Poor adhesion of fentanyl transdermal patches may mimic end-of-dosage failure after 48 hours and prompt early patch replacement in hospitalized cancer pain patients
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Context: Renewal of fentanyl transdermal patch (transdermal therapeutic system [TTS]) should occur every 3 days (72 hours) according to manufacturer’s guidelines. Some studies mentioned patients reporting end-of-dose failure, and thus, some authors recommend shortening the interval of application to 2 days (48 hours). However, reasons for early replacement are mostly unknown.

Objectives: The objectives of this study were to assess the prevalence of early replacement of fentanyl TTS in a cancer center in Basel, Switzerland, and to assess the reasons for early replacement in stationary patients.

Methods: We retrieved all fentanyl TTS administered in a cancer center in Basel, Switzerland, between November 11, 2011, and January 31, 2015, from the electronic medical database.

Results: A total of 739 patients (mean age 71.4 ± 11.5 years, 55% women) were administered 2,250 fentanyl TTS (dosage 6–500 µg/hour). Most replacements occurred after 72 hours (61.6%) and a few after 48 hours (7.4%). Patients with early replacement after 48 hours were significantly younger (63.8 years versus 71.5 years, p < 0.001) and obtained higher mean dosages of fentanyl TTS (89 µg/hour versus 44.1 µg/hour, p < 0.001) and rescue medication (calculated as oral morphine equivalent in milligrams: 185.1 mg versus 39.6 mg during the first 24 hours after replacement, p < 0.001). No pharmacological rationale for early replacement was observed. According to 57 physicians, nurses, and pharmacists, the most often reasons for early TTS replacement were end-of-dosage pain (41.4%) and poor adhesion (31.4%).

Conclusion: In the absence of any physiological, pharmacological, or environmental reasons recorded in the database to explain an early replacement of fentanyl TTS, skin adhesion problems may point practical reasons and mimic end-of-dosage failure.
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Introduction

Fentanyl is a strong opioid agent, which is ~100 times more potent than morphine.1 It is commercially available as a patch or transdermal therapeutic system (TTS) that delivers drug to the skin surface and provides constant drug delivery to the general circulation for several days. Fentanyl TTS represents a cornerstone in the treatment of patients with severe pain and is convenient for patients with continuous severe pain in need of a drug with stable pharmacokinetic profiles. The renewal of the patch should occur every 3 days (72 hours) according to manufacturer’s guidelines. A retrospective chart review in a US pain center revealed that nearly every patient...
reported perceived end-of-dosage failure as the reason for taking the medication more frequently.² Consequently, some researchers recommend early replacement of the patches every 48 hours, predominantly if pain increases at the end of the 3-day period and if a higher dosage produces adverse effects without improving pain relief.³ More frequent dosing is not recommended by national guidelines or consensus statements,⁴ and no published reference recommends dosing intervals <48 hours. Furthermore, the reasons for the early replacement are mostly unknown.

The aims of this study were to assess the prevalence of early replacement of fentanyl TTS in a cancer center in Basel, Switzerland, and to assess the reasons for early replacement in stationary patients.

Methods

Study design

This is a retrospective health-related database study.

Setting

The St Clara hospital is the largest private acute care hospital in Basel, Switzerland, with 229 beds. It gives emphasis on oncology and holds a palliative care unit of six single bedrooms within the cancer center. The entire workflow is digitalized, and electronic patient files are available. The electronic medical database was reviewed for administration of Durogesic® Matrix (fentanyl TTS, all strengths) between November 1, 2011, and January 31, 2015. The extracted data concerned patient (year of birth, gender, ward, main diagnosis, body mass index [BMI]), fentanyl TTS (strength, dosage, application day, and hour according to the confirmation stamp of the dispensing nurse), co-medication (name, strength, and dosage), co-analgesic treatment (name, strength, and dosage, excluding local application forms), laboratory values (aspartate transaminase [AST] and alanine aminotransferase [ALT]), and psychological state (inconspicuous, depressive, agitated, or others). Data from patients with a hospital stay ≥10 days were extracted.

Variables

The primary measure was the time between two administrations of fentanyl TTS. The secondary measures were the daily use of breakthrough pain medication and of co-medications. The total quantity of dispensed breakthrough pain medication over periods of 24 hours was converted into an equivalent dosage of oral morphine (in milligrams) according to conversion tables retrieved from the literature (Supplementary materials).

Bias

Because patch renewal after 3 days was the topic of interest, patients with a short hospitalization stay, ie, <10 days, were excluded.

