Spotlight on necitumumab in the treatment of non-small-cell lung carcinoma
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Abstract: The treatment options for metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) have expanded dramatically in the last 10 years with the discovery of newer drugs and targeted therapy. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), when aberrantly activated, promotes cell growth and contributes in various ways to the malignant process. EGFR has become an important therapeutic target in a variety of malignancies. Small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) of EGFR are being used to treat advanced NSCLC and are particularly effective in the presence of EGFR mutations. Monoclonal antibodies have also been developed that block the EGFR at the cell surface and work in conjunction with chemotherapy. Necitumumab is a second-generation fully human IgG1 monoclonal antibody that has shown promise in metastatic NSCLC. The benefit has mostly been restricted to squamous cell lung cancer in the frontline setting. Considering that the survival advantage for these patients was modest, there is a need to discover biomarkers that will predict which patients will likely have the best outcomes. This review focuses on the development and clinical trial experience with necitumumab in NSCLC.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the most common causes of cancer-related mortality with >160,000 deaths annually in the US.¹ Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) represents ~80%–85% of all lung cancers.² Within the NSCLC category, adenocarcinoma accounts for 40% of the cases, squamous cell histology for 25%–30% and large cell carcinoma for 10%–15%.³ NSCLC is usually diagnosed as locally advanced or metastatic in ~70% of the patients.¹ The standard first-line treatment in this group of patients has historically been chemotherapy, preferably with a platinum doublet.⁵ With the discovery of actionable molecular abnormalities, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations and EML4-ALK and ROS1 rearrangements, the treatment paradigm has changed dramatically and agents inhibiting these targets are being used for initial treatment.⁶ More recently, immunotherapy with PD1/PD-L1 inhibitors has been approved as the first-line treatment.⁷ Immunotherapy is also approved as the first-line therapy if PD-L1 expression is present in at least 50% of the cells.⁷ Squamous cell lung cancer has a high mutational burden, with molecular analyses showing the presence of aberrations in PI3KA, AKT, and FGFR1 among others.⁸–¹⁰ Currently, there are no available therapies for these molecular abnormalities, and the presence of actionable mutations is found more infrequently when compared to patients with adenocarcinoma histology (ie, EGFR, ALK, and ROS1). This makes chemotherapy the only treatment option available for use in most squamous cell lung cancer patients.¹¹
Epidermal growth factor

EGFR has been a therapeutic target via small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) that are particularly effective in the presence of EGFR-activating mutations or by blocking EGFR signaling with antibodies that bind to the cell surface. EGFR belongs to the ERBB family of transmembrane receptors, which also includes Her2/Neu, ERBB3, and ERBB4. These are receptor tyrosine kinases that are present on the cell surface and bind to ligands such as EGF and TNFα that cause receptor dimerization and downstream signaling. The MAPK and PI3/AKT pathways are the intracellular pathways activated by this signaling. The consequences are cell differentiation, proliferation, decreased apoptosis, and increased metastatic potential.

The strategy of blocking EGFR with monoclonal antibodies potentially results in the formation of an antibody receptor complex with internalization and degradation. Another proposed mechanism of action associated with these antibodies is antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and complement-mediated cytotoxicity. Various monoclonal antibodies have been studied in advanced NSCLC, including cetuximab, panitumumab, and necitumumab.

Cetuximab

Cetuximab belongs to the IgG1 class of antibody that is chimeric human and murine in nature. The Fc portion of this antibody is capable of inducing ADCC. Cetuximab showed promising results in two Phase II trials in NSCLC. Both of these studies used a platinum doublet (platin plus vinorelbine or gemcitabine) in combination with cetuximab. The triplet therapy was well tolerated that led to Phase III trials. The FLEX trial was a randomized, open-label Phase III study that compared cetuximab in combination with cisplatin and vinorelbine with chemotherapy alone in NSCLC that expressed EGFR. The primary endpoint of this trial was overall survival (OS). EGFR was assessed using immunohistochemistry, and at least 1% of tumor cells had to be positive as an eligibility requirement. Chemotherapy was given for six cycles, but cetuximab continued until disease progression or toxicity. The median OS was noted to be 11.3 months in the cetuximab arm vs 10.1 months in the control arm (hazard ration [HR] = 0.871, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.762–0.996, P = 0.44) for all histologies. For squamous cell pathology specifically, survival benefit was 10.2 months (8.2–12.0 months) in the cetuximab arm as compared to 8.9 months (6.9–10.2 months) in the chemotherapy arm. BMS 099 was the second Phase III trial that compared cetuximab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel or docetaxel with chemotherapy alone. In this study, progression-free survival (PFS) was the primary end point with OS being a secondary objective. The median PFS was comparable in both arms, 4.40 months in the experimental arm vs 4.24 in the control (HR = 0.902, 95% CI: 0.761–1.069, P = 0.236). These results were similar to the PFS in the FLEX trial. However, the median OS was 9.69 months in the cetuximab arm vs 8.38 months in the control arm, which was not significant (HR = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.754–1.051, P = 0.169). The response rates were higher in both these trials in the cetuximab arm (Table 1). Subsequently, a meta-analysis was published that confirmed the improvement in OS and RR with the addition of cetuximab to chemotherapy. Due to the very modest survival advantage for cetuximab in the FLEX trial, it was never approved for use in the treatment of NSCLC.

