A review of screening strategies for cervical cancer in human immunodeficiency virus-positive women in sub-Saharan Africa
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Abstract: Cervical cancer (CC) is a leading cause of cancer-related death and a major public health issue in sub-Saharan Africa. This heavy burden parallels that of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, which increases the risk of developing CC. Despite the progressive reduction of HIV prevalence in the past decade, the CC incidence and mortality rates in sub-Saharan Africa remain high. The heterogeneity of the distribution of the two diseases in the African continent, together with the different availability of human and material resources, stands in the way of finding an appropriate screening strategy. The lack of high-quality evidence on the prevention of CC for HIV-positive women, which is necessary for the implementation of efficient screening and treatment strategies, results in the absence of a clearly defined program, which is responsible for the low screening uptake and high mortality rates in sub-Saharan Africa. By taking advantage of the HIV-positive women’s frequent access to health facilities, one way to increase the CC screening coverage rates would be by providing integrated HIV and screening services within the same infrastructure. With the increasing availability of cost-effective methods, screening is becoming more and more available to women who have limited access to health care. Moreover, the introduction of point-of-care technologies for human papillomavirus testing and the subsequent implementation of screen-and-treat strategies, by reducing the number of clinical appointments and, in the long term, the loss to follow-up rates, open up new opportunities for all women, regardless of their HIV status. The purpose of this review is to provide an insight into the different screening practices for CC in order to help define one that is adapted to the resources and necessities of HIV-positive women living in middle-to-low income countries.

Keywords: cervical cancer, screening, human papillomavirus (HPV), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)

Introduction

As the prevalence of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and that of cervical premalignant lesions in certain regions reach 20% and 9%, respectively, these two diseases represent two important public health issues in sub-Saharan Africa.¹–⁴ Since the onset of the HIV epidemic in the late 1970s, the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has labeled cervical cancer (CC) as an acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)-defining disease.⁵ The global incidence of CC in sub-Saharan Africa ranges from 43.3/100,000 to 69.8/100,000 women, as opposed to an incidence of 9/100,000 to 12.8/100,000 reported in Europe.⁶⁻⁹

A growing body of evidence supports the fact that human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is the main cause for the development of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) and invasive CC.¹⁰ Infection with HIV significantly increases a woman’s risk...
of developing cervical premalignant lesions and cancer by impairing her natural cell-mediated immune response, and thereby, altering her capacity of properly eliminating HPV.\textsuperscript{11–13} Furthermore, evidence coming from studies conducted both in industrialized and developing countries has shown that the risk of developing cervical premalignant lesions increases as the CD4 count lowers.\textsuperscript{14,15} This association could be more relevant in sub-Saharan Africa, where access to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) and subsequent retention in care are still real challenges.\textsuperscript{16,17}

The development of health care infrastructure, together with an increased access to HAART, has significantly decreased the worldwide AIDS-related mortality over the past few years.\textsuperscript{18} In sub-Saharan Africa, in particular, the World Health Organization’s (WHO) 2015 guidelines have extended the treatment criteria initiation to all patients, regardless of their CD4\textsuperscript{+} count, in an effort to make more HIV-positive people eligible for therapy.\textsuperscript{19} This translates into an increased life expectancy for HIV-infected women, which subsequently exposes them to the risk of developing other AIDS-defining cancers. Whereas most AIDS-related diseases are progressively becoming less frequent, CC’s incidence has not substantially decreased with the growing use of antiretroviral therapy.\textsuperscript{20}

In the 2014 guidelines, the WHO has stated the need to fulfill the research gap in the CC screening and follow-up of HIV-positive women living in developing countries.\textsuperscript{21} As the lack of good quality evidence makes it difficult to define the screening intervals and modalities for HIV-infected patients, the current guidelines for this high-risk group of women are mostly based on expert opinion.\textsuperscript{21}

The aim of the present study is to provide an overview of the current CC screening strategies for HIV-infected women and to discuss the current issues and research gap on the subject to the extent of defining the best possible screening approach for a disease that currently has a large impact on the women’s quality of life and expectancy.

