Sildenafil potentiates the antitumor activity of cisplatin by induction of apoptosis and inhibition of proliferation and angiogenesis
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Abstract: Sildenafil is the first phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor used for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. However, recent studies have been suggesting an antitumor effect of sildenafil. The current study assessed the aforementioned activity of sildenafil in vivo and in vitro in solid-tumor-bearing mice and in a human cell line MCF-7, respectively. Moreover, we investigated the impact of sildenafil on cisplatin antitumor activity. The solid tumor was induced by inoculation of Ehrlich ascites carcinoma cells in female mice. The tumor-bearing mice were assigned randomly to control (saline), sildenafil (sildenafil 5 mg/kg/d, PO daily for 15 days), cisplatin (cisplatin 7.5 mg/kg, IP once on the 12th day of Ehrlich ascites carcinoma inoculation), and combination therapy (cisplatin and sildenafil) groups. The tumor volume was measured at the end of the treatment period along with the following parameters: angiogenin, vascular endothelial growth factor, tumor necrosis factor-α, Ki-67, caspase-3, DNA-flow cytometry analysis, and histopathological examination. The study results showed that sildenafil has significantly decreased the tumor volume by 30.4%, angiogenin and tumor necrosis factor-α contents, as well as vascular endothelial growth factor expression. Additionally, caspase-3 level significantly increased with sildenafil treatment, whereas Ki-67 expression failed to show any significant changes. Furthermore, the cell cycle analysis revealed that sildenafil was capable of improving the category of tumor activity from moderate to low proliferative. Sildenafil induced necrosis in the tumor. Moreover, the drug of interest showed cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 in vitro as well as potentiated cisplatin antitumor activity in vivo and in vitro. These findings shed light on the antitumor activity of sildenafil and its possible impact on potentiating the antitumor effect of conventional chemotherapeutic agents such as cisplatin. These effects might be related to antiangiogenic, antiproliferative, and apoptotic activities of sildenafil.
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Introduction

Cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase enzymes (PDEs) are a large superfamily of enzymes that catalyze the hydrolytic breakdown of cyclic nucleotides cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) that regulate various biological processes such as cell growth, energy homeostasis, muscle relaxation, and neuronal signaling.1 There are at least eleven PDE isozyme family members having different substrate specificity, regulatory properties, tissue localization, and inhibitor sensitivity. PDE-5, -6, and -9 are selective for cGMP and PDE-4, -7, and -8 are cAMP selective, whereas PDE-1, -2, -3, -10, and -11 are dual substrate-degrading isozymes.2

Altered expression and/or activity of PDEs have been reported in various carcinomas and hematological malignancies.1 PDE-5 has been shown to be overexpressed...
in multiple human carcinomas including breast cancers, colon adenocarcinoma, bladder squamous carcinoma, and lung cancers that have significantly lower cGMP levels than normal cells. This implies that selective inhibition of PDE-5 may have antineoplastic effects and may increase the specificity of a given chemotherapeutic agent.

Sildenafil, a PDE-5 inhibitor, was initially developed as an antihypertensive agent. Due to the unexpected side effect of improvement of penile erection, in 1998, sildenafil citrate (Viagra®; Pfizer, Inc., New York, NY, USA) became the first PDE-5 inhibitor for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. Recently, sildenafil has shown benefits in experimental cardiac dysfunctions, tissue injury, cystic fibrosis, and ischemia/reperfusion injury. Additionally, sildenafil as well as other PDE-5 inhibitors were shown to induce apoptosis in different human tumors, such as colon carcinoma and chronic lymphocyte leukemia. Cisplatin is a cytotoxic agent commonly used in the treatment of solid tumors. It exerts its cytotoxic effect via formation of cisplatin–DNA adducts, which can ultimately result in cell cycle arrest and induction of apoptosis. However, its clinical usefulness is limited by the development of serious adverse reactions, including nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity, especially in high doses. Hence, the search has unfailingly continued to identify new antitumor agents or combinations that would be more potent and preferably exhibit less health hazards. The current study aimed at addressing the antitumor activity of the PDE-5 inhibitor sildenafil alone and in combination with cisplatin in Ehrlich solid-tumor-bearing mice. In addition, cytotoxicity of sildenafil against human mammary adenocarcinoma MCF-7 was assessed.

