Topical medication utilization and health resources consumption in adult patients affected by psoriasis: findings from the analysis of administrative databases of local health units

Valentina Perrone
Diego Sangiorgi
Stefano Buda
Luca Degli Esposti
Clicon S.r.l. Health, Economics & Outcomes Research, Ravenna, Italy

Aim: The objectives of this study were to: 1) analyze the drug utilization pattern among adult psoriasis patients who were newly prescribed with topical medication; and 2) assess their adherence to topical therapy and the possibility of switching to other strategies in the treatment process.

Methods: An observational retrospective analysis was conducted based on administrative databases of two Italian local health units. All adult subjects who were diagnosed with psoriasis or who were newly prescribed for topical medication with at least one prescription between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2014, were screened. Only patients who were “non-occasional users of topical drugs” (if they had at least two prescriptions of topical drugs in a time space of 2 years) were considered for the first and second objectives in the analysis. The date of the first prescription of topical agents was identified as the index date (ID), which was then followed for all time available from ID (follow-up period). The adherence to therapy was assessed on the basis of cycles of treatment covered in the 6 months before the end of the follow-up period. The mean health care costs in patients who switched to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) or biologics after the ID were evaluated.

Results: A total of 17,860 patients with psoriasis who were newly prescribed for topical medication were identified. A total of 2,477 were identified as “non-occasional users of topical drugs”, of whom 70.2% had a prescription for a topical fixed combination regimen at ID. Around 19% adhered to their medication, whereas 6% switched to other options of psoriasis treatment. Multivariable logistic regression model shows that patients on fixed combination treatment were less likely to be non-adherent to treatment and less likely to switch to other treatments. The annual mean pharmaceutical costs were €567.70 and €10,606.10 for patients who switched to DMARDs and biologics, respectively.

Conclusion: Our findings show that the use of fixed combination topical treatment can lead to improve the likelihood of patients being adherent to treatment and can decrease the likelihood of switching the treatment to DMARDs or biologics.
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Introduction
Psoriasis is a chronic inflammatory skin disorder that impacts negatively on patients’ physical and psychological well-being, reduces quality of life, and causes significant economic burden in terms of loss of productivity and increased utilization of health care resources.1 Psoriasis is associated with higher risk of developing cardiovascular
Psoriasis affects ~2%--3% of the Caucasian population; recent data indicate that the incidence of the disease among the adult population in Italy is 230/100,000 person-years. In Italy, it has been estimated that about 1.5 million of people are affected by this inflammatory disease.7

This chronic disorder has no cure; however, there are many treatment options available whose goal is to reduce disease severity and symptoms. Treatment options include traditional topical therapies such as vitamin D analogs, corticosteroids, dithranol, and tar preparations as first-line therapy. Second-line therapy includes treatment with phototherapy and non-biological systemic agents. Third-line therapy includes systemic biological therapies including treatment with anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha agents and anti-IL12-23 monoclonal antibody.7–9

The choices of treatments depend on many important factors that influence decision making, such as disease severity, location and characteristics of the lesion, and patients’ preference.9,10 Lack of adherence to topical therapy regimens or incorrect use can cause poor treatment outcomes.11 Because the course and symptom of psoriasis vary from person to person, physician must experiment or combine different treatments to ensure that every patient receives best therapy. Recent guidelines have recommended the use of current antipsoriatic therapies in daily practice.8–10,12 Topical therapy plays an important role in the treatment of psoriasis and it is considered the most appropriate therapy option for patients with mild-to-moderate disease.10,13 However, there is still limited evidence on the management of psoriasis with topical medication in routine clinical practice in Italy.

The objectives of this study were to: 1) analyze the drug utilization pattern among adult psoriasis patients who were newly prescribed with topical medication; and 2) assess their adherence to topical therapy and the possibility of switching to other strategies in the treatment process.

