Unilateral occipital nerve stimulation for bilateral occipital neuralgia: a case report and literature review
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Objectives: The aim of this study is to present a case of successful relief of bilateral occipital neuralgia (ON) using unilateral occipital nerve stimulation (ONS) and to discuss the possible underlying mechanisms.

Materials and methods: We present the case of a 59-year-old female patient with severe bilateral ON treated with unilateral ONS. We systematically reviewed previous studies of ONS for ON, discussing the possible mechanisms of ONS in the relief of ON.

Results: The patient reported complete pain relief after consistent unilateral ONS during the follow-up period. The underlying mechanisms may be linked to the relationship between pain and several brain regions, including the pons, midbrain, and periaqueductal gray.

Conclusion: ONS is an effective and safe option for treating ON. Future studies will be required to clarify the mechanisms by which unilateral occipital stimulation provided relief for bilateral neuralgia in this case.
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Introduction
Occipital neuralgia (ON) is the third most common headache syndrome following migraine and tension-type headaches. ON is characterized by severe sharp, shooting, or electric shock pain at the distribution of the occipital nerve. Any stretching or entrapment of the occipital nerve from the second cervical vertebra (C2) to the outlet of the nerve out of the occipital muscle can evoke chronic pain. Several studies with small samples have reported that at least one-third of ON patients exhibit bilateral dysfunction.1,2 Occipital nerve stimulation (ONS) has been used to relieve severe pain caused by ON when conservative medical treatments and block procedures are ineffective.3 ONS is a type of neuromodulation, using a spinal cord stimulation device to stimulate the corresponding occipital nerve to treat various types of neuralgia. ONS is minimally invasive, adjustable, and reversible. Here, we present a case in which a patient with refractory bilateral ON was successfully treated with unilateral ONS. In addition, we review previous studies of ONS for treating ON. Finally, we discuss the possible mechanisms by which unilateral ONS relieves bilateral ON.

Case study
A 59-year-old female presented with severe shooting pain at the distribution of the right occipital nerve 6 months ago. A diagnosis of right ON was established. The patient’s visual analogue score (VAS) was 8–9. The patient had a history of right tympanitis...
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9–10. Percocet 330 mg was then administered every 8 hours, from the left side, gradually becoming bilateral ON with VAS severe pain without any relief. Moreover, the pain spread terted for 1 month, and the patient was still suffering from severe pain without any relief. Moreover, the pain spread.

2 months. The pain could not be relieved, and the medicines were changed gradually to baclofen 20 mg three times daily, lamotrigine 100 mg two times daily and pregabalin 150 mg two times daily. This medical treatment had been adminis-tered for 1 month, and the patient was still suffering from severe pain without any relief. Moreover, the pain spread from the left side, gradually becoming bilateral ON with VAS 9–10. Percocet 330 mg was then administered every 8 hours, combined with baclofen, lamotrigine, and pregabalin, as described earlier. The patient’s VAS was still 8–9. Bilateral occipital block procedures were able to relieve the pain to VAS 2, but relief lasted not >24 hours. The long-term pain negatively affected the patient’s daily functioning and her body weight decreased by 20 kg over 6 months. The patient was referred to the Department of Neurosurgery, First Affiliated Hospital of PLA General Hospital, and ONS therapy was recommended. The patient provided written informed consent to have this case and any companying images published. First, a trial implantation was performed. An 8-contact electrode (Medtronic 3778) was inserted percutaneously from the right side to the left, perpendicular to the bilateral occipital nerve course at C1 (Figure 1). An external impulse generator (IPG) was connected to the electrode. The trial stimulation only suc-cessfully produced paresthesia covering the left occipital area and did not result in any sensation in the right occipital area under the 1.0–4.0 V amplitude range. When the amplitude was increased above 5.0 V, only local scalp pain was reported by the patient. An incorrect placement of the lead covering the right occipital nerve was suspected. However, unilateral stimulation still produced bilateral pain relief, to VAS 2–4, and a lead adjustment procedure was refused by the patient. After 7 days of trial stimulation, a permanent IPG (Medtronic PrimeAdvanced) was implanted subcutaneously in the upper right chest area. When the IPG was switched on, the patient experienced a tickling-like sensation, which substantially relieved the pain. Only the contacts of the electrode covering the left occipital area were used with a pulse width of 120 ms, a frequency of 60 Hz, and an amplitude of 3.0 V. At 3-year follow-up, the patient reported long-lasting pain relief with VAS 0 and no side effects.