Materials and methods

Animals

Swiss albino female mice, 8 weeks old and weighing 20±2 g, were housed in the animal house, October University for Modern science and Arts (MSA), Egypt, under constant conditions (12 hours light, dark regimen, standard chow pellet food and water ad libitum). Procedures involving animals and their care were conducted in accordance with National Institute of Health regulations of animals care described in the “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” and with the approval of the local research ethical committee of Faculty of Pharmacy, October University for Modern Sciences and Arts, Egypt.

Experimental design

Ehrlich ascites carcinoma (EAC) cells were implanted subcutaneously into the left hind legs of the mice by inoculation of 0.2 mL of 2×10⁶ tumor cells in physiological solution. Tumor-bearing mice were randomly divided into four groups (n=8). The control group comprised mice receiving saline only throughout the experiment. The sildenafil group comprised mice receiving oral sildenafil (5 mg/kg/d) dissolved in water for 15 consecutive days starting from 1st day of EAC cells inoculation. The cisplatin group comprised mice receiving a single intraperitoneal dose of cisplatin (7.5 mg/kg) on the 12th day after EAC cells inoculation. The combination therapy group comprised mice that were co-treated with the combination of cisplatin and sildenafil.

Assessment of tumor growth

The tumor volume was estimated by two-dimensional measurements using Vernier caliper. The measurements were taken on the day 15 after tumor implantation, the same day the mice were sacrificed. The tumor volume was calculated using the formula, 

\[ V = 0.4\times a(b)^2 \]

where (a) and (b) are the longer and shorter diameters of the tumor, respectively.

Determination of tumor content of angiogenin and tumor necrosis factor-alpha

For the assessment of tumor content of angiogenin and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), all tumors were punched out immediately after the mice were sacrificed. A part of each tumor was weighed and homogenized in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to prepare a 10% homogenate. Homogenates were centrifuged at 2,500 rpm for 15 minutes, and the supernatants were stored at −80°C. Quantitative measurements of tissue angiogenin and TNF-α were carried out by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay technique, using mouse-specific kits purchased from BioVendor (Gunma, Japan) according to the protocol provided with each kit.

Histopathological examination of the tumor

Representative parts of each tumor were obtained and preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin for histopathological evaluation. Tissues were embedded in paraffin blocks, and 4 μm thickness sections were sliced from the prepared paraffin blocks and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for assessment of necrosis. The necrotic area appeared as nonviable homogenous structureless material with degenerated or karyorrhectic nuclei.

Immunostaining for VEGF, Ki-67, and caspase-3 in the tumor

Immunohistochemical analysis of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), Ki-67, and caspase-3 expression was
performed on 4 μm thick serial tissue sections obtained from the prepared formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks and mounted on positive charged slides; manual staining was done according to the manufacturer’s instructions for each marker. All primary antibodies, clones, and dilutions are listed in Table 1.

Immunohistochemical evaluation of staining was done semiquantitatively using H-score.\(^{29}\) H-score combines both intensity and percentage of positive cells in each section. The intensity of immunostaining was assessed as follows: 1 – mild, 2 – moderate, and 3 – strong. H-score was calculated by multiplying the intensity by the percentage of positive cells, creating a range of possible scores of 0–300.

**DNA flow cytometry analysis**
The tumor samples were minced with a scalpel in a cold PBS solution. The samples were filtered through a 70 μm nylon mesh. After washing with PBS solution and centrifugation, cell cycle analysis was carried out using flow cytometer (Becto Dickson, BD, FACScalbur, USA) according to the method of Smets et al.\(^{29}\)

**Calculation of inhibitory concentration 50% of sildenafil**
Potential cytotoxicities of sildenafil and cisplatin were tested using the method of Skehan et al.\(^{10}\) Human mammary adenocarcinoma cells (MCF-7) were plated in 96 multiwell plates (10\(^4\) cells/well) for 24 hours before treatment with the compounds to allow attachment of cell to the wall of the plate. Different concentrations (5, 12.5, 25, and 50 μg/mL) were prepared for each drug and added to the cell monolayer (performed in triplicate). Monolayer cells were incubated with the compounds for 48 hours at 37°C and in an atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\). After 48 hours, cells were fixed with trichloroacetic acid, washed, and stained with sulforhodamine B stain. Excess stain was washed with acetic acid while attached stain was recovered with Tris–ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid buffer. Color intensity was measured using enzyme-linked immunosorben assay. The relation between surviving fraction and drug concentrations was plotted to get the survival curve of the tumor cell line after treatment with the specific compounds. The curves were fit using linear equation, and inhibitory concentration 50% (IC\(_{50}\)) dose of the drug which reduces survival to 50%) was calculated.