Necitumumab

Necitumumab or IMC-11F8 is a recombinant IgG1 anti-EGFR antibody that is fully humanized. Compared to cetuximab, it lacks the murine component, subsequently resulting in fewer hypersensitivity reactions. IMC-11F8 was found to have a high affinity for EGFR in A431 epidermoid cell lines with growth inhibition in human tumor xenografts. Necitumumab was found to bind EGFR with an affinity of 0.28 nM and was able to block receptor phosphorylation and MAPK pathway activation in several tumor cell lines. A subsequent study investigated its chemical structure and found the drug to act on similar epitopes as cetuximab through a different set of interactions. It has been shown that necitumumab binds to domain III of the extracellular region of EGFR, thus blocking the ligand-binding site. This leads to a change in configuration that prevents dimerization and downstream signaling of the EGFR receptor.

Table 1 Phase III trials comparing cetuximab with chemotherapy in advanced NSCLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>RR (%)</th>
<th>PFS (months)</th>
<th>OS (months)</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLEX17</td>
<td>Ci/V</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.87 (0.76–0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ci/V/Ctx</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS 09920</td>
<td>Ca/T</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.89 (0.75–1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca/T/Ctx</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Ca, carboplatin; Ci, cisplatin; Ctx, cetuximab; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; T, docetaxel/paclitaxel; V, vinorelbine; Ci, confidence interval.
Preclinical data
Necitumumab was initially tested in vitro with cell lines from tumors, including epidermis, pancreas, and colorectal, that showed overexpression of EGFR. It had an inhibitory effect in all these tumor lines. When tested in NSCLC cell lines, necitumumab showed rapid degradation as well as internalization of the EGFR. Being an IgG1 subclass, necitumumab was able to induce ADCC in NSCLC cells and this correlated with the level of EGFR expression on the cell lines. ADCC was induced at levels as low as 1.0 nM, and the results were comparable to that produced by cetuximab. Necitumumab was tested in mice in combination with chemotherapy. It was administered with cisplatin and gemcitabine after establishing maximum tolerated dose in athymic mice. Using two human NSCLC cells, A549 and NCI-H1650, partial tumor regression was seen in 33% and 58%, respectively, when chemotherapy was combined with IMC-11F8. Necitumumab was also studied in combination with cisplatin plus gemcitabine, pemetrexed, or paclitaxel in preclinical models. The combination with cisplatin and gemcitabine was found to be the most effective. An association with regard to efficacy was noted with the presence of an increased expression of hsa-miR-29b and a decreased expression of antiapoptotic genes, such as DNMT3B. These abnormalities are often seen in patients with NSCLC.

Phase I trials
The first Phase I trial with necitumumab enrolled 60 patients into two study arms: Arm A received treatment once weekly and Arm B received treatment every 2 weeks. The patients had solid malignancies in advanced stage who had not received any prior EGFR-directed treatment. The range of necitumumab doses was 100–1000 mg. A total of 57 patients were evaluable, and 55 (91.7%) patients discontinued treatment due to disease progression. The most common drug-related adverse events involved the skin and were experienced by 80% of the patients, which included acneform rash, skin dryness, and fissure formation, most of which were mild (grade 1) in severity and cumulative. Two patients had grade 3 acneform rash. A total of 42% of the patients experienced headaches, 20% experienced vomiting, and 33% of them experienced nausea, which were all grade 1. No hypersensitivity reactions were observed. Overall, the drug was tolerated well and a flat weekly or Q2 week dose of 800 mg was recommended.

A subsequent Japanese trial enrolled patients using a 3+3 design with dosing schedules of cohort 1: 600 mg on days 1 and 8, every 3 weeks; cohort 2: 800 mg on day 1, every 2 weeks; and cohort 3: 800 mg on days 1 and 8, every 3 weeks. Fourteen patients were evaluable. The most common side effect was headache in 66% of the patients with rash in 53.3% of them. All side effects were grade 1/2. A disease control rate of 67% was achieved in this study. No dose-limiting toxicity was observed, and the maximum tolerated dose was not reached. The highest dose level corresponded with Phase I trial by Kuenen et al.