Survey

A written survey was developed assessing nurses’, physicians’, and pharmacists’ personal experiences with fentanyl TTS and reasons for early replacement. It combined four open-ended questions, 23 closed-ended questions (ten 10 cm visual analog scale [VAS], two yes/no answers, one multiple choice answer) and took 10 minutes to complete. It was distributed during wards’ reports and sent back through internal post-mail. The study was approved by the ethics committee Ethikkommission Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz (EKNZ 2015-037). The ethics committee deemed patient consent not necessary.

Quantitative variables

The values were calculated as mean and standard deviations.

Statistical analysis

Data depository and statistical analysis were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software, version 22 for Windows (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Chi-square and Mann–Whitney U tests were performed when appropriate. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used since the data were not normally distributed (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). The significance level was set at p<0.05.

Results

Of 3,514 patients who were prescribed fentanyl TTS, 1,196 were excluded because of duplicate entry in the database, 1,557 because of hospitalization stay <10 days, 11 because of no discharge date, and 11 were ambulatory patients. Data of the remaining 739 patients were analyzed. The median hospital stay was 17 days and mean was 14 days (±10.7 days) with a maximal length of 110 days (one patient). The patients’ mean age was 71.4±11.5 years and BMI was 23.8±4.9 kg/m² (values <25 kg/m² were considered normal), and 55% were women (Table 1). Cancer was the most frequent diagnosis (79.4%), all in advanced or terminal stages. Pain was the reason for hospitalization of 1.5% of the patients. Patients’ psychological states were mostly not specified (54.8%) or inconspicuous (38.4%), and depression was recorded for 2.6% of the patients. Liver enzymes were normal for 54.5% of the patients, increased for 37.8% of them, and not specified for the remaining 7.7%. There was no difference between genders (data not shown). Oral co-medication was
administered to 86.3% of the patients, mostly in the form of 5 to 10 medications (50.9%) or >10 medications (21.2%).

From the 3,122 fentanyl TTS that were administered, 872 (27.9%) were excluded because of missing information. Of the remaining 2,250 fentanyl TTS with complete data sets, main times of administration were 2 pm (41.0%), 8 am (28.3%), 6 pm (7.1%) and 12 am (6.7%). Fentanyl TTS dosages ranged from 6 µg/hour to 500 µg/hour with the most often used dosages being 25 µg/hour (26.2%) and 12 µg/hour (25.4%). Replacement intervals ranged from 2 hours to 120 hours, and 61.6% of the replacements occurred after 72 hours. Replacement after 48 hours was observed for 7.4% of all administered fentanyl TTS. Patients with early replacement after 48 hours were significantly younger (63.8 years versus 71.5 years; \( p<0.001 \)), obtained twofold higher mean dosages of fentanyl TTS (89.0 µg/hour versus 44.1 µg/hour; \( p<0.001 \)), and had more often cancer (Table 2). Range and significance of the values were maintained after exclusion of the three patients with highest dosages of fentanyl TTS (>300 µg/hour).

Besides fentanyl, 46% of the patients obtained co-analgesic agents. Metamizole was the most often used non-opioid co-analgesic, either alone (19.9%) or in combination with paracetamol (3.8%) or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (2%). Paracetamol was the second most often prescribed co-analgesic agent (14.4%). The use of co-analgesic did not differ if the replacement interval for fentanyl TTS was 48 hours or other (data not shown). The CYP 3A4 inducers St John’s wort, carbamazepine, and rifampicin were administered to seven patients (0.9%) whose fentanyl TTSs were not replaced after 48 hours.

Additional breakthrough pain medication (rescue) was needed by 91.2% of the patients. Morphine was the most often used rescue medication and was administered as oral drops (44.2%), parenteral formulation (16.9%), or a combination of both (22.3%). For the fentanyl TTSs that were replaced after 48 hours, the mean dosages of breakthrough pain medication (calculated as oral morphine equivalent in milligram) were similarly high during the first day/0–24 hours and the next day/24–48 hours (first day: 185.1 mg versus second day: 157.0 mg; Wilcoxon \( p=0.57 \)), but 4.5-fold and 5.5-fold higher than the fentanyl TTS with other replacement time (first day: 185.1 mg versus 39.6 mg; \( \text{Chi}^2=238.3, \ p<0.001 \); second day: 157.0 mg versus 28.8 mg; \( \text{Chi}^2=227.2, \ p<0.001 \); Table 2). For the fentanyl TTS with other replacement time, the mean dosages of breakthrough pain medication did not change over the three consecutive days (39.6 mg [first day] and 30.2 mg [third day]; Wilcoxon \( p=0.83 \); Table 2). Range and significance of the values remained after exclusion of the three patients with highest dosages of fentanyl TTS, ie, >300 µg/hour.

