Using drawings to explore patients’ perceptions of their illness: a scoping review
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Background: An emerging approach for investigating patient perspectives of their illness is the use of drawings.

Objective: This scoping review consolidates findings from current literature regarding the use of drawings to explore patients’ perceptions and experiences of their illness and treatment.

Methods: Electronic databases (Medline, PubMed, Embase, PsychINFO, Cinahl, Art Index and Scopus) and reference lists were searched to identify published English language studies using participant-generated drawings to explore adults’ perceptions and experiences of their illness and treatment. Using the scoping methodological framework, data were analyzed with respect to each study’s design, key findings and implications.

Results: Thirty-two studies were identified and these reflected diversities in both health conditions and methods of data collection and analysis. Participants’ drawings revealed new, insightful knowledge about patients’ perceptions, beliefs and experiences of their condition and were associated with clinical and psychological markers of health. Drawing was a powerful adjunct to traditional data collection approaches, and demonstrated potential benefits for participants. This review provides detailed insights and guidance on the use of drawings in research and clinical practice.

Conclusion: Drawing is a novel and potentially valuable technique for exploring patients’ perceptions and experiences about their illness and treatment. Advancing the methodology and applicability of drawings in this area will assist in the future development of this technique, with benefits for the patient, researcher and health care professional alike.
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Background
Research into ‘arts and health’ showcases various innovative approaches to research, education and therapy. According to the Australian National Arts in Health Framework, arts and health refers broadly to the practice of applying arts-based initiatives to health problems and health promoting settings. It involves all art forms, such as drawings, photographs, drama and music, and may be focused at any point in the health care continuum. The arts offer participatory possibilities to create (knowledge production) as well as to view (knowledge translation). From a systems perspective, the use of arts in health has a positive impact on population health. From an individual patient perspective, creating art provides an opportunity to reflect on personal experiences and acquire new insights about their own thoughts and emotions, painting a detailed picture of their beliefs about their illness and treatment. Deepened understanding
of the self can lead to more active involvement in illness management and self-care.\(^8\) Likewise, increased insight into patients’ health beliefs and personal experiences will provide health care professionals with a broader understanding of health behavior. This has the potential to guide the provision of care and self-management support that better meets the needs of the individual.\(^9\)

It is important to investigate patients’ perceptions about their illness and treatment, as these beliefs can influence patient behavior and outcomes.\(^5,10\) The use of quantitative methods to elicit patient perceptions or beliefs about their health and treatment has benefits of psychometric validity, reliability and convenience.\(^11,12\) However, these methods are rather restrictive in exploring perspectives and experiences which often require deeper discussion. Qualitative approaches such as interviews can address this gap, although they can overlook issues which are largely subconscious and difficult to verbalize. Within participatory forms of art in health, the use of metaphors and expression can create a safety net for participants to openly express difficult issues. Cognitively, production of art activates different areas of the brain compared with verbal communication.\(^13\) Also, practically, art can be useful when people may have difficulty conveying their thoughts verbally due to functional or language barriers. Utilizing such techniques can allow inclusion of marginalized and diverse groups of people in health-related discussions.\(^6\) Examples of such initiatives include employing photovoice to explore the experiences of patients with myotonic dystrophy. In this study, participants used visual images to depict barriers and facilitators to living successfully with the condition.\(^14\) Another example is the display of indigenous artwork to encourage Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women to be screened for breast cancer.\(^15\)

A growing approach for investigating patient perceptions and experiences of illness is the use of drawings. Conventionally, drawings represent a hand-drawn sketch that provides a visible form to a thought, concept or idea. Children often use drawing as a means of communicating thoughts visually and research in this area takes on developmental and clinical perspectives.\(^16\) Adults have also been engaged in this form of art, as it can offer individuals alternate ways of looking at phenomena and reveal dimensions of meaning not previously considered.\(^17\) This method of active participatory engagement can help shed deeper insight into how patients view and respond to illness.\(^17\)

Reviews of arts-based methods in health are positive about the usefulness of employing various art forms to engage and enrich health determinants.\(^1,5\) However, issues with inconsistent methodology and theoretical frameworks point to the need for ongoing research in this field. Specifically, there is yet to be a consolidation of published studies investigating drawing as a participatory research and clinical tool. This is distinguished from the use of pictures and drawings to communicate health research findings and to explain health instructions to patients.\(^18,19\) Synthesizing the extent, range and nature of research in this area will generate new understandings of the existing empirical work to guide future inquiry. Therefore, the objective of the current review was to conduct an examination of the use of drawings to explore patients’ perceptions and experiences of their illness and treatment.

**Methods**

**Review type**

Scoping reviews represent an increasingly popular approach to reviewing and collating health research evidence.\(^20,21\) This review was guided by the recommendations published in Arksey and O’Malley’s methodological framework.\(^22\) Different from systematic reviews, scoping reviews tend to address broader topics with a focus on summarizing and synthesizing data from a wide range of disciplines and methodologies.\(^22\) The intention is to obtain an overall picture of an issue or area of research, rather than to assess the quality of evidence to determine the generalizability or robustness of findings. A systematic review would be particularly challenging with the area of patients’ drawings in health, given that most of the work around drawings is exploratory and the study designs often do not involve randomization or clinical trials. As an essential first step in rigorous and systematic research, the scoping process provides valuable insights into how drawings have been used so far, the strengths and weaknesses of this technique and identifies research gaps to guide future studies.

