Clinical neurorestorative progresses in cerebral palsy

Abstract: Cerebral palsy (CP), group of permanent nonprogressive clinical disorders in children, is caused by damage to the immature brain. Conventionally available treatments for CP are mainly targeted toward management of its symptoms. With the upcoming field of neurorestorative strategies, we are now able to repair the core brain damage in CP. There are various drugs, stem cells, etc, which have been implicated to have neurorestorative properties. Autologous bone marrow stem cells, umbilical cord stem cells, neural stem cells, and olfactory ensheathing cells have shown the safety and efficacy in preliminary studies. Here, we review the different medicines and cell types that have shown beneficial effects in clinical studies. We propose that combination strategies may be the future of neurorestoration.
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Introduction

Cerebral palsy (CP), group of permanent nonprogressive clinical disorders in children, is caused by damage to the immature brain. The global incidence of neonatal CP is 1–5 per 1,000 live births. Prenatal, intrapartum, or postpartum factors may contribute to the occurrence of CP. CP occurs in most patients due to various reasons such as hypoxic insult, trauma, infection, genetic factors, and developmental brain abnormalities. Approximately 17 million people worldwide are affected with CP, which is the most common disability in children. A meta-analysis showed that three in four CP children were in pain; one in two had an intellectual disability; one in three were unable to walk; one in four had inability to talk; one in four had epilepsy; and one in ten were blind. This shows the impact of CP on the personal, medical, and socioeconomic burden of the society.

The major clinical symptoms are motor and posture dysfunction, often accompanied by impairments in speech, cognition, hearing, and vision and epilepsy, secondary muscle contraction, and deformity of limbs. Comorbidities such as seizures, mental retardation, auditory visual impairments, and language and speech disorders often coexist.

CP is categorized mainly into five types: spastic, dystonic, hypotonic, choreoathetoid, and ataxia.

Conventional treatment

Conventionally available treatments for CP are mainly targeted toward management of its symptoms. Various approaches like medical intervention, rehabilitation,
surgical intervention, orthotic devices, and botulinum toxin. A injections have been used in combination to improve the functionality of the patients.5

Neurorestorative methods for CP
Until now, it was believed that the human central nervous system (CNS) once damaged cannot be repaired. In 1926, Ramon y Cajal had stated

In the adult centers, the nerve paths are something fixed, ended, and immutable. Everything may die, nothing may be regenerated. It is for the science of the future to change, if possible, this harsh decree.6

But, development of various neurorestorative strategies has made this repair possible. These strategies mainly include neurorestorative drugs and cell therapy.

Drugs
Drugs like oxiracetam, a CNS drug, selectively affect the neural cells of cerebral cortex and hippocampus, and help in functional recovery of neural cells. Oxiracetam activates the glutamate receptor in the brain and also modulates the action of acetylcholine and glutamate. This in turn increases the metabolic activity in neuronal cells and may improve learning ability, memory, and activity of daily living of patients with CP. Generally, the prescribed dosage is between 800 and 2,400 mg/day, starting with the lower end and gradually increasing the dosage. In a randomized, double-blind, controlled study evaluating the clinical effect and safety of oxiracetam in the treatment of CP, 30 cases were administered the drug. Four weeks after therapy, significant progress was observed that none of the surviving infants developed CP, while five in the placebo group did.15

Darbepoetin and erythropoietin have also been explored as neuroprotective drugs. They have anti-inflammatory and anti-apoptotic properties.13,14 They are known to improve the cognition in infants. In a study, including preterm infants, it was observed that none of the surviving infants developed CP, while five in the placebo group did.15

Cell therapy
In the past decade, cell therapy has been rapidly evolving as a potential treatment for various neurological disorders including CP. Experiments are carried out using different types of cells to study their benefits in CP.

Mechanism of action
Hypoxia and ischemia cause damage to the oligodendrocytes (OLs), primarily causing CP. It leads to focal cystic necrotic lesions in the periventricular and/or central white matter, diffuse astrogliosis, microglial activation in the surrounding white matter,16 diffuse myelination disturbances,17 and injury to the cortex, basal ganglia, and thalamus.