**Overview of the current screening strategies and issues**

The incidence rates of CC in Africa vary widely by geographical region.\textsuperscript{6} Figures 1 and 2 show the distribution of the HIV epidemic and the incidence of CC in the different African countries. Despite the growing evidence supporting the association between HIV and HPV infection, the mechanisms resulting in CC are not fully understood, especially for HIV-positive women.\textsuperscript{22–25} This finding has been confirmed by a study conducted in South Africa and Burkina Faso, which found similar HIV infection rates in the two settings but significantly higher rates of cervical precancerous lesions in South Africa.\textsuperscript{26} The causes of this heterogeneity remain unclear and may partly be attributed to genetics, lifestyles, environmental factors, and migration patterns. These unregulated trends may explain why the
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**Figure 1** Global distribution of HIV in Africa in 2007.
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reported CC screening tests’ positivity rates vary widely by country. The geographical variations in the screening tests’ performance are partly responsible for the difficulty in establishing an efficient screening strategy that is adapted to the resources and capacity of each region.

Despite the accuracy of Pap testing in the detection of cervical lesions among HIV-positive women, the high costs, and the need of human and material resources imply the necessity to consider other alternatives for CC screening in Africa. One significant limitation of the current cytology-based screening strategy for the identification of cervical precancerous lesions is represented by the high rate of false negative test results, which have been found to be more frequent in HIV-positive women when compared to the general population.

Based on this finding, it has been suggested that colposcopy be performed routinely to screen HIV-positive women, which would increase the necessity of paramount human, financial, and material resources. In addition, the use of Pap test-based screening in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) has proven to be difficult to provide due to the limited resources for the pathological diagnosis and the significant delay between the primary screening visit and the subsequent treatment, leading to loss to follow-up, and in the long term, disease progression.

In order to overcome the main obstacles to screening uptake, a reevaluation of the traditional cytology-based screening strategy is advised, with the ultimate aim of extending screening coverage to those women who are, in relation to their HIV status, exposed to a higher risk of developing invasive CC.

Visual inspection methods

The visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) approach for screening prior to treatment of cervical precancerous lesions in the context of a “screen-and-treat” protocol in LMIC is currently recommended by the WHO guidelines. This method is often coupled with visual inspection with Lugol’s iodine, which has demonstrated to improve the performance of VIA on HIV-positive women.

As shown in the decision-making algorithm in Figure 3, one of the main strengths of visual inspection methods is the prompt availability of the test results, which allows the immediate treatment of screen-positive women. This particular aspect allows health services to contrast the high dropout rates associated with the multiple-visit, cytology-based screening approach, which have proven to reach as much as 80% of women screened in sub-Saharan Africa. Several studies have demonstrated that, while being safe and cost-effective, the VIA-based screening approach results in a significant reduction of loss to follow-up among HIV-infected women within a low-resource setting. Moreover, the overall acceptance rates of HIV-positive women who were offered this screening technique varied from 86% in a study conducted in Mozambique to as much as 96.5% in a study conducted in Nigeria, thus indicating that the majority of women will accept screening for CC.
with VIA if proactively offered the opportunity to test.\textsuperscript{39,40}
In addition, a multicenter study conducted in sub-Saharan Africa has found that the majority of HIV-infected VIA-positive women were eligible to promptly undergo treatment with cryotherapy, thus further supporting the efficacy of this primary screening method.\textsuperscript{35} The VIA-based “screen-and-treat” strategy embodied in an HIV service platform has been implemented in Zambia in 2006 and has ever since provided CC screening service to over 100,000 women. Although the program initially targeted only HIV-infected patients, its use has been rapidly extended to all women, regardless of their HIV status, with the aim of improving health education and reducing HIV stigmatization.\textsuperscript{41}

