Induction of PD-L1 expression by epidermal growth factor receptor–mediated signaling in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma
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Purpose: The purpose of this study was to investigate the potential effect of activation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling pathway on the expression of programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) cells with EGFR overexpression.

Methods: Flow cytometry and Western blot methods were used to assess PD-L1 expression on ESCC cells when EGFR signaling pathway was activated by epidermal growth factor (EGF) with or without EGFR-specific inhibitor AG-1478, and then EGFR signaling array was applied to analyze the potential signaling pathways involved.

Results: This study found that PD-L1 expression increased significantly in an EGFR-dependent manner by the activation of EGFR signaling and decreased sharply when EGFR signaling was blocked. The upregulated expression of PD-L1 was not associated with EGFR–STAT3 signaling pathway, but may be affected by EGFR–PI3K–AKT, EGFR–Ras–Raf–Erb, and EGR–PLC-γ signaling pathways.

Conclusion: The expression of PD-L1 can be regulated by EGFR signaling activation in ESCC, which indicates an important role for EGFR-mediated immune escape and potential molecular pathways for EGFR-targeted therapy and immunotherapy.
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Introduction

Esophageal cancer is the eighth most common cancer and the sixth leading cause of cancer-related mortality in the world. 1 Previous studies found that overexpression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) occurs in 42.5%–85.7% of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) and is closely associated with high recurrence and shorter survival rate. 2–6 Activation of EGFR may trigger a series of intracellular signaling pathways, which plays an important role in cell proliferation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and metastasis. 7–9 It also involves suppressing immune response through either activating regulatory T cells or reducing the level of T-cell chemoattractant. 10 Monoclonal antibodies and tyrosine kinase inhibitors against EGFR have been developed to improve the survival rate of ESCC, while most patients did not benefit from it. 11,12

Tumor cells can express many immune inhibitory proteins, which contribute to dysfunction and apoptosis of immune cells. 13 Programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1; also called B7-H1 or CD274), which is expressed on many cancer cells, is one of the most important inhibitory molecular which promotes tumor immune escape. 14,15 PD-L1 binds its receptors PD-1 and B7.1 (CD80), leading to negative regulation of
T-lymphocyte activation. Although the association between PD-L1 expression and prediction of prognosis in patients with cancer is controversial, anti-PD-L1 and anti-PD-1 antibodies have shown encouraging preliminary activity and those that have been used in patients exhibit promising safe profiles and outcome. Further understanding of the regulatory mechanism of PD-L1 expression is important for improving prognosis and evaluating potential targets in cancer therapy.

It was reported that mutant EGFR signaling pathway activation induced PD-L1 expression on bronchial epithelial cells, and EGFR inhibitors could decrease the upregulated PD-L1 expression in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines. It was also reported that there was no significant correlations between PD-L1 and EGFR/KRAS expression in lung adenocarcinoma. In head and neck cancer, PD-L1 expression was induced in an EGFR-dependent manner by JAK2–STAT1 downstream pathway. In ESCC cells, PD-L1 expression was regulated by interleukin-6–PI3K signaling pathway. However, the relationship between EGFR overexpression and PD-L1 expression in ESCC cells is less known. This study assessed PD-L1 expression and prediction of prognosis in patients with cancer is controversial, and those that have been used in patients exhibit promising safe profiles and outcome. Further understanding of the regulatory mechanism of PD-L1 expression is important for improving prognosis and evaluating potential targets in cancer therapy.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture**

Esophageal squamous cell lines kyse30, TE7, TE1, Eca109, kyse140, kyse 510, and CaEs-17 were maintained in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 2 mmol/L L-glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin (Hyclone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Logan, UT, USA), 100 μg/mL streptomycin (GE Healthcare Life Sciences), and 10% fetal calf serum (ThermoFisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY, USA). Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ and used in the experiments when in logarithmic growth phase.

**Cell treatment**

After the cells were starved overnight, EGF (Perprotech, Rocky Hill, NY, USA) was added to the culture media at the final concentration of 20 ng/mL. EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG1478 (Selleck, Houston, TX, USA) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide and added 30 min earlier at a final concentration of 1, 5, or 10 μM before EGF treatment. EGFR overexpression and PD-L1 expression in ESCC cells is less known. This study assessed PD-L1 expression and prediction of prognosis in patients with cancer is controversial, and those that have been used in patients exhibit promising safe profiles and outcome. Further understanding of the regulatory mechanism of PD-L1 expression is important for improving prognosis and evaluating potential targets in cancer therapy.

