic patient file, eg, Katz Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living (Katz-ADL), Short Nutritional Assessment Questionnaire for Residential Care, Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form, or Delirium Observational Screening Scale (DOSS) screening score. All data were analyzed retrospectively.

The follow-up was 6 months or until death. Date of mortality was retrieved from the CompeT&T database (Eindhoven, the Netherlands).

**Preoperative data**

Patient characteristics, sex, age, comorbidity, and factors of frailty were documented. Burden of comorbidity was assessed by the Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) and American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) physical status classification.\textsuperscript{26,27}

The CCI categorizes comorbidities and weighs them from 0 to 6, with 6 having the highest chance for mortality. Age is also included with 1 point for each decade since the age of 50. The sum of all the factors results in the CCI score.\textsuperscript{28}

The presence of the following comorbidities were registered, these were grouped as follows: 1) previous hip fracture; 2) presence of cardiac morbidity (eg, arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, heart failure, valve insufficiency or stenosis, acute coronary syndrome, and coronary artery bypass graft); 3) pulmonary morbidity (eg, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysema, tuberculosis, asthma, lung cancer, and lung embolus); 4) neurological morbidity (eg, cerebrovascular accident or transient ischemic attack, epilepsy, Parkinson, meningioma, subarachnoid bleeding); 5) renal insufficiency; 6) previous delirium and dementia; 7) presence of diabetes; and 8) osteoporosis. Also the use of anticoagulants was registered. The duration of admission until surgical intervention was noted.\textsuperscript{29}

**Factors of frailty**

Nutritional status was assessed using the Short Nutritional Assessment Questionnaire for Residential Care score, which is based on the body mass index, loss of weight and reduced appetite, and need of help with eating. A cut-off of 3 points or more was used to indicate severe undernourishment.\textsuperscript{30}

Physical impairment was evaluated using the Katz-ADL score. The Katz-ADL scores physical performances: bathing, dressing, toileting, transfers, feeding, and continence. Less than 6 points indicated functional impairment and <2 points indicated severe functional impairment.\textsuperscript{31}
Social status and living arrangement, use of alcohol, vision, and hearing problems were scored.

Treatment
The following procedures were performed for hip fracture repair: inter- or subtrochanteric fractures (AO 31 A types) were repaired by a gamma nail (Stryker Corporation®, Kalamazoo, MI, USA) or a dynamic hip screw (Depuy-Synthes®, PA, USA).

Intracapsular fractures (AO 31 B types) were treated with either osteosynthesis (dynamic hip screw, a dynamic locking blade plate (Gannet®, Groningen, the Netherlands) or Depuy-Synthes cannulated screws) or hemi- or total arthroplasty.

Information was collected concerning the type of surgery, type of anesthesia, duration of anesthesia (intubation and extubation time), blood loss (mL), and events which occurred during surgery. All the patients had received preoperative prophylactic antibiotics.

Primary outcome
The DOSS was used to screen for postoperative delirium (POD). DOSS were taken daily during each nurse shift. A DOSS ≥3, during three or more nurse working shifts in a row, was considered as highly specific for delirium and a criterion to consult a geriatrician. This was also done in case there was any doubt about the diagnosis, and in patients with dementia. For the current study, the clinical notes of the geriatric department were analyzed to confirm the diagnosis of delirium.

Delirium duration was defined as the number of days with DOSS ≥3. If a patient was discharged with high DOSS (≥3), the duration of the delirium was counted until the day of discharge.

Use of prophylactic or therapeutic medication (eg, haloperidol, lorazepam, or seroquel) was started by protocol and noted. The physician could deviate from the protocol.

Other postoperative outcomes
Adverse events were divided into surgery-related events (eg, wound infection, postoperative bleeding, hardware failure of open reduction internal fixation material or prosthetic luxation after hip replacement and a new hip fracture within 6 months) and medical events (eg, cardiac, urinary, neurological, or pulmonary).

Data were gathered on ICU admission, the duration of hospital stay (excluding in-hospital mortality patients), anemia, and the amount of administered packed cells. Packed cells were indicated when hemoglobin level was <5 mmol/L (8 g/dL) in patients >60 years in the general population and <6 mmol/L (9.7 g/dL) in patients with cardiac conditions or symptomatic anemia. Mortality within 6 months after surgery, location of discharge (home with or without care or institutionalization) was described.

