Antitumor effects of oncolytic herpes simplex virus type 2 against colorectal cancer in vitro and in vivo
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Background: The incidence of colorectal cancer (CRC) is on the rise. Furthermore, late-stage diagnoses and limited efficacious treatment options make CRC a complex clinical challenge. Therefore, a new therapeutic regimen with a completely novel therapeutic mechanism is necessary for CRC. In the present study, the therapeutic efficacy of oncolytic herpes simplex virus type 2 (oHSV2) in CRC was assessed in vitro and in vivo. oHSV2 is an oncolytic agent derived from herpes simplex virus type 2 that encodes granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor.

Materials and methods: We investigated the cytopathic effects of oHSV2 in CRC cell lines using the MTT assay. Then, cell cycle progression and apoptosis of oHSV2 were examined by flow cytometry. We generated a model of CRC with mouse CRC cell CT26 in BALB/c mice. The antitumor effects and adaptive immune response of oHSV2 were assessed in tumor-bearing mice. The therapeutic efficacy of oHSV2 was compared with the traditional chemotherapeutic agent, 5-fluorouracil.

Results: The in vitro data showed that oHSV2 infected the CRC cell lines successfully and that the tumor cells formed a significant number of syncytiae postinfection. The oHSV2 killed cancer cells independent of the cell cycle and mainly caused tumor cells necrosis. The in vivo results showed that oHSV2 significantly inhibited tumor growth and prolonged survival of tumor-bearing mice without weight loss. With virus replication, oHSV2 not only resulted in a reduction of myeloid-derived suppressor cells and regulatory T cells in the spleen, but also increased the number of mature dendritic cells in tumor-draining lymph nodes and the effective CD4+ T and CD8+ T-cells in the tumor microenvironment.

Conclusion: Our study provides the first evidence that oHSV2 induces cell death in CRC in vitro and in vivo. These findings indicate that oHSV2 is an effective therapeutic cancer candidate that causes an oncolytic effect and recruits adaptive immune responses for an enhanced therapeutic impact, thus providing a potential therapeutic tool for treatment of CRC.
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Introduction
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is an aggressive malignancy, the incidence of which has shown a continuous upward trend.1 CRC patients with distant metastases have a 12% survival rate.2 Greater than 20% of CRC patients have metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis,3 thus making CRC the fourth most common cause of cancer-related deaths.4 Currently, treatment approaches for CRC include the following: surgical intervention when possible, chemotherapy, and targeted drug therapy.3 Localized tumors with surgical resection can improve patient survival, but this simply means macroscopic...
selectivity, and allows for improved antitumor immunity and greater tumor killing.\textsuperscript{17} GM-CSF is a pleiotropic cytokine secreted by many kinds of cells. It produces multiple immunostimulatory effects, is involved in recruiting and activating dendritic cells (DCs), and induces tumor-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes. In the construction of OVs, GM-CSF is the most widely used immune costimulatory molecule that has been introduced into several oncolytic viral vectors\textsuperscript{18} and shown to have a good therapeutic effect.\textsuperscript{19,20}

It is generally known that cancers evolve multiple mechanisms of immune evasion and suppression.\textsuperscript{21} The suppressor cell populations can induce functional tolerance of activated T cells and/or block effector T cells.\textsuperscript{22,23} Regulatory T cells (Tregs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are the two major immunosuppressive cell types mainly involved in tumor-induced immunosuppression. Thus, successful cancer immunotherapy will only be achieved when associated with the elimination of suppressive cells and improve antitumor immune effector cells, such as DCs and T lymphocytes.\textsuperscript{24}

In the present study, we assessed in vitro cytotoxicity as well as the in vivo antitumor effect and immunostimulatory efficacy on effector and regulatory function of oHSV2 in a murine colorectal cancer model.

