Intellijoint HIP®: a 3D mini-optical navigation tool for improving intraoperative accuracy during total hip arthroplasty
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Abstract: Total hip arthroplasty is an increasingly common procedure used to address degenerative changes in the hip joint due to osteoarthritis. Although generally associated with good results, among the challenges associated with hip arthroplasty are accurate measurement of biomechanical parameters such as leg length, offset, and cup position, discrepancies of which can lead to significant long-term consequences such as pain, instability, neurological deficits, dislocation, and revision surgery, as well as patient dissatisfaction and, increasingly, litigation. Current methods of managing these parameters are limited, with manual methods such as outriggers or calipers being used to monitor leg length; however, these are susceptible to small intraoperative changes in patient position and are therefore inaccurate. Computer-assisted navigation, while offering improved accuracy, is expensive and cumbersome, in addition to adding significantly to procedural time. To address the technological gap in hip arthroplasty, a new intraoperative navigation tool (Intellijoint HIP®) has been developed. This innovative, 3D mini-optical navigation tool provides real-time, intraoperative data on leg length, offset, and cup position and allows for improved accuracy and precision in component selection and alignment. Benchtop and simulated clinical use testing have demonstrated excellent accuracy, with the navigation tool able to measure leg length and offset to within <1 mm and cup position to within <1° in both anteversion and inclination. This study describes the indications, procedural technique, and early accuracy results of the Intellijoint HIP surgical tool, which offers an accurate and easy-to-use option for hip surgeons to manage leg length, offset, and cup position intraoperatively.
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Introduction

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is a common and effective procedure for relieving pain and dysfunction associated with advanced osteoarthritis and other conditions adversely affecting mobility at the hip joint. Although generally associated with positive results and long-term improvement in patient quality of life,1,2 THA is nonetheless associated with adverse events and postprocedural deficits related to leg length discrepancies (LLDs), as well as discrepancies in offset and cup placement.3–5 Post-THA errors in these parameters are associated with gait alteration,6–9 low back pain,10 and patient dissatisfaction.11 Such discrepancies often necessitate corrective procedures and are increasingly leading to medical malpractice litigation.12,13

Maintaining accuracy in postsurgical leg length, offset, and cup placement during THA is difficult and subject to error. The sensitivity of these factors is highlighted in studies that have shown that a change of as little as 5° of leg flexion or abduction can induce alterations in leg length of up to 8 mm.14 Similarly, inaccurate sizing of implants...
can alter global and femoral offset, affecting abductor strength, range of motion, and overall physical function,\textsuperscript{15–18} as well as inducing long-term pain.\textsuperscript{19} Compounding the biomechanical issues associated with inaccurate leg length are the costs associated with these deficits. Per-revision costs are significant, having been reported to reach $54,000 in the US\textsuperscript{20,21} and £21,000 in the UK.\textsuperscript{22} Methods for accurately sizing hip implants during THA are therefore valuable in both improving patient satisfaction and decreasing the overall costs to the health care system.

Traditional freehand techniques of managing intraoperative parameters rely on surgeon experience and tissue tensioning to manually place components accurately. These methods, however, rely heavily on surgeon experience and are subject to inaccuracies associated with patient movement or orientation changes during surgery.\textsuperscript{14} Mechanical methods of minimizing postsurgical discrepancies, such as usage of outrigger or caliper devices, have been developed; however, these methods generally address only leg length and provide poor feedback regarding offset and center of rotation, therefore providing insufficient data to accurately achieve appropriate postsurgical leg length.

Traditional computer-assisted navigation methods provide more data regarding leg length, offset, and center of rotation but are limited by their cumbersome nature and the large capital costs associated with the systems.\textsuperscript{23,24} Originally pioneered to assist with neurosurgery, these systems require substantial alteration of the surgical space to accommodate their components and are associated with disruption of surgical workflow and prolonged surgical time.\textsuperscript{25} Currently used in only 1%-3% of procedures, these systems provide important information but do so in a manner that is neither cost-effective nor minimally intrusive.\textsuperscript{26,27}

The Intellijoint HIP\textsuperscript{\textregistered} 3D mini-optical navigation tool (Intellijoint Surgical, Inc, Waterloo, ON, Canada) is a new intraoperative guidance tool that provides surgeons with real-time data on leg length, offset, and cup position, thereby allowing for confident selection of the correct implant in order to ensure appropriate postsurgical biomechanics. With miniature size and without altering surgical workflow or techniques, the device enables faster decision making (fewer trial reductions) and minimizes postprocedural LLDs. This miniature, novel system offers the accuracy of traditional computer-assisted navigation systems with the ease of use of manual methods. The purpose of this study is to introduce the device, to discuss indications for use and contraindications, describe the surgical procedure, as well as summarize the validation testing of the device.