As a screening test to detect CIN grade 2 or worse (CIN2+) among HIV-infected women, VIA has shown a good performance in a large body of studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa.\textsuperscript{33,37} The different specificity and sensitivity values of VIA across different studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa are reported in Table 1. As the lesions occupy a larger area of the cervix, the VIA positivity rates have proven to be higher among HIV-positive women when compared to their HIV-negative counterparts.\textsuperscript{35} The main drawback of this technique is the subjectivity of the diagnosis, which is influenced by the health worker’s qualitative judgment and experience, and the environmental conditions, in which the examination takes place. In parallel, to what is observed among HIV-negative women, these aspects result in high false positive rates, which limit the technique’s specificity and lead to a risk of overtreatment.\textsuperscript{42,43} The subjectivity of the diagnosis obtained with visual inspection methods can be minimized by the use of digital cervicography. The use of digital images of the cervix allows users to standardize the visual inspection examination while providing a quality control system.\textsuperscript{44} Although this technique may seem inappropriate for low-resource settings due to the additional economic, material, and human requirements for its use, several studies have shown its successful implementation in different sub-Saharan African regions.\textsuperscript{45,46}

While the VIA result interpretation, depending mainly on the examiner’s judgment, leads mainly to false positive
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**Figure 3** Decision-making algorithm for VIA-based screening.

**Note:** *If available.

**Abbreviations:** VIA, visual inspection with acetic acid; VILI, visual inspection with Lugol’s iodine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>HIV status</th>
<th>Reference test</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akinwuntwan et al\textsuperscript{88}</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mabeya et al\textsuperscript{89}</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhn et al\textsuperscript{90}</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fhrnaber et al\textsuperscript{91}</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dartell et al\textsuperscript{92}</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>3,603</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Cytology (HSIL+)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al\textsuperscript{93}</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chibwesha et al\textsuperscript{94}</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CIN2+, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 or worse; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HSIL+, high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; VIA, visual inspection with acetic acid.
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Diagnoses, it may also be responsible for a certain number of false negatives. A recent cost-effectiveness analysis comparing different CC screening methods has demonstrated that, although VIA is the most cost-effective option when compared to cytology and HPV testing, its lower sensitivity when compared to other screening techniques translates into a significantly increased number of missed diagnoses. The high rates of false negative diagnoses represent one of the main challenges to the use of this technique for primary screening, as they impose the need of multiple-day, long-distance visits, which are responsible for loss to follow-up. As same day treatment with procedures such as cryotherapy or thermocoagulation has proven to be feasible and well-accepted by patients, one way to reduce loss to follow-up would be to treat all women whose diagnosis is suspicious for a cervical premalignant lesion, although at the cost of increasing the risk of overtreatment.

Despite the technological progress that allows the continuous optimization of this screening method, several studies have found that, in addition to user-dependent factors, there are also patient-related factors, such as age and the presence of vaginal infections, which can influence the capacity to read the VIA results on HIV-positive patients, thus leading to possible test result misinterpretation.

The limited reliability of visual inspection methods, together with the reluctance expressed by some women to undergo a screening service that involves a pelvic examination, have given way to the introduction of alternative methods, all while taking into account the main human and institutional barriers that keep the current screening coverage rates in sub-Saharan Africa low.

HPV testing

HPV testing has proven to be significantly more sensitive in identifying cervical precancerous lesions when compared with cytology, although at the cost of a lower specificity. The different sensitivity and specificity values of HPV testing obtained from studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa are reported in Table 2. A randomized trial conducted in South Africa has shown that, among 100 screened women, the HPV-based screen-and-treat approach can prevent 12 cases of CIN2+ among HIV-infected women and 3 cases of CIN2+ among HIV-negative patients, whereas VIA can prevent only 7 and 1 case of CIN2+ among HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected women, respectively.

The availability of point-of-care technologies for the detection of HPV, which require limited infrastructure and provide test results within 1 hour, potentially allow the implementation of a same day screen-and-treat approach. One of these is GeneXpert (GeneXpert®; Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) which, when used for HPV sample analysis, has shown a higher positive predictive value and sensitivity in the detection of CIN2+ among HIV-positive women when compared to their HIV-negative counterparts. Similar results have been observed when using the Hybrid Capture 2 DNA assay (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA).