We wanted to investigate if the dosages changed from one application to the next, ie, according to the consecutive

Table 1 Characteristics of the 739 hospitalized patients included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>336 (45.5)</td>
<td>403 (54.5)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean years ± SD (range)</td>
<td>71.4±1.5</td>
<td>70.0±1.1</td>
<td>72.6±1.7</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m², mean ± SD</td>
<td>23.8±4.9</td>
<td>23.8±3.8</td>
<td>23.9±5.7</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ns, not significant; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.

Additional breakthrough pain medication (rescue) was needed by 91.2% of the patients. Morphine was the most often used rescue medication and was administered as oral drops (44.2%), parenteral formulation (16.9%), or a combination of both (22.3%). For the fentanyl TTSs that were replaced after 48 hours, the mean dosages of breakthrough pain medication (calculated as oral morphine equivalent in milligram) were similarly high during the first day/0–24 hours and the next day/24–48 hours (first day: 185.1 mg versus second day: 157.0 mg; Wilcoxon \( p=0.57 \)), but 4.5-fold and 5.5-fold higher than the fentanyl TTS with other replacement time (first day: 185.1 mg versus 39.6 mg; \( \text{Chi}^2=238.3, \ p<0.001 \); second day: 157.0 mg versus 28.8 mg; \( \text{Chi}^2=227.2, \ p<0.001 \); Table 2). For the fentanyl TTS with other replacement time, the mean dosages of breakthrough pain medication did not change over the three consecutive days (39.6 mg [first day] and 30.2 mg [third day]; Wilcoxon \( p=0.83 \); Table 2). Range and significance of the values remained after exclusion of the three patients with highest dosages of fentanyl TTS, ie, >300 µg/hour.

We wanted to investigate if the dosages changed from one application to the next, ie, according to the consecutive

Table 2 Characteristics of the 2,250 fentanyl TTS administered and breakthrough (rescue) pain medication (calculated as equivalent dosage of oral morphine in milligrams) for every 24 hours over the first day (at day 1), second day (at day 2), and third day (at day 3) after replacement of fentanyl TTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Early replacement after 48 hours (n=166)</th>
<th>Other replacement time (n=2,084)</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient mean age, years ± SD (range)</td>
<td>63.8±12.9 (36–91)</td>
<td>71.5±11.0 (20–101)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient diagnosis (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture/necrosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl mean dosage, µg/hour ± SD (range)</td>
<td>89.0±108 (6–500)</td>
<td>44.1±43.9 (6–500)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescue mean dosage at day 1, mg ± SD (range)</td>
<td>185.1±660 (0–5,250)</td>
<td>39.6±179 (0–5,250)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescue mean dosage at day 2, mg/24 hours ± SD (range)</td>
<td>157.0±484 (0–4,125)</td>
<td>28.7±62 (0–1,080)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescue mean dosage at day 3, mg/24 hours ± SD (range)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>30.2±58 (0–550)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: TTS, transdermal therapeutic system; SD, standard deviation; ns, not significant.
sequence of the administration of the fentanyl TTS. We hypothesized that dosage between two consecutive administrations of fentanyl TTS would increase for the TTS replaced after 72 hours, as a mirror of the method of choice to obtain pain control. We hypothesized that dosage between two consecutive administrations of fentanyl TTS would remain constant for the TTS replaced earlier after 48 hours, with the rationale that if shortening the application time is the method of choice to obtain pain control, it should not be accompanied by dose increase. After exclusion of the three patients with the highest dosages of fentanyl TTS (>300 µg/hour), we sorted the fentanyl TTS into the first four sequences of administration (first to fourth; N=1,668). The total number of fentanyl TTS per sequence neither correlated with age (Pearson \( r = -0.07 \)) nor with fentanyl dosage (Pearson \( r = 0.12 \)). From one application to the next, the mean dosages of the fentanyl TTS increased steadily from the first to the fourth application and according to replacement time, and statistical significance was reached between each sequence (Wilcoxon \( p < 0.001 \); Figure 1). For each sequence, the mean dosages of the fentanyl TTS with replacement after 48 hours were significantly higher than the fentanyl TTS with other replacement time (Wilcoxon \( p < 0.001 \); Figure 1).