Materials and methods

Device description

The Intellijoint HIP 3D mini-optical navigation tool is a miniature, surgeon-controlled surgical guidance tool for intraoperative positional measurements during THA, which has received initial approval from both Health Canada and the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in North America. This novel navigation tool uses infrared optical technology and integrated microelectronics to measure changes in leg length, offset and hip center, as well as native acetabular position and cup position (anteversion and inclination), which are measured relative to Murray’s radiographic definitions.\textsuperscript{28} The system generates positional measurements between a camera (mounted on the iliac crest) and a tracker that can be mounted on various objects (eg, the femur, the acetabular cup impactor) during surgery to measure their positions (Figure 1). The camera detects the tracker within its field of view and communicates with a workstation, which executes the application software to guide the device workflow and display measurements to the surgeon (Figure 2). Quick connect magnetic mounts allow the camera and the tracker to be removed and reattached to their respective platforms as needed throughout the procedure.

Indications for use and procedural technique

The Intellijoint HIP navigation tool is indicated for patients undergoing orthopedic surgical procedures, specifically THA, using both traditional and minimally invasive techniques. It is incorporated into the normal surgical workflow without

Figure 1 The Intellijoint HIP 3D mini-optical navigation tool.

Notes: The camera (A), enclosed in its sterile drape, is attached to the pelvic platform (B) via 2 screws (C). The tracker (D) is magnetically attached to the femur platform (E). The camera captures the movements of the tracker when registering the native orientation or while trialing the implant components and thereafter relays the information to a workstation for review by the surgeon.
Following collection of baseline measurements, the camera and tracker are detached from the magnetic pelvic and femur platforms, respectively. Hip dislocation, femoral head removal as well as acetabular and femoral preparation are accomplished as per surgical routine, without need for removal of the pelvic or femur platforms. Prior to acetabular preparation and cup implantation, a probe, fitted with the tracker, may be used to define a reference plane on the native acetabulum. Once defined, the tracker can be attached to the cup impactor, via an impactor adaptor, to provide real-time cup position information to assist in the implantation of the cup. Hip reduction following implantation allows for capture of the new center of rotation of the hip, registered through circumduction of the new artificial joint. The tracker can also be returned to the femoral platform to provide real-time information regarding leg length and offset to assist the surgeon in the proper selection of femoral implant sizes. All measurements from each trial are displayed in real time for the surgeon’s reference and can be saved on the workstation at any time throughout the procedure (Figure 3).

Indications and contraindications

The Intellijoint HIP navigation tool is indicated for use in orthopedic surgery procedures where the use of stereotactic surgical techniques is considered safe and effective, such as THA and minimally invasive hip arthroplasty. It is configured for use in THA performed via lateral and posterolateral surgical approaches using both traditional and minimally invasive techniques.

Specific contraindications include patients with severe osteoporosis, hip dislocation, or hip fracture. Surgeon discretion is advised under the following circumstances:

- poor bone quality,
- trochanteric osteotomy,
- suspected or actual infection,
- existing implanted hardware, or
- severe deformities of the femoral or pelvic bone.

Validation testing

The accuracy of the Intellijoint HIP navigation tool has been evaluated in benchtop and simulated clinical use settings. Benchtop testing used precision phantoms developed to provide an accurate reference value for positional measurements, while simulated clinical use testing used Sawbones® phantoms and standard hip arthroplasty components.
Benchtop testing

Two precision benchtop phantoms (Thorlabs, Newton, New Jersey) were developed to provide accurate reference values for positional measurements: 1) To evaluate cup position (inclination and anteversion) and, 2) To evaluate leg length and offset measurements. All measurements were completed by a single observer in triplicate. Intraobserver reliability was evaluated using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).29 For acetabular cup position testing, the phantom used angular positioning stages and precision fixtures to effect precise cup angles (Figure 4). Vertical and horizontal rotation stages were set at discrete angles that corresponded to impactor inclination and anteversion angles commonly observed during surgery. These angles were confirmed prior to testing using a calibrated electronic level and served as the target angles for validation testing. During testing, a precision flat plate and v-channel, mounted on the rotation stages, established the precise acetabular/implant and impactor planes, respectively. Testing involved using the probe function of the Intellijoint HIP navigation tool to localize 3 points on the precision flat plate to determine the acetabular reference plane and the acetabular implant face. The simulated cup impactor was then inserted into the v-channel to determine cup angle. Each measurement was performed bilaterally to simulate both left and right hips. A variety of inclination and anteversion angles were used to simulate cup positions likely to be encountered during surgery. Measurements were obtained for both the native acetabulum and the simulated cup and compared with the target values.