Discussion

The precise mechanisms underlying ON are currently unclear, but various studies have suggested that whiplash injury, compression of the C2 nerve, and compression of the upper cervical roots due to arthritic changes in the spine are the leading possible causes.24 Moreover, everyday activities that compress the occipital nerve have been reported to cause ON.2 Long-term compression of the C2 nerve has been reported to produce a series of reactions at the dorsal root ganglion and spinal cord, including inflammation, microglia proliferation, neurotransmitter changes, cytokine responses, and ionic channel changes, which eventually induce chronic pain.54 When pharmacotherapeutic methods for ON patients are unable to relieve pain, surgical interventions are consid-ered an appropriate option. Surgical methods include neurec-tomy,7 C2–C3 decompression,8 partial posterior rhizotomy,9 radiofrequency ablation,10 and peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS).1 Among these methods, PNS has the advantages of minimal invasion, adjustability, reversibility, and a low likeli-hood of complications.

In a literature search of PubMed using the keywords “occipital neuralgia”, we obtained a total of 359 articles from January 1960 to August 2014. A supplemental search was performed manually based on the references of several review articles.11,12 Upon review by the authors, 45 articles relating to PNS or neuromodulation were identified. The reviewers’ assessment yielded 19 review articles, 10 case reports, 10 retrospective case series, 5 technical reports, and 1 prospective case series. Excluding 19 review articles and 12 articles regarding PNS for trigeminal neuralgia, migraine, and other chronic headaches, the remaining 14 articles involved 77 patients suffering from ON or cervical originating headache treated with PNS (Table 1).1,3,13–24

Among the 78 patients in these 14 studies, most of those receiving permanent implants experienced pain relief of at least 50%. Only one patient was reported to suffer from infection. Lead migration and infection were the two leading causes of ONS-related complications,11 and no other severe complications were reported. Due to the high efficacy and low risk of PNS, it may be appropriate for use
Unilateral ONS for bilateral ON in patients with poor health. Chaiban et al14 reported the successful treatment of an 86-year-old ON patient with a pacemaker.

The precise mechanism of action of ONS for treating ON is still under investigation. Bartsch and Goadsby25 demonstrated that neurons in the C2 spinal dorsal horn receive input from the ipsilateral and contralateral occipital nerves. In addition to direct connections between the occipital nerve and the upper cervical spinal cord, a review of brain imaging and ONS research by Bari and Pouratian26 reported that the function of many pain-related brain regions can be affected when occipital nerves are stimulated. One study using 3-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging reported activation in the hypothalamus, thalamus, orbitofrontal cortex, prefrontal cortex, periaqueductal gray, inferior parietal lobule, and cerebellum during ONS in a single healthy volunteer.27 In addition, deactivation was observed in primary cortices, including primary motor area, visual area, auditory area, and somatosensory area, the amygdala, paracentral lobule, hippocampus, secondary somatosensory cortex, and supplementary motor area, in the same subject.27 One positron emission tomography study reported changes in regional cerebral blood flow in the pons, anterior cingulate cortex, cuneus, and left pulvinar after bilateral ONS.28 Another study using 18-fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography in a group of chronic cluster headache patients reported decreased metabolism in the anterior cingulate cortex, mcingulate, left visual cortex, left pulvinar, cerebellum, midbrain, and left lower pons, as well as increased metabolism in the bilateral somatosensory cortex, when long-term ONS was applied.29 These studies indicate that ONS may have widespread effects on the central nervous system, resulting in pain relief. Continuous stimulation of the occipital nerves may produce diverse functional and metabolic changes in many brain regions. In addition, changes in the pons, midbrain, and periaqueductal gray may be involved in bilateral pain relief after unilateral ONS.

**Conclusion**

ONS is an effective treatment for ON, with very low risk and a high success rate. ONS may be applicable for other types of headache, including migraine, cluster headaches, and trigeminal neuralgia. This study described a case of unilateral ONS providing effect relief of bilateral ON, indicating that ONS may have widespread effects in the brain and upper spinal cord.
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