The *HLA-A*\(^*31:01\) allele: influence on carbamazepine treatment
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Abstract: Carbamazepine (CBZ) is an effective anticonvulsant that can sometimes cause hypersensitivity reactions that vary in frequency and severity. Strong associations have been reported between specific human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alleles and susceptibility to CBZ hypersensitivity reactions. Screening for *HLA-B*\(^*15:02\)* is mandated in patients from South East Asia because of a strong association with Stevens–Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN). *HLA-A*\(^*31:01\)* predisposes to multiple phenotypes of CBZ hypersensitivity including maculopapular exanthema, hypersensitivity syndrome, and SJS/TEN in a range of populations including Europeans, Japanese, South Koreans and Han Chinese, although the effect size varies between the different phenotypes and populations. Between 47 Caucasians and 67 Japanese patients would need to be tested for *HLA-A*\(^*31:01\)* in order to avoid a single case of CBZ hypersensitivity. A cost-effectiveness study has demonstrated that *HLA-A*\(^*31:01\)* screening would be cost-effective. Patient preference assessment has also revealed that patients prefer pharmacogenetic screening and prescription of alternative anticonvulsants compared to current standard of practice without pharmacogenetic testing. For patients who test positive for *HLA-A*\(^*31:01\)*, alternative treatments are available. When alternatives have failed or are unavailable, *HLA-A*\(^*31:01\)* testing can alert clinicians to 1) patients who are at increased risk of CBZ hypersensitivity who can then be targeted for more intensive monitoring and 2) increase diagnostic certainty in cases where hypersensitivity has already occurred, so patients can be advised to avoid structurally related drugs in the future. On the basis of the current evidence, we would favor screening all patients for *HLA-A*\(^*31:01\)* and *HLA-B*\(^*15:02\)* prior to starting CBZ therapy.
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Introduction
Carbamazepine (CBZ) is an effective anticonvulsant that is also used in the treatment of psychiatric disorders.\(^1\)\(^2\) However, it is associated with hypersensitivity reactions in up to 10% of patients.\(^1\) These reactions include severe conditions, such as toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), Stevens–Johnson syndrome (SJS), hypersensitivity syndrome (HSS) and milder reactions, such as maculopapular exanthema (MPE).\(^2\) The mortality rate of TEN at 1 year is 34%\(^4\), and in pediatric patients who survived acute TEN, all patients suffered with long-term complications, which included scarring, visual loss and chronic kidney disease.\(^3\) Strong associations have been reported between specific human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alleles and susceptibility to CBZ hypersensitivity reactions.\(^5\)\(^6\)

HLA alleles are encoded by the major histocompatibility complex (MHC), are found in all vertebrates and are responsible for presentation of protein-derived peptides...
to T cells as part of the adaptive immune response. There are two main classes of MHC molecules: class I (MHC-I) and class II (MHC-II). MHC-I molecules are encoded by three genes: HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA-C. Similarly, there are three MHC-II molecules called HLA-DR, HLA-DQ and HLA-DP. HLA genes constitute the most polymorphic gene cluster in the human genome with most allelic diversity concentrated in peptide binding sites of the HLA molecules enabling different alleles to bind a range of peptides. Specific polymorphisms in HLA molecules have been associated with increased susceptibility to a number of hypersensitivity reactions affecting different organs and caused by a wide variety of therapeutically and structurally distinct drugs (Table 1).

CBZ-induced SJS/TEN has been strongly associated with carriage of HLA-B*15:02 in patients from South East Asian countries. A prospective cohort study in Taiwan demonstrated the clinical utility of pharmacogenetic screening for HLA-B*15:02 in preventing CBZ-induced SJS/TEN. Regulatory agencies, such as the US Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency, have included warnings in the drug label and summary of product characteristic (SmPC), respectively, advising pharmacogenetic screening in patients from particular populations in South East Asia. The strong association of HLA-B*15:02 with CBZ-induced SJS/TEN in South East Asian countries, but not in other countries, reflects the higher prevalence of this allele in those countries (Figure 1). Despite the fact that HLA-B*15:02 is rare in Caucasians (prevalence <0.01%), if a Northern European patient is positive for this allele, it would be important not to challenge the patient with CBZ, although there is no specific evidence of the association in Caucasians.

