Effectiveness of infrared thermography in the diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis: an evidence-based review
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Abstract: Venous thromboembolism is a serious medical, social, and economic problem. A number of treatment options exist to decrease mortality and morbidity in patients with deep vein thrombosis (DVT). An accurate and timely diagnosis of this condition is important to improve immediate and long-term prognosis. The standard diagnostic algorithm implying assessment of the clinical probability of the disease, d-dimer test, and venous duplex ultrasound is not optimal. Infrared thermography is a relatively new diagnostic modality under clinical investigation for various medical conditions. This study aims to review the published evidence on infrared thermography as a possible alternative tool in DVT diagnosis. The authors conclude that infrared thermography is still an experimental diagnostic tool for patients with DVT, and requires more clinical research evidence to support theoretical advantages and suggest a possible clinical application.
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Introduction
Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is a serious medical condition that comprises deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE). The incidence of VTE has been estimated as 1–2 cases per every 1000 individuals. Despite significant improvement in diagnostics, prophylaxis and treatment of this condition, the incidence of VTE, has been remaining the same over the last years. Acute VTE is a potentially lethal condition, with estimated 1-year case-fatality rate of 9%–23%. At least one-third of patients who survive will suffer postthrombotic syndrome of various severity. An individual-based treatment with anticoagulation therapy, compression therapy, catheter-directed and pharmacomechanical thrombolysis, operative thrombectomy, or inferior vena cava interruption altogether can substantially reduce VTE-related mortality, prevent VTE recurrence, and diminish postthrombotic morbidity. Thus, an accurate and timely diagnosis of DVT largely determines the immediate and long-term prognosis.

It has been noticed long ago that local changes in the body temperature may be associated with various diseases. Several literature sources quoted the following statement presumably made by Hippocrates 2 millennia ago: “Should one part of the body be hotter or colder than the rest, disease is present in that part.” It is believed that an abnormal local thermal pattern is mainly caused by blood flow changes, altered metabolic activity, or both. Infrared thermography is a method to detect thermal radiation emitted from the surface of any object with a temperature higher than the absolute
zero (−273.15°C). The use of infrared thermography has been advocated to improve the diagnostics of various medical conditions, such as sport trauma, spinal cord lesions, Raynaud’s disease, thoracic outlet syndrome, peripheral arterial disease of the lower extremities, erectile dysfunction, breast cancer, diabetic foot, osteoarthritis, complex regional pain syndrome type I, and others. Infrared thermography has also been suggested to help in follow-up after orthopedic and plastic surgery. The first purposeful use of the infrared thermography to evaluate patients with suspected venous thrombosis was reported by Soulen et al. This study aims to review the published evidence on infrared thermography as a possible alternative tool in DVT diagnostics.

Materials and methods
Eligibility criteria
Original English language articles related to venous thrombosis and infrared thermography were eligible.

Search strategy
A search was performed in MEDLINE, EMBASE, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane library electronic databases from 1900 to August 2016 using the following keywords: “deep vein thrombosis,” “venous thrombosis,” “venous thromboembolism,” “infrared thermography,” and “thermography.” The literature search was limited to English language. The titles and abstracts identified by the search strategy were screened by two independent reviewers (MES and JAD). Full text original articles that met our inclusion criteria were carefully assessed for the relevance to the aim of the study. Manuscripts that were selected pertinent to the issue by both authors were included. Disagreements were resolved by discussion. Review papers were considered, carefully read, but not included. Both duplicates in different databases and same articles published in different journals were excluded. References from the final list of manuscripts were scrutinized to include studies not obtained from the automatic search in databases. Relevant papers that were missed by systematic literature search were included (Figure 1).

Results
Limitations of phlebography in the diagnostics of DVT
As signs and symptoms of DVT are not specific, clinical assessment alone is not reliable for DVT diagnosis. Contrast ascending phlebography is considered the “gold standard” to diagnose DVT with the highest accuracy. It is safe to withhold anticoagulation in 99% of patients suspected for DVT who have a normal phlebogram in two projections. Phlebography has a number of serious disadvantages. It is an invasive and relatively expensive procedure that commonly translates into patient discomfort. It is undesirable in pregnant women because of the fetal exposure to ionizing radiation. Due to the risk of multiple adverse effects, such as allergic reactions, renal impairment, phlebitis, venous thrombosis, or even PE, conventional phlebography is rarely used as a first-line diagnostic test and is currently reserved for evaluation of patients with an unclear diagnosis or to guide interventional treatment.