Progenitor OLs develop into pre-OLs which subsequently develop immature OLs and then into mature OL stage. In CP, hypoxia or any other insult causes damage to pre-OLs, which decreases the number of mature OLs, thus giving rise to abnormalities in myelination. This activates glial cells to secrete interferon-γ, interleukin-1 beta (IL-1B), tumor necrosis factor-α, and superoxide radicals which are the inflammatory cytokines. These eventually cause more damage to the OLs.

Cell therapy helps regulate these pathological disturbances in CP. Cells, on administration, have the capacity to migrate to the damaged sites of the brain.18 They carry out the repair process by regeneration of new cells and replacement of old cells along with paracrine activity. Cells release various
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neurotrophic factors such as connective tissue growth factor, fibroblast growth factor 2 and 7, and interleukins, which stimulate cell proliferation and help in cytoprotection.19–21 They also modify the microglial activity by immunomodulation. These cells also replace the damaged myelin by producing new OLs and their progenitors. The various mechanisms through which the stem cells act in CP are anti-inflammation (decreased levels of IL-1β, tumor necrosis factor-α, IL-6, and IL-1α and increased levels of IL-10); trophic factor release (brain fibroblast growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor, fibroblast growth factor); and neoangiogenesis. This improves oxygenation that helps in neurorepair.22

Many animal studies have demonstrated the beneficial potency of cell therapy in CP. Few human studies are published in the scientific literature, which studied the efficacy of cell therapy in CP. Here, we have enumerated the types of cells actively studied for treatment of CP.

Bone marrow mononuclear cells

Bone marrow is a mixture of various cell types that can be potentially used for regeneration. Bone marrow stem cells can be differentiated into numerous cell types including blood cells and neural cells.23 The mononuclear cell fraction, which can be separated by density gradient method, contains various progenitor cells (hematopoietic stem cells, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), endothelial progenitor cells, and very small embryonic-like cells).

Sharma et al24 carried out a clinical study on 71 pediatric cases with incurable neurological disorders, which included 20 cases of CP. The intervention consisted of intrathecal injection of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells. These children were followed up regularly (mean follow-up of 15±1 months). Eighty-five percent of cases showed improvement. Symptoms such as neck holding, gross and fine motor activities, saliva drooling, swallowing, cognition, spasticity, dystonia, sitting and standing balance, muscle strength, ambulation, and speech improved significantly. No major side effects were observed. Similarly, 2 years later, the same group analyzed 108 patients where 92.6% showed improvements (Figure 1).25 Sharma et al26,27 also demonstrated two cases with CP, who were treated with autologous bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells. Six months follow-up recorded significant functional changes and improvement in Intellectual Quotient and Functional Independence Measure scale. The improvements in these cases correlated to the improvement in the positron emission tomography (PET) study conducted before and after cell transplantation. Improvement in metabolic activity of certain areas of the brain was noted (Figure 2). In a case report presented by Purandare et al,28 a child with CP treated with autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells showed improved motor, sensory, cognitive, speech, and bowel and bladder function. Grade III improved to grade I on the

Figure 1 Improvements in cerebral palsy after cell therapy.
Note: Graph demonstrating the improvements in 108 cases of cerebral palsy after autologous bone marrow mononuclear cell transplantation.

Figure 2 Improvements on PET-CT scan brain after cellular therapy.
Notes: Comparative PET-CT scan brain performed before and after autologous bone marrow mononuclear cell therapy demonstrating improved FDG uptake in the marked areas of the brain. (A) PET-CT scan performed before cell therapy. The blue areas indicate hypometabolism. (B) PET-CT scan performed after cell therapy. The blue areas have reduced indicating an increase in FDG uptake. The green areas indicate normal metabolism.
Abbreviations: FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose; PET-CT, positron emission tomography-computed tomography.
Gross Motor Function Classification System-Expanded and Revised scale. It also supported the findings that autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells are safe, effective, and feasible in CP patients.