**Methods**

**Data sources**

The study was based on administrative databases (DBs) of two Italian local health units (LHUs), located in Lombardy and Campania, covering a total population of more than 1.5 million health-assisted individuals. The structure of this database has been described in detail elsewhere.14,15

Briefly, the following DBs were used: 1) the health-assisted subjects’ DB, which contains patient data such as sex and data of birth; 2) outpatients and inpatients pharmaceutical drugs DB, which contains information regarding prescription records such as the Anatomical-Therapeutic-Chemical (ATC) code of the drug purchased, the number of packages, the number of units per package, and the dosages; 3) hospital discharge DB, which contains all hospitalization data with discharge diagnosis codes classified according to the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM); and 4) finally, the medical exemption register, which contains the records of all disease exemptions, including the exemption code (identifying the disease for which the exemption was granted). The anonymous data file is routinely used by the regional health authorities for epidemiological and administrative purposes. Informed consent is not required for using encrypted retrospective information, according to Italian regulations. As per Italian regulations regarding the conduct of the observational analysis,16 this study has been notified to the local Ethics Committee in of the each participating LHU (Pavia, Lombardy; Caserta, Campania) and each LHU Ethics Committee has approved the study.

**Study population**

An observational retrospective analysis was conducted. All adult subjects aged ≥18 years with at least one hospitalization with a main or secondary diagnosis of psoriasis (ICD-9-CM code: 696.1) or newly prescribed for topical medications with at least one prescription of topical antipsoriatic drugs (ATC code: D05A) between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2014, were screened for eligibility. In agreement with recent published evidence,17,18 only naïve psoriasis patients who received at least two prescriptions of topical antipsoriatic drugs (ATC code: D05A) in a time space of 2 years were recruited in the analysis. We considered patients who were newly prescribed for topical medications as “occasional users of topical drugs” if they had only one prescription of topical drugs and as “non-occasional users of topical drugs” if they had at least two prescriptions of topical drugs in a time space of 2 years, respectively. Only the cohort of patients who were “non-occasional users of topical drugs” was considered for the first and second objectives in the analysis. Patients were also stratified according to prescription of single component formulations of topical drugs or combined treatment (fixed combination vitamin D analog [calcipotriol] and corticosteroid [betamethasone dipropionate]).
The index date (ID) was defined as the data of the first prescription of topical agents in patients with psoriasis in the study period, which represents the enrollment day of each patient, who was then followed for all time available from ID to the last prescription of topical antipsoriatic drugs or to the first switch to other treatment strategies (follow-up period). The number of prescriptions filled for the index drug was recorded. The median length of time that patients continued their index therapy was also evaluated. Patients who transferred to another LHU and/or patients with concomitant pathologies (rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn’s disease) were excluded. Additionally, all subjects with unconventional switching between treatment strategies were also excluded (e.g., patients who switched from disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs [DMARDs] to topical agents or from biological drugs to topical agents).

Definitions and study outcomes
Data on baseline characteristics, including demographics, risk factors, and medical history, were collected. The clinical characteristics of the patients enrolled in this study were also investigated in the 1-year period before the ID. Naïve patients were defined as those who had no prior topical agents prescription filled during the 1 year preceding the enrollment date. Comorbidities were measured using the Charlson comorbidity index.19

The adherence to topical therapy was assessed on the basis of cycles of treatment covered during the 6 months before the end of the follow-up period (last topical prescription or switch to DMARDs or biologic therapy). A cycle of treatment with gel formulation and ointment or other formulation was defined as 56 and 28 days, respectively.20 Current recommendations on the management of psoriasis’ suggest that topical agents should be used for almost two treatment cycles before switching to another treatment options such as DMARDs or biological agents. For this reason, we defined that a patient was adherent if there was at least one cycle of treatment in the past 6 months available during the follow-up period.

A switch was defined to occur when a new prescription (say medication B) filled by the patient was for a different product than the previous prescription (say, medication A). The treatment to which the switch occurred could be a systemic or biologic treatment. Switching was evaluated in all the patients included in the study and in a subgroup of patients treated with a fixed combination of calcipotriol and betamethasone dipropionate given as a gel or topical suspension formulation at ID without taking into consideration the last prescription the patient had in the past 6 months. This analysis allowed to evaluate the switch more accurately.