Limitations of venous ultrasound in the diagnostics of DVT
Ultrasonography is a safe noninvasive alternative to contrast phlebography. Ultrasonography of the whole lower extremity is currently the standard diagnostic modality to evaluate symptomatic patients with moderate or high clinical probability of DVT according to the Wells Scoring System. Patients with low clinical suspicion for DVT and positive D-dimer also should be screened on ultrasound. Noncompressibility, which is an inability to fully collapse a vein under slight pressure with an ultrasound probe, is the main ultrasound sign highly indicative of venous thrombosis.

Despite being highly informative, ultrasound is not an ideal test for DVT. Compression ultrasound has 94% sensitivity and 98% specificity for symptomatic proximal DVT affecting common femoral, femoral, and popliteal veins. However, it may be limited or noninformative in patients with morbid obesity, edema, tenderness of the lower extremity,
or the presence of immobilization devices. Evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of DVT also indicate that the diagnostic potential of ultrasound varies for different part of the deep venous system. Although monophasic flow in the common femoral vein may be suggestive of proximal disease, ultrasound is less useful for the detection of thrombi in the iliac veins and inferior vena cava due to inability to reliably compress them with the probe. Noncompressibility of the distal portion of the popliteal vein is associated with a positive predictive value of only 80%. The positive predictive value of compression ultrasound may also be lower if venous thrombosis affects a single venous segment compared to extensive DVT. Approximately one-third of DVT cases are restricted to the calf veins and undiagnosed, and thus untreated symptomatic calf DVT propagates to proximal veins in every third case and commonly leads to PE. For quantitative analysis, the sensitivity of the ultrasound to detect symptomatic calf DVT, according to the high-quality meta-analysis, is 73%, at best.

To conclude, DVT is an acute and devastating pathology that necessitates a prompt and accurate diagnostics. The current diagnostic tests have limitations. Hence, a novel highly sensitive noninvasive test would be desirable to complement the modern diagnostic arsenal for DVT. A number of alternative noninvasive tests have been suggested at different times, such as impedance plethysmography or isotope scanning with radioactive labeled fibrinogen, plasmín, or platelets. None of these techniques is deemed valid to date.

Infrared thermography: the principle

The principle of infrared thermography is based on the detection of thermal radiation emitted from the surface of human body. Any matter with a temperature of higher than the absolute zero has thermal energy consisted of the kinetic energies of randomly moving atoms and molecules. Moving particles of a different charge generate electromagnetic fields resulting in the emission of photons. Thermal radiation is the process of conversion of the thermal energy to the energy of electromagnetic waves. All objects participate in the exchange of thermal energy. Thermal energy from the objects near room temperature is mostly emitted in the infrared spectrum (1–100 µm). With an increase of the temperature of an object, the emission of infrared radiation also increases. In medical thermography heat emission from the human body usually ranges within 6–14 µm. Thermography employs a special camera to remotely detect thermal radiation in the invisible infrared range of the electromagnetic spectrum from the surface of an object and convert it into grey-scale or color-scale images. Hence, a thermogram is a display of the temperature differences at the surface of the body.

The first infrared camera to detect an emitted infrared radiation at ambient temperature was created in 1959 by Astheimer and Wormser. The instrument utilized a radiometer and an oscillating mirror. The first thermography systems were purely qualitative, displaying thermal radiation on a photographic film as a monochromatic grey-scale image, with brighter warm areas and darker cool areas. Recent advancements in computer technology enabled full color-coded digital images with much higher resolution that translates into more precise thermography with opportunities for quantitative analysis.

Thermoregulation is an important part of homeostasis. The average normal body temperature is regarded as 37°C. The body temperature, however, is not constant and may be affected by many internal and external factors. Different parts of the body also have different temperatures. Thus, the normal thermogram of the resting leg appears as a relatively homogenous signal with a gradual decrease in infrared emission from the groin down to the foot. The temperature of the most distal part of the lower extremity is usually lower for 2°–6°.

Temperature is symmetrically distributed, with the difference between the same areas of the right and left lower extremities <0.2°C–0.3°C. Due to the relatively lower blood supply, the areas adjacent to bone structures, such as patella and tibia, are cooler compared to the areas overlying calf and thigh muscles (Figure 2). A “mottled” thermogram with alternating lighter and darker areas in the thighs is also a normal pattern commonly observed in obese patients. An increased local temperature on a thermogram >0.5°C, compared to the contralateral side, may be suggestive of a pathologic process.