**Search strategy**

The following databases were searched: Medline, PubMed, Embase, PsychINFO, Cinahl, Art Index and Scopus. There was no restriction set on publication recentness. In addition to databases, the reference lists of the articles included in this review were hand-searched to identify articles that were not uncovered in the database searches.

Search strategies were formulated for individual databases using the following keywords: ‘illness perceptions’ or ‘illness representations’ or ‘attitude to health’ or ‘health beliefs’ or ‘beliefs about medicines’ or ‘beliefs about treatment’ or ‘patient experience’ AND ‘drawings’ or ‘art therapy’ or ‘visual arts’ or ‘visual representations’. The search strategy for Medline is provided (Supplementary material). This
was adapted according to the indexing systems of the other databases searched.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**
Studies were limited to those that 1) specified the use of participant-generated drawings in the method, 2) explored participants’ perceptions and experiences of their illness and/or treatment, 3) involved participants aged 18 years or over, and 4) were written in English.

**Study selection and analysis**
Titles and abstracts were reviewed and the full texts of all potential articles meeting the inclusion criteria were obtained for additional review. Three authors were involved in study selection, differences in opinion were discussed and a consensus was reached. Data from included papers were charted and analyzed for the following: author(s), year of publication, country, aims of the study, health condition of participants, sample size, theoretical frameworks, methods used in conjunction to drawing, study design, data analysis and key findings.

**Results**

**Descriptive findings**
The database searches generated 2,563 possible articles (Figure 1). Following elimination of non-English language studies, duplicates and studies not meeting the inclusion criteria, 26 studies remained. Six additional studies were identified by searching the reference lists of the included articles, resulting in a total of 32 studies which were included in this review.

A number of studies were conducted in the Netherlands and most were published in the last decade (Table 1). The health topics explored in the studies were diverse and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Netherlands</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Number of Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990–2000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001–2005</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–2010</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2015</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 Background of studies included in this review**

**Figure 1 Results of search strategy.**
included cancer (n=6), cardiovascular disease (n=4), gynecology (n=4), immunology (n=4), chronic pain (n=3), endocrinology (n=3), mental health (n=2), respiratory disease (n=1) and others (n=5). Key characteristics and findings from these articles are summarized in Table 2.

### Analytic findings

#### Theoretical frameworks

Seventeen studies utilized theoretical frameworks, including: the common-sense model of self-regulation,23–30 phenomenology,31–34 phenomenography,35,36 a feminist framework,37–39 the illness-meaning model,40–42 the social representation theory,43,44 and the transition theory.45,46 Theoretical frameworks underpinning the studies were not reported in the remaining fifteen publications.47–61

#### Study designs

In all studies, the data collected from the analyses of participant-created drawings was supplemented with either interviews (n=18), self-reported questionnaires (n=12), or

