The immediate effect of soft tissue manual therapy intervention on lung function in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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Background and objective: In chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), accessory respiratory muscles are recruited as a compensatory adaptation to changes in respiratory mechanics. This results in shortening and overactivation of these and other muscles. Manual therapy is increasingly being investigated as a way to alleviate these changes. The aim of this study was to measure the immediate effect on lung function of a soft tissue manual therapy protocol (STMTP) designed to address changes in the accessory respiratory muscles and their associated structures in patients with severe COPD.

Methods: Twelve medically stable patients (n=12) with an existing diagnosis of severe COPD (ten: GOLD Stage III and two: GOLD Stage IV) were included. Residual volume, inspiratory capacity and oxygen saturation (SpO2) were recorded immediately before and after administration of the STMTP. A Student’s t-test was used to determine the effect of the manual therapy intervention (P<0.05).

Results: The mean age of the patients was 62.4 years (range 46–77). Nine were male. Residual volume decreased from 4.5 to 3.9 L (P=0.002), inspiratory capacity increased from 2.0 to 2.1 L (P=0.039) and SpO2 increased from 93% to 96% (P=0.001).

Conclusion: A single application of an STMTP appears to have the potential to produce immediate clinically meaningful improvements in lung function in patients with severe and very severe COPD.
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Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common preventable and treatable disease characterized by progressive airflow limitation that is associated with an inflammatory response to noxious particles or gases.1 The disease has been categorized in to four stages according to severity: mild, moderate, severe and very severe.2 Exacerbations and comorbidities contribute to the overall severity in individual patients with the disease affecting not only the respiratory system but also the musculoskeletal system.2,3

In COPD, changes in the anatomy of the airways and lung parenchyma occur as the result of bronchial hypersecretion and bronchoalveolar instability. These changes cause expiratory flow limitation and air trapping, known clinically as dynamic hyperinflation. This phenomenon leads to increases in expiratory reserve volume, residual volume (RV) and end expiratory lung volume (EELV). The increase in EELV limits tidal and inspiratory reserve volumes resulting in a negative impact on inspiratory capacity (IC).4 The changes alter the position of the ribs causing a state similar to sustained
inspiration over time, often referred to as “inspiratory block”. This phenomenon is responsible for the characteristic “barrel chest” commonly seen in patients with COPD. In this state, the position of the diaphragm is flattened and shortened reducing its ability to generate force. Accessory respiratory muscles are recruited as a compensatory adaptation leading to shortening and overactivation of these muscles over time (overadaptation). The surrounding cervicothoracic fascia contracts producing postural changes, such as anterior projection of the head, neck hyperextension, increased thoracic kyphosis and internal rotation of shoulders. These changes contribute to an increase in chest tightness, a decrease in the ability to generate inspiratory pressures and volumes and an increase in the amount of effort required to breathe.

Current COPD management strategies include physical activity and respiratory muscle training. Physical activity improves exercise tolerance, whereas respiratory muscle training increases inspiratory muscle strength. Targeting disturbances in the respiratory pumping mechanism is not part of this approach making the addition of a therapeutic intervention that directly addresses the soft tissues of the chest wall a novel approach.

Manual therapy (MT) has been described as a therapeutic intervention that uses the hands to provide treatment to the musculoskeletal and/or visceral systems. MT includes techniques such as massage, myofascial release, muscle energy technique, ligament balance, joint mobilization and joint manipulation. The suggestion that MT can deliver ongoing benefits for people with COPD has been proposed in the literature. Since then, a number of small studies have reported improvements in lung function and/or exercise capacity following various forms of MT intervention over the immediate, short- and medium terms.

Two of these studies measured the immediate effect of MT on lung function: one measured the effect of a single session of soft tissue therapy in combination with thoracic mobilization, whereas the other measured the effect of six sessions of a single soft tissue technique – the manual diaphragm release technique. Neither study was capable of clearly delineating the effect of a single session of soft tissue MT on lung function.

The aim of this study was to measure the immediate effect on lung function of a single session of soft tissue MT.