Statistical analysis
Frequencies and descriptive statistics were used for reporting the baseline characteristics of the variables in our study population.

Differences in categorical variables between patients with or without delirium were presented as frequencies with percentages and tested using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. The Kolmogorov–Smimov test was used to define normal distribution for continuous variables. Differences in continuous variables were presented as medians (interquartile ranges [IQR]) or means (standard deviation) and were tested using the Mann–Whitney U-test or Student’s t-test, respectively.

Length of delirium with respect to medication was compared using the Kruskal–Wallis test.

To perform a univariate risk analysis of risk factors related to the incidence of delirium, odds ratios with 95% confidence interval were used. Multivariate analysis was done, using logistic regression to correct for confounder (if the rule of thumb was not violated). All significant risk factors were adjusted for age. Survival rates were calculated using Kaplan–Meier analysis, and differences with respect to subgroups were analyzed using the Log-rank test.

A P-value <0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software.

Results
Baseline clinical characteristics
Table 1 shows all baseline preoperative characteristics. Data of 566 included patients were extracted from the electronic patient files. Of these patients, 425 patients (75%) were females and the median age was 84 years (IQR: 9). The ASA score of 3 was most often documented (364 patients, 63.3%) and the median CCI was 6 (IQR: 2). The majority of the patients had an intracapsular fracture (58%).

In 506 patients (89.4%), surgery occurred within 24 hours of admission. Median duration of hospital stay in the total patient group was 6 days (IQR: 3).

Delirium
A total of 196 patients (35%) had a delirium during hospital stay and follow-up. One patient was re-admitted within
1 week after discharge to the geriatric ward with delirium. Within the delirious group, 146 patients were women (74%). The median age was 85.5 (IQR: 8), P<0.001. Preoperatively, 46 patients were delirious. In the majority of the patients with POD (112 patients, 74.7%), delirium started 1 day after surgery. The median delirium duration was 4 days (IQR: 4) (Table 2).

### Risk factors for delirium

Logistic regression was permitted because the rule of thumb was not violated (ten variables were found, and there were 196 events of delirium).

The following risk factors were significantly associated with incidence of delirium on univariate and multivariate analyses, respectively (adjusted for age): age (OR: 2.65 [1.71–4.10]), CCI (1.17 [1.06–1.30]), and ASA score (2.47 [1.62–3.75]), (0.45 [0.30–0.70]); the presence of dementia (0.25 [0.16–0.35]), (0.26 [0.18–0.38]); a previous delirium episode (0.29 [0.20–0.44]), (0.31 [0.21–0.46]); functional dependency (Katz-RC) (0.23 [0.16–0.34]), (0.26 [0.18–0.40]); postoperative hemoglobin level (0.77 [0.66–0.92]), (0.83 [0.70–0.98]); the amount of transfusions (1.23 [1.06–1.43]), (1.20 [1.03–1.40]); home care prior to admission (0.23 [0.15–0.33]), (0.26 [0.17–0.38]); institutionalization prior to admission (0.28 [0.10–0.41]), (0.31 [0.21–0.45]). Table 2 summarizes the risk factors for delirium.

The amount of packed cell transfusions in the delirious group ranged from 0 to 8 packed cells (median: 0, IQR: 1), whereas the amount of blood transfusions in the nondelirious group ranged from 0 to 6 packed cells (median: 0, IQR: 1), P=0.041.

### Perioperative risk factors

Osteosynthesis was performed in 266 cases (47%): a gamma nail in 173 patients (30.6%), a dynamic hip screw in 63 patients (11.1%), a Gannet in 18 patients (3.2%), and three Cancellous screws 12 patients (2.1%).

A total of 300 patients (53%) underwent prosthetic repair, of which 35 underwent total arthroplasty (6.2%) and 265 hemi-arthroplasty (47%).

No significant difference was found between the incidence of delirium and the use of either osteosynthesis or arthroplasty (P=0.738; Table 2).