**Statistical analysis**
Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of mean. Comparisons between different groups were carried out by one-way analysis of variance followed by the Tukey–Kramer test. Correlations between different parameters were evaluated by Pearson’s correlation (r). The level of significance was set at probability P<0.05. The Graphpad Software Instat (version 6; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) was used to carry out the statistical analysis.

**Results**

**Effect of sildenafil and/or cisplatin on tumor volume**
Sildenafil and cisplatin treatment resulted in significant decrease (30.4% and 58.8%, respectively) in the tumor volume compared with control group (P<0.05; Figure 1).

A further decrease in the tumor volume was observed in the cisplatin and sildenafil combination group compared with the corresponding single-treatment group as well as control group (P<0.05; Figure 1).

**Effect of sildenafil and/or cisplatin on tumor content of angiogenin and TNF-α**
Angiogenin and TNF-α levels in tumor tissue were significantly decreased (63.2% and 58.8%, respectively) in mice treated with sildenafil compared with control group (P<0.05; Table 2). Cisplatin treatment significantly decreased the amount angiogenin and TNF-α by 50.5% and 39.1%, respectively, compared with control group (P<0.05; Table 2).

---

**Table 1** Primary antibodies, clones, and dilutions for immunostaining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Cellular location</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Ab46154</td>
<td>1/100</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67</td>
<td>Ab15580</td>
<td>1/100</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-3</td>
<td>Ab2302</td>
<td>1/50</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Abcam, Cambridge, UK.

**Abbreviation:** VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
Table 2 Effect of sildenafil and/or cisplatin on angiogenin and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Sildenafil</th>
<th>Cisplatin</th>
<th>Cisplatin + sildenafil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angiogenin (ng/mg)</td>
<td>553.8±29.6</td>
<td>203.8±11.1*</td>
<td>274.3±18.2*</td>
<td>57.4±12.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (ng/mg)</td>
<td>61.4±2.7</td>
<td>25.3±1.22*</td>
<td>37.4±1.4*</td>
<td>19.6±1.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as mean ± standard error of mean (n=8). *Significant difference from control group (P<0.05); **Significant difference from sildenafil group (P<0.05); ***Significant difference from cisplatin group (P<0.05).

A significant decrease in angiogenin and TNF-α contents was observed in the cisplatin and sildenafil combination group compared with the group that received cisplatin alone (P<0.05; Table 2).

Effect of sildenafil and/or cisplatin on expression of VEGF, Ki-67, and caspase-3 in solid tumor

Immunohistochemical study revealed that the expression of VEGF, evaluated by H-score, was the highest (262.5±8.81) in tumors excised from mice that received no therapy. Levels of its expression were significantly reduced in tumors treated with sildenafil and cisplatin to 235±7.32 and 215±8.66, respectively. The lowest level of VEGF expression was found in tumors treated with combination therapy (176.25±8.01); this result was statistically significant when compared with cisplatin group (Table 3; Figure 2).

Ki-67 showed the lowest expression in tumors excised from mice that received the combination therapy (138.75±6.39), whereas the highest expression was detected in tumors excised from mice that received no therapy (250±12.96) (Table 3; Figure 3). Cisplatin significantly attenuated the expression of Ki-67 (191.25±6.39), whereas sildenafil did not induce any significant changes in Ki-67 expression (241±11.87) compared with control group (Table 3; Figure 3). However, combination therapy of sildenafil and cisplatin showed significant attenuation in Ki-67 expression compared with cisplatin group (Table 3; Figure 3).

In contrast to VEGF and Ki-67, caspase-3, the apoptotic marker, was minimally expressed in control group (11.9±1.88); its level of expression was significantly increased in groups that received sildenafil, cisplatin, and combination therapy (45±6.81, 88.75±9.9, and 136.25±12.9, respectively) (Table 3; Figure 4). The combination therapy resulted in significantly higher caspase-3 expression compared with cisplatin group.

Effect of sildenafil and/or cisplatin on histopathological features of Ehrlich solid tumor

Histopathological examination of sections from solid tumor revealed typical malignant features including sheets of malignant cells infiltrating adjacent muscular tissue. The malignant cells showed pleomorphism, hyperchromatism, and mitotic activity. Assessment of the nonviable necrotic tissue revealed that tumors from the control group showed minimal areas of necrosis compared with those taken from other groups. The widest areas of necrosis were seen in tumors from mice that received combination therapy (Figure 5).