**Bone marrow stromal cells**

Eighteen patients with CP were administered with autologous bone marrow-derived total nucleated cell intrathecally and intravenously. An overall 4.7-month increase in developmental age according to the Battelle Developmental Inventory was observed. This study also demonstrated that this procedure was safe in CP patients.28

A study was conducted by Chen et al.29 where neural stem cell-like cells from autologous marrow mesenchymal tissue were administered in moderate-to-severe CP patients. On follow-up after 6 months, the gross motor function measure (GMFM) score in these patients was significantly higher and there were no serious adverse events noted. Similar results were observed in a study carried out by Wang et al.31

**Human umbilical cord blood cells**

Hematopoietic, endothelial, epithelial, and neural tissues can be derived from umbilical cord blood cells (UCBCs).30

The quantity of stem cells that can be separated from umbilical cord is less (10%) than the bone marrow.31 Studies on allogeneic UCBCs have reported infections such as John Cunningham virus and herpes virus.32,33

Two children with spastic diplegic CP were given autologous UCBCs by Papadopoulos et al.34 On follow-up, these children showed functional improvement that was noted on Gross Motor Function Classification System.35 They also showed no major adverse events.

Two and a half-year-old boy who was treated with intravenous autologous umbilical cord blood mononuclear cells showed improved motor control, reduction in spastic paresis, and recovery in eyesight at 2 months. Walking in gait trainer, crawling, independent eating, moving from prone to sitting position was achieved at 400 months. Receptive and expressive speech competence was also noted (four-word sentences, 200 words). The electroencephalogram also recorded improvement. This study was conducted by Jensen and Hamelmann.36

Wang et al.37 demonstrated improvement in a 5-year-old girl with CP after treatment with umbilical cord MSCs. Multiple doses of MSCs were given by intravenous and intrathecal injections. These MSCs were obtained from her younger sister. She was monitored for 28 months. Her immunity improved along with gross motor function, speech, physical strength, and cognition.

**Neural progenitor cells**

In a study carried out by Luan et al.38 45 diagnosed cases of CP were administered neural progenitor cells obtained from aborted fetal tissue. On 1-year follow-up, these cases showed improved gross and fine motor functions along with cognition in comparison to the control group. The results of this study demonstrated safety and efficacy of this method. In 30 cases of CP, Chen et al.39 administered NSC-like cells obtained from autologous bone marrow MSCs. As compared with the control group, the treated group showed improvement in language quotient and GMFM scores. Adverse events were not recorded. This implied the safety and efficacy of NSC-like cells in CP.40 In a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial conducted by Min et al.40 allogeneic UCBCs were transplanted in CP patients. These cells were also potentiated with recombinant human erythropoietin. Improvement in cognition and motor deficits was recorded. Metabolic and structural changes in the brain were also seen.

**Olfactory ensheathing cells**

A total of 1,255 patients with CNS diseases including 68 CP cases were transplanted with fetal olfactory ensheathing cells between November 2001 and January 2008.41 Based on the further observation, this research group concluded that transplantation of olfactory ensheathing cells into brain and spinal cord is feasible and safe, and can improve the neurological functions, including head and neck movement, pronunciation and swallowing function, relieve muscle tension, and/or decrease the progressive deterioration and improve the quality of life of CP patients to a certain extent.

Among all the different types of cells used for cellular therapy, autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells are superlative. They are easily obtainable, available in abundance, and safe. Unlike embryonic cells, they do not have tumorigenicity and involve no ethical or moral issues. They are a combination of various cells and studies have shown that use of mixture is more beneficial as compared with subfractions. These cells also have a higher differentiation potential.

To acquire the maximum potential of the injected cells, it is important to select the optimum route of administration. Cells can be administered via intravenous, intrathecal, or intracerebral injections. Intravenous route of administration is the most minimally invasive, but cells tend to get trapped in the pulmonary passage affecting the efficacy of the cells.42 Intrathecal route is preferred as it is relatively minimally invasive and allows efficient migration of cells to the damaged tissues.43 Intracerebral injection is the most invasive mode of administration and increases the risk of secondary...
damage in the surrounding tissue. Hence, it is not a recommended route of administration.44

Others
Hypothermia
Currently, hypothermia as a treatment for hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy is being actively explored and has shown promising results.45,46 It reduces release of glutamate, secondary energy failure, injury by free radicals,47 and normalizes protein synthesis.48 Evidence suggests that hypothermia exerts neuroprotection by reducing the neurodevelopmental disability.34,48,49 It significantly reduces extracellular levels of excitatory neurotransmitters and promotes survival of neurons.50 Using hypothermia in combination with other therapies such as cell therapy, erythropoietin, and helium may be more beneficial to tackle the activated cell death pathways.51

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy
A controlled longitudinal study showed greater improvement in GMFM scores of CP patients in the group treated with combination of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) and rehabilitation as compared with the group which was given only rehabilitation,52 whereas earlier studies have shown no significant benefit with HBOT in CP.53,54 Therefore, the current evidence for HBOT in CP is inconclusive and further studies are required.