**Western blot**

Cells were lysed and proteins were extracted using mammalian protein extraction agent (ThermoFisher Scientific Pierce, Waltham, MA, USA) plus Halt protease inhibitor cocktail (ThermoFisher Scientific Pierce). Protein concentrations were determined using bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit (ThermoFisher Scientific Pierce). Aliquots of protein lysates were separated on sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, which was blocked with 5% blotting grade milk (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) in PBST (0.1% Tween 20 in phosphate-buffered saline [PBS]). The membrane was then hybridized with the indicated primary antibodies specific to human EGFR, phospho-EGFR (Tyr1068), STAT3, and phospho-STAT3 (Tyr705), then with the corresponding secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase, and detected using a chemiluminescence assay (EMD Millipore, Temecula, CA, USA). Membranes were exposed to X-ray film (Kodak China Investment, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) to visualize the bands. β-Actin was used as loading control. All primary and secondary antibodies used in the Western blotting were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA).

**Flow cytometry**

The cells were collected and washed twice in cold flow cytometry staining buffer (pH 7.4 PBS containing 0.2% [w/v] bovine serum albumin), then resuspended with cold staining buffer to a final concentration of 10⁷/mL. Cell suspension was aliquoted into 100 μL to each tube and 5 μL of directly conjugated primary antibodies was added. After incubating for 30 min on ice protected from light, cells were washed twice with staining buffer to remove unbound antibodies and then analyzed by flow cytometry immediately. For intracellular staining, the fixation and permeabilization (catalog no 554714, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) steps were performed after the surface staining. And then intracellular markers were stained. The primary antibodies (PE-PD-L1, catalog no 558091; and PerCP-Cy5.5-EGFR catalog no 352914) were both purchased from BD Biosciences.

**PathScan® EGFR signaling array analysis**

EGFR downstream signaling pathways were analyzed using PathScan® EGFR signaling array kit (Cell Signaling Technology, catalog no 12785) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First, cell lysates were prepared. Cells cultured in 10 cm plate were washed once with ice-cold PBS and 0.5 mL of ice-cold cell lysis buffer was added to each plate on ice for 2 min. Tilt the plate, scrape the cells, and collect the lysate into a clean microcentrifuge tube. Microcentrifuge the
lysate at maximum speed for 3 min at 4°C. The supernatant was aliquoted and stored at −80°C. The second step is the assay procedure. The multi-well gasket was affixed to the glass slide, and 100 μL of array blocking buffer was added to each well and incubated for 15 min at room temperature on an orbital shaker. The array blocking buffer was decanted. Then, 75 μL of diluted lysate was added to both subarray A and subarray B. The array was covered with sealing tape and incubated for 2 h at room temperature on an orbital shaker and the well contents were decanted. Later, 100 μL of array wash buffer was added and incubated for 5 min at room temperature on an orbital shaker. This process is repeated for three more times. Then, 75 μL of detection antibody cocktails A was added, covered with a sealing tape, and incubated for 1 h at room temperature on an orbital shaker. The slide was washed four times for 5 min with 100 μL of array wash buffer. Then, 75 μL of DyLight 680™-linked Streptavidin was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature on an orbital shaker. The slide was washed three times for 5 min with 100 μL of array wash buffer. Later, the slide was peeled and the gasket was kept apart. The slide was then washed once for 10 s with 10 mL of deionized water and allowed to dry completely. The image of the slide was captured by using Odyssey® (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) imaging system excited at 680 nm and detected at 700 nm. Spot intensities were quantified by using the Image Studio v2.0 array analysis software (LI-COR Biosciences). The heatmap was drawn using Heml 1.0 software (CUCKOO Workgroup, Hubei, People’s Republic of China).

Statistical methodology
Data are represented as mean ± standard deviation. Differences between groups were examined for significant differences by analysis of variance least significant difference procedure. Values of $P<0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant. All the tests were performed in SPSS Statistics 22.0 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results
PD-L1 expression on ESCC cells in complete culture media
Firstly, the expression of PD-L1 on ESCC cell lines with different levels of EGFR overexpression was evaluated in the complete culture media. Seven ESCC cell lines were used in these experiments. The Western blot result showed that kyse30 cells had the highest expression of EGFR, TE7, TE1, and Eca109; kyse140 cells had a moderate expression; and kyse 510 and CaEs-17 cells had the lowest expression (Figure 1A). The EGFR expression on cell surface was tested by flow cytometry (Figure 1B). As part of EGFR was located in cytoplasm during

---

**Figure 1** EGFR and PD-L1 expressions of ESCC cells in complete culture media.

**Notes:** EGFR (A–C) and PD-L1 (D) expressions were tested by Western blot (A) and flow cytometry (B–D). (B and C) Cell surface and total EGFR expression.