HLA-A*31:01 has also been associated with CBZ-induced hypersensitivity reactions in multiple populations including European and Japanese patients but pharmacogenetic screening is not currently mandated before initiation of CBZ therapy. It is included in a number of drug labels worldwide but the association with HLA-A*31:01 is mentioned for information only. Unlike HLA-B*15:02, which is largely restricted to South East Asia, HLA-A*31:01 is present in many different populations worldwide. The allele frequency of HLA-A*31:01 in European populations ranges between 2.1% and 3.6%. The frequency of HLA-A*31:01 varies across Asian populations: Han Chinese (2.8%–3.6%), Korean (5.6%) and Japanese (7.1%–12%). The highest frequencies have been reported in South American countries, such as Argentina (25%–38.6%) and Brazil (2.6%–18.5%; www.allelefrequencies.net).

This review aims to summarize the association between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ hypersensitivity, evaluate the association studies that have been performed to date, discuss the proposed interaction between CBZ and HLA-A*31:01, identify the challenges in applying pharmacogenetic screening for HLA-A*31:01 and proposals for overcoming these barriers.

HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ hypersensitivity

Retrospective case–control studies in multiple patient populations have reported associations between HLA-A*31:01 and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Class of drug</th>
<th>HLA allele</th>
<th>Hypersensitivity reaction</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abacavir</td>
<td>Antiretroviral</td>
<td>B*57:01</td>
<td>AHS</td>
<td>52, 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allopurinol</td>
<td>Xanthine oxidase inhibitor</td>
<td>B*58:01</td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>54, 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin-Clavulanate</td>
<td>Antibiotic</td>
<td>DRB1*15:01</td>
<td>SJS/TEN</td>
<td>56, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluocloxacin</td>
<td>Antibiotic</td>
<td>B*57:01</td>
<td>DILI</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamotrigine</td>
<td>Anticonvulsant</td>
<td>B*58:01</td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine</td>
<td>Antiretroviral</td>
<td>DRB1*13:01</td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>60, 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin</td>
<td>Anticonvulsant</td>
<td>B*15:02</td>
<td>SJS/TEN</td>
<td>61, 63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AHS, abacavir hypersensitivity syndrome; DILI, drug-induced liver injury; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; HSS, hypersensitivity syndrome; MPE, maculopapular exanthema; SJS, Stevens–Johnson syndrome; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis.
CBZ hypersensitivity (Table 2). The first association between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ hypersensitivity was reported in Han Chinese patients from Taiwan. In this study, a significant association was reported between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ-induced MPE but not for SJS-TEN.

Subsequently, two independent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in European and Japanese patients demonstrated that carriage of HLA-A*31:01 was significantly associated with all phenotypes of CBZ hypersensitivity: CBZ-induced SJS/TEN (n=12 cases, odds ratio [OR]=25.93 [95% confidence interval [CI]: 4.93–116.18], P=8×10^{-5}), HSS (n=27 cases, OR=12.41 [95% CI: 1.27–121.03], P=0.03) and MPE (n=106 cases, OR=8.33 [95% CI: 3.59–19.36], P=8.0×10^{-7}). Similar results were reported in the Japanese GWAS with HLA-A*31:01 significantly associated with all phenotypes of CBZ hypersensitivity both individually and in pooled analysis (n=77 cases, OR=9.5 [95% CI: 5.6–16.3], P=1.09×10^{-11}). The association between HLA-A*31:01 and all clinical

Figure 1 Allele frequency distribution for HLA-A*31:01 and HLA-B*15:02. Notes: HLA-A*31:01 is widely distributed in comparison with HLA-B*15:02, which is predominantly concentrated in South East Asia. Adapted from Gonzalez-Galarza FF, Takeshita LY, Santos Ej, et al. New features for HLA epitopes, KIR and disease and HLA adverse drug reaction associations. Nucleic Acid Research. 2015;28:D784–788.
presentations of CBZ hypersensitivity in Japanese patients has been replicated in two further case–control studies.20,21