Effect of sildenafil and/or cisplatin on the cell cycle

DNA flow cytometry was used to study the effect of sildenafil, cisplatin, and their combination on the cell cycle distribution of tumor induced by inoculation of Ehrlich cell line in mice. Sildenafil and cisplatin produced nonsignificant changes in the nonproliferating cell fraction (G0/G1 phase), whereas the combination of both drugs significantly decreased G0/G1 phase by 40.1% compared with the control group (Figure 6A–E). Sildenafil, cisplatin, and combination therapy markedly decreased the S-phase cell population by 29.6%, 69.6%, and 73%, respectively, compared with the control group (Figure 6A–E). No apparent change in the

Table 3 Effect of sildenafil and/or cisplatin on VEGF, Ki-67, and caspase-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Sildenafil</th>
<th>Cisplatin</th>
<th>Cisplatin + sildenafil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>262.5±8.81</td>
<td>235±7.32*</td>
<td>215±8.66*</td>
<td>176.25±8.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67</td>
<td>250±12.96</td>
<td>241.25±11.87</td>
<td>191.25±6.39*</td>
<td>138.75±6.39*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-3</td>
<td>11.9±1.88</td>
<td>45±6.81*</td>
<td>88.75±9.9*</td>
<td>136.25±12.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as mean ± standard error of mean (n=8). *Significant difference from control group (P<0.05); **Significant difference from sildenafil group (P<0.05); ***Significant difference from cisplatin group (P<0.05).

Abbreviation: VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
Figure 2 Cytoplasmic VEGF staining in tumor sections from different groups.
Notes: VEGF is strongly positive in the cytoplasm of both control (A) and sildenafil-treated tumors (B). VEGF positivity is seen in some of the cells in tumors treated with cisplatin (C), while it is markedly reduced in tumors treated with the combination therapy (D). Magnification, ×200. Cytoplasmic positivity is shown by arrows.
Abbreviation: VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

Figure 3 Ki-67 nuclear expression in different groups.
Notes: Most of the nuclei showed Ki-67 nuclear immunoreactivity in control and sildenafil-treated tumors (A and B). Nuclei of cisplatin-treated tumors and tumors treated with the combination therapy showed markedly reduced nuclear Ki-67 positivity (C and D). Magnification, (A and B) ×200; (C) ×400; and (D) ×100. Nuclear positivity is shown by arrows.
A G₂/M-phase cell population was observed with sildenafil single treatment (Figure 6A–E), whereas cisplatin and combination therapy markedly decreased the G₂/M-phase cell population by 63.2% and 56.6%, respectively, compared with the control group (Figure 6A–E). Sildenafil and cisplatin treatment resulted in significant accumulation of dead cells in sub-G₁ phase by 58.5% and 65%, respectively, whereas the combination of cisplatin and sildenafil showed more accumulation of the dead cells in sub-G₁ phase by 72.3% compared with 48.7% in control group (Figure 6A–F). The combination therapy showed potentiated effect on sub-G₁ and G₀/G₁ phases compared with cisplatin, whereas it did not show any significant changes on S and G₂/M-phases compared with cisplatin (Figure 6A–F).

**Figure 4** Caspase-3 expression in different groups of tumors. 
Notes: Caspase-3 is negative in a tumor from the control group (A). Mild cytoplasmic caspase-3 expression is seen focally in this tumor from a group treated with cisplatin (B). Tumors from mice treated with sildenafil and the combination therapy showed moderate cytoplasmic positivity to caspase-3 (C and D). Magnification, ×200. Cytoplasmic positivity is shown by arrows.

**Figure 5** Histopathological examination of solid tumor. 
Notes: A small area of necrosis within viable tissue in tumor biopsy excised from mice received no therapy (indicated by arrow) (A), in comparison, a substantial area of necrosis is seen in tumor treated with sildenafil, cisplatin, or the combination therapy (indicated by arrow) (B). Magnification, ×40.
On the other hand, there was a significant inhibition of the proliferation index (S% phase and G2/M% phase) by 30.8%, 68.2%, and 67.9% in sildenafil, cisplatin, and combination therapy, respectively, compared with control group (Figure 6G). However, combination therapy did not significantly affect the proliferation index compared with cisplatin group (Figure 6G).