Materials and methods

Construction of recombinant HSV-2 expressing GM-CSF

The oHSV2 was provided by Wuhan Binhui Bioscience and Technology Ltd. (Wuhan, People’s Republic of China). oHSV2 is an attenuated, replication-competent oncolytic HSV-2, the construction of which has been previously described.\textsuperscript{25}

Cell lines and reagents

Human LoVo, HCT116, and HT29 cell lines were provided by the Basic Science Laboratory of Shandong Cancer Hospital Affiliated with Shandong University (Jinan, People’s Republic of China). CT26 is a murine colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line derived from BALB/c mice. CT26 was purchased from the Cell Bank of Shanghai Institute for Biological Sciences of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium or Roswell Park memorial Institute-1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 4 mmol/L glutamine, 100 of μg/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL of streptomycin under an atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO\textsubscript{2} at 37 degree. 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) was purchased from Medchem Express\textsuperscript{®} (ChemSpider, Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA) and dissolved...
in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 20 mg/mL. The final concentrations added to cells had <0.5% DMSO, which is nontoxic to cells.

**Virus-mediated cytotoxicity assays**
The cytopathic effect was evaluated by viral cytotoxicity, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis analysis. The effect of oHSV2 on the proliferation of cancer cell lines was evaluated using the MTT assay (AMRESCO LLC, Solon, OH, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cell viability was determined by measuring absorbance at 570 nm with a reference of 630 nm using a microplate reader (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA). The controls on each day were set at 100% viability. The percentage cell survival rate treated with oHSV2 was calculated using the following formula: (100% × [absorbance value of experimental cells]/[absorbance value of control cells]). All measurements were performed in triplicate.

**Cell cycle and cell apoptosis detection**
For cell cycle detection, CT26 and LoVo cells were seeded at a concentration of 4×10^3 cells/well in 6-well plates. Cells were harvested at 24 or 48 h posttreatment with oHSV2 (MOI =0.5 and 1.0) or 5-FU (0.1 µg/mL and 0.3 µg/mL for CT26; and 0.5 µg/mL and 1.0 µg/mL for LoVo) or phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). We used a cell cycle detection kit (CWBro Clontech, Mount View, CA, USA) for chromosome staining according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After incubation for 30 min at 37°C, the cells were analyzed with BD FACSCalibur™ (BD, Lake Franklin, NJ, USA). Apoptosis was quantified by detecting surface exposure of phosphatidylserine in apoptotic cells using the Annexin V-FITC/PI apoptosis detection kit (CWBro Clontech). CT26 and LoVo cells were infected for 48 h with oHSV2 (MOI =0.5 and 1.0), and apoptotic cells were detected according to the manufacturer’s instructions using BD FACSCalibur.

**Animal experiments**
The Committee of Animal Care and Use of the Shandong Cancer Hospital affiliated to Shandong University approved the experimental protocol. Mice were treated according to the National Institutes of Health guidelines. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Shandong Cancer Hospital affiliated to Shandong University. All mice were bred in a standard environment and were given free access to food and water. To evaluate and compare the antitumor activity of oHSV2 in vivo, a colorectal cancer tumor model was established in immunocompetent BALB/c mice. Fifty-four female mice, 5 weeks of age, were purchased from the Shandong Experimental Animal Center (Shandong, People’s Republic of China). To establish subcutaneous tumors, 5×10^3 CT26 cells in 100 µL of normal PBS were subcutaneously injected into the right flank of each mouse. When tumors reached a mean diameter of 6–7 mm 7 days after injection, mice were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 groups receiving PBS, 5-FU, and oHSV2. The groups were treated as follows: 1) 5-FU alone on days 0 and 6; 2) oHSV2 alone on days 0, 2, 4, and 6; and 3) control treatment of PBS on days 0, 2, 4, and 6. For oHSV2, 1×10^9 PFU were applied by direct intratumoral (IT) injection, PBS (100 µL) was injected IT, and 5-FU was applied at a dose of 75 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection. The 5-FU dose was based on a review of the literature and preexperimental effectiveness. After initiation of drug administration, tumor dimensions and body weight were measured every 4 days for 28 days and tumor volume (V) was estimated using the following formula: V = 1/2ab^2, where a = tumor major diameter and b = tumor minor diameter. All mice were followed for survival for 60 days.

