Trypan blue-assisted posterior capsulorhexis in pediatric cataract surgery
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Purpose: To evaluate the safety and efficacy of staining the posterior capsule with trypan blue during capsulorhexis in pediatric cataract surgery.

Patients and methods: This was a prospective randomized comparative study carried out at Alpha Vision Center, Zagazig, Egypt. This study included 2 groups of children with pediatric cataract randomly allocated to undergo irrigation and aspiration. In the trypan group, which included 11 eyes, trypan blue was used to stain the posterior capsule during posterior capsulorhexis. In the control group, which included 10 eyes, no staining was performed. All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon. The 2 groups were compared for criteria such as completion of capsulorhexis, disruption of vitreous face and in-the-bag intraocular lens implantation.

Results: This study included 21 eyes of 16 patients (age range: 6 months–4 years). A statistically significant difference was observed for the following parameters between the 2 groups: capsulorhexis completion (P=0.04), vitreous face disruption (P=0.01) and in-the-bag intraocular lens implantation (P=0.022).

Conclusion: This study suggests that staining of the posterior capsule during capsulorhexis in pediatric cataract operation gives better results than capsulorhexis without staining. The stain changes the capsule texture making capsulorhexis easier with fewer complications.
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Introduction

The major preventable cause of visual impairment during childhood is pediatric cataract.¹ A clear visual axis is the optimal surgical outcome of the pediatric cataract.² The visual axis may be obstructed by opacification of posterior capsule and hyaloid face and by inflammatory membranes.³ Posterior capsule opacification (PCO) is a common postoperative complication after pediatric cataract surgery with 100% risk.⁴ Multiple procedures have been used to prevent PCO and maintain a clear visual axis. These techniques include lensectomy with anterior vitrectomy, posterior capsulorhexis and intraocular lens (IOL) optic capture.⁵ Posterior capsulorhexis is considered to be difficult as the capsule is thin and fragile.⁶ Trypan blue has been used for anterior capsule staining in white cataracts⁷ and also for performing posterior capsulorhexis in pediatric cataract in order to change the nature of the capsule and facilitate visualization. Use of trypan blue allows better controlled posterior capsulorhexis and facilitates optic capture of the IOL, thus providing better centration and consequently better optical performance.⁸,⁹

Patients and methods

This study, carried out at Alpha Vision Center, Zagazig, Egypt, was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board.
board of Alpha Vision Center. Written informed consent was obtained from the guardian of each patient (Figure S1). This study included 21 eyes of 16 patients with congenital cataract. They were randomly divided into 2 groups: group A, the trypan group that included 11 eyes, and group B, the control group that included 10 eyes. Of the 16 patients, ages ranged from 6 months to 4 years, 9 were males, and 7 were females. All the patients underwent complete ophthalmic examination with posterior segment evaluation. All the cases were operated by the same surgeon.

Surgical technique
All the patients in both the groups were operated under general anesthesia. A corneal tunnel was made by 2.8 mm keratome, and 2 paracentesis were made by 20 G micro vitreoretinal blade. Viscoelastic material (Healon®; Abbot Medical Optics Inc., Santa Ana, CA, USA) was injected into the anterior chamber, and capsulorhexis was performed using forceps (in cases with white cataract the rhexis was done after staining the anterior capsule with trypan blue). The lens matter was removed by bimanual irrigation aspiration. In group A, the posterior capsule was stained by injection of an air bubble and then 0.1 mL of trypan blue (VisionBlue®; DORC, Zuidland, the Netherlands) was instilled under the air bubble. The dye was then washed with a balanced salt solution. High-viscosity viscoelastic was instilled both in front of and into the capsular bag. A cystotome was used to create an opening in the posterior capsule. Healon was then instilled behind the posterior capsule to create a plane between the posterior capsule and the vitreous face. The needle was then used to hook, lift and tear the capsule to create a triangular opening and capsular flap. Capsulorhexis was then completed using rhexis forceps. In group B, posterior capsulorhexis was done without staining with the dye. After opening the posterior capsule, the vitrector port was inserted below the posterior capsule. An anterior vitrectomy was performed to remove a core of the vitreous from the visual axis. A foldable 3-piece hydrophobic acrylic IOL was then implanted in-the-bag and the wounds were sutured with subconjunctival injection of antibiotic and steroids. The 2 groups were compared for completion of capsulorhexis, disruption of vitreous face and implantation of IOL (in sulcus or in-the-bag) (Figure 1). All the cases were followed up for 1 year for detection of PCO.

Statistical analysis
Data analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows (version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-squared test was used to statistically analyze the results between the 2 groups, and the level of significance was set at $P<0.05$.

Results
Preoperative data are shown in Table 1. In group A, rhexis was completed in 10 cases (91%) whereas in group B, which was not stained, rhexis was completed in only 2 cases (20%) which showed statistically significant difference ($P=0.04$). The anterior vitreous was disrupted in only 1 case (9%) in group A and in 3 cases (30%) in group B ($P=0.01$; significantly different). IOL was implanted in-the-bag in 10 cases (91%) in group A and in 7 cases (70%) in group B with a statistically significant difference ($P=0.022$). The patients were followed up for 12 months to check whether they have developed PCO, which was not detected in both the groups. Intraoperative and postoperative data are shown in Table 2.