Phase II trials
A Phase II trial was conducted by Elez et al. that combined necitumumab with modified FOLFOX6. This involved 44 patients with locally advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer. Necitumumab was given at 800 mg intravenous every 2 weeks along with chemotherapy. The primary end point was PFS. An objective response rate of 63% was observed with a median duration of 10 months (range: 7–16 months). These included four complete responses. The PFS was 10 months (range: 7–12 months), and the OS was 22.5 months (range: 11–30 months). Eighty-six percent of the patients had grade 3 or higher events with most common being neutropenia, asthenia, and rash. Forty-one patients (93.2%) experienced some form of skin toxicity of any grade. Overall, the study showed a manageable toxicity profile in this population.

A Phase II trial evaluating necitumumab combined with cisplatin and gemcitabine in stage 4 squamous cell lung cancer patients was completed, but results are currently not available (NCT01606748). This was an open-label trial that investigated the pharmacokinetics of the drug in combination with chemotherapy. Another trial with the same combination is evaluating the safety in this population (NCT01788566).

A randomized Phase II trial is studying carboplatin and paclitaxel ± necitumumab (NCT01769391) as the first-line therapy for patients with metastatic squamous cell lung cancer. An additional Phase II trial is testing nab–paclitaxel and carboplatin combined with necitumumab (NCT02392507). Interestingly, both nab–paclitaxel and necitumumab are continued as maintenance after the completion of four cycles of the triplet therapy with carboplatin. Since the resistance mechanism for necitumumab is unclear, combining it with chemotherapy in maintenance phase could potentially prolong the benefit. Another trial is testing LY3023414 with necitumumab (NCT02443337) in metastatic squamous cell lung cancers.

Phase III trials
There have been two Phase III trials (Table 2) that have evaluated necitumumab in NSCLC. The INSPIRE trial enrolled...
patients with nonsquamous histology, while the SQUIRE trial enrolled those with squamous cell carcinoma.\textsuperscript{33,34}

INSPIRE was an open-label, randomized, multicenter study that enrolled patients with metastatic lung cancer with nonsquamous histology. Patients were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive 75 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} of cisplatin and 500 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} of pemetrexed with or without 800 mg of necitumumab intravenously on days 1 and 8. Treatment was for six cycles, and necitumumab was continued until disease progression or intolerable toxicity. The primary end point was OS. The study was prematurely stopped when an independent data safety monitoring committee found more fatal thromboembolic episodes on the necitumumab study arm. In general, there were more toxicities and deaths with necitumumab treatment. With 633 patients enrolled, there was no difference in the median OS between the experimental arm (11.3 months, 95% CI: 9.5–13.4) and the control arm (11.5 months, 95% CI: 0.84–1.21).

The SQUIRE trial was an open-label, randomized, multicenter study conducted in 26 countries. The enrolled patients had metastatic squamous cell lung cancer and were chemotherapy naive. They were randomized 1:1 to receive 75 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} of cisplatin and 500 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} of pemetrexed with or without 800 mg of necitumumab intravenously on days 1 and 8. Treatment was for six cycles, and necitumumab was continued until disease progression or intolerable toxicity. The primary end point was OS. The study was prematurely stopped when an independent data safety monitoring committee found more fatal thromboembolic episodes on the necitumumab study arm. In general, there were more toxicities and deaths with necitumumab treatment. With 1093 patients enrolled, there was no difference in the median OS between the experimental arm (11.5 months, 95% CI: 10.1–13.1) and the control arm (9.9 months, 95% CI: 8.9–11.1).

### Biomarkers for anti-EGFR antibody therapy

Tumor EGFR expression has been studied as a predictor for response to the EGFR inhibitors. The EGFR expression data from the FLEX trial were analyzed as an H score favoring the necitumumab arm 5.7 vs 5.5 months (HR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.74–1.21). The overall response rate was 31.2% in the necitumumab arm vs 28.8% in the control, and this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.40). More patients (72%) in the necitumumab arm experienced at least one grade 3 or higher toxicity compared to controls (62%). Hypomagnesaemia was higher with necitumumab therapy (9% vs 1%), and the venous thromboembolism rate was 5% vs 2.6%, respectively. The overall side effect profile was acceptable and predictable in this study. Based on these data, necitumumab was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in November 2015 for the treatment of advanced squamous cell carcinoma in combination with cisplatin and gemcitabine in the first-line setting.