Patients and methods

Clinical records from patients who attended the outpatient clinic of San José Hospital in Santiago, Chile, were screened for suitability for inclusion in the study. To be included, a patient had to have a diagnosis of severe or very severe COPD (GOLD: Stage III or IV) and be medically stable with no exacerbations in the preceding 2 months. Patients were excluded from the study if they had any of the following: a rheumatoid condition, neuromuscular or musculoskeletal pathology, cognitive disability that could affect their understanding or execution of the assessment tests or intervention protocol, supplemental oxygen dependency, or previous or current enrollment in a pulmonary rehabilitation program.

Patients who met these conditions were invited to attend an educational session explaining the purpose of the study, proposed outcome assessments and the soft tissue manual therapy protocol (STMTP) used in the trial. After providing written consent, a patient was enrolled in the study.

Baseline measurements, including age, weight, height and lung volumes, were recorded. Lung volumes were measured using body plethysmography (SensorMedics VMAX 22 Pulmonary Function Test System; SensorMedics Corporation, Yorba Linda, CA, USA) and collected according to the standards and procedures outlined by the American Thoracic Society. Lung volumes included total lung capacity (TLC), vital capacity (VC), RV, expiratory reserve volume (ERV), IC and airway resistance ($R_g$).

Heart rate (HR) was assessed manually through palpation of the radial pulse for 1 minute with the patient at rest. Respiratory rate (RR) was measured using the direct observation method and calculated by counting the number of respiratory cycles in 1 minute while the patient was at rest. Oxygen saturation ($SpO_2$) was measured using a portable finger oximeter (Prince 100f; Heal Force Bio-meditech Holdings Limited, Hong Kong, People’s Republic of China) with the patient at rest. All measurements were taken immediately before and after administration of the STMTP.

MT protocol

The STMTP used in this trial consisted of a pre-determined set of seven soft tissue techniques delivered as part of a single treatment session lasting ~30 minutes (Table 1). The techniques and their respective durations were sub-occipital release, 5 minutes; anterior thoracic myofascial and sternum release, 5 minutes; anterior cervical myofascial release, 5 minutes; costal ligament balance, 5 minutes; and muscular energy technique to the following muscles: scalenes, 1 minute and 40 seconds, pectoralis minor, 1 minute and 40 seconds and latissimus dorsi and serratus anterior, 1 minute and 40 seconds. All techniques were administered in the same order and by a single therapist with the patient positioned in Fowler’s position (45°) throughout the session.
A total of 300 clinical records were reviewed as part of this trial. Twenty-five met the inclusion/exclusion criteria, and these patients were invited to participate in the study. Thirteen participants did not complete the study either through non-attendance or through incomplete assessments. Patient flow through the study is outlined in Figure 1. Ten patients had severe (GOLD Stage III) and two had very severe (GOLD Stage IV) COPD. Nine were males. The mean age was 62.4 ± 7.8 years, mean weight was 71.8 ± 9.3 kg and mean height was 164 ± 6.1 cm (Table 2). Table 3 shows the pre- and postintervention measurements for HR, RR and SpO₂ for all 12 patients who completed the study. Average HR decreased from 83.8 ± 14.1 beats per minute (bpm) pre-intervention to 76.5 ± 15.3 bpm postintervention (P = 0.001); RR decreased from 20.4 ± 4.3 respirations per minute (rpm) pre-intervention to 15.7 ± 3.8 rpm postintervention (P = 0.001) and SpO₂ increased from 92.8% ± 2.8% preintervention to 95.8% ± 2.4% postintervention (P = 0.001). TLC decreased by 0.4 L (pre: 6.8 ± 2.0; post: 6.4 ± 1.8; P = 0.031), ERV by 0.05 L (0.33 ± 0.17; 0.28 ± 0.16; P = 0.005) and RV by 0.6 L (4.5 ± 1.5; 3.9 ± 1.4; P = 0.002), whereas IC increased by 0.1 L (2.0 ± 0.5; 2.1 ± 0.6; P = 0.039; Figure 2). There were no changes in VC and R}_{aw} (P = 0.799 and P = 0.068, respectively).

