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Dear editor

As fellow medical students we read with great interest the article by Mandan et al proposing a dedicated hematology rotation. The authors highlight a gap in clinical hematology teaching, particularly compared to “conventional rotations”. Their suggestion has merits and we agree with most of the points raised; however, propose instead for us to build on current teaching as opposed to establishing a new rotation.

The integration of theoretical and practical learning was concluded to be beneficial to both teacher and student by Wrenn and Wrenn. In the original article it is mentioned that “clinical experience allows students to comprehend and apply this knowledge in practice, enabling the all-important integration of their learning”. As we agree that hematology overlaps with other specialties and therefore requires a thorough understanding, we value how a clinical attachment would be useful to solidify lecture-based teaching.

Despite the concept of this rotation being justifiable, we believe there are barriers to this being achieved:

First, would there not be an obligation to do the same for other specialties which you could argue warrant more attention? For example, the statistical brief by Elixhauser and Owens found the top reason for hospitalizations through the emergency department for pneumonia. There is currently however no compulsory respiratory medicine attachment.

Second, the article mentions that “conventional rotations such as cardiology, surgery, and psychiatry” are “offered”, but a hematology rotation is not “mandatory”. Although these “conventional rotations” are available, the implication that they are mandatory is not true. Instead, it would seem as if “conventional” rotation delegation is based more on available resources and randomization.

Even with competent teaching, studying a medical degree involves self-directed learning and motivation. This is in order to fill inevitable gaps in knowledge that form due to the complexity of the subject studied. These “gaps” would be present regardless of a rotation in hematology, as no two medical students will see the same patients.

Third, it is suggested that students should have protected time with specialists such as pediatric hematologists. Currently 1.6% of registered consultants are hematologists and fewer are super-specialized. Finding this protected time would be challenging if it is compulsory for ~7000 medical students enrolling each year. Also, do the authors suggest...
that students should have protected time with similar specialists in other departments? If not, this appears disproportional.

It would perhaps be more modern to shift the curriculum away from hospital specialist learning to primary care, considering the Department of Health’s target for 50% of medical graduates to become general practitioners.6

Fourth, we can envision how junior doctors may become better equipped to order appropriate investigations for patients and understand results if pathology is more integrated into clinical teaching. The authors go on to say that students should spend more time in laboratories during attachments to acquire the laboratory skills required by foundation doctors.1 A factor that may need to be taken into consideration is whether the university encourages BSc intercalation. Although, not all BSc courses contain laboratory-based components, students may have already acquired these skills and thus more compulsory laboratory time may seem less fruitful than clerking patients and improving their clinical skills.

Finally, medical school pathology courses already cover a vast amount of clinical hematology. This builds well on previous preclinical hematology teaching and cases students have come across in their existing clinical rotations.

Integrated teaching can be achieved by assigning assessed tasks for students, to complete during their rotations. For example, asking students to analyze a patient’s blood and pathology results, and to link these to their overall clinical picture.

Students could discuss their reports in multidisciplinary team style meetings with peers, where they could learn from each other and receive guidance from a supervisor.

This form of self-directed study gives students the opportunity to research the aforementioned “gaps”, particularly regarding more specialist areas, for example, pediatric–hematology.

In conclusion, we agree with Mandan et al’s opinion1 that a hematology placement would provide students with teaching in an area that is currently neglected.

However, with the limited number of hematology departments, the difficulty of fitting in another attachment, and the presence of competing specialties we feel this is not the best option. Instead, by improving the integration of current methods and building on these, we believe that students can gain a better understanding of not only hematology but also how it fits into a complex clinical picture.
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