Corneal flap thickness with the Moria M2 single-use head 90 microkeratome in 72 consecutive LASIK procedures
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**Purpose:** This study aimed to evaluate the accuracy and consistency of corneal flap thickness in laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) with the Moria M2 single-use head 90 microkeratome.

**Methods:** The central corneal thickness of 72 (37 right, 35 left) eyes of 37 patients was measured by ultrasonic pachymetry preoperatively and intraoperatively after flap cut. The Moria M2 single-use head 90 microkeratome was used to create a superior hinged flap in all eyes. The right eyes were always operated on before the left eyes in each patient, using the same blade in all bilateral cases. All patients underwent LASIK for myopia and/or myopic astigmatism using VISX Star S4 platform.

**Results:** The mean preoperative spherical equivalent refraction was $-3.55 \pm 2.30$ D (range: $-0.625$ to $-1.00$ D), preoperative central corneal thickness by ultrasonic pachymetry was $541 \pm 26.82$ µm (490–600 µm) and steepest $K$ was $44.08 \pm 1.49$ D (40–46.75 D) in all eyes. The mean flap thickness was $136.97 \pm 20.07$ µm (106–192 µm), $131.2 \pm 19.5$ µm (91–192 µm), and $134.16 \pm 19.85$ µm (91–192 µm) in the right, left, and both eyes, respectively. A positive significant relationship was found between flap thickness and preoperative ultrasonic pachymetry thickness. No significant relationship was found between flap thickness and the age, preoperative spherical equivalent, and preoperative steepest $K$. The difference between the first and second eyes was not significant. There were no major intraoperative and postoperative complications in all eyes.

**Conclusion:** The Moria M2 single-use head 90 microkeratome cut relatively thicker flaps than were intended. The flap thickness range was quite wide. This was a disadvantage for the accuracy and consistency of corneal flap thickness.

**Keywords:** flap thickness, laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis, LASIK, microkeratome, Moria M2 single-use head

**Introduction**

Laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) is the most popular refractive surgical procedure for the correction of myopia, hyperopia, and astigmatism. The procedure is fast, with painless recovery of vision and lack of subepithelial haze, which are mainly due to the creation of a corneal flap with a microkeratome. Creating a corneal flap is the most important step for successful LASIK. However, the use of microkeratome to create a corneal flap increases the risk of intraoperative flap-related complications, such as free flaps, irregular flaps, buttonhole flaps, incomplete flaps, and lacerated flaps.

Many different microkeratomes can be used to create the corneal flap. The Moria M2 (Moria, Antony, France) is a popular compact, automated, plastic, single-use...
head microkeratome with mechanical stop designed for maximum safety.16,21–25

In this study, we evaluated the consistency and reproducibility of flap thickness produced by the Moria M2 single-use head 90 microkeratome, designed to create a thin 120 µm flap.

Methods
Participants
In this retrospective analysis, 72 (37 right eyes and 35 left eyes) consecutive LASIK procedures conducted on 37 patients (20 female and 17 men) for myopia and/or myopic astigmatism, between August 2005 and October 2005, at the Excimer Laser Department of Nisa Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey, were evaluated.

Eye examination
All patients underwent a complete preoperative ophthalmological examination, including measurement of uncorrected distance visual acuity (UDVA) and corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA), measurement of refraction (manifest, dilated, and wavefront refractions), keratometry, slit lamp examination with fundus evaluation, measurement of corneal topography (Orbscan II; Bausch and Lomb, Rochester, NY, USA), ultrasonic pachymetry (US-1800 Echoscan; Nidek, Achi, Japan), and measurement of intraocular pressure (CT-60 computerized tonometer; Topcon, Tokyo, Japan). The ultrasonic pachymeter was calibrated for each new patient. All patients underwent primary LASIK in all eyes by the same surgeon (YK) using the VISX Star S4 Excimer Laser System (Advanced Medical Optics Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Inclusion criteria were myopia between −1.00 D and −11.00 D, ≤−5.00 D corneal astigmatism, age ≥18 years, stable refraction for at least 1 year, and central corneal thickness ≥490 µm. Patients with history of corneal dystrophy or herpetic eye disease, topographic or clinical evidence of keratoconus or degenerative corneal disorder or warpage from contact lenses, severe dry eye, corneal scarring, any ocular disease (such as glaucoma, uveitis, or collagen vascular diseases), and use of systemic corticosteroids or antimetabolites were excluded.