### Table 1 Soft tissue manual therapy protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suboccipital release (5 minutes)</strong></td>
<td>The practitioner places the tips of their fingers pointing toward the ceiling, just immediately below the occiput and atlas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anterior thoracic myofascial release and sternum release (5 minutes)</strong></td>
<td>The practitioner places a hand behind the head of the patient just below the occiput holding it between the thumb and the forefinger and creating a fulcrum in the area. The practitioner’s other hand is placed over the patient’s sternum with the middle finger over the midline of the sternum and with the heel of the hand placed just below the sternal joint. Distraction moves the fascia and the sternochondral joints apart with the pressure maintained until tissue release occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anterior cervical myofascial release (5 minutes)</strong></td>
<td>The practitioner places a hand on the inferior angle of the jaw and the other hand just below the bottom edge of the clavicle. Force is applied to both the bottom edge of the clavicle in a downward direction and the jaw in an upward direction. This pressure is maintained until tissue release occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costal ligament balance (5 minutes)</strong></td>
<td>The practitioner places both hands on the patient’s back with the finger pads of each hand against the costotransverse joints. The technique begins at the 12th rib and progresses up to the 2nd rib. Using the practitioner’s fingers as a hook, the practitioner takes the angles of the ribs and applies traction in a superior and lateral direction. This traction is maintained until the ligaments stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Muscle energy techniques (1 minute 40 seconds each)</strong></td>
<td>- Scalen: The head is tilted 45° to the contralateral side. The patient places their ipsilateral hand on the upper ribs and their other hand on their forehead. The practitioner places one hand on the patient’s “rib” hand and the other hand on the patient’s “forehead” hand. From this position, the patient is required to perform neck flexion against resistance by the practitioner. Once completed the practitioner moves the “rib” contact to the other ribs in a downward and lateral direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Pectoralis minor: The patient places their ipsilateral arm on the rib behind their head (external rotation of the shoulder with flexion and abduction to 110° in the scapular plane). One hand of the practitioner contacts the 3rd, 4th and 5th ribs, whereas the other is on the patient’s elbow. The practitioner applies a resistance in the horizontal plane adducting the patient’s arm. Once this is completed, the practitioner takes the ribs and pulls in a downward and lateral direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Latissimus dorsi and serratus anterior: The patient positions their ipsilateral arm in 90° abduction and neutral rotation. The practitioner uses their body and one arm to stabilize the patient’s arm, whereas the other hand is fixed from the 6th to the 10th rib. From here, the patient resists adduction. Once this is completed, the practitioner takes the ribs and pulls them in an inferior and lateral direction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 15.0 software (SPSS for Windows Version 15.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Normal distributions for HR, RR, SpO₂ and lung volumes were assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test (P > 0.05). A Student’s t-test for comparison before and after intervention was used to determine the effect of the STMTP. A P-value of < 0.05 was set as the threshold for statistical significance.

The Metropolitan North Health Service of Santiago, Chile, approved the study and all participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study. The trial was registered with the US National Institutes of Health (Trial registration number: NCT02534831). The research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Results

A total of 300 clinical records were reviewed as part of this trial. Twenty-five met the inclusion/exclusion criteria, and these patients were invited to participate in the study. Thirteen participants did not complete the study either through non-attendance or through incomplete assessments.
Discussion

Results from this study show that a single application of a soft tissue MT protocol designed to address the soft tissues of the chest wall has the potential to produce immediate improvements in lung function in patients with severe and very severe COPD. Furthermore, the reduction in RV may prove to be clinically relevant as it was above the threshold for minimal important difference.\(^16\)