### Table 2 Characteristics and findings of the studies included in this review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation, country, condition</th>
<th>Aim of study</th>
<th>Participants, sample size</th>
<th>Methods in conjunction to drawing</th>
<th>Study design, data analysis</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Besser et al;23 UK Osteoporosis</td>
<td>Explore how patients perceive their illness and treatment, and identify potential areas for intervention to improve treatment adherence</td>
<td>14 female outpatients</td>
<td>Interview: semi-structured</td>
<td>Qualitative Content analysis, thematic analysis</td>
<td>Patients’ illness and medication beliefs not in accord with scientific evidence. Low participant understanding of the role of medication and cause of osteoporosis. Concerns about medications and uncertainty about control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadbent et al;49 New Zealand Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Examine whether drawings of the heart predict subsequent return to work, exercise, distress about symptoms and perceptions of recovery</td>
<td>74 inpatients</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: employment status, time to return to work, physical recovery, heart condition timeline, distress, personal control, exercise Clinical markers: troponin-T</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Drawings of damage on the heart predict recovery better than medical indicators of damage. Drawing damage on the heart associated with slower return to work, perception of less recovery, heart condition lasting longer and lower control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadbent et al;47 New Zealand Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Investigate how changes in drawings of the heart relate to psychological and functional recovery</td>
<td>69 inpatients</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire, time to return to work, changes in exercise frequency, health care use, employment status</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Increases in size of heart drawn at 3 months post discharge related to slower return to work, higher cardiac anxiety, more phone calls to health services, increased worry about another myocardial infarction, increased activity restriction, higher use of alternative medicines, and less frequent exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadbent et al;48 USA Headache</td>
<td>Investigate whether drawings could be a useful way to assess patients’ headache perceptions</td>
<td>65 university students</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire, Short Form 36, pain rating</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Higher mean pain ratings associating with drawings and stress. Darker drawings associated with greater emotional distress and lower vitality. Larger drawing size associated with perceptions of worse consequences, symptoms and emotional representations, lower vitality, higher pain, lower happiness and more days of restricted activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation, country, condition</th>
<th>Aim of study</th>
<th>Participants, sample size</th>
<th>Methods in conjunction to drawing</th>
<th>Study design, data analysis</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross et al.50 USA Spinal cord injury</td>
<td>Understand the personal experience of spinal cord injury</td>
<td>160 adults</td>
<td>Interview: type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Drawings highlighted concerns about visual presentation of the body and revealed breadth of ways participants expressed feelings about the lasting social consequences of spinal cord injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daleboudt et al.24 the Netherlands Systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>Investigate patients’ illness perceptions and whether these perceptions were influenced by type of treatment for proliferative lupus nephritis</td>
<td>32 patients</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Drawings of the kidney provided additional information about perceptions of treatment effectiveness, kidney function and patients’ understanding of their illness. Drawing characteristics associated with perceptions of consequences, identity, concern and personal control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillemin,52 Australia Heart disease</td>
<td>Explore women’s experiences and understanding of their condition</td>
<td>32 females</td>
<td>Interview: type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Three themes identified from drawings: the heart at the center, the heart in the lived body, and heart disease as a social illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillemin,51 Australia Menopause</td>
<td>Explore women’s understanding of their condition, particularly in relation to their management practices</td>
<td>53 females</td>
<td>Interview: type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Three themes emerged from drawings: menopause as a life transition, menopause as lived experience, and menopause as loss and grief. Notable lack of images portraying medical understanding of menopause as hormone deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillemin and Westall,33 Australia Postnatal depression</td>
<td>Explore women’s knowledge and experiences of their condition and their journey towards recovery</td>
<td>33 females</td>
<td>Interview: type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Key themes illustrated by drawings: darkness of the postnatal depression experience, followed by light on the path to recovery; despair and isolation of the postnatal depression experience; a sense of entrapment, and being alone and unable to escape the situation; and the exclusion of biomedical imagery despite the predominant biomedical understanding and treatment of this condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammer et al.31 Denmark Gynecologic cancer</td>
<td>Explore how newly diagnosed women express the meaning of hope in drawings</td>
<td>15 females</td>
<td>Interview: unstructured</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Three themes emerged: hope as a spirit to move on, hope as energy through nature, and hope as a communion with families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrow et al.34 UK Breast cancer</td>
<td>Explore the nature, meaning and perceived origin of women’s mental images of their condition</td>
<td>15 females</td>
<td>Interview: unstructured</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Almost all participants had mental images of their breast cancer. Images reflected participants’ beliefs about their condition (its appearance, character and dangerousness) and appeared to be related to a number of fears and concerns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation, country, condition</th>
<th>Aim of study</th>
<th>Participants, sample size</th>
<th>Methods in conjunction to drawing</th>
<th>Study design, data analysis</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henare et al;32 New Zealand Chronic pain</td>
<td>Explore participants’ experience of chronic pain</td>
<td>14 adults</td>
<td>Interview: type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Five main themes emerged: a journey of loss of self and redefinition; gaining pain and losing self, redefining self; identity through others; and being hopeful. Strong association between participation in valued occupations, maintenance and redefinition of identity, experiencing oneself as competent and being hopeful about the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho et al;55 Hong Kong Breast cancer</td>
<td>Understand the experience of patients with breast cancer</td>
<td>67 females</td>
<td>Interview: type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Content analysis</td>
<td>Changes between pre- and post-intervention drawings: use of color, space, multiplicity, images of breasts, representations of cancer, and portrayal of negative emotions. Participants scored low on concern, emotional response and timeline, and expressed their hopes of being cured and how thinking positively would help. Tumors were drawn larger compared with that on the chest radiograph. Drawings were more accurate representations of patients’ lungs. More accurate lung drawing related to less optimistic views about prognosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoogerwerf et al;25 the Netherlands Non-small-cell lung cancer</td>
<td>Investigate patients’ illness perceptions, and associations between illness perceptions reflected in drawings, questionnaire scores and chest X-rays</td>
<td>12 adults</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Drawings differed substantially in the portrayal of the tumor. Drawing size after medical treatment was significantly smaller compared to before treatment. Themes: pain as an object: sinister, violent, punitive; the color of pain: red and burning, black and brooding; representation of change in relationship with pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaptein et al;56 the Netherlands Vestibular schwannoma</td>
<td>Assess patients’ perceptions of their condition</td>
<td>13 outpatients</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Short Form 36, Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Drawings differed substantially in the portrayal of the tumor. Drawing size after medical treatment was significantly smaller compared to before treatment. Themes: pain as an object: sinister, violent, punitive; the color of pain: red and burning, black and brooding; representation of change in relationship with pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirkham et al;33 UK Chronic pain</td>