Discussion
Posterior capsulorhexis in pediatric cataract surgery has the advantage of decreasing the incidence of posterior capsular opacification postoperatively. In this study, we found it easier to visualize the posterior capsule after staining with trypan blue. Also, it was easier to properly control posterior capsulorhexis as the stained capsule was less elastic. This has been reflected in the results. Posterior capsulorhexis was completed in 91% of the cases in group A (where the dye was used) and in 80% of the cases in group B (rhexis without dye). Sharma et al used trypan blue-assisted

---

**Table 1 Preoperative data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of eyes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>32±2.1 months</td>
<td>30±3.2 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Group A, the trypan group that included 11 eyes; group B, the control group that included 10 eyes.
capsulorhexis with IOL optic capture. They reported that rhexis was completed in 94.4% of the cases when the dye was used compared with 64.7% when it was not used.11 In a randomized study by Saini et al12 that included 44 eyes, 25 eyes were operated using trypan blue dye and 19 eyes without the stain; the results showed that in the group that used the dye, 82.6% of the cases had complete posterior rhexis, whereas in the group that did not use the dye, only 52.6% of cases had complete rhexis. Ivanka and Valentina13 reported the occurrence of posterior capsular opacification in 7 cases (21%). In this work, disruption of the anterior vitreous face occurred in 1 eye (10%) in group A and in 3 eyes (30%) in group B. Our results are comparable with the results published by Dholakia et al14 who studied the disturbance of vitreous face in congenital cataract and reported vitreous face disruption in 4.7% of eyes and in-the-bag IOL implantation in 92.5% of cases. In this study, in-the-bag IOL implantation was performed in 91% of cases in group A and in 70% of cases in group B.

**Conclusion**

Trypan blue-assisted posterior capsulorhexis is of advantage in pediatric cataract surgery. The dye helps in the completion of rhexis with safer in-the-bag IOL implantation and less postoperative PCO.

The limitation of this study is the small number of cases and the lack of objective measures for capsule elasticity.
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**Table 2** Intraoperative and postoperative data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome parameters</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completion of rhexis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruption of anterior vitreous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-the-bag IOL implantation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Group A, the trypan group that included 11 eyes; group B, the control group that included 10 eyes.

Abbreviation: IOL, intraocular lens.
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Supplementary material

In giving my permission for a cataract extraction and/or for the possible implantation of an intraocular lens in the eye of my child, I understand the following:

1. Cataract surgery, by itself, means the removal of the natural lens of the eye by a surgical technique. Implantation of an intraocular lens at the time of cataract surgery is nearly always the best way to restore visual function when a cataract is removed.

2. Complications of surgery to remove the cataract and insert the intraocular lens: Although very unlikely, it is possible that vision could be made worse as a result of the surgery. In some cases, complications may occur weeks, months or even years later. These and other complications may result in poor vision, total loss of vision, or extremely unlikely, loss of the eye.
   a. Complications of removing the cataract may include hemorrhage (bleeding), loss of corneal clarity, retained pieces of cataract in the eye, infection, detachment of the retina, uncomfortable or painful eye, glaucoma and/or double vision. These and other complications may occur whether or not a lens is implanted and may result in poor vision, total loss of vision, or even loss of the eye in rare situations.
   b. Complications associated with the intraocular lens may include increased night glare and/or halo, double or ghost images, and dislocation of the lens. In some instances, corrective spectacle lenses, surgical replacement of the intraocular lens, or laser refractive surgery may be necessary for adequate visual function following cataract surgery.
   c. Very rare complications of Anesthesia may include risk of severe allergic reaction, stroke, loss of vision, paralysis or even death.

3. If an intraocular lens is implanted, it is done by surgical method. It is intended that the small plastic, silicone, or acrylic lens will be left in the eye permanently.

4. Unexpected conditions may arise during surgery that cause the surgery plan to be changed to include additional procedures and I consent to having my doctor make such changes according to his best judgment. At the time of surgery, my doctor may decide not to implant an intraocular lens in the eye even though I may have given prior permission to do so.

5. The results of surgery cannot be guaranteed. Additional treatment and/or surgery may be necessary. Refractive laser surgery may be necessary to adjust the optical power or the eye in some cases. Typical recovery times and symptoms have been explained, and I realize recovery sometimes takes longer than expected.

6. I understand that cataract surgery and the calculations for intraocular implants are not “an exact science”.

7. Photographs and/or videotaping may be done during my surgery in such a fashion that I will not be identifiable. These images would be intended for educational or scientific purposes rather than as part of the permanent medical record. The basic procedures of cataract surgery, and the advantages and disadvantages, risks and possible complications and alternative treatments have been explained to me by the doctor. Although it is impossible for the doctor to inform me of every possible complication that may occur, the doctor has answered all my questions to my satisfaction. In signing this informed consent for cataract operation, and/or implantation of intraocular lens, I am stating I have read this informed consent and I fully understand this consent form and the possible risks, complications and potential benefits that can result from the surgery. I wish to have a cataract extraction with an intraocular lens implant on my child’s RIGHT LEFT (circle one) eye by Dr __________________________ __________ Patient (or person authorized to sign for patient) Date __________ Patient’s Name (print) Witness’ Signature Time On ___ / ___ / ___ this form was read to the patient by: _________________________, Whose relation to the patient is: ____________________________.

Figure S1 Consent for operation.