### Postoperative outcome

The following postoperative outcome were significantly correlated with delirium: longer length of hospital stay (median 6 days [IQR: 6], P=0.002), higher rate of complications (94 patients, 48.5%, P<0.001) and higher incidence of mortality within 6 months (59 patients, 30.1%, P<0.001).

A significantly higher rate of patients with a delirium were care-dependent and admitted to a nursing home (180 patients, 91.8%, P<0.001). Table 3 shows the outcomes after delirium. Mortality is shown in the Kaplan–Meier curve (Figure 1).

### Delirium and dementia

A total of 168 patients (30%) had a form of dementia at admission. One hundred twenty-two patients (73%) had dementia, DOSS ≥3 during three work shifts in a row was...
Table 2 Risk factors for delirium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delirium outcomes</th>
<th>No delirium (N=370) (65.4%)</th>
<th>Delirium (N=196) (34.6%)</th>
<th>Total N=566</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>(uni) Odds ratio (CI 95%)</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative delirium</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>46 (23.5)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of delirium (days), Median (IQR)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium at discharge</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>100 (51)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died while delirious</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk factors**

- **Sex**
  - Female (ref)
    - 279 (75.4)
    - 146 (74.5)
    - 425
  - Male
    - 91 (24.6)
    - 50 (25.5)
    - 141
  - 0.811

- **Age**
  - 70–79
    - 126 (34.0)
    - 32 (16.3)
    - 158
  - 80+ (ref)
    - 244 (66.0)
    - 164 (83.7)
    - 408
  - <0.001

- **Burden of comorbidity**
  - CCI, Median (IQR)
    - 5.0 (3)
    - 6.0 (2)
    - 0.001
  - ASA
    - 1–2
      - 132 (35.7)
      - 36 (18.4)
      - 168
    - 3–4 (ref)
      - 238 (64.3)
      - 160 (81.6)
      - 398
    - <0.001

- **Medical history**
  - Contralat hip fracture
    - 28 (7.6)
    - 17 (8.7)
    - 45
    - 0.644
  - Cardial
    - 164 (44.3)
    - 85 (43.4)
    - 248
    - 0.827
  - Pulmonal (COPD)
    - 68 (18.4)
    - 39 (20.0)
    - 107
    - 0.660
  - Neurological
    - 127 (34.3)
    - 76 (38.7)
    - 203
    - 0.293
  - Renal insufficiency
    - 49 (13.2)
    - 33 (16.8)
    - 82
    - 0.660
  - Dementia
    - 71 (19.2)
    - 97 (49.5)
    - 168
    - <0.001
  - 0.25 (0.16–0.35)
  - 0.26 (0.18–0.38)

- **Previous delirium**
  - 61 (16.5)
    - 78 (40.0)
    - 139
    - <0.001
  - 0.29 (0.20–0.44)
  - 0.31 (0.21–0.46)

- **Missing data (%)**
  - 6 (1.1)

- **AO classification**
  - 31a1 (ref)
    - 54 (14.6)
    - 35 (17.9)
    - 89
    - 0.666
  - 31a2
    - 62 (16.8)
    - 33 (16.8)
    - 95
    - 0.8 (0.5–1.5)
  - 31a3
    - 30 (8.1)
    - 19 (10.0)
    - 49
    - 0.9 (0.4–1.8)
  - 31b1
    - 53 (14.3)
    - 21 (10.7)
    - 74
    - 0.6 (0.3–1.2)
  - 31b2
    - 81 (22.0)
    - 37 (19.0)
    - 118
    - 0.7 (0.4–1.3)
  - 31b3
    - 90 (24.3)
    - 51 (26.0)
    - 141
    - 0.8 (0.5–1.5)
  - 0.393
  - 0.82 (0.53–1.32)

- **Kind of anesthesia**
  - General (ref)
    - 292 (78.9)
    - 161 (82.1)
    - 453
  - Epidural
    - 77 (20.8)
    - 35 (17.8)
    - 112

- **Duration of surgery, Median (IQR)**
  - <24 hours (ref)
    - 130 (35.1)
    - 62 (31.6)
    - 192
    - 0.696
    - 1.16 (0.801.70)
  - 24–48 hours
    - 202 (54.6)
    - 112 (57.1)
    - 314
    - 0.738
    - 0.94 (0.66–1.33)
  - >48 hours
    - 38 (10.3)
    - 22 (11.1)
    - 60