<td>Examine patients’ pictorial representations alongside their accounts of those images, in order to understand their lived experience of the condition</td>
<td>7 females</td>
<td>Interview: semi-structured</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Themes: pain as an object: sinister, violent, punitive; the color of pain: red and burning, black and brooding; representation of change in relationship with pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locsin et al;35 Uganda Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever</td>
<td>Advance understanding of the experience of Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever through artistic representation</td>
<td>11 adults</td>
<td>Interview: type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Four categories of understanding the experience of surviving Ebola: escape in peaceful awareness, hope for a world outside of fear, persistence in defying death, and constant fear of dying.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation, country, condition</th>
<th>Aim of study</th>
<th>Participants, sample size</th>
<th>Methods in conjunction to drawing</th>
<th>Study design, data analysis</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luthy et al;57 Switzerland</td>
<td>Explore patients’ perception of dyspnea</td>
<td>32 adults</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: New-York Heart Association Dyspnea Scale, Borg Scale, Short Form 36, St George Respiratory Questionnaire, Maugeri Respiratory Failure Questionnaire, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Drawings illustrated pervasiveness of dyspnea, obstruction and tightening. Prominent representations of the head and internal structures, for example, the lungs and airways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marnocha et al;45 USA</td>
<td>Explore women’s personal experiences, and to use the findings of this study to add specific knowledge to support the transition theory</td>
<td>13 females</td>
<td>Interview: semi-structured</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Three major themes emerged: my body, sharing with others: not my mother, and going on with life. Participants repeatedly had questions and concerns regarding perimenopause, and reported receiving conflicting and confusing information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan et al;37 Australia</td>
<td>Explore women’s experience of their condition and its treatment by complementary and alternative therapies</td>
<td>6 females</td>
<td>Interview: unstructured</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Participants discussed experiences of disconnection from the body. Western medical encounters were described as brief and providing limited treatment options, and that there was a lack of helpful interaction between patient and practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowicka-Sauer;58 Poland</td>
<td>Examine patients’ illness perceptions and experiences</td>
<td>38 females</td>
<td>Interview: type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Data analysis not mentioned</td>
<td>Drawings reflected heterogeneity of clinical presentations and variety of symptoms as well as the ‘expansiveness’ and ‘aggressiveness’ of systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pereira et al;43 Brazil</td>
<td>Understand the negative effects of long-term hospitalization in a Brazilian psychiatric hospital</td>
<td>4 females</td>
<td>Interview: unstructured</td>
<td>Qualitative Data analysis not mentioned</td>
<td>Participants referred to process of social exclusion, emotional suffering, and inadequate hospital treatment, leading to recurrent hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips et al;26 UK</td>
<td>Use drawing as a means to explore the content of pain-related images</td>
<td>54 adults</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire (analyzed separately from drawings): pain location, diagnosis, duration and intensity</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, thematic analysis</td>
<td>Three main themes identified: pain as an attacker, the nature of pain, and the impact of pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds et al;19 New Zealand</td>
<td>Evaluate whether patients’ drawings of the heart are associated with psychological, functional and clinical status</td>
<td>60 adults</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire, Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire, Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire Clinical markers: left ventricular ejection fraction, serum level of B-type natriuretic peptide and sodium</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Heart depicted as significantly larger after heart failure as compared to before. Greater levels of heart-specific anxiety associated with significantly larger sized drawings. Drawings significantly related with clinical markers of illness severity, B-type natriuretic peptide level, and sodium level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation, country, condition</td>
<td>Aim of study</td>
<td>Participants, sample size</td>
<td>Methods in conjunction to drawing</td>
<td>Study design, data analysis</td>
<td>Key findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon;40 USA Human immuno-deficiency virus (HIV)</td>
<td>Gather a holistic description of the experiences of women living with the condition</td>
<td>10 females</td>
<td>Interview; type not mentioned</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td>Participants’ stories reflected diversity in cultural, socioeconomic, educational and religious backgrounds. However, they had similar problems about loneliness and self-disclosure. Illness meanings of AIDS reflected participants’ negotiation of physical, social and emotional threats posed by HIV. Participants who were HIV-positive displaced death and stigma away from an HIV diagnosis to an AIDS diagnosis. Participants with AIDS diagnoses struggled to construct illness meanings of AIDS that resist its association with ostracism and death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott;41 USA Acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) and HIV</td>
<td>Explore meanings surrounding the concept of AIDS among women with HIV</td>
<td>10 females</td>
<td>Interview; unstructured Free-listing exercise</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott et al;27 UK Melanoma</td>
<td>Investigate use of patient drawings to explore patient experiences of symptoms prior to health care use</td>
<td>63 adults</td>
<td>Interview; semi-structured Medical record: melanoma tumor classification, clinical photographs at diagnosis</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Drawing facilitated discussion of participants’ lesions and recall of events on the pathway to diagnosis. Common features of the drawings related to the view, shading, sections, shape and border of the lesions. Three themes emerged: being a breast cancer patient: visible signs and adverse effects of therapy; experiencing emotional chaos; and experiencing social dysfunction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suwankhong and Liamputtong;28 Thailand Breast cancer</td>
<td>Describe experiences of breast cancer treatment among Thai women in southern Thailand</td>
<td>20 females</td>
<td>Interview; semi-structured</td>
<td>Qualitative Thematic analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiemensma et al;20 the Netherlands Long-term remission of Cushing’s syndrome</td>
<td>Explore utility of the drawing test and its relation to patients’ illness perceptions, quality of life and clinical disease severity</td>
<td>47 adults</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised, Short Form 36, EuroQoL (Quality of Life) 5D, Cushing Quality of Life, Cushing’s Syndrome Severity Index</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Drawings’ characteristics strongly associated with the Cushing’s syndrome severity index and severity ratings of health professionals. No clear associations between characteristics of the drawings and quality of life or illness perceptions. Larger drawings associated with more negative consequences, a higher score on emotional representations, more perceived symptoms, and impaired quality of life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiemensma et al;28 the Netherlands Long-term remission of acromegaly</td>
<td>Explore utility of the drawing test and its relation to patients’ illness perceptions and quality of life</td>
<td>50 adults</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised, Physical Symptom Checklist, EuroQoL (Quality of Life) 5D, Acromegaly Quality of Life Questionnaire</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Leeuwen et al;27 the Netherlands Vestibular schwannoma</td>
<td>Examine whether patients’ illness perceptions can be assessed by drawings and are related to quality of life</td>
<td>139 patients</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire, Penn Acoustic Neuroma Quality of Life Scale (Dutch version)</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Illustration of emotions in the drawings negatively associated with quality of life.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
both (n=2). Some studies where drawing was supplemented by questionnaires asked participants to provide a brief written description of their image. For studies where drawing was used together with interviews, drawings were often made after a one-on-one interview with a researcher and a discussion about the image followed. Two studies specified that participants were alone when completing the drawing task in interviews.31,32 Conversely, in another study drawings were created in a group setting with other participants.32