Cost analysis
The mean health care costs were evaluated in patients with switches to DMARD or biologic agents after the index therapy. Costs are reported in Euros (€). The consumption of health care resources was classified as related or not related to the disease analysis. Drug costs were evaluated using the Italian National Health Service (NHS) purchase price. Hospitalization costs were determined using the diagnosis-related group tariff. The cost of instrumental and laboratory tests was defined according to the tariffs applied by the regions. The cost analysis was conducted from the perspective of the Italian NHS.

Statistical analysis
We summarized data as mean and standard deviation (median and range as appropriate) for continuous variables and as numbers and percentages for categorical variables. When comparisons were made, groups were assessed and compared using the Students’ t-test. Qualitative variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies.

To identify factors potentially associated with therapeutic switch, a multivariable Cox regression model was used. To assess the proportional hazards assumption, Schoenfeld residuals were analyzed.

Covariates considered in the models were age, sex, adherence to therapy, disease exemption (exemption code: 045.696.1), and/or hospitalization (ICD-9-CM code: 696.1) records, with diagnosis of psoriasis, during the follow-up period. Effect sizes were reported as hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to analyze the effect of various factors on adherence to therapy and to determine the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs. We used age, sex, and variables that were clinically relevant and significantly associated with adherence in univariate analyses. p-values of ≤0.05 were considered to be statistically significant, and all analyses were performed using STATA 12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results
A total of 18,245 study participants were identified in the database with at least one hospitalization with a diagnosis of psoriasis or newly prescribed for topical medications with at least one prescription of topical antipsoriatic drugs between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2014. All subjects with...
unconventional switching treatment strategies were excluded from analysis (N=385). Of these, 15,383 patients were identified as patients newly prescribed for topical medications who had only one prescription and were grouped as “occasional users of topical drugs”. A total of 2,477 patients were identified as patients newly prescribed for topical medications with at least two prescriptions of topical agents in a time space of 2 years and were grouped as “non-occasional users of topical drugs”. Most relevant determinant of exclusion was single prescription of topical antipsoriatic drugs in the 2-year time spaces. Figure 1 shows the details of the inclusion and exclusion criteria of this study.

Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of patients (non-occasional users of topical drugs), stratified according to prescription of topical drugs alone or in fixed combination, adherence to treatment, and switching of therapy. Of the 2,477 patients included in the analysis, the mean (SD) age at the ID was 56.3±17 years, of whom 1,359 (55%) were males. Of these 2,477 patients, 29.8% (n=739) had a prescription for monocomponent of topical drugs and 70.2% (n=1,738) had a prescription for fixed combination of topical drugs.

Table 1 Baseline of patients, stratified according to topical antipsoriatic drug prescription and level of adherence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>Topical drugs</th>
<th>Adherence</th>
<th>Switch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes n (%)</td>
<td>No n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>2,477 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>56.3 ± 17.0</td>
<td>58.2 ± 16.6</td>
<td>55.5 ± 17.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,359 (55)</td>
<td>391 (53)</td>
<td>968 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI &gt;0</td>
<td>104 (4)</td>
<td>37 (5)</td>
<td>67 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease exemption* and/or hospitalization records for psoriasis**</td>
<td>115 (5)</td>
<td>39 (5)</td>
<td>76 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Exemption code: 045.696; **ICD-9-CM code: 696.1; *ATC code: D05AX02; **ATC code: D05AX52.

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; ATC, anatomical therapeutic chemical.
topical suspension formulations at baseline. Of the 2,477 patients, the average number of prescriptions filled was 2.6 per year and 2.3 per year for those who received index therapies with a fixed combination and monocomponent, respectively.

The percentage of adherent patients to topical drugs was 18.7% (n=464) of a total sample (Table 1); of these patients, 74.6% (n=346) and 25.4% (n=118) received index therapies with a fixed combination and monocomponent, respectively. Considering the multivariate predictors of adherence to therapy, a lower 25% probability of non-adherence was observed among fixed combination therapy users (OR =0.755, 95% CI: 0.599–0.950, p =0.017; Table 2).