Subsequent studies have also investigated the association between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ hypersensitivity in different populations. In Han Chinese, one study detected a significant association between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ-induced MPE (n=18 cases, OR=17.5 [95% CI: 4.6–66.5], P=2.2×10^{-3}) but not HSS; however, only 13 patients with HSS were investigated.20 Another study in Han Chinese detected a strong association of HLA-A*31:01 with CBZ-induced SJS/TEN only 3/20 subjects possessed HLA-A*31:01 compared with 10/257 tolerant controls.22 However, neither study showed an association between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ-induced SJS/TEN in Han Chinese patients,20,22 where there is already a very strong association between HLA-B*15:02 and SJS/TEN. The molecular mechanisms by which HLA-B*15:02 predisposes to SJS/TEN only, whereas HLA-A*31:01 predisposes to several different phenotypes is not known, but may be a reflection of differences in the affinity and mechanisms of binding and antigen presentation in the two HLA alleles. Thus, if a patient is positive for both HLA-B*15:02 and HLA-A*31:01, binding to the former allele could be greater than to the latter, leading to the development of SJS/TEN rather than another CBZ hypersensitivity phenotype. Clearly, this is a hypothesis which needs further investigation.

In Korean patients, a significant association for HLA-A*31:01 was detected for CBZ-induced HSS (n=17 cases, OR=8.8 [95% CI: 2.5–30.7], P<0.011), but not SJS/TEN; however, only 7 SJS/TEN patients were included, 3 of whom were positive for HLA-A*31:01.21 In Caucasian adults with CBZ-induced SJS/TEN only 3/20 subjects possessed HLA-A*31:01 compared with 10/257 tolerant controls.22 The same study reported a strong association of HLA-A*31:01 with HSS (n=10 cases, OR=57.6 [95% CI: 11.0–340], P<0.001).

A study in children with multiple ethnic backgrounds from

### Table 2: Studies investigating the association between HLA-A*31:01 and carbamazepine hypersensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Adults/children</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>HLA allele positive number of patients</th>
<th>Carbamazepine tolerant controls</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hung et al19</td>
<td>Han Chinese (Taiwan)</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>MPE</td>
<td>6/18</td>
<td>4/144</td>
<td>17.50 (4.6–66.5)</td>
<td>P&lt;2.2×10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>2/13</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.36 (1.2–33.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS/TEN</td>
<td>1/60</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.59 (0.1–4.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPE and HSS</td>
<td>8/31</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.17 (3.6–41.2)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kashiwagi et al20</td>
<td>Japanese (Japan)</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>MPE/HSS/SJS</td>
<td>11/22</td>
<td>53/371</td>
<td>4.33 (2.07–9.06)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCormack et al7</td>
<td>Caucasian (European)</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>MPE</td>
<td>23/106</td>
<td>10/257</td>
<td>8.33 (3.59–19.36)</td>
<td>P&lt;8.0×10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>10/27</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.41 (1.27–121.03)</td>
<td>P=0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.93 (4.93–116.18)</td>
<td>P&lt;8.0×10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All cADRs</td>
<td>38/145</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.12 (4.03–20.65)</td>
<td>P=1.0×10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozeki et al8</td>
<td>Japanese (Japan)</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Others incl. MPE</td>
<td>19/35</td>
<td>7/50</td>
<td>8.0 (3.9–16.6)</td>
<td>P&lt;4.74×10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>21/36</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.5 (4.6–19.5)</td>
<td>P&lt;2.06×10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS/TEN</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.9 (3.9–295.6)</td>
<td>P&lt;2.35×10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All cADRs</td>
<td>45/77</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.5 (5.6–16.3)</td>
<td>P&lt;1.09×10^{-14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al21</td>
<td>Korean (Korea)</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>10/17</td>
<td>7/50</td>
<td>8.8 (2.5–30.7)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6 (0.8–25.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS and SJS</td>
<td>13/24</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.3 (2.3–22.5)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niihara et al21</td>
<td>Japanese (Japan)</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>MPE/HSS/SJS</td>
<td>10/15</td>
<td>5/33</td>
<td>11.2 (2.668–47.105)</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amstutz et al24</td>
<td>Multiple (Canada)</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>MPE</td>
<td>6/26</td>
<td>3/91</td>
<td>8.57 (1.67–57.50)</td>
<td>P=0.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.36 (2.53–307.89)</td>
<td>P=0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS/TEN</td>
<td>0/9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.33 (0.06–27.76)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS and MPE</td>
<td>9/32</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.18 (2.53–69.27)</td>
<td>P=2.6×10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All cADRs</td>
<td>9/42</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.85 (1.82–47.8)</td>
<td>P=0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genin et al23</td>
<td>Caucasian (Europe)</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>10/257</td>
<td>57.6 (11.0–340.0)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS/TEN</td>
<td>3/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJS and HSS</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>3/72</td>
<td>26.3 (7.2–96.5)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirzadi et al26</td>
<td>Caucasian (Norway)</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>MPE/HSS</td>
<td>4/48</td>
<td>2/79</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** cADR, cutaneous adverse drug reaction; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; HSS, hypersensitivity syndrome; MPE, maculopapular exanthema; NS, not significant; P\textsubscript{c}, corrected P-value; SJS, Stevens–Johnson syndrome; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis.
Canada reported significant associations between \textit{HLA-A*31:01} and CBZ-induced MPE (n=26 cases, OR=8.57 [95% CI: 1.67–57.50], P=0.0037) and HSS (n=6 cases, OR=26.36 [95% CI: 2.53–307.89], P=0.0025). None of the 9 children presenting with SJS/TEN were positive for \textit{HLA-A*31:01}. Recently, the presence of the \textit{HLA-A*31:01} allele was confirmed in a familial case of CBZ-induced HSS. The index case, a 23-year-old Caucasian male, developed HSS after 2 weeks of CBZ therapy for epilepsy. Three months later, his mother also presented with symptoms compatible with HSS after 9 weeks of therapy with CBZ for trigeminal neuralgia. Carriage of \textit{HLA-A*31:01} was confirmed in both subjects with the authors advising other family members to avoid CBZ in the future.