Instructions to participants for creating the drawing were either verbally communicated or provided in a written form. Participants were asked to draw a variety of images, such as specific organs, how they saw their condition or body, and their experiences and feelings. Many researchers emphasized the importance of informing participants that the activity was not an assessment of artistic skill, that there were no correct or incorrect ways of drawing images, and that the key focus in the exercise was indeed the participants’ views.

Methods of data analysis varied between studies and the majority (n=20) incorporated participants’ own interpretation and description of their drawings. The most commonly used methods of data analysis were thematic analysis only (n=14), and a combination of content analysis of the drawings with statistical analysis of questionnaire data collected adjunctive to the drawing (n=13). Participants from two studies received a copy of their interview transcript for comment and approval about accuracy and relevance.37,40 To analyze the drawings, eleven studies used NIH Image-J software (which calculates pen stroke intensity and drawing dimensions and area), five studies drew on Guillemin’s adaptation51 of Rose’s critical visual methodology framework62 and one study applied the interpretative phenomenological analysis methodology.63

Key findings
Characteristics of participants’ drawings were associated with clinical and psychological markers of health status. Drawings of damage to the heart after myocardial infarction predicted recovery better than medical indicators of damage.49 Increases in size of drawings of the heart at follow-up after myocardial infarction were related to higher cardiac anxiety, activity restriction and health care use.47 Similar results were observed in participants with heart failure where larger sized drawings of the heart were associated with greater levels of heart-specific anxiety.59 Drawing size also represented the clinical severity of heart failure60 and Cushing’s syndrome.60 While there were no clear associations between drawings and illness perceptions or quality of life in patients with long-term remission of Cushing’s syndrome,60 strong correlations between larger sized drawings and impaired quality of life were identified in patients with long-term remission of acromegaly.28 Also, quality of life was negatively associated with the illustration of emotions for patients with vestibular schwannoma29 and was related to images of recovery for participants who experienced emergency embolization in post-partum hemorrhage.61 Increased pain ratings and emotional distress were reflected in drawings with an external force to the head drawn by participants with persistent headaches.48

Drawings added to current knowledge about patient perceptions and experiences of illness and treatment. In the artwork created by people with spinal cord injury, the range of ways participants depicted their feelings about the lasting social consequences and concerns about their body image, advanced knowledge of how people understand their injury and its impact on their lives.50 In the case of chronic vaginal thrush, analyses of patient drawings yielded a new theme regarding patient experiences of thrush that has not been reported in previous literature.37 Drawings of the kidney by people with systemic lupus erythematosus provided additional information about their perceptions of treatment effectiveness, kidney function and their understanding of the condition.24 This was also reflected in several other illnesses, where analyses of drawings created by participants highlighted the unique ways in which the illnesses or conditions were comprehended and experienced, for example, heart

### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation, country, condition</th>
<th>Aim of study</th>
<th>Participants, sample size</th>
<th>Methods in conjunction to drawing</th>
<th>Study design, data analysis</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>van Stralen et al41, the Netherlands</td>
<td>Explore women’s quality of life at follow-up after emergency embolization</td>
<td>21 females</td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire, Symptom Checklist 90, Utrecht Coping list, Short Form 36</td>
<td>Mixed methods Content analysis, statistical analysis</td>
<td>Drawings showed participants had very vivid conceptualizations of the embolization, with post-embolization drawings indicating recovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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disease, menopause, postnatal depression and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. This additional insight emerging through an analysis of patient-created drawings was also observed in studies involving patients with lung cancer, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and Ebola infection.