IC50 of sildenafil
To explore the cytotoxicity of sildenafil and its impact on the cytotoxicity of cisplatin, concentration–response curves of both sildenafil and cisplatin were assessed, and the curve obtained with cisplatin was compared with that obtained after combination of both drugs. Sildenafil alone produced a dose-dependent inhibition of MCF-7 cell viability with IC50...
values 14 µg/mL (Figure 7A). Furthermore, sildenafil at a concentration 14 µg/mL was able to synergistically increase the cytotoxicity of different concentrations of cisplatin (Figure 7B). The IC\textsubscript{50} of cisplatin alone was 4.43 µg/mL, whereas the IC\textsubscript{50} of cisplatin and sildenafil combination was decreased to 3.98 µg/mL.

The correlation between the tumor volume and the studied parameters
Figure 8 shows the scatter plots of the correlation between the tumor volume and the studied parameters in sildenafil-treated group. There were positive correlations between the tumor volume and angiogenin, TNF-\alpha, VEGF, and proliferation index. On the other hand, there was a negative correlation between the tumor volume and caspase-3. There was no correlation between the tumor volume and Ki-67 with sildenafil treatment.

Discussion
This study showed for the first time that sildenafil significantly decreased the volume of the solid tumor induced by inoculation of Ehrlich cell line in mice. Moreover, mice that received the combination of sildenafil and cisplatin showed more reduction in tumor volume than those treated with each drug alone. Previously, Das et al\textsuperscript{31} showed that although sildenafil alone did not affect the tumor volume and weight of prostate tumor, it potentiated the inhibitory effect of doxorubicin on both tumor volume and weight.

Because the growth of most cancers, including breast cancer, is partly dependent on the local tissue microenvironment, apoptosis and proliferation of the tumor cells were assessed. Caspase family is the key mediator involved in the apoptosis.\textsuperscript{32} As caspase-3 plays a central role in the execution phase of cell apoptosis\textsuperscript{33} and correlates with apoptotic rates in breast cancer,\textsuperscript{34,35} it is considered a potential marker for predicting the effect of chemotherapeutic agents in breast cancer.

In this study, tumor samples showed low expression of caspase-3 in untreated mice, whereas sildenafil induced significantly more expression of caspase-3 in the tumor cells. In accordance with this result, Sarfati et al\textsuperscript{18} reported that sildenafil suppressed tumor cell growth and induced apoptosis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells in vitro through activation of caspase-3. The tumor volume appeared to be negatively correlated with the caspase-3 expression level. Consequently, we hypothesized that caspase-dependent pathway was involved significantly in sildenafil-induced apoptosis of tumor cells. Furthermore, our results clarified that combination of sildenafil and cisplatin induced higher expression of caspase-3 compared with groups treated with cisplatin alone, indicating more apoptosis of the cancer cells. In line with these findings, DNA flow cytometry analysis revealed that all single and combination treatments increased the percentage of apoptotic cell (sub-G\textsubscript{1}) compared with untreated cells. Moreover, the combination therapy further increased the percentage of apoptotic cells compared with tumors treated with cisplatin only (Figure 6F). On the other hand, histopathological examination revealed that sildenafil, cisplatin, and the combination therapy produced a substantial area of necrosis in the tumor. These results indicated that both apoptosis and necrosis were involved in sildenafil-induced death of the Ehrlich tumor cells.
Proliferative activity was first assessed by DNA flow cytometry. With this method, cellular DNA content can be analyzed and cells can be quantified as percentages within the phases G₀/G₁ (presynthesis), S (DNA synthesis), and G₂/M (premitosis and mitosis) of the cell cycle. Tumor cells in the S-phase and in the G₂/M-phase were added together to give a “proliferation index”. In the present study, the proliferation index (S% phase and G₂/M% phase) was significantly decreased with sildenafil treatment compared with control group (Figure 6G). Interestingly, according to

**Figure 8** Scatter plots of significant correlation between tumor volume and caspase-3 (A), proliferation index (B), angiogenin (C), VEGF (D) and TNF-α (E) in sildenafil-treated group.

**Notes:** The solid lines represent the linear regression and correlation coefficient (r), P is the correlation significance level, and n is the total number of mice in control and sildenafil groups.

**Abbreviations:** TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-alpha; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
Von Herbay et al's classification, sildenafil improved the category of tumor from moderate proliferative activity in control tumor (proliferating index 9.5%–15%) to low proliferative activity (proliferating index <9.5%).