The association between \textit{HLA-A*31:01} and CBZ hypersensitivity was not detected in a population from Norway. There were 48 cases of CBZ hypersensitivity in this study, but nearly all patients (43/48 [89.6%]) were diagnosed with MPE according to the phenotype standardization for immune-mediated drug-induced skin injury guidance. A major issue with MPE is that causality determination is more difficult as many other factors including concomitant viral infections can cause mild cutaneous eruptions.

These studies confirm an association between carriage of \textit{HLA-A*31:01} and increased susceptibility to CBZ-induced hypersensitivity reactions. While the association with HSS seems clear from all the studies, whether \textit{HLA-A*31:01} is also associated with MPE and SJS/TEN is more controversial (Table 2). In Han Chinese patients, significant associations have been reported with MPE and SJS-TEN but not SJS. In Japanese patients, the GWAS detected significant associations between \textit{HLA-A*31:01} and MPE, HSS and SJS/TEN. The original GWAS in Caucasian patients reported significant associations between carriage of \textit{HLA-A*31:01} and CBZ-induced MPE, HSS and SJS/TEN. A subsequent study detected an association with HSS but not SJS, whereas the most recent study in a Norwegian population was unable to detect any association between \textit{HLA-A*31:01} and CBZ-induced MPE. The discrepancies in the studies are most likely to be due to a combination of small sample sizes, incorrect classification/diagnosis of cases and difficulty in determining causality particularly in milder cases. It is important to note that diagnosis of CBZ hypersensitivity reactions is complex because many patients are prescribed multiple medications preceding a reaction with diverse clinical presentations and variable times to onset of hypersensitivity, and the difficulty in excluding other nondrug etiologies. Standardized criteria for classification of drug-induced hypersensitivity reactions have been developed and should be used in clinical studies.

\textbf{Pathophysiology of CBZ hypersensitivity reactions}

Drug-induced hypersensitivity reactions are characterized by the activation of T cells by drugs or reactive metabolites. The hapten hypothesis, direct pharmacologic interaction of drugs with immune receptors (PI) and the altered peptide repertoire model have been proposed as potential mechanisms for activation of T cells by drugs (Figure 2).

In the hapten hypothesis chemically reactive small molecules, such as drugs or reactive metabolites, act as haptons to bind and irreversibly modify self-proteins. These modified proteins are recognized as antigens and presented in association with MHC to T-cell receptors (TCRs), leading to the activation of immune system and hypersensitivity. According to the PI model, small molecules, such as drugs, are able to bind directly and noncovalently to either the MHC or TCR to activate the immune system. In the altered peptide repertoire model, low molecular weight drugs bind to the antigen binding cleft of the HLA-class I molecules leading to conformational changes and an altered repertoire of peptides that are presented, which may now include self-peptides.

