Determination of bilateral symmetry of carotid artery structure and function in children and adolescents

Abstract: The carotid artery represents an ideal location for noninvasive assessment of subclinical atherosclerosis in youth. Examination of arterial structure and function is generally conducted in the left common carotid. However, if the left common carotid is inaccessible or provides a poor acoustic window, it is unknown if the right common carotid can provide comparable values. The symmetry of carotid arteries in youth with high-resolution ultrasound was compared. Participants (N=230 [121 females], 13.8±2.9 years old) were assessed for carotid intima media thickness (cIMT), carotid lumen diameter (cLD), carotid incremental elastic modulus (cIEM), carotid diameter compliance (cDC), carotid cross-sectional compliance (cCSC), carotid diameter distensibility (cDD), and carotid cross-sectional distensibility (cCSD). No significant differences (P>0.05 all) were found for cIMT (0.49±0.09 vs 0.49±0.08 mm), cIEM (1095±382 vs 1116±346 mmHg), cDC (0.01±0.0 vs 0.01±0.0 mm/mmHg), cCSC (0.01±0.001/mmHg vs 0.01±0.001/mmHg), cDD (14.0%±3.16% vs 13.7%±3.18%), and cCSD (30.1%±7.37% vs 29.4%±7.36%). Significant differences were found for cLD (6.06±0.62 mm vs 6.33±0.64 mm, P<0.001). The majority of measures for arterial structure and function are comparable between the left and right common carotid arteries. There were differences present for cLD; however, these discrepancies are likely due to anatomical differences between the left and right common carotid arteries. Therefore, if the left common carotid is unable to be assessed properly, the right common carotid may be a viable alternative measurement location of subclinical atherosclerosis.
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Introduction

Utilizing noninvasive techniques for the detection of atherosclerotic development and progression can improve risk stratification.1,2 This may be achieved through early identification of vascular adaptations known to be along the pathway of disease progression, which may indicate increased cardiovascular risk later in life.3–5 The carotid artery is commonly used for this purpose, as it is a large conduit vessel in an accessible location for ultrasound assessment6 and has been documented to have atherosclerotic lesion development in early life.7

The common carotid artery can be evaluated for both arterial structural and functional characteristics.8–10 Utilizing both sides of the common carotid artery as an average for measurement is a standard practice in pediatrics;11 however, when this cannot be done because of technical and/or anatomical issues, single carotid artery analysis is often necessary. It is important to be aware of any structural or functional differences between the left and right sides that may influence these values. Therefore, the question of whether bilateral symmetry exists between the left and right common...
carotid arteries is meaningful for these evaluations. In adult cadaver donors, carotid functional measures were similar even in the presence of anatomical differences. This study utilized harvested carotid trees, which may not elicit the same properties as intact vasculature in a growing body. Whether these carotid functional similarities apply to children and adolescents remains unknown.

Objective
This study sought to compare the structural and functional characteristics of the left and right common carotid arteries in children and adolescents with a wide range of body mass index (BMI) values and pubertal development stages.

Materials and methods
Study design and participants
Children and adolescents aged 8–18 years were included in this cross-sectional analysis. Participants were drawn from a large, ongoing study examining cardiovascular risk factors in youth ranging from normal weight to severe obesity. Participants with obesity or severe obesity were recruited from the University of Minnesota Masonic Children’s Hospital Pediatric Weight Management Clinic and other participants were recruited from the local community as previously described. Individuals were excluded if they had cardiovascular disease or were taking medications known to influence cardiovascular function. The study protocol was approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Review Board, and written informed consent/assent was obtained from parents/participants.

Anthropometrics and pubertal maturation
All tests were performed in the morning after the participants had fasted (including no caffeine consumption) for a minimum of 12 h. Height and weight were determined using a standard stadiometer (Model S100; Ayrton, Prior Lake, MN, USA) and an electronic scale (Serial No. 5002-8893; ST Scale-Tronix, White Plains, NY, USA), respectively. BMI was calculated as the body weight in kilograms divided by the height in meters squared. BMI percentiles were determined using age- and sex-based definitions from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Normal weight was defined as ≥5th to <85th percentile, overweight/obesity was defined as ≥85th to <120% of the 95th percentile, and severe obesity was defined as ≥120% of the 95th percentile or an absolute BMI ≥35 kg/m² as previously described. Tanner (pubertal) stage was determined by a trained pediatrician or registered nurse.