Asymmetry of the body temperature has been associated with various pathologic scenarios. An old study that involved 121 legs suspected for DVT found that a clinical impression of an increased skin temperature by palpation only may predict DVT in up to 83% of cases. An increased skin temperature in DVT was also noticed by other authors and explained by an increased blood flow in superficial veins that relieves venous obstruction. The enthusiasm of those reports regarding the possibility of tactile diagnostics of DVT was likely overestimated. However, the infrared thermography implies the same principle, detecting slight
local changes in temperature with more sensitivity and objectivism. Several mechanisms may participate in the local increase of heat production caused by venous thrombosis. Inflammation-associated local vasodilation and enhanced metabolism lead to local hyperthermia that may be detected by thermography. In addition, an impaired venous drainage in acute thrombotic obstruction of the deep axial veins likely increases heat emission from the involved muscle groups. An increased intravenous pressure also promotes collateral blood flow across more superficial vessels. Besides that, production of vasoactive amines may enhance local arterial circulation.

The diagnosis of DVT by infrared thermography is mainly qualitative. Visible asymmetry with a diffused area of an enhanced heat emission over calf or thigh is suggestive of DVT (Figure 3). The loss of the normal thermographic pattern with prepatellar and pretribial areas of lower temperature is another sign of DVT. In patients with isolated calf DVT, the whole or greater part of the calf increases the temperature with the loss of pretribial coolness. More proximal femoropopliteal thrombosis is associated with an increased temperature in the lower thigh and loss of pretribial coolness. Iliofemoral DVT appears as an increased temperature of the whole lower extremity.

**Methodology**

Infrared thermography registers not only the radiation emitted from the body, but may also detect transmitted and reflected
radiation from a distant source. To avoid any errors, the study should be performed in a separate laboratory with constant temperature (20°C–25°C) and humidity. Air convection should be minimized during the exam. A patient is examined in recumbent position. Both legs are elevated for 10°–15° to avoid pooling of blood in the venous system. A distance of 10–15 cm between the legs prevents any heat exchange. Initially, a patient is allowed to remain in recumbency for 10–15 minutes before the actual thermographic examination to secure thermal equilibrium between the skin and surrounding atmosphere. After equilibration the study takes ~20 minutes.62

Infrared thermography is still not a valid independent diagnostic test for DVT and is not commonly used in clinical practice. The theoretical advantages of thermography are safety, low cost, rapidity of diagnostics, high reproducibility, and no need for trained personnel. Specificity, sensitivity, and accuracy of this test are under investigation and may change with evolution of this technology. A number of studies performed in 1970–1980, mostly prospective cohorts, compared infrared thermography with a gold standard in DVT diagnostics, ascending phlebography (Table 1). Based on the majority of these studies, the thrombosed area was 2.0°C–2.5°C warmer compared to the contralateral limb.65 Some studies reported lower temperature difference, from 0.4°C in thigh to 1.1°C–1.4°C in calf areas.48,64 The resolution to secure thermal equilibrium between the skin and surrounding atmosphere. After equilibration the study takes ~20 minutes.62

A pooled data estimates the sensitivity and specificity of infrared thermography as 80% and 70%, respectively (Table 1). The sensitivity of infrared thermography is lower for more distal disease. In one study sensitivity for proximal and distal DVT consisted 88% and 71%, respectively.66 Most false negative results are related to isolated calf or popliteal DVT.67 The sensitivity of infrared thermography is lower in patients with nonocclusive and nonpropagating thrombosis.50,63,67,68

Infrared thermography is a nonspecific test. Among conditions that may be responsible for the false-positive results are varicose veins, superficial thrombophlebitis, lymphangitis, infection, arthritis, hematoma, muscle tear, ligament sprain, inflamed synovial cyst, ruptured Baker’s cyst, and others.67,71 Hence, a positive result should be evaluated by duplex ultrasound.

### Limitations of the infrared thermography

While the process of infrared thermography is not operator-dependent, the qualitative interpretation of thermograms may be subjective.72 The retrospective review of missed DVT cases by infrared thermography indicates that half of them were actually detected, but not interpreted as being positive.67 Hence, the method of infrared thermography is interpreter-dependent.