In addition to the experience of illness, affects such as feelings toward and views about their illness were better elicited using the method of participants’ visual representations. Participants described stronger emotional reactions when discussing their drawings of osteoporosis that were not reported in their interviews. This aspect was mirrored in participants with long-term remission of Cushing’s syndrome and acromegaly, where additional information about their illness perceptions was revealed with drawings as compared to questionnaires. Also, drawings added a further element to the assessment of psychological status in patients with heart failure. Artistic representations illuminated elusive and less accessible areas, revealing deeply personal accounts of the experience of chronic pain and the various dimensions of patients’ views of systemic lupus erythematosus.

In a number of studies, drawings resulted in ‘richer’ data collection, offering advantages over other methods. For example, researchers found that inclusion of a drawing activity generated more discussion about the personal impact of osteoporosis as compared to the participants’ interviews. This was similarly observed in participants with postnatal depression; some participants spoke briefly about their illness during their interviews, yet when they represented their feelings through drawings, deeper insight into their ways of understanding their condition was illuminated. It was also reported that drawings were a good initiator to encourage participants to open up about and share their illness experience. The drawing method enhanced elicitation of narratives about sensitive topics, such as chronic vaginal thrush, and discussion about the clinical presentation of illness, for example by asking patients to draw the development of their melanoma retrospectively.

The usefulness of drawing as an adjunct to other methods of data collection rather than as a standalone technique was put forward by several researchers. By adding elements which may not be accessible using interviews, drawings were a powerful adjunct to word-based means. It enabled the comparison of data obtained from different modes. For example, characteristics of participants’ drawings were associated with scores from the Illness Perception Questionnaire in some studies, but not others. Drawings provided clarification regarding information that has been provided in another form and enabled credibility to be added to themes identified using other methods. By combining drawing with interviews and questionnaires, the broadest range of patients’ perceptions is likely to be captured.

A number of studies reported on participants’ evaluation of the process of drawing. Despite being informed about and consenting to a drawing activity, the request to draw came as a surprise for some participants, and they showed initial hesitation and completed their drawing after some reflection and consideration. Some participants proffered apologies for their drawing, believing their drawings may not be good enough, and at times, others drew with intent and force. In one study, a participant conveyed that drawing was useful, related very personally, clarified their experience and enabled expression and reflection. A participant in another study thought that drawing was a moving experience and a new form of expression which told them much about themselves that they were not consciously aware of prior. Likewise, other participants found the process of drawing to be beyond the verbal, offering therapeutic benefits and an opportunity to access deeper levels of emotions.

Discussion

This is the first review of the current literature on how drawings have been used to explore patients’ perceptions and experiences of their illness and treatment. This scoping review has identified that drawings have added new and insightful knowledge about patients’ perceptions, beliefs and experiences of their condition and are a potentially valuable technique for patients, researchers and health care professionals. Drawings were associated with indicators of health status and improved current understanding of the patient’s perspective. Patients’ visual representations revealed deeply personal and emotional accounts of their illness experience and demonstrated potential for benefits for patients. By facilitating richer data collection, drawing was a powerful adjunct to traditional approaches. This review also provides a detailed synthesis of the study designs, offering valuable guidance and considerations to inform future applications of the drawing technique in health.

The findings of the review indicate that the method of data collection may have bearing on the outcomes. There are several variables which are important to consider, for example, using multiple data collection methods, offering personal space and time to complete the drawing, and the range of art materials provided. Accompanying the drawing activity with an in-person interview or a postal questionnaire allowed a comparative analysis of the data collected by
different methods. In the reviewed studies (Table 2), when face-to-face interviews supplemented data from drawings, this highlighted how the interview provided an opportunity for researchers to develop rapport with participants and to initiate further discussions. In contrast, drawings in the postal questionnaires completed away from the researchers negated the chance for investigations to explore more deeply. Asking participants to draw at home or alone can reduce potential external pressure. Whilst drawing in a group setting can have implications concerning privacy and social desirability, this method can facilitate discussion and the sharing of experiences through interaction with others.

The way in which participants were encouraged to draw could have influenced the findings obtained. As shown by Michie and Abraham, the different elements of a study’s design can affect participant behavior. Providing participants with a range of drawing materials enables more options for expression, demonstrating the wide range of expressive styles that drawing can offer to people. Specifying the object(s) to draw can target a particular topic of research interest, while a general drawing request can be open to interpretation. The latter allows freedom of expression but can lead to more uncertainty amongst participants. Though, it was observed that reassurance that the activity was not an assessment of drawing skill was frequently sufficient to overcome participants’ initial hesitation to draw.

In order for the use of drawings in health to be translated into everyday practice, their effectiveness requires an evidence-base. A balance is needed to achieve an adoption of protocols which do not constrain creativity and qualitative inquiry. Rather than over-prescription, consideration about having a minimum standard for patient instructional specificity, detail and intent is needed. In addition to procedures, analyses of the actual image also need to be taken into account.

The reviewed studies differed considerably in regards to analyses of participants’ drawings, with some seeking participants’ interpretation and others using researcher-derived interpretations. Seeking participants’ interpretation of their own drawing is invaluable as their visual product is derived interpretations. Seeking participants’ interpretation and others using researcher-to analyses of participants’ drawings, with some seeking into account.