**GM-CSF quantification by ELISA**
Each group included five randomly selected rats for quantification of GM-CSF expression. Blood was collected via the orbital vein 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, and 20 days after the first oHSV2 application. Serum was centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 5 min and stored at −20°C. GM-CSF concentration was determined by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; R&D Systems, Wiesbaden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Characterization of MDSC, Tregs in spleens, DCs in tumor-draining lymph nodes, and CD4^+ and CD8^+T-cells in tumors using flow cytometric analysis**
Tumor-challenged mice were treated as described earlier, and on day 10 after the first treatment, mice from each group (n=3) were euthanized and the cells from the spleens, tumor-draining lymph nodes (TDLNs), and tumors were surgically removed and used for MDSC, Treg, DC, and CD4^+ and CD8^+T-cell quantification by flow cytometry. A single cell suspension of spleens and TDLNs was prepared by filtration through a 300-gauge mesh. Tumor suspensions were prepared as described previously, with modifications. The cell suspensions were then stained at 4 degree for 30 min using the following antibodies: FITC anti-mouse CD11b, PE anti-mouse Gr-1, FITC anti-mouse CD4, PE anti-mouse FOXP3, FITC anti-mouse CD11c, PE anti-mouse CD86, PE-cy5 anti-mouse CD3, FITC anti-mouse CD4, PE anti-mouse CD8, and the corresponding isotype control.
antibodies (all monoclonal antibodies were obtained from eBioscience). After washing with PBS, the cells were fixed with 10% formaldehyde. The cell frequency was determined using BD FACSCalibur. The samples were analyzed using FlowJo software (FlowJo, Ashland, OR, USA).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS 17.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). All continuous data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Comparisons of data in flow cytometry were performed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test (unpaired) or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey multiple comparisons. Survival was analyzed by Kaplan–Meier curves, and statistical analysis was conducted using Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Cytotoxicity of oHSV2 on CRC cells
The cytotoxic effect of oHSV2 was tested in human LoVo, HT29, and HCT116 cell lines and the murine CT26 cell line. As shown in Figure 1A, all four cell lines were sensitive to oHSV2-induced cytotoxicity. The tumor cell shape became rounded and suspended, the gap between cells increased, and the original shape was lost. After infection, oHSV2 caused all cancer cell lines to form a typical syncytium (Figure 1B), which was associated with strong induction of an antitumor immunologic effect. MTT analysis revealed that the percentage of living cells was decreased in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Figure 2A). We also used different doses of 5-FU as a positive control in vitro. As can be seen in Figure 2B, both treatments exhibited a concentration-dependent reduction in CT26 and LoVo cell viability. The cells treated with 0.5 μg/mL of 5-FU for 48 h had cell viabilities of 66% and 72.7%, respectively, and 35.3% and 46.3% viability after treatment with a MOI =5, respectively.

Effect on cell cycle and apoptosis
To understand the underlying mechanisms of the cytopathic effect by oHSV2 treatment, we analyzed its effects on cell cycle phase distribution and cell apoptosis in LoVo and CT26 cells. As shown in Figure 3A and B, treatment with 5-FU had a marked effect on S phase frequency compared with the control group (all P<0.05). The G0/G1 phase frequency was significantly decreased compared with the control group (all P<0.05). Treatment with oHSV2 had no effect on the G0/G1, G2/M, or S phase compared with the control group (all P>0.05). This finding implies that oHSV2 infected and killed tumor cells independent of the cell cycle phase, whereas 5-FU mainly blocked cancer cells that were in the S phase. The Annexin-V/PI assay showed that infection with oHSV2 caused a greater proportion of necrotic cells compared with the control group (all P<0.05) in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3C and D). The percentage of early apoptotic cells was very low, and there was no statistical difference when compared with the control group. oHSV2 induced necrosis in tumor cells, but not apoptosis.