### Table 2 Comparison of Phase III trials with necitumumab in NSCLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>INSPIRE\textsuperscript{14}</th>
<th>SQUIRE\textsuperscript{33}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>1093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>Nonsquamous</td>
<td>Squamous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment (n)</td>
<td>C/P/N (315)</td>
<td>C/G/N (545)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median OS (months) (95% CI)</td>
<td>11.3 (9.5–13.4)</td>
<td>11.5 (10.1–13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (95% CI, P)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.84–1.21, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.74–0.96, 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median PFS (months) (95% CI)</td>
<td>5.6 (5.1–6.0)</td>
<td>5.7 (5.6–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (95% CI, P)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.80–1.16, 0.66)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.74–0.98, 0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: C, cisplatin; CI, confidence interval; G, gemcitabine; HR, hazard ratio; N, necitumumab; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; P, pemetrexed; PFS, progression-free survival.
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cell histology, the benefit was significantly greater with cetuximab (HR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.37–0.84, \( P = 0.006 \)). Similarly, in the SQUIRE trial, as per a preplanned exploratory analysis, EGFR expression was taken into consideration and patients were classified as expressers (EGFR >0) or nonexpressors (EGFR =0) to assess benefit from necitumumab.17 When survival was analyzed, EGFR-expressing patients had a more favorable outcome with necitumumab (HR = 0.79, 95% CI: 0.69–0.92, \( P = 0.002 \)) than those who were nonexpressing (HR = 1.52, 95% CI: 0.74–3.12, \( P = 0.253 \)). EGFR evaluation based on FISH was also studied in a subset analysis.18 The median OS was more favorable for those patients who were EGFR FISH positive in the necitumumab arm when compared to chemotherapy alone (12.6 vs 9.2 months), but this is not statistically significant (HR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.52–0.96). Although EGFR expression by both FISH and IHC does predict a trend toward benefit from the addition of necitumumab, more data need to be generated before determining the strength of this biomarker.

**Discussion**

Histology is one of the most important factors in deciding treatment options for NSCLC. There are a number of actionable targets that have been identified particularly for nonsquamous carcinoma, including EGFR-activating mutations and ALK and ROS1 rearrangements. VEGF inhibitors such as bevacizumab are also only approved in NSCLC. Although the PD-L1 inhibitor pembrolizumab has been approved as first line for any NSCLC histology, \( \geq 50\% \) expression of PD-L1 levels is still required. Squamous cell carcinoma has very limited options with chemotherapy as the standard choice. A platinum combination with either gemcitabine or taxane is usually utilized as the first-line treatment. As a result of this lack of progress, survival for the squamous cell lung cancer patient has not improved in quite some time.

EGFR expression is ubiquitous in NSCLC, and use of this pathway to target cancer cells has been an area of interest. Necitumumab is a second-generation human monoclonal antibody that has shown promise in the treatment of squamous cell lung cancer based on the results of the SQUIRE trial. It has received Food and Drug Administration approval in the US when used in combination with cisplatin and gemcitabine as the first-line treatment. However, necitumumab has mixed acceptability in general oncology practice. One of the major areas of concern is its cost in view of a modest survival benefit probably limiting wide spread use. A recent analysis by Goldstein et al19 attempted to provide a value-based cost range for this agent. A possible solution to this issue would be better patient selection. A reliable biomarker could potentially determine which patients are more likely to benefit from the addition of necitumumab to chemotherapy. A retrospective analysis of the SQUIRE trial did show improvement in OS and PFS in patients who had an increased EGFR gene copy number by FISH. Although it is difficult to make a definite conclusion from this observation, it is imperative that future studies focus on identifying a predictive biomarker.

It is known that squamous cell lung cancer has a high level of mutational burden. It is speculated that EGFR monoclonal antibodies may increase EGFR-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes and prime the immune system.40 In this regard, combining this drug with immunotherapy could also be another potential avenue.

Combining necitumumab with EGFR-TKIs is another consideration since these drugs act by different mechanisms on the same receptor. A Phase I trial is currently testing the combination of osimertinib with necitumumab in NSCLC with activating EGFR mutations (NCT02496663). Similarly, combination with a CDK4/6 inhibitor abemaciclib is also being evaluated in another Phase I trial (NCT02411591).

**Conclusion**

Although treatment with necitumumab has a modest clinical benefit, it does provide an option in the limited drug inventory for squamous cell NSCLC. Its combination with other agents is currently a fertile area of research that will hopefully yield better outcomes for cancer patients.
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