Much of the research in CBZ hypersensitivity has focused on the interaction between \textit{HLA-B*15:02}, CBZ and the
TCR. There is evidence to suggest that CBZ can activate the immune system via a combination of pathways. Reactive metabolites of CBZ, such as CBZ 10,11-epoxide, are able to modify serum proteins, such as human serum albumin, leading to the generation of chemically modified peptides that have the potential to activate the immune system via a hapten mechanism. CBZ is able to bind directly with HLA-B*15:02 independent of intracellular metabolism or processing consistent with a PI mechanism. Structural modeling suggests that CBZ is located at the interface between the HLA-B*15:02/peptide and TCR, with direct contact to the antigen peptide and bound within the TCR pocket. Further evidence to support direct interaction between CBZ and the TCR is the clonal expansion of specific TCRs observed in SJS/TEN patients compared with tolerant controls. These CBZ-specific CD8+ T cells secrete granulysin and interferon-gamma, which mediate keratinocyte apoptosis in a TCR-dependent manner consistent with the known pathogenesis of SJS/TEN. Finally, preliminary studies suggest that binding of CBZ to HLA-B*15:02 may lead to an alteration of the repertoire of presented self-peptides and activation of T cells only in the presence of endogenous peptides, but further work is needed to substantiate this.

There have been very limited studies investigating the role of HLA-A*31:01 in CBZ hypersensitivity. A case study in a HLA-A*31:01 positive patient presenting with CBZ-induced MPE with eosinophilia and lymphocytosis demonstrated expansion of HLA-A*31:01 restricted CD8+ T-cell clones and DRB1*04:04 restricted CD4+ T-cell clones, indicating that a common HLA haplotype may contribute to the multiclonal T-cell response seen in European patients with CBZ hypersensitivity. It is unclear at present why HLA-B*15:02 predisposes to CBZ-induced SJS/TEN only, whereas HLA-A*31:01 is associated with multiple phenotypes of hypersensitivity. Further studies including larger numbers of patients who are carriers of HLA-A*31:01 are required to characterize the causal pathways.

**Pharmacogenetic testing for HLA-A*31:01 prior to CBZ therapy**

Pharmacogenetic testing for HLA-B*15:02 is recommended before initiation of CBZ therapy in patients of Asian origin. The utility of genotype testing for HLA-B*15:02 has been confirmed in a prospective study in a Taiwanese population where pre-prescription genotyping reduced the incidence of CBZ-induced SJS/TEN from 10 expected cases to 0. No prospective genotyping studies for HLA-A*31:01 have been published, although one is currently being undertaken in Japan.

Three systematic reviews have examined the association between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ-induced hypersensitivity in multiple ethnic groups. A pooled analysis of all phenotypes of CBZ hypersensitivity and carriage of HLA-A*31:01 reported a pooled OR=9.45 (95% CI: 6.41–13.93; P<0.00001). The second systematic review analyzed the association between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ-induced HSS and SJS/TEN separately. A strong pooled OR=13.2 (95% CI: 8.4–20.8; P<0.0001) was reported for the association with HSS, whereas a weaker pooled OR=3.9 (95% CI: 1.4–11.5; P=0.01) was reported for SJS/TEN.
with MPE were included in the second review. In the third systematic review, carriage of HLA-A*31:01 was reported to increase the risk of CBZ-induced HSS in Caucasian and Japanese/Korean patients by 14- and 10-fold, respectively.44 Susceptibility to all phenotypes of CBZ hypersensitivity was increased by 7-fold in Caucasians and 8-fold in Japanese patients positive for HLA-A*31:01.44

Estimates for sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value (NPV) and the number needed to test (NNT) to prevent a CBZ hypersensitivity reaction have been generated from the two large GWAS (Table 3).74 In Japanese patients, the NNT to prevent one CBZ hypersensitivity reaction is 67 based on an incidence of CBZ hypersensitivity reaction of 2.9%.8 The incidence of CBZ hypersensitivity in European patients was estimated to be 10%41 meaning the NNT to prevent one case of CBZ hypersensitivity in Caucasians is 47.3 In Han Chinese patients, HLA-A*31:01 was significantly associated with CBZ-induced HSS.22 The NNT was 5000 in order to prevent one case of HSS as the incidence of CBZ-induced HSS was estimated as 0.05%.22