Expression of GM-CSF in vivo
In the CT26 xenograft model, the expression of GM-CSF in blood was detected on the 2nd day after initial IT injection with oHSV2. The level of GM-CSF was continuously elevated and the peak values were found on day 8 in the blood and the concentration was 3,150±327.1 pg/mL. Then, the level of GM-CSF expression was gradually decreased on day 10 (Figure 4). As expected, there was no GM-CSF secretion in the PBS and 5-FU groups. The results suggest that oHSV2 enhanced GM-CSF gene expression.

oHSV2 treatment affected mouse immunity compared with 5-FU treatment
To explore possible cellular mechanisms of the enhanced antitumor effect mediated by GM-CSF-armed HSV-2 treatment in immunocompetent BALB/c mice bearing the CT26 murine CRC tumor model, spleens, TDLN, and tumor tissues were collected for analysis of Tregs, MDSCs, DCs, and effector T cells after treatment by flow cytometry. As can be seen in Figure 5A and B, treatment with either 5-FU or oHSV2 alone resulted in reductions on the MDSC frequency in the spleen (7.84% and 2.50%, respectively) compared with the control group (14.60%, P<0.01), but oHSV2 was more effective than 5-FU in depleting MDSC. The mean frequency of Tregs was higher in the 5-FU group compared with the control group (14.60%, P<0.01), but oHSV2-treated mice showed a slight reduction in Treg frequency (4.60% vs 8.94%, P<0.01). In the TDLN, the frequency of DCs was increased when treated with oHSV2 compared with the control group (6.49% vs 3.73%, P<0.01; Figure 5C and D). A significantly lower frequency of DCs was found following 5-FU treatment (2.04%, P<0.05). Therefore, oHSV2 induced downregulation of MDSCs and Tregs, and upregulation of DCs. Similarly, flow cytometric analysis of the frequency of T lymphocytes in tumor tissues
was obtained. Data from one representative experiment in which the percentage of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells from the oHSV2-treated group was significantly higher than mock-treated tumors is demonstrated in Figure 5C (15% vs 8.57%, \(P < 0.01\); 8.19% vs 5.15%, \(P < 0.01\); Figure 5D). 5-FU treatment appeared to reduce the proportion of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell levels (4.17% and 2.98%, respectively; all \(P < 0.05\)) compared with the control group. Together, these data suggest that oHSV2 successfully reduced the number of immunosuppressive cells and enhanced specific antitumor immune responses of mice bearing tumors, which differed from 5-FU.

Figure 1 Oncolytic effect of oHSV2.
Notes: (A) The antitumor effects of oHSV2 in various colorectal cancer cell lines. Human murine CT26, LOVO, HT29 and HCT116 cell lines infected by oHSV2 at MOI = 1.0. After the indicated time points, OV shows effective killing on tumor cells. (Images were observed with an inverted phase contrast microscope at 40× objective magnification.) (B) oHSV2 was used to infect various colorectal cancer cells at MOI = 1.0; typical syncytia were observed after 48 h of treatment. (Images were observed with an inverted phase contrast microscope at 40× objective magnification.)
Abbreviations: oHSV2, oncolytic herpes simplex virus type 2; MOI, multiplicity of infection; OV, oncolytic virus.
oHSV2 inhibited the growth of subcutaneous CT26 xenografts without systemic side effects