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delirium outcomes</th>
<th>No delirium (N=370) (65.4%)</th>
<th>Delirium (N=196) (34.6%)</th>
<th>Total N=566</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>(uni) Odds ratio (CI 95%)</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin level (mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative Hb level, Median (IQR)</td>
<td>7.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>7.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>7.76 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.051^</td>
<td>0.86 (0.73-1.02)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.70-0.98)^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative Hb level, Median (IQR)</td>
<td>6.6 (1.5)</td>
<td>6.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>6.42 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.002^</td>
<td>0.77 (0.66-0.89)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.57-0.82)^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfused</td>
<td>99 (26.8)</td>
<td>66 (33.7)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>1.40 (0.95-2.02)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.93-1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount, Median (IQR)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.041^</td>
<td>1.23 (1.06-1.43)</td>
<td>1.20 (1.03-1.40)^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home care prior to admission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care prior to admission</td>
<td>154 (41.6)</td>
<td>149 (76.0)</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.23 (0.15-0.33)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.17-0.38)^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home</td>
<td>78 (21.1)</td>
<td>96 (48.5)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.28 (0.10-0.41)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.21-0.45)^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care at home</td>
<td>76 (20.8)</td>
<td>53 (27.0)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.71 (0.47-1.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication use^c</td>
<td>No medication (ref)</td>
<td>303 (82.0)</td>
<td>22 (11.2)</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.06 (0.04-0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prophylactic</td>
<td>62 (16.7)</td>
<td>71 (36.2)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic</td>
<td>5 (1.3)</td>
<td>103 (52.6)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.00 (6.88-47.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Log regression for univariate measurements; CI 95%; adjusted OR for age. Katz ≤ score 5 physical impairment, Katz ≥ score 2 severe physical impairment. ^Excluding dementia and delirium. H1, haloperidol, seroquel, lorazepam. Significant association with delirium when adjusted for age; Pearson chi-square test; ^Mann–Whitney U-test; IQR: Interquartile range (of the median), missing data described in case of missing data and significant outcome.

Abbreviations: ASA, American Society of Anesthesiology; BMI, body mass index; cc, cubic centimeter; CI, confidence interval; CCI, Charlson comorbidity score; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Hb, hemoglobin; IQR, interquartile range; Katz, Katz Index of Independence; OR, odds ratio; SNAQ, Short Nutritional Assessment Questionnaire; uni, univariate odds ratio.

The observed incidence of delirium in our study population (34%) and specifically in patients with dementia (58%) is in line with previous studies. Inouye et al described that delirium in the elderly population occurred in 13%–55%, with a higher percentage in surgical patients, especially hip fracture patients. Fick et al described 32% delirium in the specific group of patients with dementia. However, this was in nonsurgical patients. Striking is the fact that 46 of the 196 patients were delirious before surgery. In these cases, prevention of delirium was not possible.

The risk factors shown in our study that correlate with delirium should be assessed at admission to prevent delirium. Several risk factors in the current study are in line with previous research. Former studies used the ASA scale, as well as the CCI or Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation score. A high score, correlating with severe comorbidities, was often found to be significantly related to POD. In the current study, a high score on the ASA scale and CCI is also reported as significantly related to POD.

Functional dependency was confirmed as a significant risk factor for delirium. However, Oh et al described that after multivariate analyses, functional impairment was not significantly correlated with delirium. These studies all used other measurements to define functional impairment.
The daily consumption of alcohol, visual and hearing impairment are often described as risk factors for delirium.\textsuperscript{8,39} This was not confirmed in our study but is often described in general medicine.