A single study has examined the cost-effectiveness of pharmacogenetic screening for HLA-A*31:01 prior to the initiation of CBZ therapy in the UK.41 The authors concluded that routine testing for HLA-A*31:01 in order to reduce the incidence of hypersensitivity reactions in patients being prescribed CBZ for epilepsy is likely to be cost-effective. The cost-effectiveness model predicted a reduction in cases of 780 to 700 per 10,000 patients with an incremental cost-effectiveness (£20,000–30,000/QAL Y). The overall incidence of CBZ-induced SJS-TEN is adopted into clinical practice it is important that physicians receive education regarding pharmacogenetic testing. In Hong Kong, introduction of HLA-B*15:02 testing led to the unintended consequence of reduction in the prescription of CBZ from 16.2% to 2.6% of all new AEDs, with a switch to other AEDs such as lamotrigine (conditional on test result) compared with current standard of care (no pharmacogenetic testing). Although the majority of hypersensitivity reactions with CBZ present as the milder MPE phenotype; it is not possible to distinguish the patients who will progress from MPE to the more severe systemic and blistering conditions. Therefore, patients are currently advised to stop CBZ on first occurrence of cutaneous eruption because early discontinuation of culprit drug reduces the risk of progression to more severe disease and death.47 Patients who test positive for HLA-A*31:01 can be prescribed alternative antiepileptic drug (AED) therapy, such as lamotrigine, which has not been associated with hypersensitivity in HLA-A*31:01 carriers.46 In patients positive for HLA-A*31:01 who still require CBZ therapy, for example, when alternative treatments have failed or are unavailable, pharmacogenetics testing can still help alert clinicians to patients at greater risk of hypersensitivity and to monitor these patients more closely.

One study has explored the potential for using a combined HLA-A*31:01 and HLA-B*15:02 pharmacogenetic test to prevent CBZ hypersensitivity in a Han Chinese population.22 Using a combined test, the NNT to prevent one case was 455 with 94 out of 1000 patients being unnecessarily denied CBZ. The potential clinical utility and cost-effectiveness of the combined test will need to be evaluated in further clinical studies.

If pretreatment testing for HLA-A*31:01 is adopted into clinical practice it is important that physicians receive education regarding pharmacogenetic testing. In Hong Kong, introduction of HLA-B*15:02 testing led to the unintended consequence of reduction in the prescription of CBZ from 16.2% to 2.6% of all new AEDs, with a switch to other AEDs such as phenytoin and lamotrigine, which are also associated with SJS-TEN.49 Thus, while the incidence of CBZ-induced SJS-TEN decreased in those patients tested for HLA-B*15:02, the overall incidence of SJS-TEN did not change, as patients were put on other drugs associated with SJS-TEN, where no recommendation for HLA screening has been mandated (because no strong HLA associations have been demonstrated). It is known that there is cross-reactivity

| Table 3 Characteristics for HLA-A*31:01 pharmacogenetic screening test in CBZ hypersensitivity |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Population     | Phenotype | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | NNT | References |
| Caucasian       | All       | 26          | 96          | 43        | 92        | 47 | 7          |
| Japanese       | All       | 58          | 87          | 12        | 99        | 67 | 8          |
| Han Chinese    | HSS       | 50          | 98.5        | 0.59      | 100       | 5000 | 22       |

Notes: *Incidence of CBZ hypersensitivity among Caucasians estimated at 10%. "Incidence of CBZ hypersensitivity among Japanese estimated at 2.9%. "Incidence of CBZ-HSS in Han Chinese estimated at 0.05%.