As expected, mice treated with 5-FU or oHSV2 alone demonstrated a prolonged median survival time compared with PBS-treated mice (median survival, 36 days for the control vs 51 days for 5-FU alone and 50 days for oHSV2 alone; \( P<0.01 \) for 5-FU and oHSV2 [log-rank test]). The median survival time between mice that received either treatment alone was not significantly different (\( P=0.65 \); Figure 6A). Four weeks following the initiation of treatment, tumors in the control group were significantly increased in size (approximately 2,197.67±121.97 mm\(^3\)), while the tumor volume of the group treated with oHSV2 injection was 1,439.17±107.39 mm\(^3\) on day 28 after first therapy (\( P<0.01 \) vs control). Interestingly, no statistical was observed between the 5-FU and oHSV2 treatment groups with respect to tumor volume (\( P>0.05 \); Figure 6B). These findings were consistent with the results of antitumor immunity analysis. We also observed the effects of different treatments on body weight in mice. As shown in Figure 6C, the group treated with 5-FU showed a continuous reduction in the body weight. On day 28, the average body weight of the mice treated with 5-FU was 16.61±0.74 g, which was significantly different from the other groups (\( P<0.01 \)). The body weight of the mice treated with oHSV2 increased slowly, and there was no statistically significant change compared with control groups (21.16±0.68 g vs 22.07±0.54 g, \( P>0.05 \)). In addition, the mice treated with 5-FU appeared listless and showed reduced autonomic activity. However, these adverse effects did not appear in mice in oHSV2 group.
Also, there was no necrosis and ulceration in the area of skin where the virus was injected. These results demonstrated that treatment with either 5-FU or oHSV2 exhibited significant anticancer effects and also showed that the oHSV2 did not influence the body weight of mice and that it was less toxic.

Discussion
At present, CRC remains difficult to cure and represents a complex and challenging clinical problem. The 5-year survival rate for metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) remains <30% when treated with chemotherapy with or without surgery.29 The use of OVs is a promising therapeutic approach to fight cancer. OVs directly lyse tumor cells and some may induce systemic antitumor immunity, thus pointing to a novel therapeutic modality for CRC. In this report we present the efficacy of oHSV2, a conditional replication-competent OV encoding GM-CSF, for treating CRC. To observe the therapeutic effect of oHSV2 more
directly, we used 5-FU as a positive control because it is a classic chemotherapy agent used in the treatment of CRC. oHSV2 was able to efficiently infect and kill CRC cell lines, leading to cell oncolysis and growth stress. When tumor cells are lysed, tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) will be released into the tumor microenvironment, which could lead to a consolidating systemic antitumor response active against metastases.30 At the same time, we investigated cell viability after treatment with oHSV2 at different MOIs or 5-FU at different doses. The results showed that with an increase in MOI or dose, the activity of tumor cells gradually decreased. In addition, oHSV2 induction of all CRC cell lines caused syncytial formation in our study. It has been reported that syncytial formation induced by viral fusogenic glycoproteins can induce the release of large quantities of vesicles reminiscent of syncytiosomes, which can induce a...
Chemotherapy drugs do not have this feature. 5-FU is metabolized to ribonucleotides and deoxyribonucleotides, which can be incorporated into RNA and DNA, respectively. Treatment of tumor cells with 5-FU leads to an accumulation of cells in the S phase and has been shown to induce apoptosis in sensitive cells. Therefore, 5-FU cannot eliminate all cancer cells and result in the induction of drug resistance. In contrast, the results of treatment of oHSV2 were cell cycle independent and induced tumor cells with necrosis. Cell death by necrosis is typically associated with inflammation in contrast to apoptosis. The aggregation of inflammatory cells may enhance the antitumor response. Therefore, our virus could kill tumor cells in all cell cycle phases, and oHSV2 induced necrosis robust antitumor immune response. Chemotherapy drugs do not have this feature.

**Figure 4** GM-CSF serum concentrations over time following intratumoral injection of oHSV2 in tumor-bearing mice.

**Notes:** BALB/c mice (N=3 per group) were treated with oHSV2, 5-FU, and PBS. Ten days after first therapy, spleens were harvested from the mice.