LASIK procedures were performed in a standardized manner. Two drops of proparacaine 0.5% (Alcaine®; Alcon Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Puurs, Belgium) were instilled in each eye two times, every 5 minutes before the procedure. The eyelid was painted with povidone–iodine (Betadine, 10%). Eyelashes were isolated using sterile plastic adhesive drapes, and an eyelid speculum was placed. The cornea was marked with a corneal marker using gentian violet staining. Suction ring was placed into the operative eye. The microkeratome settings (suction ring, flap stop) were chosen according to the steepest K (manufacturer’s nomogram), aiming for an optimal flap diameter. The flaps were created with the Moria M2 single-use head 90 microkeratome using the Moria Evolution 2 control unit, and the hinges were positioned superiorly in all cases. The standard speed of pass (“speed 2”: 15,000 rpm, 2 seconds of cutting time) was used. A single head-blade montage was used for both eyes, and the right eye was operated on first in all bilateral cases. After the microkeratome pass, the corneal flap was lifted and central residual stromal bed thickness was measured with ultrasonic pachymetry. Three measurements were taken, and the median was used. This value was subtracted from the preoperative central corneal thickness and the difference was taken as the corneal flap thickness. The ablations were performed with VISX Star S4 Excimer Laser System. After the ablation, the stromal bed was irrigated with balance salt solution to wash out any debris or epithelial cells and the flap reposed. Flap position and centration were checked, and a striate test was performed to ensure proper flap adherence. All patients were examined 30 minutes after surgery to check the flaps. Postoperatively, patients were given prednisolone acetate 1%, and ofloxacin 0.3% drops four times a day for 2 weeks and artificial tears solution (single use) six times a day for 1 month.

The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Istanbul Medipol University and performed as per the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was taken from all patients regarding the surgical intervention and inclusion in the study.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS v21 program for Windows. All values were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). A test of the normality of the data distribution was performed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Two-tailed independent-samples t-test was used for independent events and paired-samples t-test was used for dependent events. In addition, Pearson and Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients were used for the linear correlation between parameters depending on the normality of the data. The confidence interval was 95%, and $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results
In this study, the mean age of the patients was 29.02±6.23 years (range: 20–45 years). The frequency distribution of age is presented in Figure 1A. The mean preoperative spherical
equivalent refraction was $-3.1\pm2.33$ D (range: $-0.625$ to $-10.50$ D) in the right, $-3.60\pm2.31$ D (range: $-0.75$ to $-11.00$ D) in the left, and $-3.55\pm2.30$ D (range: $-0.625$ to $-11.00$ D) in both eyes (Figure 1B). The mean preoperative steepest $K$ was $44.07\pm1.47$ D (range: $40.12$–$46.75$ D) in the right, $44.09\pm1.52$ D (range: $40.00$–$46.62$ D) in the left, and $44.08\pm1.49$ D (range: $40.00$–$46.75$ D) in both eyes. The mean preoperative ultrasonic pachymetry thickness was $539.13\pm25.44\ \mu$m (range: $492$–$581\ \mu$m) in the right, $544\pm28.37\ \mu$m (range: $490$–$600\ \mu$m) in the left, and $541\pm26.82\ \mu$m (range: $490$–$600\ \mu$m) in both eyes. The frequency distribution of preoperative corneal pachymetry thickness is presented in Figure 1C. Orbscan topographic pachymetry thickness was $538.62\pm34.64\ \mu$m (range: $490$–$651\ \mu$m) in the right, $539.48\pm35.24\ \mu$m (range: $490$–$651\ \mu$m) in the left, and $539.04\pm34.69\ \mu$m (range: $490$–$651\ \mu$m) in both eyes. The mean flap thickness was $136.97\pm20.07\ \mu$m (range: $106$–$192\ \mu$m) in the right, $131.2\pm19.5\ \mu$m (range: $91$–$192\ \mu$m) in the left, and $134.16\pm19.85\ \mu$m (range: $91$–$192\ \mu$m) in both eyes.