This study also concluded that POD was highly correlated with short-term complications and long-term adverse outcomes. These outcomes are consistent with former research.\textsuperscript{8,22,24,42}

The POD was significantly associated with pulmonary and cardiac complications, urinary tract infections and bladder retention, ICU admission, length of hospital stay, functional dependency, and mortality.\textsuperscript{32,42} Besides comorbidity and the surgical procedure, these postoperative complications could have caused the delirious episode. The question

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative outcomes</th>
<th>No delirium</th>
<th>Delirium</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=370 (65.4%)</td>
<td>N=196 (34.6%)</td>
<td>N=566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ICU admission, Median (IQR)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.657\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>8 (2.1)</td>
<td>6 (3.0)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.573\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU (\geq) 2 days</td>
<td>2 (0.5)</td>
<td>2 (1.0)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.612\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with a complication</td>
<td>93 (25.1)</td>
<td>94 (48.0)</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralateral hip fracture within 6 months</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (2.0)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.014\textsuperscript{d}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxation</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.670\textsuperscript{e}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSM outbreak</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>4 (2.0)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.505\textsuperscript{f}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac complication</td>
<td>7 (1.8)</td>
<td>9 (4.6)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary complication</td>
<td>10 (2.7)</td>
<td>17 (8.7)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological\textsuperscript{g}</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>7 (3.6)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.037\textsuperscript{h}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal function disorders</td>
<td>6 (1.6)</td>
<td>13 (6.6)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>14 (3.8)</td>
<td>23 (11.7)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder retention</td>
<td>15 (4.0)</td>
<td>17 (8.7)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>2 (1.0)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.276\textsuperscript{i}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative bleeding</td>
<td>11 (2.9)</td>
<td>10 (5.1)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other complications\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>25 (6.7)</td>
<td>30 (15.3)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodynamic instability</td>
<td>6 (1.6)</td>
<td>10 (5.1)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>6 (1.6)</td>
<td>12 (6.1)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>2 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.000\textsuperscript{j}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac decompensation</td>
<td>6 (1.6)</td>
<td>6 (3.0)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.258\textsuperscript{k}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac arrhythmia (AF)</td>
<td>4 (1.0)</td>
<td>6 (3.0)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.102\textsuperscript{l}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA/TIA</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>7 (3.5)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.037\textsuperscript{m}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve damage</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.000\textsuperscript{n}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital stay, Median (IQR)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001\textsuperscript{o}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>7 (3.6)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.055\textsuperscript{p}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6-month mortality\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>38 (10.4)</td>
<td>52 (27.5)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mortality &lt;6 months</td>
<td>42 (11.4)</td>
<td>59 (30.1)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care after discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same residence</td>
<td>151 (40.8)</td>
<td>95 (48.5)</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New residence</td>
<td>215 (58.1)</td>
<td>94 (48.0)</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home without care</td>
<td>26 (7.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home with care</td>
<td>54 (14.6)</td>
<td>8 (4.1)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>286 (77.3)</td>
<td>180 (91.8)</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Pearson chi-square test; *Fisher’s Exact Test; *Mann–Whitney U-test. \textsuperscript{a}Excluding delirium. \textsuperscript{b}Decubitus, ileus, scrotal abscess, electrolyte abnormalities, abdominal complaints, irregular glucoses values, iatrogenic complications during admission. \textsuperscript{c}Excluding in-hospital mortality. \textsuperscript{d}Revalidation, nursing home, or hospice.\textsuperscript{e}Abbreviations: CVA/TIA, cerebrovascular accident or transient ischemic attack; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range; AF, atrial fibrillation; OSM, osteosyntheses material.
Table 4 Delirium superimposed on dementia. Incidence and outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative outcomes</th>
<th>No dementia</th>
<th>Dementia</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=398 (%)</td>
<td>N=168 (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium (n=196)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative delirium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of delirium, Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium without dementia; N=99 (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with ≥ one complications</td>
<td>57 (57.6)</td>
<td>37 (38.1)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac complication</td>
<td>6 (6.0)</td>
<td>3 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.498*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary complication</td>
<td>13 (13.1)</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>5 (5.1)</td>
<td>3 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.721*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal function disorders</td>
<td>8 (8.1)</td>
<td>5 (5.1)</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>13 (13.1)</td>
<td>10 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder retention</td>
<td>11 (11.1)</td>
<td>6 (6.2)</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other complications</td>
<td>20 (20.2)</td>
<td>10 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodynamic instability</td>
<td>6 (6.1)</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
<td>0.748*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>9 (9.1)</td>
<td>3 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac decompensation</td>
<td>4 (4.0)</td>
<td>2 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.683*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac rhythm disorder</td>
<td>2 (2.0)</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
<td>0.442*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA/TIA</td>
<td>3 (3.0)</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
<td>0.719*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital stay, Median (IQR)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New residence</td>
<td>64 (64.6)</td>
<td>29 (29.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home</td>
<td>86 (88)</td>
<td>92 (94.8)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>5 (5.1)</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.445*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 month mortality</td>
<td>21 (22.3)</td>
<td>31 (32.6)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mortality &lt;6 months</td>
<td>26 (26.3)</td>
<td>33 (34.0)</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Excluding delirium. †Decubitus, ileus, scrotal abscess, electrolyte abnormalities, abdominal complaints, irregular glucose values, iatrogenic complications during admission. ‡Excluding in-hospital mortality; Pearson chi square test; §Fisher’s Exact Test; ‡Mann–Whitney U-test.