Blood pressure and heart rate
Seated blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) were measured after the participant had been resting quietly without legs crossed for 10 minutes. BP and HR were measured three consecutive times with an automated BP cuff (Model BP-8800 C, Colin Press-Mate; Colin Medical Instruments Corp., San Antonio, TX, USA) at ~3 minute intervals following protocol derived from our previous study. The average of the three respective BP and HR measurements was used. Systolic BP (SBP) percentile was determined from age, sex, and height-specific values derived from the Fourth Report on the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents.

Assessments of carotid artery structure and function
After participants rested in a supine position for 15 minutes in a quiet, climate-controlled room (22°C–23°C) vascular images of both left and right common carotid arteries were obtained at end-diastole ( gated by R wave on ECG) using B-mode ultrasound images (Acuson, Sequoia 512; Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA) of the far wall. An 8–15 MHz linear array transducer probe was held at constant distance from the skin at a fixed point over the cross-sectional axis of the imaged artery. The transducer remained at a fixed position over the common carotid artery ~1–5 cm proximal from the carotid bifurcation capturing vascular parameters. Measurements for carotid intima media thickness (cIMT) were targeted at the distal 10 mm of the common carotid artery as recommended by pediatric guidelines. A standard electronic wall-tracking software program was used for the analysis of carotid structure and function (Vascular Research Tools 5; Medical Imaging Application LLC, Iowa City, IA, USA). Our laboratory has previously documented excellent cIMT reproducibility. Images were collected at 20 frames/s for 10 s (200 frames) for measurement of full arterial diameter change over the cardiac cycle to determine carotid lumen diameter (cLD), compliance, and distensibility, which were calculated based on mean diameter for the 10 s cycle.

Carotid artery variable definitions and equations
The following variable definitions and equations were utilized for comparison of left and right carotid artery properties: cIMT (mm) defined as the delicate inner layer of the carotid artery wall; cLD (mm) defined as the measurement of the inner space (lumen) of the carotid artery calculated as the mean inner wall diameter minus cIMT in the longitudinal plane; carotid...
Symmetry of carotid artery structure and function

The mean age of the participants (N=230) was 13.8±2.9 years, the mean BMI percentile was 84.2%±24.2%, the mean systolic BP percentile was 66.1%±26.9%, and the mean diastolic BP percentile was 37.7±22.4 (Table 1). The sample was almost equally represented by males and females (105 [45.7%] and 125 [54.3%], respectively). There was roughly an even representation across Tanner stages: Stage 1=59 (25.0%), Stage 2=52 (22.0%), Stage 3=43 (18.2%), Stage 4=53 (22.5%), and Stage 5=29 (12.3%). Participants were primarily White 189 (83%).

No significant differences were found between left and right cIMT (P=0.927), cIEM (P=0.387), cDC (P=0.137), cCSC (P=0.688), cDD (P=0.203), or cCSD (P=0.159) (Table 2). Significant differences were found in cLD by 3(1 + \[\pi\{0.5 D_{max}\}^3 - \pi\{0.5 D_{min}\}^3\]/\[\pi(0.5 D_{max})^3\] × 100%.

Results

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were tabulated for all participants and included mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and frequency, with percentage, for categorical variables. Left and right carotid artery outcome measurements were compared using Bland–Altman plots and paired t-tests. All analyses were conducted using R v3.1.1.19

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study parameters</th>
<th>N=230</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>13.8±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% female)</td>
<td>125 (54.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (% Caucasian)</td>
<td>189 (83.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanner stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>55 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>51 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>41 (17.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>51 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 5</td>
<td>30 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.9±8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index percentile (%)</td>
<td>84.2±24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>116±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure percentile (%)</td>
<td>66±27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>59.3±8.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure percentile (%)</td>
<td>37.7±22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>75±11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data reported as mean ± standard deviation and frequency (%).