There was no correlation between preoperative spherical equivalent refraction and central corneal thickness measured by ultrasonic pachymetry in all eyes ($r=-0.117, P=0.329$), and the difference between the right and left eyes was not significant ($t(70)=0.177, P=0.860$). A statistically significant negative correlation was found between age and preoperative corneal thickness in all eyes ($r=-2.48, P=0.036$), but no significant correlation was found in the right ($r=-2.58, P=0.123$) and left eye ($r=-0.19, P=0.912$), separately. A thicker cornea, especially for the younger patients, was observed (Figure 2).

There was no significant correlation between the flap thickness and age ($r=0.017, P=0.890$), preoperative spherical
equivalent \((r=0.121, P=0.310)\), and preoperative steepest \(K\) \((r=0.162, P=0.173)\) in all eyes. There were similar results for the right and the left, separately \((P>0.05)\). The frequency distribution of flap thickness is shown in Figure 3. There was no significant difference in the corneal flap thickness between the right and left eyes \((P=0.220)\).

A statistically significant positive correlation was found between preoperative corneal thickness measured by ultrasonic pachymetry and flap thickness \((r=0.239, P=0.043)\) for all eyes (Figure 4). However, no significant correlation was found for the right and left eyes, separately. This result demonstrated that the thicker the cornea, the thicker was the flap.

There was no correlation between preoperative Orbscan corneal pachymetry result (thinnest of the cornea) and flap thickness \((r=0.167, P=0.162)\). Additionally, the difference in the central corneal thickness between Orbscan pachymetry and ultrasonic pachymetry results was not significant (paired sample \(t\)-test: \(t(71)=-1.167, P=0.247\); mean: 539–541).

All eyes had no major intraoperative and postoperative flap complications.

Discussion

Creating the corneal flap is one of the most critical steps, and flap thickness is a very important parameter in LASIK.\(^2\) Since Pallikaris et al\(^1\) described the LASIK technique, the best flap thickness was considered to be 130–160 \(\mu m\).\(^{29}\) One of the possible long-term complications in corneal refractive surgery is postoperative keratectasia, which is characterized by progressive thinning and steepening of the cornea and has severe impact on the patient’s vision. A thin flap theoretically decreases the risk of corneal ectasia. On the other hand, when thinner flaps are created, the risk of flap irregularities, buttonholes, and epithelial defects may increase. In addition, flap manipulation may become more difficult and prone to complications, such as folds or striae, and irregular astigmatism may increase.\(^{2,7,19,29}\) Contrary to this, Prandi et al\(^{30}\) reported that complication and retreatment rates
were not statistically different among the groups (group 1, flap thickness ≤100 µm; group 2, flap thickness >100 µm and <130 µm; and group 3, flap thickness ≥130 µm).

The flap thickness created with different microkeratomes has been shown to be different from the intended values.\textsuperscript{15-20} In this study, the Moria M2 single-use 90 microkeratome, designed to achieve a corneal thickness of 120 µm was used in all eyes. The Moria M2 single-use microkeratome has several advantages over a conventional reusable microkeratome head, such as no need for sterilization, requirement for minimal technical manipulation, absence of wear and tear for every patient, and composed of translucent plastic material.\textsuperscript{21-23}

