Significant lung volume reduction with endobronchial valves in a patient despite the presence of microcollaterals masked by low-flow Chartis phenotype

Abstract: Satisfactory functional outcomes following bronchoscopic lung volume reduction (BLVR) using endobronchial valves (EBVs) depend on the absence of collateral ventilation (CV) between the target and adjunct lobes. The Chartis system has proven to be useful for determining whether CV is present or absent, but this system can also erroneously indicate the absence of CV, which can lead to BLVR failure. Here, we describe low-flow Chartis phenotype in the target lobe that resulted in difficult judgment of existence of CV. Consequently, BLVR with EBVs implanted into the right upper bronchus failed to reduce lung volume or induce atelectasis. Inserting another EBV into the right middle bronchus blocked the latent CV, which led to significant lung volume reduction in the right upper lobe (RUL) and right middle lobe (RML) and to improve the pulmonary function, 6-min walking distance, and St George respiratory questionnaire scores over a 2-week follow-up period. Low flow in the target lobe is a unique Chartis phenotype and represents the uncertainty of CV, which is a risk factor for the failure of BLVR using EBVs. Clinicians should be aware of this possibility and might be able to resolve the problem by blocking the RUL and RML between which the CV occurs.
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Introduction

Bronchoscopic lung volume reduction (BLVR) using endobronchial valves (EBVs) has been utilized in the treatment of COPD with emphysema. This technique relieves the hyperinflation status and improves lung function, exercise capacity, and quality of life.1,2 The elements of successful BLVR using EBVs include successful lobe occlusion and complete fissures.1,3-6 In addition to evaluating fissure integrity with computed tomography (CT), the Chartis system (Pulmonx Inc., Redwood City, CA, USA) can help physicians to detect collateral ventilation (CV) with high positive (71%) and negative (83%) predictive values. Therefore, this system provides satisfactory evaluation of patients requiring lung volume reduction,7,8 with the exception of some situations, such as a low-flow Chartis phenotype in the target lobe. Few studies have focused on the low-flow Chartis phenotype,9,10 and this condition has been attributed to a so-called “dynamic collapse” phenomenon. Although the occurrence of “low flow” in Chartis assessment has been documented, the effects of this phenomenon on the clinical outcomes of EBV-based BLVR remain unclear. Here, we report a case of BLVR failure caused by latent CV between the right upper lobe (RUL) and right
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middle lobe (RML), which was masked by a low-flow Chartis phenotype in the RUL. This patient experienced significant clinical benefit after the elimination of the latent CV via the implantation of an EBV in the RML. The patient provided written informed consent to have the case report and any accompanying images published.

Case report

A 63-year-old Chinese male with COPD was admitted to our hospital in October 2013. He was presented with a 20-year history of chronic productive cough and progressive dyspnea. The patient was an ex-smoker (40 pack-years), had suffered from secondary tuberculosis before 30 years, and had been taking salmeterol/fluticasone propionate (50/500 μg) twice daily for 2 years. Pulmonary function tests administered on admission revealed severe irreversible airflow obstruction after bronchodilator inhalation (forced expiratory volume in one second [FEV1]/forced vital capacity [FVC] = 46.9%; FEV1 = 0.89 L, 28.9% of predicted value) and severe hyperinflation (residual volume [RV] = 5.03 L, 222% of predicted value, total lung capacity [TLC] = 7.92 L, 131% of predicted value). Additional tests revealed the following: 6-min walking distance (6MWD), 144 m; St George respiratory questionnaire (SGRQ) score, 64; and Modified Medical Research Council (MMRC) dyspnea score, 4. Analysis of the blood gases at pH 7.40 revealed the following: PaO2, 65.7 mmHg and PaCO2, 42.1 mmHg.

High-resolution chest CT (HRCT) indicated heterogeneous emphysema with a marked predominance in the bilateral upper lobes and middle lobe (Figure 1A and B). The right oblique fissure was complete, and a peripheral minor defect was found on the horizontal fissure in the RML. Therefore, we decided to block the right middle bronchus using another EBV (Zephyr EBV 5.5).

Two weeks later, quantitative analysis of the HRCT images (Lung CAD1.2; Neusoft, Shenyang, People’s Republic of China) revealed that the volume of the right lung had decreased from 3,838 to 2,935 mL, the RUL and RML had begun to shrink, the right diaphragm had become elevated, and the mediastinum had shifted to the right side (Figure 1I and J). The patient exhibited significant clinical improvement. The FEV1 increased to 1.52 L (+0.63 L) and the 6MWD increased to 216 m (+72 m); in addition, the RV decreased to 4.21 L (−16.3%), the TLC decreased to 7.10 L (−10.4%), the SGRQ score decreased to 41, and the MMRC dyspnea score decreased to 2.

The patient reported slight chest pain after the second EBV implantation, and HRCT revealed some pleural effusion, which was localized to the minor fissure and absorbed spontaneously.

Discussion

The absence of CV is a strong predictor of successful BLVR.1–3 The Chartis system has been proven to be useful for detecting CV and predicting the success of BLVR, but how to analyze and judge the Chartis reading should be paid more attention. It is difficult in some conditions because different breathing efforts and/or variable levels of conscious sedation may lead to the variable amplitude of the Chartis reading.4,8,9,12 However, some patients exhibit poor clinical responses even when the Chartis analysis has detected no CV, and the EBV has completely blocked the target bronchus. Treatment failure in these patients is ultimately determined to have resulted from latent CV that was undetected by the Chartis system. Such false negative readings result from several causes, including catheter blockage and low flow in
Low flow is likely a sign of dynamic collapse of the airways
and can be classified as either CV positive or CV negative.