In the reviewed studies (Table 2), when face-to-face interviews supplemented data from drawings, this highlighted how the interview provided an opportunity for researchers to develop rapport with participants and to initiate further discussions. In contrast, drawings in the postal questionnaires completed away from the researchers negated the chance for investigations to explore more deeply. Asking participants to draw at home or alone can reduce potential external pressure. Whilst drawing in a group setting can have implications concerning privacy and social desirability, this method can facilitate discussion and the sharing of experiences through interaction with others.

The way in which participants were encouraged to draw could have influenced the findings obtained. As shown by Michie and Abraham, the different elements of a study’s design can affect participant behavior. Providing participants with a range of drawing materials enables more options for expression, demonstrating the wide range of expressive styles that drawing can offer to people. Specifying the object(s) to draw can target a particular topic of research interest, while a general drawing request can be open to interpretation. The latter allows freedom of expression but can lead to more uncertainty amongst participants. Though, it was observed that reassurance that the activity was not an assessment of drawing skill was frequently sufficient to overcome participants’ initial hesitation to draw.

In order for the use of drawings in health to be translated into everyday practice, their effectiveness requires an evidence-base. A balance is needed to achieve an adoption of protocols which do not constrain creativity and qualitative inquiry. Rather than over-prescription, consideration about having a minimum standard for patient instructional specificity, detail and intent is needed. In addition to procedures, analyses of the actual image also need to be taken into account.

The reviewed studies differed considerably in regards to analyses of participants’ drawings, with some seeking participants’ interpretation and others using researcher-derived interpretations. Seeking participants’ interpretation of their own drawing is invaluable as their visual product is highly subjective and can be easily misunderstood from a third perspective. Great care needs to be taken if researchers are to interpret participants’ drawings without their input and authors have demonstrated that it is imperative to acknowledge this as a study limitation. A proportion of studies were unclear regarding how or who interpreted the drawings. It would be useful to specify the number and background of raters. Five studies reported the kappa value for inter-observer reliability. However, kappa statistics can only be useful if the raters are looking at objective elements such as size and quantity of the contents in the drawing. Interpretation of meaning, for example the perception of limitations and difficulties suggested in the drawings, remain as interpretations by the raters and do not infer authenticity.

The use of theoretical frameworks assisted in the design of the studies’ methods by providing structure and guidance to the collection and analysis of data. However, a number of studies did not utilize particular theories to aid the exploration and development of the drawing technique in health. The theoretical approaches used aligned with the research’s objectives. Studies which aimed to explore participants’ perceptions of their illness drew on the common-sense model of self-regulation, which proposes factors that may influence patient interpretations and responses. While phenomenology underpinned the studies which sought to explore in-depth the lived experience of the participants. In a number of studies, thematic analysis of the drawings and participants’ descriptions drew on Guillemin’s adaptation of Rose’s critical visual methodology framework. This framework poses a series of questions regarding the process of image production, the composition of the image, and the relationship between the image and the audience. Whilst this is a step forward in enabling a system of comparison between studies, rigorous evaluation of the extent to which this is a valid approach is needed as there is currently a lack of literature critiquing the applicability of this methodology in health research.

Examining which methods or combination of methods are most useful for particular conditions or settings should be considered according to the epistemological perspective and research questions. From the findings in this review, for example, it appears that a qualitative study aiming to explore patients’ experiences of a health condition would benefit from offering participants a range of art materials, not limiting the time and space for drawing and reassuring participants that the focus of the activity is their views and not drawing ability. Additionally, asking participants for their description of their drawing using a semi-structured interview guided by a visual methodology (such as Guillemin’s adaptation of Rose’s critical visual methodology framework) would aid interpretation and analysis, as would using an underpinning theoretical framework appropriate for the phenomena of interest.

The studies in this review were subject to several short-comings. In some studies with a quantitative component, the
authors highlighted that the validity and generalizability of the findings were uncertain as there may have been insufficient power to detect a meaningful association between variables due to restrictions in sample size. However, it is important to recognize that power is not relevant when discussing the associations between quantitative and qualitative components. These associations could be spurious or mediated by various factors which may be difficult to control for, such as the way in which participants are encouraged to draw, or differing image interpretation frameworks. Additionally, sample participants were in majority females, hence the general applicability of drawing as a health tool is unclear across the genders. Future investigations should explore whether drawing can be applied broadly, by incorporating a wider range of social determinants and health conditions.

Application of the drawing technique to explore patients’ perceptions and experiences of their illness would benefit from wider critical debate regarding its value and challenges in order to support the development of appropriate methods for conducting such studies for research, and possibly, its implementation into clinical practice. This will allow for procedures which are rigorous and transparent. A relevant case in point is the development of a methodological framework for scoping studies. The collective work from numerous independent researchers from foundation to ongoing critical discourse demonstrates that such process brings together varied experiences and recommendations to build, clarify and enhance the scoping methodology. However, the reviewed studies offered little evidence of critique of the methods and results that related to drawing, with two exceptions. It is therefore strongly encouraged that researchers contribute to the discussion that would advance the methodology of drawing in health.