Table 2 Left and right carotid artery measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>Left carotid</th>
<th>Right carotid</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>&gt;10% diff</th>
<th>&gt;20% diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cLD (mm)</td>
<td>6.08 (0.56)</td>
<td>6.27 (0.58)</td>
<td>-0.194 (-0.253, -0.135)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>44 (19.1%)</td>
<td>3 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.000392 (-0.00799, 0.00878)</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>74 (32.2%)</td>
<td>31 (13.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDD (%)</td>
<td>14.0 (3.16)</td>
<td>13.7 (3.18)</td>
<td>0.244 (-0.133, 0.621)</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>127 (55.2%)</td>
<td>67 (29.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cCSD (%)</td>
<td>30.1 (7.37)</td>
<td>29.4 (7.36)</td>
<td>0.628 (-0.248, 1.5)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>130 (56.3%)</td>
<td>73 (31.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDC (mm/mmHg)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.0)</td>
<td>-0.000334 (-0.000775, 0.000107)</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>127 (55.2%)</td>
<td>60 (26.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cCSC (1/mmHg)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.0)</td>
<td>-7.56e-05 (-0.000447, 0.000296)</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>135 (58.7%)</td>
<td>77 (33.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIEM (mmHg)</td>
<td>1095 (382.5)</td>
<td>1116 (346.5)</td>
<td>-19.5 (-63.9, 24.8)</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>143 (62.2%)</td>
<td>90 (39.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values presented are mean (SD) or N (%) where indicated. P-values calculated from paired tests. Percent difference was calculated as (right – left)/left. Abbreviations: cLD, carotid lumen diameter; cIMT, carotid intima media thickness; cDD, carotid diameter distensibility; cCSD, carotid cross-sectional distensibility; cDC, carotid diameter compliance; cCSC, carotid cross-sectional compliance; cIEM, carotid incremental elastic modulus; CI, confidence interval; diff, difference; SD, standard deviation.

Discussion

This is the first study, to our knowledge, to compare the anatomical and functional characteristics between the left and right common carotid arteries using noninvasive ultrasound in children and adolescents. On a cohort level, our observations suggest overall, albeit not perfect, symmetry of compliance, distensibility, and cIMT between the left and right common carotid arteries. We suggest that, in the research setting, values can be used interchangeably in cases where one vessel may be inaccessible or suffers from poor image quality. However, a fair amount of individual participant-level variability was observed, suggesting that values should not be used interchangeably in the clinical setting.
An anatomical difference in cLD between the left and right common carotid arteries in children and adolescents was observed. Another study, utilizing autopsy data in children and adolescents, reported similar findings. In contrast, several studies investigating this measurement in adults found no significant difference in cLD between both carotids, suggesting this anatomical distinction may diminish with maturity. As found in adult cadavers, no meaningful functional differences between the left and right carotid arteries were observed even in the presence of anatomical differences. Blood volume, flow patterns, and shear stress in these areas are indicative of structural remodeling of the arterial vessel. One interesting finding in this study is that despite this anatomical difference in vessel diameter, compliance and distensibility characteristics were relatively similar between the left and right carotid arteries. Rodriguez et al performed a similar side-by-side comparison in carotid arteries of untreated hypertensive adults and found significantly higher cLD values in the left common carotid, which they attributed to increased hemodynamic stress and intimal damage. This difference was not present in our sample of children and adolescents. The findings of Rodriguez et al could be explained by increased levels of perpendicular shear stress exerted on the carotid wall resulting from the cumulative exposure to hypertension over time. Because of this, the results of this study apply specifically to the pediatric population, primarily those absent of subclinical atherosclerosis development.

**Strengths and limitations**

The strengths of this study include a relatively large sample size representing a wide range of BMI values and an even distribution of sex and pubertal status. Limitations include the cross-sectional design of the study; therefore, whether the similarity of these carotid vascular beds remains stable over time cannot be determined. Because of the high percentage of Caucasians in this study, conclusions may not be applicable to all races. Furthermore, the data gathered in this study cannot conclusively determine whether the right common carotid measures are useful predictive measures compared to the left side due to study design, therefore further evaluation is required to investigate this.

**Conclusion**

In summary, results of this study indicate that, on a cohort basis, there is reasonable overall symmetry between the left and right common carotid arteries for noninvasive ultrasound measures of structure and function among healthy children and adolescents. Therefore, values could be used interchangeably in a healthy population for the research setting if technical limitations preclude the use of one side or the other. Although no significant differences were observed between the left and right common carotid arteries for vascular structure and function, a moderate degree of individual variability was noted for some measures of arterial stiffness. Although this study focused on the common carotid artery, future studies are encouraged to measure the internal carotid and bulb to further investigate potential differences in the pediatric population. Different carotid functional characteristics may be present with disease progression; therefore, further research should investigate left and right common carotid features in various disease states and pathological changes over time.
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