Leg length and offset were tested using a separate phantom, used to simulate the pelvis and femur (Figure 5). Three precise micrometer positioning stages, verified using calibrated dial indicators, were mounted orthogonally to each other on each of the femoral and pelvic portions of the phantom. Acetabular micrometer positioning stages are used to generate hip center of rotation changes, while femoral positioning stages are used to generate leg length, offset, and anteroposterior distance changes. During testing, the
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camera was mounted on the pelvic portion of the phantom and the tracker was mounted on the femoral component. The phantom was set to 3 different configurations representing small, medium, and large pelvis sizes.\textsuperscript{30,31}

**Simulated clinical use testing**

Simulated clinical use testing was performed using Sawbones models, the anatomical phantom geometry of which was fully characterized prior to testing. Reference measurements were made prior to surgeon testing.

Five users, all licensed orthopedic surgeons, executed the normal device workflow on the anatomical phantom using standard hip arthroplasty instruments. Measurements of acetabular cup position (inclination and anteversion), leg length, and offset were recorded by the device and compared with the reference values calculated prior to testing. Each surgeon provided 8 separate measurements of each of the parameters, measuring parameters in both left and right hips for each of 4 commonly used femoral head components: –3.5, –5, 0, and 7 mm.

**Statistical analysis**

Alpha was set at 0.05 a priori for all statistical comparisons. Comparisons of mean values were performed using independent-samples \( t \)-tests. The values are presented as mean or mean (standard deviation [SD]).

**Results**

**Benchtop testing**

Intraobserver reliability was excellent (ICC =0.99). Inclination and anteversion reference values calculated prior to testing were 39.9° (SD: 16.1°) and 0° (SD: 14.5°), respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between the reference inclination angle and the inclination angles measured by the navigation tool for either the native acetabulum inclination angle (mean: 40.4° [SD: 16.1°]; \( p=0.83 \)) or the simulated artificial cup inclination angle (mean: 40.9° [SD: 16.2°]; \( p=0.70 \)). The mean difference between the reference inclination angle and that of the native acetabulum as measured by the navigation tool was 0.6° (SD: 0.3°), while the mean difference between the reference inclination and the simulated artificial cup angle was 1.0° (SD: 0.4°).

The mean anteversion angles measured for the native acetabulum (–0.1° [SD: 14.4°]) and the simulated cup (–0.02° [SD: 14.4°]) were not statistically different from the calculated reference anteversion (0° [SD: 14.5°]; \( p=0.98 \) and 0.99, respectively). The mean difference between the reference anteversion angle and the native acetabulum anteversion angle measured by the navigation tool was 0.4° (SD: 0.3°), while the mean difference between the reference anteversion and that of the simulated artificial cup was 0.6° (SD: 0.3°).

The mean difference in leg length measurements between the reference value and navigation tool measurements was 1.3 mm (SD: 1.3 mm), while for the offset, the mean difference was 0.5 mm (SD: 0.5 mm).

**Simulated clinical use testing**

The mean difference between the reference acetabular inclination angle and angles measured by the navigation tool are summarized in Table 1. Intellijoint HIP was able to measure both inclination and anteversion to within 0.8° of the native acetabulum.

Leg length was measured by the navigation tool to within 0.8 mm (SD: 0.7 mm) of reference values, while the offset was measured to within 0.5 mm (SD: 0.4 mm) of reference values. There was no statistically significant difference between the reference value for the mean leg length differential (2.0 mm [SD: 3.9 mm]) and mean surgeon values (1.2 mm [SD: 3.6 mm]; \( p=0.66 \)). Mean reference value for the offset was 6.9 mm (SD: 4.5 mm), while surgeon test values were a mean of 6.4 mm and SD of 4.4 mm (\( p=0.94 \)).

![Figure 5 Benchtop phantom configured for leg length and offset testing. Note: The camera (A) captures the position of the tracker (B) as it is moved about the simulated hip joint (arrow).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Inclination</th>
<th>Anteversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Native acetabulum</td>
<td>Acetabular cup component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean difference</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

THA is a common orthopedic procedure associated with good results and a proven record of pain relief and restoration of mobility; however, up to 30% of patients report dissatisfaction following the procedure, often due to postsurgical discrepancies in leg length and offset.\(^3\)\(^4\) Additionally, errors in cup position can also predispose the patient to dislocation, thus increasing the rate of revision surgery.\(^3\)\(^2\) The lack of a cost-effective, easy-to-use, and accurate device for measuring biomechanical parameters during THA has been referred to as a procedural gap,\(^3\)\(^1\) one which exposes a substantial number of patients to inaccuracies in leg length, offset, and cup position. The Intellijoint HIP system represents a viable, reliable device for accurately determining these parameters intraoperatively and addresses the so-called procedural gap by offering a new, easy-to-use method for ensuring accuracy during THA.