Pietilä and Mäkinen\textsuperscript{23} reported an average flap thickness of 129.33±14.3 µm (range: 90–160 µm) by using Moria M2 single-use 90 head microkeratome. Huhtala et al\textsuperscript{24} evaluated 300 (266 myopic and 34 hyperopic) eyes of 150 patients using the Moria M2 single-use head 90 microkeratome. They found that the mean corneal thickness was 115±12.5 µm (range: 73–147 µm) and reported no microkeratome-related complication. In another study, Aslanides et al\textsuperscript{25} evaluated 52 myopic patients (104 eyes) using the same microkeratome and found the postoperative flap thickness to be 109±18 µm (range: 67–152 µm) and 103±15 µm (range: 65–151 µm) for the right and left eyes, respectively. Talamo et al\textsuperscript{26} evaluated 135 eyes treated with the Moria M2 microkeratome with an intended flap thickness of 130 µm, and they found the mean thickness to be 142±24 µm (range: 84–203 µm). They demonstrated that the SD and the range of the corneal flap thickness with the IntraLase\textsuperscript{8} femtosecond (FS) laser was significantly smaller than those of either mechanical microkeratomes. Chen et al\textsuperscript{27} performed bilateral LASIK in 54 eyes (27 patients) with Moria M2 single-use head and found the flap thickness to be 155.6±14.8 µm in the right eye and 151.6±12.5 µm in the left eye.

Many different studies\textsuperscript{14-29} found significant variations in the flap thickness created by different types of mechanical microkeratomes. Solomon et al\textsuperscript{14} compared six models of microkeratomes (AMO Amadeus, Bausch and Lomb Hansatome, Moria Carriazo-Barraquer, Moria M2, Nidek MK2000, and Alcon Summit Krumeich-Barraquer) in a large prospective, multicenter study and found that the Amadeus 140 and the Nidek MK2000 145 produced the most consistent LASIK flap thicknesses. They reported that the current microkeratomes have a reliable performance and show SDs in flap thickness between 15 and 35 µm. Shemesh et al\textsuperscript{25} reported that the Hansatome (Bausch and Lomb Surgical) created thicker flaps than the ACS (Chiron) or MK (Nidek) microkeratomes. Flanagan and Binder\textsuperscript{26} found significant differences between the ACS microkeratome and Summit Krumeich Barraquer microkeratome (Alcon Surgical).

We found the mean flap thickness to be 134.16±19.85 µm. There was no difference between the right and the left eyes. The mean flap thickness was higher than what the manufacturer intended (120 µm) and it was statistically significant (P=0.00). The SD was relatively large (about 20 µm), and the range of flap thickness was wide (range: 91–192 µm). The central corneal thickness, determined by ultrasonic pachymetry, in 30 of the 72 eyes was >550 µm, and the mean corneal thickness of the eyes was found to be 569±12.85 µm (range: 552–600 µm). The mean flap thickness of those patients was 140±22.25 µm (range: 98–192 µm). Only six (8.3%) of 72 eyes had a flap thickness thicker than 160 µm. Only one patient had a flap thickness of 192 µm in the right eye and 184 µm in the left eye. This patient was younger (age =24 years) and had a normal corneal thickness (552 µm for both eyes) and mild spherical equivalent refraction (right =−3.00 D, left =−3.25 D). Steepest K was 46.75 D in the right eye and 46.50 D in the left eye. These two measurements were extreme and we did not understand why.

Although there was no statistically significant correlation between flap thickness and the preoperative central corneal thickness in the right and left eyes, we found a positive statistically significant correlation between these two parameters in all eyes (r=0.239, P=0.043). This demonstrated that the thicker corneas were associated with the thicker flaps and the thinner corneas with thinner flaps. Similar results were also reported in previous studies.\textsuperscript{8,16,24,28,29} We found no correlation between other parameters.

In this study, all cases had no microkeratome-related major complications, such as incomplete flaps, buttonholes, and free flaps. Epithelial abrasion was noticed only in two eyes as a minor complication.

It should be noted that the number of patients included in this study was limited. The other limitation of our study was the retrospective nature and the lack of more objective flap thickness measurement methods.

**Conclusion**

The Moria M2 single-use head 90 microkeratome seems to be safe as no major microkeratome-related complications were found. However, it cut thicker flaps than were intended and the range of flap thicknesses was wide. For this reason, intraoperative pachymetry should be performed to verify that at least 250 µm of residual stromal bed remains following the laser ablation. More patients, with possibly comparison of different microkeratomes, are needed to fully evaluate the predictability of flap thickness.
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