Effect of VEGF-C siRNA and endostatin on ring formation and proliferation of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma lymphatic endothelial cells
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Objective: To study the effects of vascular endothelial growth factor C small interfering RNA and endostatin on esophageal squamous cell carcinoma-related ring formation in vitro and proliferation of lymphatic endothelial cells.

Materials and methods: KYSE150 cells were subjected to analysis of cell transfection and endostatin operation. The groups were as follows: negative group, blank group, negative plus endostatin group, endostatin group, SG1 group, SG2 group, SG1 plus endostatin group, and SG2 plus endostatin group. The esophageal cancer-related microlymphatic endothelial cells were three-dimensionally cultured. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay was employed to detect cell proliferation.

Results: The negative group’s three-dimensional culture result was the highest, followed by the blank group, negative plus endostatin group, endostatin group, SG2 group, SG1 group, SG1 plus endostatin group, and SG2 plus endostatin group. The quantity of living cells in the blank group was the highest, followed by the negative control, endostatin, SG2, SG1, negative plus endostatin, SG1 plus endostatin, and SG2 plus endostatin groups.

Conclusion: Both vascular endothelial growth factor C small interfering RNA and endostatin could inhibit ring formation in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and proliferation of lymphatic endothelial cells.

Keywords: esophageal squamous carcinoma cells, esophageal cancer-associated lymphatic endothelial cells, VEGF-C, ring formation, proliferation

Introduction

Metastasis by lymphatic route is one of the main pathways for the spread of esophageal cancer and also one of the main reasons for postoperative recurrence of esophageal cancer. Vascular endothelial growth factor C (VEGF-C) is one of the main factors that regulates lymphatic genesis and is highly expressed in many tumor tissues, which can specifically work on the lymphatic endothelial cells, stimulate the proliferation of the lymphatic epithelial cells, and induce lymphatic genesis.1

Endostatin is a naturally occurring 20-kDa C-terminal fragment derived from type XVIII collagen. It is reported to serve as an antiangiogenic agent, similar to angiostatin and thrombospondin. Endostatin is a broad spectrum angiogenesis inhibitor and may interfere with the proangiogenic action of growth factors such as basic fibroblast growth factor and VEGF. Endostatin may also be useful as a therapeutic in inflammatory, diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis as well as Crohn’s disease, diabetic retinopathy, psoriasis, and endometriosis, for reducing the infiltration of inflammatory cells through invading angiogenesis. Down’s syndrome patients seem to be protected from diabetic
retinopathy due to an additional copy of chromosome 21 and elevated expression of endostatin.

Endostatin factor can effectively inhibit the proliferation of the lymphatic endothelial cells, thus inhibiting the formation of lymphatic vessels.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\) In this study, we inactivated the VEGF-C expression of esophageal carcinoma cells and regulated esophageal cells through endostatin.

Materials and methods

Human esophageal cancer-related microlymphatic endothelial cells were purchased from Shanghai Bioleaf Biotech Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). Human poorly differentiated esophageal squamous carcinoma cell line KYSE150 cells were bought from Shanghai Bioleaf Biotech Co. Ltd.; Endothelial cell medium was purchased from Sciencell Research Laboratories (Carlsbad, CA, USA); Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium and RM1640 medium were purchased from Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co, Ltd.; Endothelial cell medium was purchased from Sciencell Research Laboratories (Carlsbad, CA, USA); Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium and RM1640 medium were purchased from Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co, Ltd.; Endothelial cell medium was purchased from Sciencell Research Laboratories (Carlsbad, CA, USA); Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium and RM1640 medium were purchased from Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co, Ltd. (Beijing, People’s Republic of China); and Endothelin inhibitors were purchased from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ, USA). Transfection reagent kit was purchased from Bogoo Biotechnology Co. Ltd (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). Plasmids were bought from GenePharma (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). CCK-8 assay kit was purchased from GBI (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). Three siRNA sequences were designed and synthesized, as seen in Table 1.

Endostatin is a naturally occurring 20-kDa C-terminal fragment derived from type XVIII collagen. It is reported to serve as antiangiogenic agent, similar to angiotatin and thrombospondin. Endostatin is a broad-spectrum angiogenesis inhibitor and may interfere with the proangiogenic action of growth factors such as basic fibroblast growth factor and VEGF.

Three-dimensional cultivated tube formation assay was performed as described previously. Wells of a 24-well plate were coated with Matrigel according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Becton Dickinson) and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. Cells were grown in 6-well plates in the presence of DNAzymes (0.3 μM) mixed with Lipofectamine (5 μg/mL) for 24 hours. Then they were detached with 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, sedimented by centrifugation for 5 minutes, and resuspended in cell culture medium. Cells were added to Matrigel-coated wells and incubated for 24 hours.

