Global economic burden of schizophrenia: response to authors’ reply

Dear editor

For clarification, we undertook bottom-up costing using individual participant data from the Low Prevalence Disorders Study in our costing study.¹ We did not use the data reported in the study by Carr et al² as asserted by Chong et al.³ Chong et al have thus misunderstood and thus misrepresented our methodology in both their systematic review⁴ and their response to our letter.⁵

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References


Correspondence: Amanda L Neil
Menzies Institute for Medical Research, 17 Liverpool Street, Hobart, TAS 7000, Australia
Tel +61 3 6226 4640
Email amanda.neil@utas.edu.au
Authors’ reply

Huey Yi Chong¹
Nathorn Chaiyakunapruk¹-⁴

¹School of Pharmacy, Monash University Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia; ²Center of Pharmaceutical Outcomes Research (CPOR), Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok, Thailand; ³School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA; ⁴School of Population Health, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Correspondence: Nathorn Chaiyakunapruk
School of Pharmacy, Monash University Malaysia, Jalan Lagoon Selatan, 46150 Bandar Sunway, Selangor, Malaysia
Email nathorn.chaiyakunapruk@monash.edu

Dear editor

We thank Dr Neil and Professor Carr for their clarification on the data source used in their study.¹ In this regard, we would like to highlight one of the most common challenges when conducting any systematic review, for example economic burden of schizophrenia in this case – the marked diversity in reporting among the included studies, which increases the likelihood of any potential misinterpretation. In convergence with a number of published systematic reviews of economic burden studies,²-⁵ there has been a consistent call for a more explicit reporting in various aspects of an economic burden study, thus readability and transparency can be enhanced. However, a standardized guide/checklist for conducting and reporting economic burden is yet to be available. On the final note, we strongly urge for the development of such a guidance document to improve the quality and clarity of an economic burden study.
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