In a second approach for determination of the proliferative activity, various antibodies to proliferation-associated antigens have been used to determine the proliferative activity of tumors. Of these antibodies, Ki-67, expressed in cycling cells, has gained considerable popularity for growth fraction determination. One of the most prevalent analysis methods of Ki-67 antigen is the immunohistochemical evaluation.

In our study, there was a significant decrease in Ki-67 expression in the tumor tissues in cisplatin and combination therapy groups compared with control group; however, nonsignificant changes were observed in Ki-67 expression in sildenafil group compared with control group.

Based on these results, we assumed that the antiproliferative activity of sildenafil as indicated by the decrease in the proliferation index detected by flow cytometry was independent of Ki-67. This assumption is supported by the correlation study that showed that although the tumor volume of mice treated with sildenafil correlated significantly with proliferation index, it did not correlate significantly with Ki-67 expression. On the other hand, our results showed that combination of sildenafil and cisplatin significantly potentiated the decrease in Ki-67 expression compared with cisplatin.

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from preexisting ones, is a crucial step in tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis and represents a promising therapeutic target for cancer therapy. Angiogenin and VEGF are probably the most widely acknowledged initiators of angiogenesis.

Our results revealed that the tumor content of angiogenin and the expression of VEGF markedly decreased in tumor treated with sildenafil. The decrease in the angiogenin content and VEGF expression was positively correlated with the decrease in the tumor volume, which indicates that the beneficial effect of sildenafil on the tumor volume induced by Ehrlich cell line is mediated, in part, by decreasing angiogenin and VEGF levels. In addition, sildenafil potentiated the attenuating effect of cisplatin on both angiogenin and VEGF. Our data are in contrast with the observations of Zhang et al who reported that systemic administration of sildenafil increased the angiogenin content in the ischemic boundary regions in a rat model of stroke. A proangiogenic action of sildenafil was further supported by observations that this PDE-5 inhibitor promoted wound healing in dogs.

TNF-α is an inflammatory mediator that has been implicated in carcinogenesis. TNF-α has a double-edged role in angiogenesis depending on the cell type. TNF-α stimulates angiogenesis in the cornea and chorioallantoic membrane in vivo. It increases tumor growth, induces angiogenesis of diverse tumors in mice, and enhances the expression of angiogenic ligands, such as IL-8 and VEGF. On the other hand, it blocks both basal and basic fibroblast growth factor-stimulated growth in bovine aortic and capillary endothelial cells and human umbilical endothelial cells in culture.

In this study, the content of TNF-α significantly decreased in the tumor isolated from mice treated with sildenafil; the decrease in TNF-α was positively correlated with the tumor volume. In accordance with our findings, sildenafil was documented to decrease the level of TNF-α in different models of inflammatory diseases. In addition, Hube et al demonstrated that pretreatment with PDE inhibitors suppresses TNF-α expression in human adipocyte precursor cells. In addition, the combination of cisplatin and sildenafil resulted in more decrease in TNF-α compared with tumors isolated from mice treated with cisplatin alone.

Consequently, the decreased TNF-α along with the decreased angiogenin and VEGF expression reported in our study indicate the antiangiogenic potential of sildenafil that might underlie, in part, the antitumor effect of sildenafil.

In the current study, sildenafil showed a cytotoxic activity against human mammary adenocarcinoma cell line, MCF-7, with IC50 values 14 µg/mL. In addition, it synergistically potentiated the cytotoxicity of cisplatin. These results were in agreement with those of Tinsley et al who showed that PDE-5 inhibitors produce apoptosis and growth arrest in human breast cancer cells through increasing the level of cGMP. A recent study by Fallahian et al concluded that increased activation of protein kinase G by cGMP induces apoptosis and growth arrest in breast cancer MCF-7 and MDA-MB-468 cells. Furthermore, the nonspecific PDE-5 inhibitor exisulind and its analogs selectively induce the apoptosis of various human prostate, colon, and breast cancer cells, and the effect that was attributed to inhibition of PDE-5 expression.

Conclusion
These findings shed light on profound antitumor activities of sildenafil against solid tumor induced by inoculation of Ehrlich cell line in mice as well as cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 in vitro. In addition, our results revealed that sildenafil could potentiate the antitumor activity of traditional chemotherapeutic agents such as cisplatin. This effect can allow effective
reduction of cisplatin doses with subsequent less adverse reactions. Improvement of the tumor microenvironment through controlling abnormal cell proliferation, apoptosis, and angiogenesis may underlie the antitumor activity of sildenafil.
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