Central corneal thickness of Iraqi population in relation to age, gender, refractive errors, and corneal curvature: a hospital-based cross-sectional study
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**Background:** Central corneal thickness (CCT) is an important indicator of corneal status. Its measurement provides valid information about corneal physiological condition and possible changes associated with diseases, traumas, and hypoxia. It is an integral part for interpretation of intraocular pressure and glaucoma patient management and in prerefractive procedure assessment.

**Objective:** The aim of this study is to determine the mean CCT among a normal Iraqi population and to correlate between CCT and age, gender, refraction, and corneal curvature.

**Patients and methods:** This cross-sectional study was carried out at Ibn Al-Haitham Teaching Eye Hospital. A total of 418 eyes from 209 healthy individuals with an age range from 20 to 75 years were studied. CCT was measured by ultrasound pachymeter. Refraction was measured using an auto-refractor and confirmed by trial lenses and retinoscopy to calculate the spherical equivalent. Corneal curvature was measured using an auto-refracto-keratometer to calculate the average corneal curvature (AVK).

**Results:** The mean CCT was 543.95 ± 32.58 μm with a range from 422 to 636 μm. CCT was not affected by gender. CCT significantly negatively correlated with age and AVK. CCT significantly positively correlated with the spherical equivalence.

**Conclusion and recommendation:** Among an Iraqi population, CCT significantly decreased with age. Myopics had significantly thinner corneas. There was weak but significant negative correlation between CCT and corneal curvature. We recommend further studies about the relationship between central corneal thickness and other ocular parameters in Iraqi population such as the axial length.
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**Introduction**

The cornea is a transparent, avascular tissue that measures 11–12 mm horizontally and 10–11 mm vertically.\(^1\) The cornea along with sclera forms the outermost coat of the eyeball, it is a complex structure which, as well as having a protective role, is responsible for about three quarters of the optical power of the eye.\(^2\) It contributes 74%, or 43.25 diopters (D), of the total 58.60 dioptic power of a normal human eye. Its refractive index is 1.376.\(^1\) The normal cornea is free of blood vessels.\(^2\) For its nutrition, the cornea depends on glucose diffusing from the aqueous humor and oxygen diffusing through the tear film. In addition, the peripheral cornea is supplied with oxygen from the limbal circulation. The cornea has one of the body’s highest densities of nerve endings, and the sensitivity of the cornea is 100 times that of the conjunctiva.\(^1\)
The cornea is composed of six layers; which are epithelium, Bowman’s layer, stroma, Dua’s layer, Descemet’s membrane, and endothelium.2,3

The corneal epithelium is composed of nonkeratinized, nonsecretory, stratified squamous epithelium, which is 4–6 cell layers thick (40–50 μm),4,5 it makes up ~5%–10% of the total corneal thickness.1

The corneal stroma provides important structural integrity,4,5 it makes up 90% of corneal thickness; it is ~450 μm thick in the central cornea.8 It is arranged in regularly orientated layers of collagen fibrils whose spacing is maintained by proteoglycan ground substance (chondroitin sulfate and keratin sulfate) with interspersed modified fibroblasts (keratocytes).3 Maintenance of the regular arrangement and spacing of the collagen is critical to optical clarity. Transparency also depends on keeping the water content of the corneal stroma at 78%. Corneal hydration is largely controlled by intact epithelial and endothelial barriers and the functioning of the endothelial pump.1

The corneal endothelium is made up of closely interdigitated cells arranged in a mosaic pattern of mostly hexagonal shapes. Human endothelial cells do not proliferate, cell density declines throughout life. The corneal endothelium maintains corneal clarity by acting as a barrier to the aqueous humor and by providing a metabolic pump.1 Corneas with endothelial cell counts below 500 cells/mm² may be at risk for development of corneal edema.4,5

Central corneal thickness (CCT) is an important indicator of corneal health status and it is an essential tool in the assessment and management of corneal diseases and helps to estimate the corneal barrier and endothelial pump function.7 The determination of corneal thickness has gained relevance in recent years, partly due to the growing interest in the continued use of contact lenses, refractive surgery, and the early identification of those who are at a higher risk of developing primary open angle glaucoma.1

Normally, as a diurnal variation, corneas tend to be slightly thicker just after a person awakes in the morning. This increase in thickness is the consequence of diminished evaporation of water from underneath the closed eyelids, and the result of reduced nocturnal metabolic activity of the endothelium.6,5 A diurnal variation of 10 μm for the central thickness and 20 μm in the periphery at 40° was reported by Kiely et al.8

Studies have shown that CCT significantly influences the measured intraocular pressure and consequently, the classification and management of glaucoma.9,10 Previous studies have demonstrated a positive correlation between CCT and intraocular pressure measured by applanation which causes overestimation of true intraocular pressure in thicker corneas and the converse in thinner ones.11