Nevertheless, the findings of this review provide important insights for clinicians. Through the use of drawings, health care professionals could better understand and appreciate individual patients’ perceptions and experiences of illness. Drawings revealed new knowledge about the heterogeneity of patients’ perceptions, beliefs and feelings. Several studies suggested that drawing could be a simple yet universal assessment tool. This is a promising area for future work, as research has identified associations between characteristics of participants’ drawings and clinical and psychological markers of health status in a range of conditions.

Exploring the patient’s subjective view through drawings presents an opportunity to realign misperceptions and idiosyncratic beliefs. Drawings can uncover many dimensions of living with disease, especially psychosocial, which can provide health care professionals with an indication of how the patient is dealing with their illness. Generating or discovering knowledge about this is difficult without engaging patients themselves in this process. As a medium for participatory research, drawings can be used to support knowledge construction through a cooperative process actively involving the individuals who live with the health condition. Also, this creative activity offers patients an open way of expression which can minimize health care professionals’ (and researchers’) imposition of their own views.

The drawing technique can also have potential benefits for patients. By offering a time and space for reflection, patients can access perceptions and emotions which may have been unknown previously. The uncovering of unconscious and subconscious aspects may be distressing, but this knowledge could also help patients better understand themselves and their needs. Not all patients will benefit from or be comfortable with one technique. However, this demonstrates the multiplicity of forms of communication and expression that people utilize, and drawing is offering another way for people, especially those who are visually or creatively-orientated, to voice themselves. Previous research has shown that the use of art as therapy for patients with cancer can be beneficial, especially psychosocially. Despite positive feedback from some participants, most studies did not include participants’ appraisal of the drawing process. This is an important aspect to explore in order to determine the benefits of drawing for participants.

Drawings can provide additional understandings beyond that of patient experiences. For example, they are an innovative way of identifying patient needs and feedback about health care services. Participants with osteoporosis and perimenopause expressed that they had little understanding about their illness and treatments. Despite the biomedical aspects of osteoporosis, menopause and postnatal depression, participants’ illness and medication beliefs depicted in their drawings either did not match scientific evidence or there was an absence of drawings demonstrating medical understanding. This suggests room for improvement in providing education to patients regarding these conditions. In some of the reviewed studies, participants also gave feedback regarding health care services, pointing to a lack of helpful interaction between patient and practitioner when treating chronic vaginal thrush, inadequate treatment for people committed to long-term psychiatric hospitalization, and insufficient support in managing stigma after receiving breast cancer treatment.
improvement in clinical encounters can advance patient care and help build stronger patient–practitioner relationships.

When patients consult a health care professional, they have preconceived beliefs, attitudes and understanding, as reflected in the common-sense model of self-regulation.9,10,30 These representations can differ vastly from biomedical views.57,70 If there is poor fit between the patient’s schema and the nature of the health care professional’s recommendations, there is a risk that the advice provided does not make sense to the patient.10 As this can affect health behavior and clinical and psychosocial outcomes,71 it is important to explore patients’ perceptions. Leventhal et al72 proposed that verbal statements from patients can describe only some, but not all, of the features of their cognitive and emotional representations. Words provide a limited view of the patient’s experience,72 and this may be where drawings can supplement by “[picturing] where words come short”.31 By consulting patients about their views and feelings regarding their illness and treatment, health care professionals acknowledge that patients are also experts. Shifting to a patient-focused approach can support patients to actively participate in their care and this can in turn improve self-management and health outcomes.73,74

This review was subject to certain limitations. The search strategy used to identify potential studies was limited to specific search terms and databases, and this may have affected the studies identified. Also, unpublished, non-English language and gray literature were not included in this review. Due to the heterogeneity of study designs and the variation in contexts and study populations, a meaningful pooling of data could not be performed.

A strength of this scoping review was the in-depth analysis of the studies in terms of their design, findings and implications for research and clinical practice. These findings provide important guidance for researchers and health care professionals interested in using drawings to gain a deeper understanding of patients’ perceptions and experiences of their illness and treatment. The potential advantages of drawing provide valuable pointers for future research directions. For example, further development of the methodology of drawing as a research technique in health, use of longitudinal study designs to incorporate drawing as an outcome measure, and replication of the studies included in this review in a range of medical conditions could be considered in subsequent studies.

Conclusion
This scoping review calls attention to drawing as a novel way to explore patients’ perceptions and experiences of their illness and treatment. The findings of this review form a basis to justify future research into drawing as a research, diagnostic and therapeutic tool in health, with benefits for the patient, researcher and health care professional alike.
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Supplementary material
Below outlines the search strategy for Medline (with MeSH headings). This was adapted according to the indexing systems of the other databases searched.

# Searches
1 exp Attitude to Health/ or illness perceptions.mp.
2 illness representations.mp.
3 health beliefs.mp. or exp Health Knowledge, Attitudes, Practice/
4 beliefs about medicines.mp.
5 beliefs about treatment.mp.
6 patient experience.mp.
7 drawings.mp.
8 exp Art Therapy/
9 visual arts.mp.
10 visual representations.mp.
11 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6
12 7 or 8 or 9 or 10
13 11 and 12
14 limit 13 to English language