The diagnostic ability of infrared thermography is gradually decreasing with time from the symptoms onset,73 and may not be suitable for patients with acute-on-chronic or subacute DVT.63,67 Abnormal thermographic pattern remains positive for DVT for at least 3 weeks in most patients, making it a weak follow-up test.67 In addition, infrared emission may be chronically increased in patients after a single episode of DVT. Thus, infrared thermography may not be informative for recurrent DVT. In one study 65% patients with proximal DVT, and 13% with distal DVT had an abnormal thermography pattern 1 year after initial presentation.74 This might be explained by recurrent episodes of venous thrombosis, persistent inflammatory reaction, redistribution of the venous flow to more superficial vessels, or other reasons.74

Bilateral thrombosis, due to a higher temperature in both legs, also may not be appreciated by infrared thermography.67

### Table 1 The diagnostic potential of infrared thermography compared to ascending phlebography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of legs</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooke and Pilcher</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6/17 (94)</td>
<td>17/17 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooke and Pilcher</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49/52 (100)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiviska and Perttula</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38/41 (93)</td>
<td>6/17 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergqvist et al</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>27/29 (93)</td>
<td>20/22 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalanchi</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10/14 (71)</td>
<td>8/10 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byström et al</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>26/26 (100)</td>
<td>22/25 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergqvist et al</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>77/87 (89)</td>
<td>32/34 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watz et al</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20/21 (95)</td>
<td>26/34 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritchie et al</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>53/72 (75)</td>
<td>113/139 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aronen et al</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>38/41 (93)</td>
<td>80/99 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallin et al</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>30/39 (77)</td>
<td>4873 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmgren et al</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>59/71 (83)</td>
<td>17/31 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lockner et al</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>95/98 (97)</td>
<td>31/63 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wojciechowski and Zachrisson</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>103/111 (93)</td>
<td>59/122 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>631/791 (80)</td>
<td>479/686 (70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: NR, not reported.
Interestingly, infrared thermography may also not be sensitive to extensive DVT associated with arterial vasospasm. A strong disadvantage of the infrared thermography is inability to precisely localize thrombotic process. The agreement in localization of venous thrombosis between thermography and phlebography is only 47%–79%. About one-third of patients with extensive DVT reaching the pelvis have an abnormal thermography pattern only in the calf or knee.

Comparison with other diagnostic tests
Some studies compared infrared thermography with other diagnostic tests. Thus, a prospective study assessed the value of infrared thermography for DVT compared to 125I-fibrinogen uptake test in 308 patients. The sensitivity and specificity of infrared thermography compared to the selected standard was 62% and 90%, respectively. The sensitivity was lower for distal DVT. The study has several limitations related to the imperfection of the fibrinogen scanning. Another study reported 54% sensitivity and 49% specificity of infrared thermography in comparison to fibrinogen scanning. In a recent noncontrolled nonblinded study involving 64 patients with symptomatic DVT confirmed by compression ultrasonography or angiography, the sensitivity of thermography compared to compression ultrasound was 97%. The agreement between compression ultrasonography and infrared thermography for anatomical distribution of DVT was 83%. Another technique of measuring the local skin temperature by a special manual infrared scanning transducer (DeVeTherm, Ekoscan AR, Gothenburg, Sweden), that is currently out of market, was proved to be ineffective to detect DVT, with 78%–85% sensitivity and 20%–41% specificity.

It is worth to mention for historical justice that infrared thermography gained an attention as a possible method in DVT diagnostics in 1970–1980 when ultrasound was not well-appreciated. The main goal was to find a decent screening tool and prevent unnecessary phlebography in many patients. The substantial progress in ultrasound imaging has largely solved the problem. Only a highly sensitive test, such as d-dimer in outpatients with low clinical probability of DVT, may help to improve the current diagnostic strategy.

Conclusion
The modern evidence-based approach to diagnose DVT with clinical predictive score systems, d-dimer test, and ultrasonography is effective, but not ideal. Many DVT cases remain unverified that increases VTE-related mortality and morbidity. Infrared thermography is a safe, simple, nonexpensive, and noninvasive test that seems to be an attractive modality to initially screen patients suspected for DVT. A highly sensitive test would decrease unnecessary ultrasound exams and increase the detection of those individuals who need to be treated. However, published evidence on the efficacy of the infrared thermography for DVT is inconclusive as being mainly represented by small series performed over the last 30 years. Without the appropriate well-designed modern prospective studies no recommendations for practical use of this method can be done. We conclude that infrared thermography is still an experimental diagnostic test for patients with DVT, and requires more clinical research to support theoretical advantages of the method and suggest its possible clinical application.
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