**Questionnaire**

A total of 98 questionnaires were distributed on April 14 and 15, 2015, at the palliative unit, oncology wards, and during physician reports. After 10 days, 57 questionnaires were returned (58% response). The respondents were in average 37.2±9.3 years old, mainly women (76.8%), Swiss (66.1%) or German (32.1%), and nurses (58.9%) or physicians (33.9%). Half of the respondents were slightly not convinced that the administration of fentanyl TTS every 72 hours would lead to pain relief (a mean value of 7.2 on a VAS from 0= not convinced to 10= absolutely convinced). In daily practice, the most frequent replacement interval was 72 hours (96%) or 48 hours (4%). For 36 respondents (63.2%), early replacement of fentanyl TTS was known from theory and from practice. A total of 27% of the respondents indicated that 16.7% of their patients obtained early replacement of fentanyl TTS during the last 30 days. The most frequent reasons evoked were end-of-dosage pain (41.4%) and inadequate adhesion owing to inappropriate skin property (31.4%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence of administration of fentanyl TTS</th>
<th>N=1,668</th>
<th>First (n=32)</th>
<th>Second (n=29)</th>
<th>Third (n=23)</th>
<th>Fourth (n=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (µg/hour)</td>
<td></td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>86.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Range)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(6–250)</td>
<td>(12–250)</td>
<td>(6–250)</td>
<td>(6–225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes: Black column: fentanyl TTS with early replacement after 48 hours (n=103); gray column: fentanyl TTS with other replacement time (n=1,565). The bars indicate positive SD; * ( p &lt; 0.001 ). Abbreviations: TTS, transdermal therapeutic system; SD, standard deviation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
answers did not differ between the different professions. When asked to rate how often the participants encountered different reasons for an early replacement of fentanyl TTS in practice (from 0%= never to 100%= always) from a list of eight reasons retrieved from literature, the most frequent reasons were an insufficient dosage of fentanyl (47%); a dry, sweating, or cachexic skin (36%); end-of-dosage pain (30%); and poor adhesion of the TTS (30%). Drug interaction with CYP 3A4-inducers was never mentioned.

Discussion

In this cohort of mainly cancer patients, replacement of fentanyl TTS earlier than the prescribed 72 hours was observed in a higher than expected proportion (31%). However, most of these divergent dosing intervals had been annotated by the nurses. They were related to dosage-finding strategies or inadequate skin adhesion or were the results of a time correction after an erroneous application such as in evening instead of morning. An application period of 60 hours was not used, probably because it would result in an inconvenient change every 2.5 days, such as one change in the morning and the next in the evening. This might also lead to more confusions than every third day.

In our study, the frequency of an application period of 2 days (48 hours) was 7.4%, which was in the range of other studies.5,6 Higher opioid dosage and younger age of our patients were associated with early fentanyl TTS replacement. To our knowledge, a similar relationship is mentioned in a Korean study performed in cancer patients taking sustained-release opioids – oral morphine, oxycodone and transdermal fentanyl patch.7 Patients aged <40 years and taking daily dosages >120 mg oral morphine equivalent (corresponding to fentanyl TTS 50 µg/hour) showed more often breakthrough pain.5 The authors calculated that pain control with fentanyl TTS lasted for 62.9 hours on average.7 However, the authors performed a self-reported survey over the last week, and thus, the results might simply mirror that younger patients who need high opioid dosages might feel breakthrough pain more easily than older patients. Furthermore, extrapolation to TTS is questionable because oral and transdermal application forms were aggregated. Finally, stoicism is more pronounced in elderly patients whose beliefs may influence their pain experience.7 Thus, we conclude that younger patients may complain more and thus obtain higher opioid dosages.

In our study, early fentanyl TTS replacement was also associated with higher rescue dosages. However, over the 2 days of TTS application, the mean dosages of rescue medication were similar between the first 0–24 hours and the next 24–48 hours, indicating that breakthrough pain was overcome with the appropriate method and according to the best practice.

The analysis of consecutive applications from the first to the fourth TTS showed that mean dosages of the fentanyl TTS increased from one application to the next, independently of the replacement time (after 48 hours or other time) and refuted our hypothesis. Increasing the dosage of the fentanyl TTS at the end of the application interval (72 hours or 48 hours) seemed to be the method of choice to obtain pain control and fits to best practice.