The results from this study are in line with results from a similar study that reported immediate improvements in lung function after a single session of MT.\(^10\) However, that trial used an MT protocol that included a combination of soft tissue therapy and thoracic mobilization. In using only soft tissue MT, the most likely cause of the improvements reported in our study is a reduction in chest tightness produced by soft tissue MT intervention. This is in line with results from other studies that showed that soft tissue MT was capable of reducing hypertonicity in myofascial tissues when applied to other areas of the body.\(^17-22\)

The absence of any changes in VC and RV can have a TLC that is \(\pm\) 2.2 0.06.\(^9\) It is worth noting that the TLC values reported in this study were higher than those traditionally reported in patients with severe and very severe COPD. This may be due to two reasons: (1) patients with COPD and lung hyperinflation can have a TLC that is \(\geq\) 100% of the predicted value,\(^4\) and (2) spirometric values for the Chilean population have been reported as being higher than in other populations.\(^28\)

The decrease in HR may be the result of a flow-on effect from a decreasing RV where lower pressure within the lungs leads to a reduction in pulmonary hypertension.\(^24,26,27\) The absence of any changes in VC and RV may simply be the result of the small size of the changes in RV, ERV, TLC and IC.

It is worth noting that the TLC values reported in this study were higher than those traditionally reported in patients with severe and very severe COPD. This may be due to two reasons: (1) patients with COPD and lung hyperinflation can have a TLC that is \(\geq\) 100% of the predicted value,\(^4\) and (2) spirometric values for the Chilean population have been reported as being higher than in other populations.\(^28\)

Table 3 Pre- and postintervention outcome measurements\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>HR (bpm)</th>
<th>RR (rpm)</th>
<th>SpO(_2) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>Pre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average 83.8 76.5 20.4 15.7 92.8 95.8

Note: \(a\) All measurements given as means.

Abbreviations: bpm, beats per minute; HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; rpm, respirations per minute; SpO\(_2\), percentage oxygen saturation.
Although the findings from a recent systematic review into the effect of MT on COPD were inconclusive with respect to benefiting lung function,\(^9\) technique choice may have played a role in influencing this view as MT techniques that use compression/decompression maneuvers can increase airway obstruction by accelerating airflow to the extent that airways collapse.\(^4\) Patient positioning during the STMTP may have influenced our results as having the patient supine for an extended period of time can increase the effect of inefficient diaphragm motion. The way lung function was measured may also have influenced the results. Although the previous study used spirometry to assess lung function and focused on measures, such as forced expiratory volume in the first second and forced vital capacity,\(^10\) we used plethysmography and were, therefore, able to measure changes in RV accurately, which directly relate to the extent of dynamic hyperinflation.\(^10\)–\(^12\)

The MT techniques used in this study were chosen because of their potential to reduce the effects of overactivation and overadaptation in the respiratory muscles. The results reported here are supported by results in other studies, involving the administration of MT to patients with COPD. These studies used a similar approach in producing short-term\(^11\)–\(^13\),\(^15\) and medium-term\(^14\) improvements in lung function. Notwithstanding, to our knowledge, this is the first study to use plethysmography to report a clinically meaningful reduction in RV following MT intervention.

The STMTP could be used in a repeated manner as part of a pulmonary rehabilitation program for patients with COPD. As it has the potential to reduce overactivation in the respiratory muscles, it may also be beneficial following an exacerbation.

**Limitations**

Apart from the recognized limitations associated with a study design of this nature, there are a number of other limitations associated with this study. Gender mix differences may have altered the statistical analyses as males and females have different lung volumes. The contribution toward the decreases in HR and RR of leaving the patient in Fowler’s position for an extended period of time (30 minutes) is unclear. By not gathering data on adverse events, the safety of this STMTP remains unknown. As a study aimed at evaluating the immediate effect of a specific intervention, the impact of these limitations should be considered within this context and not measured against other study designs.

**Conclusions**

A single application of an MT protocol consisting of seven soft tissue techniques has the potential to deliver immediate improvements in lung function for people with severe and very severe COPD. The results from this study support the call for further research in the field on larger cohorts that include multiple measuring points and a control group. Such studies would help to confirm if the benefits reported here can be sustained in patients with advanced COPD.
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