Notes: (A) HRCT of the chest upon admission in the horizontal section. (B) HRCT of the chest upon admission. Heterogeneous emphysema was present in both upper lobes and the middle lobe. The right diaphragm was lower than the left diaphragm, indicating significant hyperinflation. The long vertical line indicates the distance from the apex of the right lung to the highest point of the right diaphragm (267 mm). The short line next to the long line indicates the distance from the apex of the right lung to the oblique fissure (161 mm). (C) A minor leak in the horizontal fissure seen in a coronary view (red arrow). The minimal integrity of the horizontal fissure in a coronary view was 91.6%. (D) A minor peripheral leak in the horizontal fissure in the sagittal view (red arrow). The minimal integrity of the horizontal fissure was 91%. The lesions in the RUL had obvious calcification and no evidence of malignancy. (E) A Chartis reading for the RUL showing sudden stops in expiratory flow during the three breathing maneuvers that were accompanied by a sharp increase in the airway resistance index. The total expiratory volume was only 17.33 mL, confirming the low-flow phenotype that resulted from distal airway collapse. (F) A Chartis reading for the RML revealed a flow signal with a gradual downward trend that resulted in no flow until 4 min after the activation of the valve. This effect might have been related to the absence of CV or a CV-positive status with on and offs during deeper and lower breathing maneuvers related to mini-collaterals. (G) A Chartis reading for the RLL showing a good signal with a gradual downward trend that was indicative of CV-negative status. (H) HRCT of the chest 1 month after EBV insertion into the right upper bronchus. No obvious changes relative to the CT scan taken on admission were observed, and no evidence of RUL collapse was present. (I) Comparison of HRCT images of the chest taken at baseline to those acquired 2 weeks after EBV insertion into the middle bronchus. The mediastinum shifted to the right side, and the volume of the RML was decreased. (J) HRCT of the chest 2 weeks after EBV insertion into the middle bronchus. The RUL and RML had begun to shrink, and the RLL re-expanded. The right diaphragm appeared to be higher than before. The long vertical line indicates the distance from the apex of the right lung to the highest point of the right diaphragm (255 mm). The short line next to the long line indicates the distance from the apex of the right lung to the oblique fissure (139.1 mm). Both lines were obviously shortened compared with the baseline results.

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; CV, collateral ventilation; EBV, endobronchial valve; HRCT, high-resolution chest CT; RLL, right lower lobe; min, minutes; RML, right middle lobe; RUL, right upper lobe.

Figure 1 Chest HRCT and Chartis reading of the patient.

the target lobe. Low flow is a unique Chartis phenotype and can be classified as either CV positive or CV negative. Low flow is likely a sign of dynamic collapse of the airways distal to the balloon of Chartis catheter. Other possible causes of low flow include extra-wide collateral channels between the lobes that induce a reverse flow in the catheter that cannot be displayed on the monitor. The Chartis catheter itself may also be responsible for the inherent resistance in these particular assessments. When low flow exists in Chartis reading of target lobe, ipsilateral lobes should be carefully assessed.
Previous study revealed that low-flow patients with ipsilateral CV-negative lobe also showed an improvement in pulmonary function and target lobe volume reduction (TLVR) after valve insertion.¹¹ But the relationship should be focused between the varying levels of volume reduction and the clinical outcomes. Patients in whom TLVR was obtained had greater improvements in clinical outcomes, even in the patients with incomplete fissions who had a TLVR >50%.¹⁴

In our case, the low-flow Chartis phenotype led us to fail to recognize latent CV between the RUL and RML. These findings may be attributable to the existence of mini-collaterals that open only during deeper inspirations. In addition, the minor defect in the fissure should be considered as one of the risk factors of treatment failure, even when its integrity was more than 90% on HRCT. Although the accuracy of Chartis assessment or HRCT fissure analysis for the prediction of TLVR <80%, evaluations of figure integrity using HRCT and the Chartis system are complementary.¹⁵ Moreover, our case revealed that Chartis assessment in combination with HRCT fissure analysis also has difficulties in assessing CV when the low-flow Chartis phenotype exists. Pu et al found that defects in the right horizontal fissure make the RUL a poor target for valves.¹⁶ Our case showed that patients with low-flow Chartis phenotype in the RUL that masks CV positivity can still benefit from BLVR if an EBV is used to block the middle bronchus and eliminate the CV. If CV is found between the RUL and BLVR, BLVR with a thermal vapor or coil may be an effective alternative.¹⁷,¹⁸

Last but not the least, caution should be in place not only in patient selection but also in the follow-up of treatment, when the potentially malignant lesions in the target lobe. Just like the lesions located in the RUL of our case, lung cancer should be excluded carefully because lung cancer is one of the common comorbidities of COPD.

Conclusion

In conclusion, low flow in the target lobe can lead to incorrect evaluations of CV in patients who are scheduled for EBV-based BLVR, especially when the CV of ipsilateral lobes is difficult to judge. Such incorrect evaluations can lead to unsatisfactory clinical outcomes of BLVR. Our experience suggests that at least some low-flow patients with micro-CV between the RUL and RML can benefit from implantation of EBVs into the upper and middle bronchi.
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