In the planning, refractive, and certain surgical procedure (such as astigmatic keratectomy, laser in situ keratomileusis, photo refractive keratectomy, and Intacs placement), the CCT value is useful for deciding whether a patient should be considered before surgery and which technique would be most adequate.12,13 Particularly when performing laser in situ keratomileusis because the amount of correction is limited by the corneal thickness.14

Corneal pachymetry is the process of measuring the thickness of the cornea and can be done using contact methods such as ultrasound and confocal microscopy or noncontact methods such as optical biometry with a single Scheimpflug camera (such as the Oculus Pentacam or Sirius), Dual Scheimpflug (eg, Galilei), coherence tomography (Visante, iVue, or others), optical coherence pachymetry (with Orbscan).15

Ultrasound pachymetry is easy, portable, and the most widely used method of measuring CCT.4,5 Ultrasound pachymetry is usually considered as the main method used to measure CCT, this is because of its relative simplicity and low cost.15 The instrument function by measuring the amount of time (transit time) needed for the ultrasound pulse to pass from the end of the Descemet’s membrane and back to the transducer.16

The aim of the study is to measure the mean central thickness in a sample of normal Iraqi population and to evaluate associations between the CCT and the age, gender, refraction, and corneal curvature.

Materials and methods

Study design

A randomized hospital-based cross-sectional study was carried out during the period from January till the first of April 2016 at Ibn Al Haitham Teaching Eye Hospital in Baghdad. This study was approved by the Scientific Council of Ophthalmology of Iraqi Board for Medical Specialization. The research follows the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and each person gave his or her written informed consent to participate in the study.

Study sample

A total of 418 eyes from 209 healthy individuals with age range from 20 to 75 years were collected.
Exclusion criteria
Excluded from this study were individuals age <20 years, eyes with corneal pathology as edema, scarring or corneal dystrophy, contact lens wearing history, eyes with history of refractive or ocular surgery, history of ocular trauma, glaucomatous eyes, and eyes with intraocular pressure >21 mmHg. Patients with systemic disease (such as diabetes or rheumatoid arthritis) and pregnant ladies were also excluded.

The identifying data were the age and gender while the examination data measured in the study were CCT, refraction, and corneal curvature. All measurements were done at the same time of the day, between 9:00 am and 1:00 pm.

CCT was measured by ultrasound pachymeter (SP-3000; Tomey Corporation, Nagoya, Japan); subject was comfortably seated with the head upright and eyes in the primary position of gaze. The probe was sterilized with 70% alcohol and allowed to air-dry. A drop of topical anesthetic (Tetracaine HCl 0.1%) was instilled in the subject’s eye. The probe was carefully aligned perpendicularly to and lightly applanating the center of the cornea. Five readings in the center are taken and the average calculated as the measured CCT.

Refraction was measured using an autorefractor (RC-5000; Tomey Corporation) and confirmed subjectively by trial lenses and retinoscopy. Refraction was calculated in D as the spherical equivalent (SE) which equals to spherical refractive error plus 0.5× cylindrical refractive errors.

The corneal curvature was measured using an auto-refracto-keratometry (RC-5000; Tomey Corporation) which measures the two major corneal radii separated by 90° (k1 and k2), and the average of both values was recorded as the average corneal curvature (AVK).

The patients were divided into five age groups (10 years interval). The patients were classified according to refraction into three major groups: emmetropia (SE =0.25D to −0.25D), myopia (SE <−0.25D), and hypermetropia (SE >+0.25D). Then further subclassification of myopia into three groups: mild myopia (myopia <−3D), moderate myopia (myopia from −3D to <−6D), and severe myopia (myopia = or >−6D). Hypermetropia subclassified into two groups: mild–moderate hypermetropia (≤+3D) and moderate–severe hypermetropia (≥+3D).

Data analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 20. Discrete variables presented as numbers and percentages and continuous variables presented as mean ± standard deviation. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to test the correlations. Independent sample t-test was used to test the mean difference between two independent samples, and analysis of variance test with post hoc Tukey’s test for >2 independent samples; P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
This study enrolled 209 normal persons in whom both eyes were examined given a total number of examined eyes of 418. Mean CCT for the entire group was 543.95±32.58 μm (range 422 to 636 μm). Mean age of the group was 40.1±14.6 years (range 20–75 years). Mean AVK of the group was 43.44±1.53D (range 39.00 to 47.20D). Mean SE of the group was −1.51±1.13D (range +0.00 to −12.5D).

Figure 1 presents the distribution of CCT values for all eyes included in this study.

Table 1 summarizes the data for correlation between CCT and age groups (P-value <0.001). On relating mean CCT to age group, it starts as 553.14 μm in the age group 20–29 years and gradually ends as 528.75 μm in age ≥60 years; and by comparing its level to the age group 20–29 years, it is observed significantly lower at ages ≥40 years. The difference in mean CCT between the age groups of 20s and 30s was not significant.