Due to the high prevalence of HPV infection among HIV-infected women, the HPV test’s specificity remains limited. The results from a study conducted in Kenya suggest that decreased HPV specificity is independently associated with age <40 years. This finding is consistent with the progressive decrease of HPV infection starting at the age 30 years, whereas the peak incidence of CIN occurs about 10 years later. For this reason, similar age restrictions to those applied in the USA for HPV screening, which is currently limited to women aged 30 years and older, should apply to HIV-positive women.

One way to increase the HPV test’s specificity and to potentiate its effectiveness is by combining HPV testing with triage methods. One study conducted in Kenya has

### Table 2: Sensitivity and specificity of HPV testing among HIV-positive women across different studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>HIV status</th>
<th>Test used</th>
<th>Reference test</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fihmaber et al</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>1202</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>HC2 (Qiagen)</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhn et al</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>HC2 (Qiagen)</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chibwesha et al</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>GeneXpert</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darrell et al</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>3603</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>HC2 (Digene)</td>
<td>Cytology (HSIL+)</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>Enzyme immunoassay</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamson et al</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>Aptima HPV mRNA assay on self-collected samples</td>
<td>HPV mRNA assay on clinician-collected samples</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segondy et al</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>careHPV and INNO-LiPA</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>93.3 (careHPV)</td>
<td>57.9 (careHPV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96.7 (INNO-LiPA)</td>
<td>32.0 (INNO-LiPA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngou et al</td>
<td>South Africa, Burkina Faso</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>HC2 and INNO-LiPA</td>
<td>Histology (CIN2+)</td>
<td>88.8 (HC2)</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIN2+, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 or worse; HC2, Hybrid Capture 2; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HPV, human papillomavirus; HSIL+, high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion.
demonstrated that the already high sensitivity of HPV testing in HIV-positive women can be further increased by pairing it up with a triage test, such as VIA or cytology.\textsuperscript{29} The prevalence of specific high-risk HPV types such as HPV-52, HPV-58, HPV-35, and HPV-16 in HIV-positive women is itself a predictor for cervical disease that can be assessed through genotyping.\textsuperscript{58} Other studies have evaluated the use of alternative triage methods for HPV-positive women. One of these is the identification of E6 protein because real-time nucleic acid sequence-based amplification has proven to allow type-specific detection of E6 mRNA from high-risk HPV types.\textsuperscript{50,59} Another triage method is the use of methylation markers of host cell genes involved in cervical carcinogenesis, such as \textit{CADM1}, \textit{MAL}, and \textit{MIR124-2} loci.\textsuperscript{54} It has been demonstrated that the combined use of these methylation markers for HIV-infected HPV-positive women is comparable to that of cytology and superior to HPV16/18 genotyping and VIA for the detection of CIN.\textsuperscript{60}

Another study has found that the molecular expression of the insulin-like growth factor II mRNA binding protein 3 (IMP3) and of p16 are significantly higher among HIV-infected women with squamous intraepithelial neoplasia (SIL).\textsuperscript{61} Moreover, the expression of IMP3 among HIV-positive women is more sensitive than p16 in identifying patients at risk for progression and recurrence of high-grade SIL (HSIL).\textsuperscript{61} Although this strategy was evaluated solely on clinician-collected cervical samples, it represents another step toward the adoption of molecular tests as a complementary tool for CC screening.

The advantage of HPV genotyping, OncoE6, methylation, and other molecular markers is that they can be assessed in the same cervical sample that is used for primary screening, thus avoiding the need of multiple visits. This aspect makes the molecular screening strategy particularly promising in LMIC, where it could circumvent some of the main practical barriers to CC screening attendance, such as lack of time and unwillingness to undergo multiple clinical visits that entail one or more pelvic examinations.\textsuperscript{60,62} The decision-making algorithm for HPV-based screening is shown in Figure 4.