**Abbreviations:** GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; oHSV2, oncolytic herpes simplex virus type 2; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

**Figure 5** (Continued)
in tumor cells, but not apoptosis, which is in agreement with a previous study.\(^{25}\)

Currently, the tumor microenvironment is considered to be an immunosuppressive condition. Tumors can rapidly evolve immune evasion and immunosuppressive mechanisms countering clinical treatment, leading to treatment failure.\(^{36}\) Consequently, cancer immunotherapy strategies have attracted increased attention. Interestingly, tumors...
that develop chemotherapy or radiation resistance are still suitable targets for immunotherapy.37,38 There have been reports that CRC patients have increased levels of Tregs in peripheral blood and a high density of infiltrating Tregs in tumor tissues, which have been associated with a poor prognosis.39 As the same, increased MDSC levels are also a predictor of undesirable outcomes in colon cancer patients. Therefore, diminishing MDSCs and Tregs are a promising therapeutic strategies to reverse cancer-associated immune dysfunction.40 It has recently been reported that arming OVs with GM-CSF can support the attraction of immune effector cells to the tumor site, thereby improving the induction of a tumor-specific immune response.41 GM-CSF has been shown to be the most potent, specific, and long-lasting stimulator of systemic antitumor immunity that can enhance the efficacy of OVs.42 In our study, oHSV2 was successfully replicated and expressed of GM-CSF in tumor-bearing mice, and GM-CSF was secreted into the blood from the tumor. Therefore, GM-CSF can also be detected in serum. The results show that GM-CSF was detected in blood on the 2nd day, subsequently peaked on day 8 after treatment, and then began to decrease.

To study the immunologic aspects of oHSV2, a fully immunocompetent mouse with a functional adaptive immune system was established that had a major impact on treatment outcome. In the present study, we analyzed the frequency of Tregs and MDSCs in murine spleens, which might reflect the overall immunologic status, with flow cytometry. Our data showed that 5-FU treatment slightly reduced the frequency of MDSCs and significantly enhanced the frequency of Tregs. It is well-known that the administration of anticancer chemotherapy is almost inseparably related to more or

Figure 6 oHSV2 suppresses the growth of CT26 colorectal tumors in mice.

Notes: (A) Kaplan–Meier survival curve comparing overall survival among the three groups. Median time to tumor progression with oHSV2 was 50 days compared with 36 days with PBS alone (P<0.01) and 51 days with 5-FU alone (P=0.61). Statistical significance was defined as P<0.05. (B) Subcutaneous CT26 xenografts were established in BALB/c mice and treated with injections of oHSV2, 5-FU, or PBS. The tumor volume of mice among the different groups was measured every 4 days following treatments. The data are represented as mean ± SD. Results showed that oHSV2 significantly suppressed growth of CT26 xenografts. P<0.05 significantly different vs PBS, **P<0.01 significantly different vs PBS. There was no statistical significance observed between the 5-FU-alone and oHSV2-alone treatment groups. (C) The mice weight was measured every 4 days following treatments up until day 28 so as to measure side effect. The data represent the mean ± SD. **P<0.01 significantly different vs 5-FU. **P<0.01 significantly different vs PBS.

Abbreviations: oHSV2, oncolytic herpes simplex virus type 2; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; SD, standard deviation.
less long-lasting immunosuppression.43 Because of selective cytotoxic action on MDSCs,44 5-FU treatment slightly reduced the frequency of MDSCs, but the therapeutic impact of 5-FU is not well-established.45 In contrast, oHSV2 treatment favorably enhanced the anticancer immune response by reducing Tregs and MDSCs. This result corresponds with T-VEC, which also decreases these two immunosuppressive cell types successfully in patients with stage IIIc and IV melanoma.46 The mechanism underlying this phenomenon is unclear and may result from release of tumor antigens and expression of GM-CSF.47