Among the non-occasional users of topical drugs, approximately 6% (n=156) of patients switched to other treatment options for psoriasis during the follow-up period (Table 1); of these, 50.6% (n=79) patients received fixed combination topical treatment, while 49.4% (n=77) received monocomponent treatment. With regard to switchers, about 0.5% of patients switched to a DMARDs and then to a biologic, while −5% and 1% switched to DMARDs and biologics, respectively. The median length of time that switched patients continued their index therapy was 38.2 months.

Among patients treated with fixed combination gel at ID without prescriptions in the past 6 months (n=695), −10% (n=70) switched to other treatment options for psoriasis during the follow-up period. About 1% of this cohort of patients switched to a DMARD and then to a biologic, while 8.2% and 1% switched to DMARDs and biologics, respectively. The median length of time that switched patients continued their index therapy was 40.6 months. However, among patients who were treated with ointment or topical suspension formulations of fixed combination at baseline without prescriptions in the past 6 months (n=1,782), 5% (n=86) switched to other treatment options for psoriasis during the follow-up period. Less than 0.5% of this cohort of patients switched to a DMARD and then to a biologic, while 3.6% and about 1% switched to DMARDs and biologics, respectively. The median length of time that switched patients continued their index therapy was 37.5 months.

A multivariable logistic model showed that the use of fixed combination therapy was associated with a lower probability of switching to another treatment (HR =0.568, p =0.001) (Table 3). Annual health care costs for the management of psoriasis patients based on resource consumption from ID are as follows: €687.6 for patients who switched to DMARDs, of which about 83% was spent on drugs (€427.5 specifically related to DMARDs), and €10,776.8 for patients who switched to biologics, of which about 98% was spent on drugs (€10,411.7 specifically related to biologics).

### Discussion

This retrospective analysis in an unselected Italian population under clinical practice setting showed that only about 14% of all patients with psoriasis who were newly prescribed for topical medications had at least two prescriptions of topical agents in a time space of 2 years.

In other words, a significant proportion of psoriasis patients did not receive more than one prescription of topical drugs in the period of analysis. This is consistent with previous findings,21 and since there was no direct measure of disease severity, the patients on topical treatments may have had limited disease or they may have used topical agents as adjunctive treatment and, therefore, may not have needed continuous treatment. Nevertheless, according to recently published evidence,22 psoriasis is a chronic disease that requires continuous treatment to achieve optimal control of

### Table 2 Predictors of non-adherence: multivariable logistic regression model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.988–1.000</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.666–1.005</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson comorbidity index</td>
<td>1.056</td>
<td>0.829–1.346</td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical drug, fixed combined formulation*</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.599–0.950</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease exemption* and/or hospitalization records for psoriasis**</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.400–0.952</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Exemption code: 045.6961; **ICD-9-CM code: 696.1; *ATC code: D05AX02.

**Abbreviations:** OR, odd ratios; CI, confidence interval; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; ATC, anatomical therapeutic chemical.

### Table 3 Predictors of probability of therapy substitution: multivariable proportional hazards Cox regression model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.975–0.994</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.724–1.370</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson comorbidity index</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.153–1.293</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.551–1.210</td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical drug, fixed combined formulation*</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.411–0.785</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exemption codes* or hospitalization**</td>
<td>2.921</td>
<td>1.950–4.376</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Exemption code: 045.6961; **ICD-9-CM code: 696.1; *ATC code: D05AX02.

**Abbreviations:** HR, hazard ratios; CI, confidence interval; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; ATC, anatomical therapeutic chemical.
inflammatory activity and to minimize skin involvement, despite the fact that disease severity may wax and wane.