According to procedures outlined by the Kamiya Biomedical Co. (Tukwila, WA, USA), CCK-8 assay (the kit of Cat No: KT-10150) was performed as described previously.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\)

According to human VEGF-C, three interference sequences were designed, SG0, SG1, and SG2; the best interference sequence was selected based on the CCK-8 assay. During the endothelin inhibition test, different concentrations of endostatin were used to treat KYSE150 cells.

The blank control group was employed for the conditional medium of esophageal cancer cells and was not transfected. The negative control group was employed for the conditional medium of empty plasmid-transfected esophageal cancer cells. The SG1 group was employed for the conditional medium of SG1 plasmid-transfected esophageal cancer cells. The SG2 group was for the conditional medium of SG2 plasmid-transfected esophageal cancer cells. The blank plus endostatin group was employed for esophageal cancer cells conditional medium processed with best concentration of endostatin. The negative plus endostatin group was employed for the conditional medium transfected with empty plasmid and endostatin processed. The SG1 plus endostatin group was employed for the conditional medium transfected with SG1 and endostatin processed. The SG2 plus endostatin group was employed for conditional medium transfected with SG2 and endostatin processed. The esophageal carcinoma-related microlymphatic endothelial cells were three-dimensionally cultivated, and the tubular structure formed by each group was calculated as well. CCK-8 assay was applied to detect cell proliferation.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 17.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was employed to analyze the data. Measurement data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance. Variance was used in comparison between different groups. The people counting the ring formation were blinded to the treatments. The counts were confirmed by three persons.

Results

Plasmid screening and endostatin best inhibition concentration selection

Figure 1 shows that the expression quantities of VEGF-C protein in KYSE150 cells of SG1 and SG2 groups were

### Table 1 siRNA sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial number</th>
<th>siRNA</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG0</td>
<td>VEGF-C-homo-579</td>
<td>GGCTTATGCAAGCAAAGATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG1</td>
<td>VEGF-C-homo-1056</td>
<td>CCAATTTACATGGGAAATAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG2</td>
<td>VEGF-C-homo-1166</td>
<td>GCGGATGCGATGTCTAAAACCTGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The sequences of siRNA in each group were demonstrated. Abbreviation: siRNA, small interfering RNA.
significantly lower than other groups after 96 hours of transfection. SG1 and SG2 groups had superior inhibitory effect than other groups. Therefore, SG1 and SG2 groups were selected for the downstream experiments.

Figure 2 shows that endostatin had the best inhibition effect on cancer cells when endostatin inhibition concentration was 12.8 μg/mL. Therefore, the concentration of 12.8 μg/mL was chosen for the next downstream experiment.

Effects of VEGF-C and endostatin on esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and clone formation

Overall comparison showed that the quantity of living cells was highest in the negative control group, followed by the blank control, endostatin, negative plus endostatin, SG2, SG1, SG1 plus endostatin, and SG2 plus endostatin groups, among which there was no statistically significant difference between the groups (P>0.05). There was no statistical significance found by comparing the two SG1 groups, SG2 groups, endostatin groups, and NC plus endostatin groups (P>0.05). Comparison between SG1 plus endostatin and SG2 plus endostatin groups showed no statistical significance (P>0.05). The comparison between each two of the remaining groups showed statistical significance (P<0.05), as seen in Table 2, and Figures 3 and 4.

The effect of VEGF-C siRNA and endostatin on esophageal squamous carcinoma lymphatic vessel endothelial cell proliferation in vitro

The KYSE150 cells conditional medium was used in three-dimensional culture, and the cell proliferation condition of each group was low; the quantity of living cells in blank control group was the highest, followed by negative control, endostatin, negative plus endostatin, SG2, SG1 plus endostatin, and SG2 plus endostatin groups. There was no statistical significance between the groups (P>0.05). There was no statistical significance between the two SG1 groups,

| Table 2 Ring formation quantity of the three-dimensional cultivated KYSE150 cells |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Group                             | 3 days | 5 days | 7 days |
| Blank control group               | 32±1   | 35±1.73| 33.67±1.16|
| Negative control group            | 30.67±1.16| 35.33±1.16| 33±2    |
| SG1 group                         | 24±1.73| 26.67±0.58| 23.33±1.53|
| SG2 group                         | 24±1   | 28.33±0.58| 25±1    |
| Endostatin group                  | 26±1   | 29.33±1.16| 28±1    |
| Negative plus endostatin group    | 25±1   | 28±1   | 24.67±1.16|
| SG1 plus endostatin group         | 17.67±1.16| 22±1   | 21±1.73 |
| SG2 plus endostatin group         | 17±1   | 22±2   | 20±1    |
| F value                           | 64.353 | 49.359 | 41.740  |

Notes: The cells were treated as described in “Materials and methods” section and then the cells were subjected to three-dimensional cultivated analysis. Data presented as mean ± SD or number.
Figure 4 Ring formation situation of the three-dimensional cultivated KYSE150 cells (200×).