The recombinant OV oHSV2 was used to introduce the GM-CSF gene directly into tumors, so that the cytokine was produced in situ, resulting in promoting the specific antitumor immune responses. In the present study, we evaluated DCs by flow cytometry using anti-CD11c and anti-CD86 antibodies at the TDLN. CD11c has been reported as a relatively restricted marker of murine DCs,48 and CD86 has been reported to be a costimulatory molecule of DCs. The level of DCs in TDLN can indirectly reflect the regulation of GM-CSF antitumor immune responses. The results from our study showed that the proportion of DCs was significantly enhanced. This finding may be due to direct injection of oHSV2 into established mice, resulting in local GM-CSF accumulation, which may attract and mature DCs. GM-CSF has been shown to play an important role in recruiting and maturing DCs.49 In turn, the DCs act as a powerful stimulators to present tumor antigens to CD4+T-cells via MHC class II or to CD8+T-cells via MHC class I. The activated T cells show specific cytotoxic activity to the target cells and mediate tumor destruction. The data from our study showed that oHSV2 significantly enhanced the levels of CD4+T and CD8+T compared with controls. Our results are in agreement with other combined immune virotherapy approaches employing OVs encoding GM-CSF that have also successfully recruited the adaptive immune system.50-52 Thus, oHSV2 may induce tumor regression both through direct lytic effects following IT injection into tumors and through secondary induction of systemic antitumoral immunity in the context of virally mediated GM-CSF production.53 By comparison, both DCs and T lymphocytes were markedly reduced after 5-FU treatment. Like other chemotherapy regimens, 5-FU suppresses proliferating lymphocytes and does not keep the remaining MDSCs from suppressing the T cell proliferative response, though it can reduce the number of MDSCs. Taken together, we demonstrated that IT injection with oHSV2 in a murine CRC model resulted in a decrease of systemic Tregs and MDSCs as well as generation of tumor-specific T-lymphocyte responses that developed effective responses. Such immunologic changes might be due to cytokine production.

In the CT26 xenograft model, oHSV2 showed significantly higher tumor rates and prolonged the survival period of tumor-loaded mice compared to PBS. The antitumor effect was similar to 5-FU. These findings are consistent with the results of immunologic changes, suggesting enhanced antitumor activity of oHSV2. Although oHSV2 was highly attenuated and replication was restricted, the use of a virus still raises concerns about viral proliferation and dissemination. During the experimental period, the body weights of the mice in the virus groups were similar to the control group at the beginning, and then gradually and stably increased. At the later stages, the body weights slowly increased compared to the control group, but there was no statistical difference. Therefore, oHSV2 treatment of cancer does not cause weight loss in mice. In addition, no necrosis and ulceration were observed in the areas of the skin where the virus was injected and the mice were generally in good condition. Thus oHSV2 treatment has less side effects.55 During the experimental period, 5-FU showed excellent anticancer activity, but it also caused weight loss in mice due to associated toxicity, including severe myelosuppression, severe gastrointestinal reactions, and neurotoxicity. It is known that patients with weight loss have a worse outcome when undergoing chemotherapy.56

**Conclusion**

Collectively, the data from this study demonstrate the potent antitumor effects of an oncolytic HSV-2 expressing GM-CSF on various CRC cell lines and in a murine CRC model. oHSV2 causes an oncolytic effect and recruits adaptive immune responses for an enhanced therapeutic impact, which is promising in the treatment of CRC. There were some limitations to our study. First, the mechanisms of activity of oHSV2 are complex and it is unclear what contributes to the tumoricidal activities. Second, none of the animal tumors completely regressed in the present study, and all animals died due to excessive tumor growth. The extracellular matrix and antiviral response may restrict the virus distribution and replication,57 but this remains puzzling. Third, current technologies and mouse models cannot completely mimic the effects of oHSV2 in patients. Fourth, combining oncolytic agents and immunotherapy is not without controversy because the development of antitumor immunity would affect the clearance of OVs. Therefore, only preliminary findings were obtained in the present study; more thorough experimentation and understanding are needed to open new frontiers in the study of the mechanisms of action of oHSV2.
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