Several studies mentioned a substantial number of cancer patients who replaced fentanyl TTS every second day because of pain aggravation before the next application.5,6 One reason for a reduction in the dosing interval is rooted in theory, ie, it is one option for obtaining higher plasma concentrations with the same drug and the same route of administration; the second option is increasing the dosage.8 However, if this practice is valid for oral modified-release opioid formulations, it might be questionable for transdermal systems that were developed to deliver a constant amount of drug over several days. Moreover, transdermal systems contain substantial amounts of residual fentanyl after the usual 3 days of dosing interval. Nevertheless, it appears that some patients might not have adequate serum concentration of fentanyl over the 3 days of application, and thus, they manifest an increase in pain episodes at the second day after application of a TTS, equivalent to an end-of-dosage failure.9 We identified in the literature potential factors responsible for transient flares of severe pain,10 ie, end-of-dose failure, liver function, and the use of cytochrome P450-3A4 inducers, as the most significant factors influencing serum fentanyl concentration.11 Gene polymorphisms and demographic and disease pathology factors explain only a very small portion of variation in fentanyl concentrations.12 In our study, rationale reasons for an early replacement of fentanyl TTS could not be deduced from the patients’ clinical data (no impaired liver function, no interaction with CYP inducers). In this context, some authors conclude that additional variables may explain the large interpatient variability in serum fentanyl concentrations.12,13 Hepatic clearance (ie, liver blood flow) and transdermal absorption (ie, skin temperature, attachment, sweat, loss of subcutaneous fat) are likely factors to explain the variability in serum fentanyl up to 200-fold, even for the same dosage.12

In our survey, poor adhesion to the skin and end-of-dosage pain were the two most often answers given by professionals involved in the care of cancer patients when asked about...
the reasons for early replacement of fentanyl TTS. Good adhesion of a transdermal patch to the skin is essential for maximum efficacy, and adhesion failure hampers proper dosing. Consequently, and in the absence of any other rationale reason, skin adhesion problems may point practical reasons to explain an early replacement of fentanyl TTS and mimic end-of-dosage failure. This relationship needs to be confirmed in a further study.

Strengths and limitations
This study has several strengths. First, patients received a wide range of transdermal fentanyl dosages, reflecting real-world practice in pain cancer treatment. Second, quantitative data were backed up with qualitative data obtained from the health care professionals currently caring for cancer patients. Thus, this mixed method approach was able to add further facets in exploring the reasons for early transdermal patch replacement. Finally, our findings represent a real-life context and add to the current knowledge base on the very real perception for need to change dose in patients.

This study has some limitations. First, the conversion of the rescue medication into oral morphine equivalent was calculated with the equipotency references available from Swiss Hospitals. Other sources might propose other references, which might influence the final values. However, the most often used rescue medication in this study was morphine whose factor 100 is universal. Thus, the potential variations in the calculated rescue medications can be considered as marginal. Second, the rescue medication was extracted from the records and summed over 24 hours from 12 am to 11:59 pm. Because most of the fentanyl TTS were administered at 2 pm, a shift is thinkable within the amount of rescue medication calculated over each 24 hours. However, this difference concerns only the first 14 hours and merges into the next interval. As a consequence, the overall values might be marginally influenced. Third, the electronic confirmation of the administrations contained some errors. Small typing errors were corrected when the identification of the product was unequivocal (eg, one fentanyl TTS 50 µg/hour was recorded as 50 fentanyl TTSs) or when the intention was unequivocal (eg, the stop time was entered as an administration time). From the total 3,122 fentanyl TTS administered to 739 patients, 27.9% were excluded because of missing data from a major field, mostly the strength. This might be due to time constraint on the wards or different interpretation of the entry fields in the database. Nevertheless, the final analysis of 2,250 fentanyl TTS allows strong results. Fourth, pain assessment was not taken into consideration. Thorough pain assessment and reassessment guide the monitoring and the dosing of the administered pain medication and are performed routinely at St Clara hospital. However, our aims were not to correlate the administered pain medication according to patients’ pain scores, and thus, we focused more on data sets related to our question of interest. Finally, we did not scientifically determine the reasons for early change, such as comparison of residual content of patches or sequential blood levels.

Conclusion
In summary in our study, control of severe cancer-induced pain was obtained by increasing dosages of fentanyl TTS over consecutive applications and was accompanied by administration of constant doses of breakthrough medication, irrespective of replacement time -- according to best practice. Thus, flares of pain after 48 hours seem to be the reason for early replacements of fentanyl TTS. However, in the absence of any rationale reason (physiological, pharmacological, or environmental), skin adhesion problems after 2 days may hamper delivery of the substance into the skin and thus induce subtherapeutic levels and breakthrough pain. This practical hypothesis for an early replacement of fentanyl TTS needs to be confirmed in further studies.
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