Next-generation anthracycline for the management of small cell lung cancer: focus on amrubicin
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Abstract: Amrubicin is a totally synthetic anthracycline anticancer agent that acts as a potent topoisomerase II inhibitor. Amrubicin has been approved in Japan for the treatment of lung cancer, and the results from clinical studies of amrubicin as a single agent or as part of combination regimens for lung cancer, particularly for small cell lung cancer, conducted in Japan and overseas have been promising. Amrubicin should be included among new treatment strategies especially for chemoresistant patients. Here, preclinical, pharmacological, and clinical data on the use of amrubicin for the treatment of small cell lung cancer are reviewed.
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Introduction
Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) accounts for 10% to 15% of all primary lung cancer and is a rapidly growing tumor that is highly responsive to chemotherapy and radiotherapy (Sher et al 2008). Nonetheless, after apparently successful induction therapy, most patients experience disease relapse and die within 2 years. Despite the introduction of new chemotherapeutic agents, including irinotecan, topotecan, paclitaxel, and gemcitabine, for the treatment of SCLC in the 1990s, few advances in the prolongation of survival in patients with this disease have been made. To control SCLC more effectively, new drugs are needed that are effective in patients who have not responded to standard treatment and who may have multidrug-resistant tumors. One such new effective agent may be amrubicin; the use of this drug has extensively been examined and approved for the treatment of both SCLC and non-SCLC in Japan.

Amrubicin
Structure and characteristics
The anthracyclines tested clinically so far have been limited to those produced by fermentation or semisynthetic processes. In contrast, 9-aminoanthracycline, known as amrubicin, is a fully synthetic drug. Amrubicin differs from daunorubicin in that it contains a 9-amino group and a simple sugar moiety (Figure 1) (Ishizumi et al 1987). Amrubicin is converted to its active 13-hydroxy metabolite, amrubicinol, in liver, kidney, and tumor tissues through the reduction of its C-13 ketone group to a hydroxy group by the action of cytoplasmic carbonyl reductase. Other enzymes metabolizing amrubicin and amrubicinol are NADPH-dependent P450 reductase and NAD[P]H-dependent quinone oxidoreductase. Despite the similarity of its chemical structure to that of a representative anthracycline, doxorubicin, amrubicin’s mode of action differs from that of doxorubicin. Amrubicin and amrubicinol are inhibitors of DNA topoisomerase II, exerting their cytotoxic effects by stabilizing a topoisomerase II-mediated cleavable complex, and are approximately only one-tenth as potent as doxorubicin in producing DNA intercalation (Hanada et al 1998).
**Preclinical studies**

In in vitro experiments, amrubicin and its metabolite amrubicinol have been found to be active against a broad spectrum of human cell lines established from cancers of the lung, prostate, urinary bladder, colon, kidney, pancreas, and uterus (Yamaoka et al 1998). Amrubicinol has been shown to exhibit a 20- to 220-fold more potent antitumor activity in vitro than amrubicin itself, with amrubicinol being as potent as doxorubicin. In addition, amrubicin and amrubicinol have also been demonstrated to show some degree of non-cross resistance with doxorubicin. However, like other anthracyclines, the cytotoxicity and intracellular accumulation of amrubicin in P-glycoprotein overexpressed MDR1 gene-transfected LLC-PK1 (L-MDR1) cells were significantly decreased compared with those in parental LLC-PK1 cells, suggesting that the antitumor efficacy of amrubicin is affected by the expression level of P-glycoprotein in lung cancer cells in chemotherapy (Takara et al 2002; Hira et al 2008).

Amrubicin has been shown to be more effective against 5 human xenografts (1 breast cancer, 1 lung cancer, and 3 gastric cancers), equally effective against 2 gastric cancers, and less effective against a lung cancer and 2 gastric cancer tumors, compared with doxorubicin (Morisada et al 1989; Noguchi et al 1998).

Amrubicin caused dose-dependent weight loss, ataxia, myelosuppression, and hair loss in mice after a single intravenous injection. The maximum tolerated dose (MTD) for such administration was estimated to be 25 mg/kg in 4 mouse strains.

Cardiotoxicity is one of the dose-limiting toxicities of anthracyclines. However, amrubicin showed little delayed-type cardiotoxicity in rabbit and dog experimental models (Suzuki et al 1997; Noda et al 1998). Furthermore, amrubicin did not aggravate doxorubicin-induced myocardial injury.

**Clinical studies**

**Amrubicin monotherapy**

**Phase II study in previously untreated patients with extensive-stage SCLC**

Thirty-five previously untreated patients with extensive-disease SCLC (ED-SCLC) were enrolled in the study (Yana et al 2007). Amrubicin was administered as a daily intravenous injection of 45 mg/m²/day for 3 consecutive days every 3 weeks. Of the 33 eligible patients, 3 showed a complete response (CR) and 22 showed a partial response (PR), with an overall response rate of 75.8% (95% confidence intervals [CI], 57.7%–88.9%).
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The MST and 1-year survival periods were 11.7 months and 48.5%, respectively. The most common toxicity was hematologic toxicity.