**Abbreviations:** EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; MFI, median fluorescence intensity.
rest condition, the total EGFR expression was also evaluated by staining EGFR both on surface and in cytoplasm (Figure 1C), which showed similar levels as that on surface. These results confirmed the different levels of EGFR expression in ESCC cell lines. When cultured in complete culture media, the cells had different levels of PD-L1 expression in a pattern similar to the level of EGFR expression (Figure 1D).

**PD-L1 expression induced by EGF**

To exclude the potential effect of cytokines in the serum of complete culture media, the cells were starved overnight and then PD-L1 expressions on cells with or without exogenous EGF added were compared. After 24 h of EGF treatment, the expression of PD-L1 elevated highest in kyse30 cells and moderate in TE7, TE1, Eca109, and kyse140 cells ($P<0.05$), whereas no significant increase was found in kyse 510 and CaEs-17 cells ($P>0.05$) (Figure 2A). When kyse30 and TE-1 cells were pretreated with EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG1478, the upregulated percentage of PD-L1 induced by EGF decreased significantly (Figure 2B–D).

**PD-L1 expression not influenced by EGFR–STAT3 signaling pathway**

As shown in Figure 3, EGF increased the level of phospho-rylated EGFR significantly in ESCC cells whether they had high or low EGFR expression. The upregulated EGFR phosphorylation could be inhibited efficiently by EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG1478. The effect of STAT3 signaling due to its potential effect on immune escape was then investigated. Unexpectedly, the same changes of phosphorylation of STAT3 were found as phosphorylation of EGFR occurred only in kyse30 cells, not in TE1 and CaEs-17 cells.

**Potential EGFR signaling pathways involved in the regulation of PD-L1 expression**

To further assess the molecular pathways involved in PD-L1 expression in ESCC cells following EGFR activation, the EGFR downstream signaling pathways were examined in wild-type EGFR expression kyse30 and TE1 cells, and EGFR mutant TE7 cells using PathScan® EGFR signaling antibody array kit. This study used 1.5 cutoffs to predict the significant
Figure 2. PD-L1 was induced by EGF.
Notes: (A) PD-L1 expression after EGF treatment. ESCC cells were starved overnight and treated with EGF (20 ng/mL). After 24 h, PD-L1 expression was tested by flow cytometry. (B and C) AG1478 inhibited EGF-induced PD-L1 expression. Indicated concentration of AG1478 was added 30 min before EGF treatment. (D) Three repeated experiments for B and C. *P<0.05 as significant difference.
Abbreviations: PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; EGF, epidermal growth factor; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; FCS, fetal calf serum; DMSO, dimethyl sulphoxide.

Figure 3. PD-L1 was not influenced by EGFR–STAT3 signaling pathway.
Notes: ESCC cells were starved overnight and treated with EGF (20 ng/mL) for 30 min or pretreated with AG1478. Total protein was extracted and tested using Western blot.
Abbreviations: EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; EGF, epidermal growth factor; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; DMSO, dimethyl sulphoxide; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3.
Figure 4 Potential EGFR signaling pathways involved in the regulation of PD-L1 expression.

Notes: Starved ESCC cells were treated with EGF (20 ng/mL) for 30 min and analyzed using PathScan® EGFR signaling antibody array kit. (A) Heatmap illustrator. (B) Increased phosphorylation in ESCC cells. Cutoff >1.5, ∗P<0.05 as significant difference.

Abbreviations: EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; EGF, epidermal growth factor; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; FCS, fetal calf serum.

changes of the tested proteins. As shown in Figure 4, EGF initiated the activation of EGFR signaling pathways as the fluorescent signal of phosphorylated EGFR increased significantly. The levels of phosphorylated Mek1/2, PLC-γ, Akt, and Erk1/2 were enhanced obviously in EGF-treated cells compared with that of starved cells. Similar results were found in all these three cell lines, which indicated that EGFR–PI3K–AKT, EGFR–Ras–Raf–Erk, and EGFR–PLC-γ signaling pathways may participate in the regulation of PD-L1 expression.

Discussion

The relationship between EGFR and PD-L1 has attracted much more attention in recent years. The present study found that PD-L1 was induced by EGFR activation following EGF stimulation in ESCC cells. This upregulated expression was not associated with EGFR–STAT3 signaling pathway but may be involved in EGFR–PI3K–AKT, EGFR–Ras–Raf–Erk, and EGFR–PLC-γ signaling pathways.