Current methods of monitoring leg length, offset, and cup position are deficient. Traditional freehand methods are associated with LLDs of between 4 and 7 mm.\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^6\)\(^6\) discrepancies that are clinically significant, as postsurgical data indicate that patients can perceive an LLD of as little as 5 mm, and such a discrepancy can be associated with increased pain and decreased Oxford scores.\(^3\)\(^7\) Mechanical devices such as outriggers or calipers improve outcomes but still fall below the standard for success. Woolson et al\(^1\)\(^8\) used a caliper on the iliac crest to manage LLD and reported that 86% of patients achieved satisfactory results, although their threshold for success was a 6-mm LLD. Ranawat and Rodriguez\(^1\)\(^9\) used a nail on the ischium as a reference point and reported that 87% of patients achieved successful results, but they too used a threshold of 6 mm. Jasty et al\(^3\)\(^9\) reported similar results with a caliper fixed to the iliac wing, using 5 mm as their threshold for success. While these mechanical methods provide improved results relative to freehand techniques, they are unable to provide results below the threshold of patient perception and do not address offset or cup position. By providing improved accuracy and decreasing the likelihood of a perceptible LLD, Intellijoint HIP has the potential to significantly improve patient-related outcomes and satisfaction relative to current manual methods, in addition to decreasing the need for postsurgical interventions such as heel lifts or rehabilitation.

Traditional computer-assisted surgery (CAS) navigation systems improve on accuracy and decrease the likelihood of detrimental LLDs; however, they do so at a significant cost and disruption of the normal surgical workflow. Lass et al\(^4\)\(^0\) compared CAS with freehand techniques and found that navigation was associated with a significant improvement in postsurgical anteversion and inclination in cup position but added 18.1 minutes (\(p=0.01\)) to the procedural time. Manzotti et al\(^4\)\(^1\) found similar results, noting improved accuracy but also a statistically significant increase in surgical duration with navigation (89.39 vs 73.17 minutes with freehand; \(p<0.001\)). In their study, a mean LLD of 5.06 mm in the navigation group was observed, as compared to 7.64 mm in the freehand group (\(p<0.0043\)). They also reported 5 patients with an LLD >10 mm in the navigation group, as opposed to 13 patients with LLD in the freehand group.

The accuracy findings mirror those of traditional navigation systems and show that the Intellijoint HIP surgical tool is effective at measuring leg length, offset, and cup position during THA. Benchtop evaluation of CAS systems has shown the ability of Intellijoint HIP to measure leg length to within 0.9–1.3 mm and offset to within 1.0–1.2 mm.\(^4\)\(^2\)\(^4\) The observation that the Intellijoint HIP navigation tool is able to provide equally accurate measurements in a system that is both user-friendly and associated with a significantly lower cost burden is an important finding. Indeed, the observations indicate that the navigation tool provides superior accuracy, measuring leg length and offset accurately to within <1 mm and cup position to within 1° in simulated use on Sawbones phantoms. This accuracy in a portable, easy-to-use device has the potential to provide surgeons with a simple and reliable method of improving outcomes in THA.

Clinical studies

Intellijoint HIP is currently the subject of clinical studies evaluating its safety and accuracy. The results from the first cohort of patients treated using this device demonstrated excellent accuracy when compared with radiographic measurements, with Intellijoint HIP able to measure changes in leg length to within 0.6 mm of radiographic values.\(^4\)\(^5\) Current studies include a randomized, controlled trial (clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT02095093) comparing the leg length and offset accuracy of Intellijoint HIP with a mechanical outrigger as well as a prospective, case–control study to evaluate the accuracy of the navigation tool in measuring cup position, leg length and offset.

Conclusion

The Intellijoint HIP 3D mini-optical navigation tool provides accurate data to surgeons in real time, allowing for improved accuracy and sizing of components during THA. While further research is needed to evaluate the potential of this innovative surgical tool, early results suggest that Intellijoint HIP
provides a viable option for surgeons to improve accuracy during THA without altering surgical workflow or increasing procedural time.
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