**Phase II trial for the treatment of refractory or relapsed SCLC**

SCLC patients with measurable disease who had been previously treated with at least one platinum-based chemotherapeutic regimen were entered into the trial (Onoda et al 2006). Since these patients had previously received chemotherapy or radiotherapy, the amrubicin was administered at a reduced dose of 40 mg/m² per day × 3 days every 3 weeks. Sixty patients (16 refractory and 44 sensitive) were enrolled. The overall response rates were 50% (95% CI, 25%–75%) in the refractory group and 52% (95% CI, 37%–68%) in the sensitive group. The overall survival and 1-year survival periods in the refractory-disease group were 10.3 months and 40%, respectively, and in the sensitive-disease group 11.6 months and 46%, respectively. The grade 3 or 4 hematologic toxicities were neutropenia (83%), thrombocytopenia (20%), and anemia (33%). Febrile neutropenia was observed in 3 patients (5%). The non-hematologic toxicities were mild. Thus, amrubicin showed significant activity against SCLC, with predictable and manageable toxicities.

**Randomized phase II trial for second-line treatment of sensitive SCLC**

A randomized phase II trial of amrubicin versus topotecan was conducted in patients with ED-SCLC sensitive to platinum-based first-line chemotherapy (recurrence or progression ≥90 days after first-line chemotherapy (Jotte et al 2008). Patients were randomized at a 2:1 ratio to receive either amrubicin or topotecan. The interim data from 76 patients were as follows: amrubicin improved the overall response rate compared with topotecan (34% versus 3.8%; p < 0.004). A trend toward a longer progression-free survival time in the amrubicin group (4.6 months) compared with the topotecan group (3.5 months) was seen. They concluded that amrubicin is active in non-Japanese patients and is well tolerated, with myelosuppression being the main dose-limiting toxicity.

**Phase II trial for second-line treatment of refractory SCLC**

Patients with SCLC who progress while receiving first-line chemotherapy or who relapse within 3 months are much less likely to respond to additional chemotherapy and have an expected MST of 6 months or less. Single-agent topotecan in this population results in a response rate of 2% to 7%. Seventy-five patients were enrolled in a trial (Ettinger et al 2008). Of the 69 patients who were treated, 11 patients attained a PR and one patient had a CR, with an overall response rate of 17.4%, which compares favorably with the historically observed rate for topotecan. Thus, amrubicin is a promising new anthracycline warranting further study. A phase III trial in second-line SCLC patients is now underway, as well as a phase II study in first-line SCLC patients.

**Combination chemotherapy involving amrubicin**

**Phase I-II study of amrubicin and cisplatin for previously untreated patients with extensive-stage SCLC**

Patients with histologically or cytologically proven measurable ED-SCLC, no previous chemotherapy, and good prognostic factors were enrolled in this trial (Ohe et al 2005). Amrubicin was administered on days 1 to 3 and cisplatin was administered on day 1, every 3 weeks. Four patients were enrolled at dose level 1 (amrubicin, 40 mg/m²/day and cisplatin, 60 mg/m²) and 3 patients were enrolled at dose level 2 (amrubicin, 45 mg/m²/day and cisplatin 60, mg/m²). The maximum-tolerated dose and the recommended dose (RD) were found to be level 2 and level 1, respectively. The response rate at the RD was 87.8% (36/41). The median survival and the 1-year survival rate were encouraging, 13.6 months and 56.1%, respectively.

**Discussion**

Because of the systemic nature of SCLC, chemotherapy offers the best hope of improving treatment outcomes. However, over the past 3 decades, therapeutic advances for this disease have occurred very slowly.

Amrubicin marked inhibits topoisomerase II activity and has exhibited a broad spectrum of antitumor activity in preclinical studies (Yamaoka et al 1998). The results of clinical studies examining amrubicin as a single agent or as a component of combination regimens for SCLC have been promising, with manageable, non-cumulative toxicities (Ohe et al 2005; Onoda et al 2006; Yana et al 2007). At present, two randomized trials to determine the exact role of amrubicin in the treatment of SCLC are now underway in Japan: one examining cisplatin + amrubicin versus cisplatin + irinotecan in previously untreated patients with ED-SCLC, and one examining amrubicin versus carboplatin + etoposide in elderly patients with ED-SCLC. Two clinical trials have confirmed the effectiveness of amrubicin in US patients with SCLC. One of these trials was a randomized phase II trial comparing amrubicin with topotecan as a second-line treatment in a treatment
sensitive-group (Ettinger et al 2008). The other was a phase II trial in patients refractory to first-line chemotherapy (Ettinger et al 2008). Amrubicin may be a promising new addition to treatment strategies for SCLC, especially for chemoresistant patients. However, there are only a few clinical trials of this agent and the number of the patients treated with this drug is still quite small. Therefore, further studies exploring the role of amrubicin as a single agent or in combination with cytotoxic agents as well as novel molecular-targeted drugs for the treatment of other various malignancies are warranted. Lastly, since most of the trials with amrubicin have been done in Japan, future clinical studies to define the benefits and risk associated with amrubicin as a single agent or in combination for cancer therapy conducted overseas are eagerly awaited.
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