Adverse events
With this upcoming field of neurorestoration, it is imperative that researchers and clinicians should report any possible adverse event noted with the aforementioned new treatment modalities. The adverse events may be related to the route of administration or the medicine or type of cells. Minor side effects like headache, nausea, vomiting, and pain at the site of injection were observed in few studies, but they were self-limiting and responsive to medication. Seizure has also been found to be one of the adverse events of cell therapy in CP patients with previous history of seizures or abnormal electroencephalogram. It is recommended that all patients with CP should be evaluated for seizure before cell therapy and prophylaxis needs to be initiated in appropriate cases.55 Autologous cells have shown to be a safe option and allogeneic cells need further studies for safety.

Objective imaging evidence
Numerous outcome measures to record changes in sensory, cognition, motor, behavior, and perception in CP are being explored.56 However, the cellular mechanism causing these changes should be studied in depth.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)
The findings on MRI scan in CP demonstrate the structural pathology of the brain.57 However, it does not reveal the cellular effects of stem cell therapy. MRI is not a monitoring tool for neurorestorative methods because these methods target the microcellular mechanisms. Earlier studies have demonstrated clinical effects but revealed no change in MRI findings.58

Functional neuroimaging is a more sensitive modality to record changes brought about by neurorestoration. Functional neuroimaging is based on recording the cerebral blood flow and brain metabolism, which correlates with activity of neurons.59 Paracrine mechanisms are used to regulate the neuronal dysfunction in CP by neurorestoration strategies. Hence, it can be effectively used as a monitoring tool for neurorestorative techniques.

Positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT)
PET-CT records brain metabolism by using fluorodeoxyglucose uptake. Brain tissue requires glucose for functional activity. The active neural tissue absorbs glucose in direct proportion to its function. Thus, glucose metabolism directly correlates with neuronal activity. In PET-CT scan, fluorodeoxyglucose uptake measures the glucose metabolism and thus the brain function. Therefore, it is a promising technology to detect cellular effects of neurorestoration.60,61

As mentioned earlier, in two case reports presented by Sharma et al, PET-CT scan of the brain was used as a monitoring tool to study the efficacy of autologous bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells in CP. The functional and clinical improvements observed in these patients correlated with the change in brain metabolism displayed in the PET-CT scan. First case was a 20-year-old patient diagnosed with CP with comorbid mental retardation. On follow-up, after stem cell therapy, the patient showed improved motor function, balance, and social interaction. These changes correlated with the significant improvement in the brain metabolism as seen on the PET-CT scan.56 Similarly, the second report of a 2-year-old case diagnosed with CP showed significant changes in the metabolism (glucose uptake) of temporal, frontal, parietal, occipital lobes, and basal ganglia, 6 months after stem cell therapy (Figure 2). These changes correlated with clinical improvements such as better balance, neck control, and speech.27
Future direction

Though there has been significant progress in clinical neurorestorative strategies, a lot of work still needs to be done. Translational studies have advanced the field from lab to patients. But more accumulation of evidence is required to draw any conclusive statements. Cell therapy and medicines are currently the major players for neurorestoration. Few studies have shown improved neurodevelopment with various types of cell therapy. However, optimum dosage, route of administration, potent cells, and timing of administration of cells need to be further studied to maximize their beneficial effects. Studies should include a functional neuroimaging or biomarkers (eg, cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and so on), which capture the effects of neurorestoration in patients.

Conclusion

There has been considerable advancement in neurorestorative strategies for CP, but a lot still needs to be studied to establish a definitive treatment. Combining various neuroprotection strategies with cell therapy should be our future focus. Improving the potency of various stem cells that have already shown to be beneficial would augment the clinical recovery. Comparative studies between various cell types and different strategies would advance our understanding of neurorestoration. Safety and efficacy of these novel therapeutic options should also be monitored and guidelines for translation from benchside to bedside should be outlined to promote the field.
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