It was found that PD-L1 expression was induced significantly in an EGFR-dependent manner when EGFR signaling
pathways were activated by EGF in ESCC cells. The cells were starved overnight to exclude the potential effect of cytokines in the serum of complete culture media on the activation of EGFR signaling pathways. EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor can inhibit PD-L1 expression induced by EGF even lower than the basic level. This result indicated that PD-L1 expression was regulated by the activation of EGFR signaling pathways. In NSCLC, it was reported that PD-L1-positive status was significantly associated with the presence of EGFR mutation, could be induced by activation of EGFR mutant that contributed to immune escape, and had better outcome by EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors. However, there was a controversial report that showed that there was no significant relationship between PD-L1 expression and EGFR/KRAS expression in lung adenocarcinoma. As EGFR gene mutation leading to the constitutive activation of EGFR signaling pathways is most common in lung cancer, there may be some difference in PD-L1 expression in ESCC because EGFR overexpression is the main reason for the dysregulation of EGFR signaling pathways in ESCC.

This study found that there was a basic low level of PD-L1 expression in starved cells. While upon EGF stimulation, PD-L1 expression increased sharply in ESCC cells with high and moderate EGFR expression. Two mechanisms are involved in the upregulation of PD-L1 expression in cancer, namely intrinsic and adaptive immune resistance. Intrinsinc resistance refers to constitutive expression of PD-L1 by tumor cells because of change of certain signaling pathways, such as AKT pathway and STAT3. The low level of PD-L1 expression in starved condition probably resulted from low level of activation of EGFR signaling pathways because of autocrine effect or other mechanisms besides EGFR signaling activation. In adaptive resistance, the induction of PD-L1 on tumor cells is response to specific cytokines, such as interferon-γ produced by activated CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and natural killer cells. These results suggest that except for interferon-γ from activated immune cells, other cytokines, such as EGF, commonly thought as one of the major contributor to tumor development when binding EGFR, and some therapeutic intervention, such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, are also very important inducers to adaptive PD-L1 expression. This study did not find any significant increase of PD-L1 expression in low EGF expression cells by EGF stimulation, which indicated that the signal strength from the activation of EGFR signaling was vital to initiate PD-L1 expression; a threshold value was required for this induction.

An increased phosphorylation of STAT3 only in kys30 cells was found after EGF treatment but not in TE1 cells, although PD-L1 expression was induced in both of these cell lines. STAT3 has been identified to regulate PD-L1 transcription. Histone deacetylase 6 regulated PD-L1 expression by recruiting and activating STAT3 in melanoma. In head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, the intrinsic oncogenic signaling mostly depends on overexpressed wild-type EGFR stimulation, which is presented as a unique feature of this type of cancer, and JAK–STAT3 oncogenic pathway is best characterized in tumor proliferation and survival. Different results indicated that the transcriptional factor STAT3 might not be a common mechanism driving PD-L1 expression in ESCC. Similar results have been reported that STAT3 expression was not correlated with PD-L1 expression in high EGFR expression tumor specimens of head and neck cancer, and STAT3 inhibitor could not reduce PD-L1 expression in EGFR mutant lung cancer cells although it attenuated STAT3 phosphorylation.

EGFR-related signaling pathways are complicated and interlocked with each other. Mutant EGFR upregulated PD-L1 by activating PI3K–AKT and MEK–ERK signaling pathways in NSCLC. It was also reported that control of PD-L1 expression was specific to the PI3K–AKT–mTOR pathway and was not due to the stimulation of the MEK–ERK pathway in NSCLC cells. Contrastingly, another study reported that EGFR activation by EGF stimulation, exon-19 deletions, and L858R mutation could induce PD-L1 expression through p-ERK1/2–p-c-Jun but not through p-AKT–p-S6 pathway in EGFR-driven NSCLC. This disagreement may result from different derivation of human and mouse cells. This study found that the levels of phosphorylated Mek1/2, PLC-γ, Akt, and Erk1/2 increased significantly after EGF stimulus, which might involve EGFR–PI3K–AKT, EGFR–Ras–Raf–Mek–Erk, and EGFR–PLC-γ signaling pathways. Activation of PLC-γ can activate the downstream signaling factors protein kinase C (PKC) and NF-xB. NF-xB was reported to promote PD-L1 expression in ovarian cancer. Moreover, both PKC and AKT activation lead to Ras-mediated downstream signaling activation. The specific EGFR downstream was not verified in this study, which will be done in further study.

This study reports that EGFR activation mediated PD-L1 expression in ESCC cells, which does not depend on EGFR–STAT3 signaling pathway, but may be associated with EGFR signaling network. As clinical studies of EGFR targeted therapy in esophageal cancer are still being performed and PD-L1-positive expression indicates poor prognosis in patients with esophageal cancer, further
work emphasizing on the effect of crosstalk of EGFR signaling network on the regulation of PD-L1 expression in ESCC would provide potential and effective molecular pathways for tumor targeted therapy and immunotherapy.
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