Notes: (A) Blank control group; (B) negative control group; (C) SG1 group; (D) SG2 group; (E) endostatin group; (F) negative plus endostatin group; (G) SG1 plus endostatin group; (H) SG2 plus endostatin group. The cells were treated as described in “Materials and methods” section and then the cells were subjected to three-dimensional cultivated analysis.
Table 3 Proliferation of KYSE150 cells under the three-dimensional cultivated conditional medium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>OD value (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Statistical items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank control group</td>
<td>2.566 ± 0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control group</td>
<td>2.531 ± 0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG1 group</td>
<td>1.794 ± 0.111</td>
<td>F = 90.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG2 group</td>
<td>1.867 ± 0.025</td>
<td>P = 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endostatin group</td>
<td>1.923 ± 0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative plus endostatin group</td>
<td>1.869 ± 0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG1 plus endostatin group</td>
<td>1.566 ± 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG2 plus endostatin group</td>
<td>1.539 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The cells were treated as described in “Materials and methods” section and then the cells were subjected to CCK8 analysis.

Abbreviation: OD, optical density.

SG2 groups, endostatin groups, and NC plus endostatin groups (P > 0.05). There was no statistical significance found between SG1 plus endostatin and SG2 plus endostatin groups (P > 0.05). There were statistically significant differences between different groups (P < 0.05), as seen in Table 3 and Figure 5.

Discussion

In this study, VEGF-C and endostatin, the two thoroughly studied factors, were selected to observe their impact on inhibiting proliferation and metastasis of esophageal cancer-related lymphatic vessel endothelial cells. In 1995, Hatva et al reported that VEGF-C or VEGF receptor-3 was the only discovered regulation factor that could regulate embryonic tissue lymphatic genesis and adjust mature individual lymphatic physiological function. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could stimulate tumor tissue lymphatic genesis. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could promote lymph node formation before the occurrence of tumor metastasis, then accelerate distant tumor metastasis through sentinel lymph node. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could promote lymph node formation before the occurrence of tumor metastasis, then accelerate distant tumor metastasis through sentinel lymph node. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could promote lymph node formation before the occurrence of tumor metastasis, then accelerate distant tumor metastasis through sentinel lymph node. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could promote lymph node formation before the occurrence of tumor metastasis, then accelerate distant tumor metastasis through sentinel lymph node. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could promote lymph node formation before the occurrence of tumor metastasis, then accelerate distant tumor metastasis through sentinel lymph node. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could promote lymph node formation before the occurrence of tumor metastasis, then accelerate distant tumor metastasis through sentinel lymph node. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could promote lymph node formation before the occurrence of tumor metastasis, then accelerate distant tumor metastasis through sentinel lymph node. Hirakawa et al studied and discovered that VEGF-C could promote lymph node formation before the occurrence of tumor metastasis, then accelerate distant tumor metastasis through sentinel lymph node.

From the experiment of Brideau et al, it was confirmed that the overexpression of endostatin could reduce the messenger RNA level of lymphatic vessel-related VEGF signal components, thus inhibiting tumor lymphatic vessel genesis and lymphatic metastasis.

From the experimental results, it was found that the tube formation quantity of downregulated VEGF-C and endostatin processing groups were lower than that of blank control and negative control groups. Downregulation of esophageal cancer cells’ VEGF-C expression and endostatin processing application could inhibit the related lymphatic endothelial cells tubing formation and proliferation, thus inhibiting lymphatic vessels genesis. At the same time, the downregulated VEGF-C group tube quantity was less than that of the endostatin processed group, which demonstrated that the function of downregulated VEGF-C on tube formation and proliferation of inhibiting lymphatic vessel endothelial cells was stronger than that of endostatins. The ring formation and cell proliferation quantity of synergistic inhibition of esophageal cancer-related lymphatic vessel endothelial cells was the least, which indicated the synergistic effect had the strongest effect on inhibiting lymphatic vessels endothelial cells tube formation and proliferation,
and could better inhibit lymphatic vessel genesis. Thus, it could be speculated that both VEGF-C and endostatin played a significant role in the formation of esophageal cancer-related lymphatic vessels and the synergistic effect of both was stronger and obvious.

It would be better to co-culture the KYSE150 cells and lymphatic endothelial cells together. The cells could be fluorescently labeled red (endothelial cells) and green (KYSE150 cells). The endothelial cells would then be continuously exposed to the VEGF-C secreted by the KYSE150 cells (or its absence in small interfering VEGF-C cells). Endostatin could be added to the culture medium as needed for each group. VEGF-C should be added to the KYSE150 small interfering VEGF-C/endothelial cell cultures to see if ring formation can be rescued by the addition of VEGF-C.

The results are only shown by one cell line and must be confirmed in at least one additional esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cell line. All the data shown were in vitro. A limitation of this study is that the role of VEGF-C in primary esophageal squamous cell carcinomas was studied in vitro.
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