Currently, several topical therapies are available and there are both positive and negative aspects to each, but the preferences of patients and clinicians affect the choice of treatment.10 The majority of patients enrolled in this study had a prescription for fixed combination vitamin D analog/corticosteroid therapy at ID. In recent years, several studies have reported the efficacy and safety of fixed combination regimens and its advantages compared with individual components.10,24–27 Indeed, a recent Cochrane review of this therapy area has shown that use of fixed combination of vitamin D/corticosteroid could be better compared with individual active components administered alone in patients with mild–moderate psoriasis.11 At the same time, real-world evidence suggest that combined treatment with vitamin D analog/corticosteroid therapy is preferred to single components used as monotherapies by most patients with psoriasis.25,28

The success of psoriasis treatment depends not only on the efficacy of the medication but also on the patient, for example, patients should follow doctor’s advice and the medications prescribed. In patients with psoriasis indicated for antipsoriatic drug treatment, optimal use of psoriasis therapy can limit the physical manifestations of psoriasis and help improve quality of life, but non-adherence is common.29,30 Considering that patients with chronic disorders are less likely to be compliant with treatment regimen compared with those with acute conditions;31 non-adherence is one of the key factors in the real-life effectiveness of treatments for chronic disorders, such as psoriasis.32 Medication non-adherence can have harmful effects on optimal management of psoriasis: non-adherence leads to poor outcomes, more hospitalizations, and significantly higher health care costs.30,33–35 Our findings are consistent with previous investigations36,37 that demonstrated a suboptimal adherence to topical treatments, likely reflecting the intermittent use of most topical products. For example, in one study, 46% of patients, who were prescribed topical therapies, reported low levels of adherence and about half of these reported that they use treatment only when they think it is necessary.38 Nevertheless, we identified notable variations in the definitions and methodologies of how adherence was measured in previous research, making it difficult to compare the results of the studies assessing this issue.

One of the most relevant aspects of the present study is that the use of combined treatment with vitamin D and corticosteroid for the management of psoriasis could not only reduce the probability of non-adherence to therapy, and our data also showed that use of fixed combination is significantly associated with a lower probability of switching to other antipsoriatic treatments. In accordance with evidence from both randomized controlled trials and real-life data observed in previous analyses,26,28,39,40 the complementary mechanisms of action and potentially synergistic effects could lead to an improved tolerability and eventually to a better adherence of the patients. Also, the results of this study are in line with the findings of a published analysis showing that the use of fixed combination topical treatment may offer a good possibility for patients to maintain compliance with their therapy.25,41

Psoriasis treatments can be very expensive.11,42–44 The costs of antipsoriatic medications vary considerably from relatively cheap topical treatments to highly expensive biological therapies.45 Indeed, our study showed that the health care costs in the patients group who switched from topical drugs to DMARDs or biologic agents were considerable. Better treatment strategies could lead to faster clinical improvement and reduction in treatment cost, which would lower the overall expenditure of the health care system and society, allowing increased health investment in other areas.

The findings of this study should be contextualized in light of some limitations. Though the strength of our study is that this approach reflects real-life clinical practice situations, the results must be interpreted in the context of some limitations inherent to any observational study based on administrative DBs. Limitations include 1) lack of important clinical information in the data setting (such as severity of disease and outcome of treatment); 2) no lifestyle information was available; 3) adherence was estimated using pharmacy data on filled prescriptions, but no data on actual medication consumption were available; 4) the reasons for non-adherence to prescribed treatment among included patients are not retrievable from DBs; and 5) only a relatively limited sample size was analyzed, and the study was performed using the DBs of two LHUs. In addition, as we have focused our analysis on naïve patients who received at least two prescriptions of topical antipsoriatic drugs in a time space of 2 years, classified as “non-occasional users of topical drugs”, further studies are necessary to confirm and enhance the generalizability of the findings.

Conclusion
This retrospective analysis shows that the use of fixed-combination topical treatment can lead to improve the likelihood of patients being adherent to treatment and can decrease the likelihood of switching the treatment to DMARDs or
biologics. Our findings are potentially helpful in assessing and improving the quality of care for psoriasis patients in clinical practice. Further research using a larger sample of patients are needed to confirm and contextualize our results.
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