Abbreviations: CVA/TIA, cerebrovascular accident or transient ischemic attack; DSD, delirium superimposed on dementia; IQR, interquartile range of the median.

remains which occurred first, the episode of delirium or the other postoperative complications.7,8

It was expected that patients with dementia and with delirium would have worse outcome compared to nondemented patients. However, this was not confirmed in this study.

The non-demented patient group with a delirium had a significantly higher complication rate, a higher chance to be admitted to a new nursery home and a longer length of stay. Patients with dementia often quickly return to their previous nursery home and therefore have a shorter length of stay and a lower chance for other complications during hospital stay.

As described before, delirium, in general, has important short- and long-term adverse outcomes. Patients with dementia are vulnerable, due to their functional dependency and (often) poor nutritional state. This could lead to the expectation that the outcomes would be worse than the outcomes of nondemented patients.44 In addition, former research has clearly described the impact of delirium in patients with dementia and found that these patients required a longer hospital stay and showed greater functional impairment.25 However, functional impairment was described by Katz-ADL at follow-up, whereas our study described functional
dependency using Katz-ADL and the need for care or institutionalization at admission and discharge. These outcomes found in our study are explained by the fact that most patients with dementia already were institutionalized and discharged early back to their nursing homes. By contrast, patients who were not demented and became delirious had to be admitted to a new nursing home for rehabilitation. Waiting lists for rehabilitation care in our setting are long, which explains a longer hospital stay and the increased risk for complications.

Mortality within 6 months was higher in delirious patients than in nondelirious patients, which is in line with former research. However, Dolan et al also described an increased risk for mortality in delirious patients, described that after adjustment for confounders, delirium was no longer significantly associated with mortality.

The mortality rate in patients with dementia did not significantly differ from patients without dementia, which is in line with former research of Fick et al and McCusker et al. However, both studies were conducted in nonsurgical wards. The present article is one of a few to describe outcomes after delirium, with specific attention to patients with dementia, i.e., delirium superimposed on dementia.

Limitations and strength
This study has several limitations. This study was set up retrospectively and therefore not all predictors for delirium could be gathered and some were incomplete. Cognitive impairment or dementia was evaluated using medical notes. No distinction was made between severity of cognitive impairment. In addition, dementia often presents itself at admission, which might result in an underestimation of the number of patients with dementia in this study. Unfortunately, in our clinic informed-based interview like Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly was not used to determine dementia. DOSS is officially a validated instrument for screening delirium and does not diagnose whether a patient is delirious, but it has a high sensitivity of 97%. DOSS has never been validated for patients with dementia, but geriatricians have diagnosed delirium in this patient category.

The strength of this study is that a great number of patients are investigated. The electronic patient file, which was implemented in 2013, was used to gather all data. In addition, also patients with dementia were included, which was often an exclusion criterion in other studies.

Conclusion
Our results demonstrate the need for: 1) improvement of preventive care for delirium; 2) specific attention to patients with dementia for frailty and prevention of delirium; and 3) early diagnosis and treatment of delirium and its underlying causes.

It is crucial to identify and validate as many risk factors as possible to create a risk model for vulnerable patients of each specific patient group. In addition, more research is needed to define risk factors for delirium and to obtain knowledge on the outcomes after delirium, especially in patients with dementia.

Disclosure
This research is funded by an “unrestricted grant” by the Amphia fund for innovation. The author reports no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