Another advantage to HPV testing is the possibility of performing self-sampling. The availability of self-screening represents an additional strategy to improve screening coverage in LMIC, where the limited infrastructure and health personnel reduce the effectiveness of the call-recall cytology-based programs.\textsuperscript{63} The equality of self-collected and clinician-collected HPV samples has been demonstrated by a recent meta-analysis, which found that the two collection methods had similar sensitivity and specificity for the detection of CIN2+ when polymerase chain reaction-based tests are used.\textsuperscript{64} Furthermore, the results from a recent study conducted in South Africa support the equality of the two methods among HIV-infected women.\textsuperscript{65}

By overcoming some of the main institutional barriers to CC screening, such as limited access to health services,
the time-consuming aspect of a multiple-visit approach and the discomfort that can derive from a pelvic examination, the HPV self-sampling approach represents a mean to potentially increase CC screening participation. A recent randomized trial conducted in the USA has demonstrated that offering HPV self-sampling and subsequent results counseling within the same day increases the HIV-positive women’s perception of their CC risk.66 Another study conducted in Uganda has found that self-sampling was well accepted by HIV-infected women, achieving screening attendance rates of >90%.67 The overall advantages and disadvantages associated to the different screening techniques are reported in Table 3.

### Table 3 Advantages and disadvantages of different CC screening options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cytology</th>
<th>VIA</th>
<th>HPV testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High specificity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasible integration into a same</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>screen-and-treat approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoids the need of a pelvic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening requires more than</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one visit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need important health care</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need important laboratory supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need qualified personnel for results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interpretation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High FN rates resulting in the need to repeat the test</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High FP rates resulting in a higher risk of overtreatment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CC, cervical cancer; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HPV, human papillomavirus; VIA, visual inspection with acetic acid.

### Screening uptake

Compared to industrialized countries, where CC screening covers >60% of the target population, screening in developing countries covers <20% of women.68 A recent review has estimated that the screening coverage rates in sub-Saharan Africa range from 2% to 20.2% in urban areas and from 0.4% to 14% in rural areas.69 More specifically South Africa, which accounts for the highest burden of HIV in the world, has a CC screening uptake that was estimated to be 54% in 2013, with local variations that went from a minimum of 32% to a maximum of 75%.55,70

The main intrapersonal obstacles that keep HIV-positive women from undergoing screening include an expected feeling of pain, discomfort, and anxiety that derive from the initial pelvic examination and the subsequent colposcopy in case of a positive screening test.71 In addition, older age and a perceived low risk of developing CC have also proven to be obstacles to screening participation.68,72 Institutional barriers, defined by a limited access to transportation and health care facilities, an extensive waiting time, and systemic issues related to scheduling multiple gynecological appointments, also stand in the way of women attending CC screening.73 There are also socioeconomic factors, such as the cost of the screening service and of the transportation to the health facilities, which may be necessary multiple times depending on the primary test results and the type of strategy that is adopted that can have a negative impact on screening participation.68

Most of the aforementioned institutional and intrapersonal barriers to adequate screening attendance apply to HIV-infected women as much as to their HIV-negative counterparts. The main advantage in favor of HIV-infected women is the fact that their more frequent health facility attendance can be viewed as an opportunity to provide health education, screening, and treatment of cervical precancerous and cancerous lesions within the same facility and, possibly, the same day. According to health care providers, the integration of CC screening in this context would allow to break down the main intrapersonal and institutional barriers that withhold this high-risk group of women from undergoing screening.74

Several studies have proven that information is a key component to increasing screening uptake among women in LMIC.71,74,75 While a study conducted in Ethiopia has found that women are 4 times more likely to undergo CC screening if they have previously been diagnosed with a sexually transmitted disease, another study in Uganda has shown that having multiple medical appointments for HIV and screening-related services can generate a considerable amount of stress and confusion, thus discouraging screening participation.71,72 In addition, not knowing how a screening procedure is performed is responsible for speculations and misconceptions on the CC prevention pathway.71