Table 2 showed no significant difference in CCT means between the two genders.

Figure 2 showed no significant difference in CCT means between the two genders.

Figure 2 demonstrates the relationship between CCT and AVK, in which there is a statistically significant negative correlation (Pearson r=−0.097, P=0.048).

Figure 3 demonstrates the relationship between CCT and SE, in which there is a statistically significant positive correlation (Pearson r=0.153, P=0.002).

Table 3A and B summarizes the data for correlation between CCT and AVK, in which there is a statistically significant negative correlation (Pearson r=−0.097, P=0.048).

Figure 3 demonstrates the relationship between CCT and SE classes and subclasses. There is a significant difference in mean CCT between myopic and emmetropic groups (P-value =0.019), the myopics had significantly thinner cornea than emmetropics.

Table 4 summarizes the correlations between CCT and study variables (age, AVK, and SE) which shows significant correlations (P-value <0.05).

Discussion
CCT is an important indicator of corneal health status. The measurement of the corneal thickness (pachymetry) is an integral part of a thorough ophthalmic evaluation. CCT determination has become very important for interpretation
Table 1 Descriptive statistics for CCT according to age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean CCT, μm</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Percentage of decrease in mean CCT</th>
<th>95% CI for mean</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20–29 years</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>553.14</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>547.98 – 558.30</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39 years</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>550.86</td>
<td>27.99</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
<td>544.38 – 557.35</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49 years</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>538.67</td>
<td>33.75</td>
<td>0.026%</td>
<td>532.47 – 544.88</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59 years</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>537.39</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>0.028%</td>
<td>526.78 – 548.01</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60 years</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>528.75</td>
<td>31.63</td>
<td>0.044%</td>
<td>519.94 – 537.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>543.95</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td>0.016%</td>
<td>540.82 – 547.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Post-hoc test in comparison with first age group; **ANOVA.
Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; CCT, central corneal thickness; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2 Descriptive statistics for CCT according to gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean CCT, μm</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>95% CI for mean</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>545.70</td>
<td>32.27</td>
<td>541.47</td>
<td>549.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>541.90</td>
<td>32.91</td>
<td>537.22</td>
<td>546.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>543.95</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td>540.82</td>
<td>547.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CCT, central corneal thickness; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation.
of intraocular pressure and glaucoma patient management, and in pre-refractive procedure assessment where knowledge of the corneal thickness is necessary for choosing the best treatment option and avoiding complications such as corneal ectasia.

In this study, the mean CCT was 543.95 ± 32.58 μm. This obtained value, in comparison to other studies that measured the CCT in Iraqi population is similar to that done in Baghdad by Ghazwan (544.4 ± 32.3 μm), and nearly similar to another study also in Baghdad by Riyam and Qasim (541.25 ± 34.96 μm). The obtained CCT value, compared with the population of nearby countries, is lower than that of the study of the Turkish population (552 μm) and Iranian population (555.6 μm). And it is similar to the study of the Saudi emmetropic population (545.7 μm). All the previous studies used ultrasonic pachymeter in the measurement of CCT.

Review of literatures indicates that there may be ethnicity-related differences in CCT throughout the world. Aghaian et al studied the corneal thickness by ultrasonic pachymeter in an ethnically diverse population of 801 eyes in a San Francisco glaucoma clinic. The mean CCT of all participants was 542.9 mm. African Americans had the thinnest CCT (521.0 mm) of all races, including whites (550.4 mm) and Hispanics (548.1 mm). Among the Asian subpopulations, Japanese participants had the thinnest CCT (531.7 mm) compared with Chinese (555.6 mm) and Filipino (550.6 mm) subjects.

In our analysis of the relationship between age and CCT, we find a statistically significant negative association, several authors have reported a significant reduction of CCT with age. Hahn et al suggested that the decrease in density of keratocytes with age is responsible for the reduction of CCT values with age. Fewer studies did not find a statistically significant association between age and CCT. Gros-Otero et al who studied CCT in 375 eyes of Spanish population found a certain correlation between CCT and age, but it is so slight that these differences would be significant with a high number of patients. Similarly, Jonuscheit and Doughty found no age-related differences of CCT (P = 0.381) in 109 white European subjects.

The association between CCT and gender has been analyzed in various studies in most of which, as in ours, statistically significant differences were not found. In contrast, some studies have found a statistical association between both factors, and in some cases there seems to be a certain degree of confusion when gender and age are analyzed jointly. Hahn et al found that the difference in CCT between the genders was only 4.6 μm, which is less than the mean interocular difference in CCT (7.7 μm). They concluded that the difference between men and women CCT was statistically but not clinically significant.