Abbreviations: CBZ, carbamazepine; HSS, hypersensitivity syndrome; NNT, number needed to test in order to avoid one case; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.
between different aromatic anticonvulsants, such as phenytoin, where a weaker association between SJS/TEN and HLA-B*15:02 has been reported.\textsuperscript{50}

The Canadian Pharmacogenomics Network for Drug Safety recommend pharmacogenetic testing for HLA-A*31:01 before initiation of CBZ in patients of all ancestries to reduce the incidence of hypersensitivity reactions. It also recommends testing in patients who have had a previous hypersensitivity reaction where CBZ may have been the culprit drug or where reinitiation of CBZ is being considered. A positive test would increase the likelihood of the previous hypersensitivity reaction being related to CBZ.\textsuperscript{44}

**Conclusion**

There is no doubt that there is an association between HLA-A*31:01 and CBZ hypersensitivity, in particular to HSS, in many different ethnic groups. This association may also be relevant for MPE, but the association is confounded by the fact that causality can be due to other factors, which are not easily distinguished from CBZ. There is also an association with SJS-TEN, albeit weaker than with HSS, in many populations, but not in South East Asians, where the prevalent HLA-B*15:02 allele has an extremely strong association with CBZ-induced SJS-TEN. It is important to stress that the association with HLA-B*15:02 is limited to CBZ-induced SJS-TEN, whereas the association with HLA-A*31:01 may be important for all CBZ hypersensitivity phenotypes,\textsuperscript{3} but the molecular mechanisms and pathways underlying these distinct clinical manifestations are unclear.

Currently, the CBZ label/SmPC mandates testing for HLA-B*15:02 before the use of CBZ in certain ethnic groups, but mentions HLA-A*31:01 for information only (Figure 3). Arguably, this could be considered to be appropriate in regulatory terms because 1) the association with HLA-B*15:02 and an immune-mediated reaction with CBZ is stronger than that seen with HLA-A*31:01; 2) it prevents the most serious reaction associated with CBZ (i.e., SJS-TEN); and 3) the importance of pre-prescription genotyping has been shown in a prospective study.\textsuperscript{16} Conversely, there are also arguments in favor of harmonizing the SmPC for CBZ to mandate pre-prescription genetic testing for all patients for both HLA-B*15:02 and HLA-A*31:01 (in keeping with the Canadian Pharmacogenomics Network for Drug Safety recommendations).\textsuperscript{44} These include:

- Testing for some groups based on ethnicity while ignoring the rest is likely to lead to health inequalities.
- The association of HLA-A*31:01 with CBZ hypersensitivity has been replicated in many populations, and it is now widely accepted that for precision medicine to succeed, we need to look at all forms of evidence, rather than relying on the usual paradigm of prospective studies or randomized trials.\textsuperscript{51} The CBZ SmPC (Figure 3) currently states that “There are insufficient data supporting a recommendation for HLA-A*31:01 screening before starting CBZ treatment”, but it is not clear what data would be regarded as being sufficient.
- Testing for HLA-A*31:01 before prescribing CBZ has been shown to be cost-effective,\textsuperscript{45} and at present, there is a disconnect between health technology assessment and regulatory advice.
- There are alternative drugs available for patients who test positive for HLA-A*31:01. However, even when CBZ is the preferred alternative, a test that shows a patient is positive for HLA-A*31:01 would allow for closer monitoring and stopping the drug quickly when a patient presents with signs of hypersensitivity. Our initial study showed that application of the test would increase the posttest probability to 26% from the current 5% without the test.\textsuperscript{7}

---

**Figure 3** A comparison of the wording in the carbamazepine summary of product characteristics approved by the European Medicines Agency for testing for HLA alleles.

**Notes:** Adapted from Electronic Medicines Compendium website. Available from https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/24201.\textsuperscript{45}

**Abbreviations:** AGEP, acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis; DRESS, drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; SJS, Stevens-Johnson syndrome; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis.
• Although testing for HLA-A*31:01 will largely prevent the milder cutaneous reactions, we cannot at present predict which patients with mild reactions will progress to the more serious reactions such as HSS and SJS/TEN, and thus by default serious reactions will also be prevented.

Given the above arguments, on balance, we would favor that patients starting on CBZ are genotyped for both HLA-B*15:02 and HLA-A*31:01. The success of this approach will depend on the availability of HLA testing, rapid turnaround times for the test (so that patients are not kept waiting to start their treatment), education of the prescribers, preferably accompanied by decision support to enable correct interpretation of the test results, and warnings that avoiding genetic testing and prescribing alternatives may have unintended consequences as was seen in Hong Kong.  
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