A recent study conducted on HIV-infected women has shown that, despite the increase in screening participation up to 95% with the use of HPV self-sampling, women were more likely to be lost at the subsequent follow-up visits if they had severe immunosuppression, higher viral loads, and a history of substance abuse.66 A solid health education delivered through HIV services represents the fundamental basis for increasing self-awareness and, subsequently, screening attendance. One study conducted in Western Kenya has found that,
while self-reported HIV was associated to increased CC screening attendance, not all women who acknowledged living with HIV underwent screening, thus highlighting the importance of education in this high-risk group of women.\textsuperscript{76} Growing evidence shows the importance of informing not only the women, but also their male partners, as their support can significantly influence the women’s decision to undergo screening.\textsuperscript{74,75} One way to ensure the correct transmission of information and to retain patients in health care is by building strong provider–patient relationships, especially for patients with multiple medical and social needs.\textsuperscript{73}

By integrating HIV health care and CC screening services, another one of the main obstacles that can be overcome is the women’s reluctance to attend screening due to the distance to the health care facilities. One study has shown that women having received a pelvic examination for CC screening at a place other than their usual health facility for HIV care were more likely to not undergo screening in the future.\textsuperscript{77} With the progressive implementation of screen-and-treat strategies, this systemic issue is further tackled by providing HIV care, CC screening, and treatment possibly all within the same day.\textsuperscript{71}

Screening timing, intervals, and follow-up

For HIV-infected women living in western countries, the CC screening guidelines normally referred to are those for the treatment and prevention of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents endorsed by the CDC, the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and HIV Medicine Association (HIVMA) of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. The common denominator to these guidelines is the prevention of CC by screening, management of women with positive test results, and subsequent treatment of histologically confirmed high-grade cancer precursors. According to these recommendations, HIV-infected women should undergo 2 Pap smears within the first year after diagnosis of HIV infection, followed by annual Pap testing regardless of their age.\textsuperscript{78,79} As opposed to HIV-uninfected women, whose HPV prevalence progressively decreases with age, HIV-positive women have an HPV prevalence that remains high across different age groups, which justifies the decision to not yet define the age at which to interrupt screening for these women.\textsuperscript{80} Differently from their HIV-negative counterpart, HIV-positive women should undergo screening within 1 year of the onset of sexual activity even if <21 years of age.\textsuperscript{81}

Similar to the guidelines that apply to high-income countries, the WHO recommendations also advise to start screening for CC as soon as the onset of sexual activity for women living in areas where the HIV prevalence is high and whose HIV status is either positive or unknown.\textsuperscript{82} Although the recent implementation of HPV testing justifies the prolongation of the screening interval from 3 to 5 years for screen-negative women, such consideration only applies to HIV-negative women.\textsuperscript{83,84} Despite the lack of evidence coming from LMIC, the higher risk of developing cervical precancerous and cancerous lesions for HIV-infected women justifies a closer follow-up schedule. The WHO currently suggests repeating screening after 3 years in presence of a negative screening test for this high-risk group of women.\textsuperscript{82}

Although evidence on the subject is currently limited, the recurrence rates of CIN among HIV-infected women following standard excisional treatment have been proven to range from 20% to 75%.\textsuperscript{84} The results of a retrospective cohort study have shown a recurrence rate of 18% among HIV-positive women treated with thermocoagulation and followed for a 4-year period. This result was not significantly different from that obtained for HIV-negative women in the same cohort.\textsuperscript{85}

Despite the literature gap on the subject, it seems evident that a closer follow-up schedule is advised for women who either test positive at primary screening or who are treated for a cervical premalignant lesions. What current knowledge seems to suggest, however, is that the timing of such follow-up visits should be no different than that applied to HIV-negative women.

Conclusion

To date, the best CC screening approach for HIV-infected women living in sub-Saharan Africa, where the HIV epidemic reaches its peak, has yet to be defined. As the risks-benefits balance does not seem to weight more toward a certain screening strategy for HIV-infected women, current evidence suggests that the screening options applied to HIV-negative women should be extended to their HIV-positive counterparts. The higher risk of developing cervical precancerous and cancerous lesions, however, imposes a closer follow-up schedule for this group of women.\textsuperscript{82} The lack of sufficient evidence on the subject limits the reliability of the current CC screening guidelines for HIV-infected women and, thus, imposes the need to conduct further studies in order to provide more solid foundations for the prevention of a disease that currently represents a public health issue.
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