In studying the relationship between average corneal curvature and CCT, we find a statistically significant (weak) negative correlation (Pearson r = −0.097, P = 0.048). There is controversy about the correlation between CCT and corneal curvature. Atsuo et al found a negative correlation between the two parameters in the Tajimi Study from Japan. Sawada et al reported a positive correlation in Japanese subjects aged 40 years or older. On the other hand, Chen et al found no significant correlations between the two parameters in normal Taiwanese Chinese adults, aged 40–80 years (Pearson r = 0.013; P = 0.770).
Table 3 Descriptive statistics for CCT according to: (A) refraction major classes, (B) refraction subclasses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(A) Refraction major classes</th>
<th>Number of eyes</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean CCT</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>95% CI for mean</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>539.05</td>
<td>33.68</td>
<td>534.75–543.34</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmetropia</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>550.47</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>542.88–558.06</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermetropia</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>550.54</td>
<td>27.10</td>
<td>545.22–555.86</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>543.95</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td>540.82–547.09</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(B) Eye refraction subclasses</th>
<th>Number of eyes</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean CCT</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>95% CI for mean</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe myopia</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>536.53</td>
<td>30.61</td>
<td>526.17–546.88</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate myopia</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>540.22</td>
<td>31.42</td>
<td>532.94–547.49</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild myopia</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>539.08</td>
<td>35.88</td>
<td>532.83–545.33</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmetropia</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>550.47</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>542.88–558.06</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild–moderate hypermetropia</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>545.79</td>
<td>26.41</td>
<td>540.06–551.52</td>
<td>0.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate–severe hypermetropia</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>572.72</td>
<td>18.09</td>
<td>563.73–581.72</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>543.95</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td>540.82–547.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Post hoc test in comparison with emmetropia, **ANOVA.
Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; CCT, central corneal thickness; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation.

Analysis of the relationship between refraction and CCT showed a statistically significant positive correlations between the two parameters (Pearson r=0.153, P=0.002). Further analysis of CCT level within refraction groups found significant difference between the whole myopic group and emmetropic group (P=0.019). The effect of refractive status on CCT has been reported by many investigators. Von Bahr first generated interest in the correlation between myopia and CCT in 1956, when he reported thinner corneas in myopia <−4D.38 There have been studies that have looked specifically at myopes and CCT. Chang et al studied corneal thickness in Taiwanese patients. The mean corneal thickness was 533±29 μm and was thinner in more myopic eyes. They concluded that a decrease in corneal thickness was a result of a change in the anterior segment as the eyeball elongated in myopic progression.39

Similar relationship reported by Mohammed et al who found that CCT correlates with refractive error and myopes have the thinnest CCT (449.65±39.27 μm), followed by emmetropes (542.66±46.35 μm) and hyperopes (557.67±41.83 μm).40 This is consistent with the findings of Nemesure et al who found that CCT was directly related to refractive error.41 Price et al suggested that thin CCT associated with myopic eyes may help to explain their increased susceptibility to glaucoma.42

In our study, no significant difference in the mean CCT was found when we compare each of the myopia subclassifications and emmetropia. Nemesure et al found that CCT was directly related to refractive errors, although no systemic alteration in CCT was found in myopia.41 Similarly, Pederson et al claimed that the process by which myopia progresses does not influence the CCT to a measurable degree.43

Other studies have found contradictory results. Price et al found no correlation between refraction and CCT.42 Similarly, Ortiz et al analyzed the relationship between the CCT and the degree of myopia in 175 myopic eyes. They did not find statistically significant differences in CCT between the myopic groups in their study.44 Liu and Pfuggfelder found no correlation between CCT and the degree of myopia in corneal thickness in both contact lens wearers and nonwearers.43 Pederson et al concluded that there was no statistical difference in CCT between emmetropes and myopes.43

Table 4 The correlations between CCT and study variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Statistic type</th>
<th>Variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>Pearson</td>
<td>Age (year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>correlation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.280</td>
<td>−0.097</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: If Pearson's correlation >0 then the correlation is positive, if <0 then it is negative.
Abbreviations: AVK, average corneal curvature; CCT, central corneal thickness; SE, spherical equivalent.

Conclusion
In Iraqi population, the mean CCT was 543.95±32.58 μm with a range of 422–636 μm, CCT not affected by gender. CCT
significantly negatively correlated with age. CCT significantly positively correlated with refraction and myopias had signifi-
cantly thinner cornea than emmetropics. There was a weak but significant negative correlation between CCT and corneal curvature.

**Recommendation**

We recommend taking in concern the decline in the CCT throughout life and the possibility of its decrease during myo-
pic progression when we are planning for refractive surgeries and calculating the safe limits in corneal thickness.

Also, we recommend further studies about the relation-
ship between CCT and other ocular parameters in Iraqi population such as the axial length.
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