Danish Register of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Aim of database: The Danish Register of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (DrCOPD) is a nationwide database aiming to describe the quality of treatment of all patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in Denmark.

Study population: DrCOPD comprises data on all patients with a diagnosis of COPD. In the hospital setting, both in- and outpatients are included. In the setting of the general practice (GP), DrCOPD aims to include all patients with a COPD diagnosis who attend an annual control visit for COPD.

Main variables: DrCOPD includes information on forced expiratory volume in 1 second, smoking status, body mass index, dyspnea, treatment modalities such as rehabilitation, smoking cessation, medical treatment, and use of noninvasive ventilation during hospitalization due to exacerbations. The outcome variables include frequency of readmission and death 30 days after discharge from hospital.

Descriptive data: Since 2008, the registration in the Danish hospitals has gradually become more comprehensive. In 2014, ~90% of 16,106 eligible patients had complete data sets that showed an improvement in the processes describing quality of care, including increased offering of smoking cessation, rehabilitation, and correct treatment with inhaled medication. Data from GPs have been included since 2011, but are still incomplete and comprise only ~20% of all COPD patients seen. The collection of data from GP settings has recently been hampered due to legislative controversies.

Conclusion: In relatively few years, DrCOPD has become increasingly comprehensive and has documented an improvement in the management of COPD in Danish hospitals.
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diagnosis of COPD in Denmark. The register started in 2008 by including hospital-based data, but in 2011, expanded also to include data from the setting of the general practice (GP). The ultimate goal of DrCOPD is to improve the quality of care for these patients by inspiring health care professionals and by providing data for research.

Study population
DrCOPD was initiated in 2008 as a part of the Danish National Indicator Project in the hospital setting. All Danish hospitals treating patients with COPD were included. The register comprises both outpatients with COPD and patients acutely admitted because of an exacerbation of COPD. Each year ∼16,000 outpatients and 21,000 inpatients are included in the register and, in total, ∼50,000 individual patients have been included since 2008.

The outpatient study population for DrCOPD includes all patients aged 30 years and older who attend any hospital outpatient clinic with the following primary diagnoses according to the Danish version of the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10): COPD (J44.X), or respiratory failure (J96.X) in combination with COPD (J44.X) as a secondary diagnosis.

The inpatients included in DrCOPD were those discharged from hospital with either a primary diagnosis of COPD (J44.X) or a primary diagnosis of respiratory failure (J96.X) or pneumonia (J18.X) together with COPD as a secondary diagnosis. The data were retrieved from the Danish National Patient Registry, which has covered all hospital admissions in Denmark since 1995. The diagnosis coding was performed by the physicians in charge of the patients at the individual hospital. Table 1 shows the number of individuals included in the hospital setting both as outpatients and as inpatients from 2008 to 2014.

Data from the GPs have been collected since 2011 through the Danish General Practice Database. This database uses diagnostic coding according to the International Classification of Primary Care (ICPC), which has been implemented in the electronic health record systems in GP in Denmark and, since 2008, has been updated under the name of ICPC-2-DK. The patients with the diagnosis of COPD (R95) according to the ICPC-2-DK are also included when they attend an annual checkup. By an agreement since 2009, GPs shall offer this annual checkup to all patients listed, if they fulfill the criteria for COPD. In 2011, data on ∼4,000 patients with COPD were transferred to the DrCOPD. In 2014, this number increased to ∼15,000. Yet, this still constitutes only a minor fraction of the ∼100,000–120,000 patients who are treated with medications for COPD in Denmark. Due to legislative controversies, transmission of all data from GPs was temporarily put to a halt on September 30, 2014, and it is not yet known when and how the data transmission to DrCOPD will be reestablished. The data from GP will not be described further in this brief report.

The validity of the COPD coding practice in the hospital setting has been investigated for both inpatients and outpatients. The latter was investigated by an audit of 20 case records from each of the participating hospitals. This audit comprised 676 outpatients and showed good validity, documenting that the vast majority of outpatients with COPD diagnosis indeed have COPD. The positive predictive value of an outpatient COPD diagnosis was 86%, and 79% of the patients with a clinical diagnosis of COPD had a spirometric verification of diagnosis within the past 3 years (data published in the 2011 National Audit of COPD). A similar audit of coding practice in connection with acute exacerbations was performed. It included 1,988 records (comprising ∼10% of all acute COPD admissions per year) supplemented with a validation sample of 1,986 cases discharged with the diagnosis of respiratory failure or pneumonia without COPD. This audit showed a positive predictive value of an acute COPD discharge diagnosis of 92%. At the same time, this study showed considerable underrecording of COPD in patients with pneumonia and acute respiratory failure, which is in accordance with previous observations.

It has been suggested that data on the quality of municipal care for COPD patients should also be included in

Table 1. Number of individuals included in Danish Register for COPD in the hospital setting as outpatients and inpatients during the existence of the register

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>11,730</td>
<td>13,153</td>
<td>14,841</td>
<td>15,264</td>
<td>15,649</td>
<td>16,098</td>
<td>16,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatients</td>
<td>19,189</td>
<td>19,728</td>
<td>19,728</td>
<td>19,702</td>
<td>19,513</td>
<td>22,683</td>
<td>21,839</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ∗Outpatients include patients with main (primary) diagnosis of COPD or patients with primary diagnosis of respiratory failure and secondary diagnosis of COPD (there are no data on the distribution of these two diagnoses); ∗∗Inpatients comprise patients with primary diagnosis of COPD (72%), patients with primary diagnosis of acute respiratory failure with secondary diagnosis of COPD (11%), and patients with primary diagnosis of pneumonia with secondary diagnosis of COPD (17%).

Abbreviation: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
DrCOPD. The municipalities have an increasingly high responsibility for the care for COPD patients, among others, offering rehabilitation and smoking cessation programs. The steering committee of DrCOPD has recently received participation of representatives from the municipalities, and discussions on indicator development and data collection are in progress.

**Main variables**

Main variables recorded in DrCOPD are registered at the outpatient clinic where the patient is followed. Data are continuously uploaded to the Danish National Patient Registry. The same registry provides information on the number of outpatient contacts, hospitalizations, the duration of stay, and mode of in-hospital treatment, including use of invasive and noninvasive ventilation (NIV). The Danish Prescription Registry gives information on medical treatment, and the Danish Civil Registration System gives information on survival.

The variables registered include both indicators describing correct management of COPD (process indicators) and indicators describing the outcomes (result indicators), including 30-day mortality and readmissions after an acute exacerbation. Initially, four evidence-based quality-of-care process indicators were identified for outpatients: lung function assessed as forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV$_1$) – expressed in percentage of predicted value (FEV$_1$ %pred), body mass index – assessed as kilograms per square meter, dyspnea assessed using the Medical Research Council (MRC) scale, and smoking status. Additional indicators registered for outpatients were help to stop smoking for smokers and a referral to pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with MRC dyspnea score $>2$. Over the years, the process indicators were expanded to include indicators describing medical treatment (use of long-acting bronchodilators and inhaled steroids) and training in inhalation technique. Table 2 shows the types of variables registered for outpatients from 2008 to 2014 and the indicator fulfillment during this period. Indicator fulfillment is shown as the percentage of cases fulfilling the indicator: eg, if half of all patients had their lung function measured, then the indicator fulfillment is 50%.

The indicators and variables for inpatients include use of NIV during admission, use of invasive ventilation as process indicator, and result indicators describing 30-day mortality and 30-day readmissions. To adjust for comorbidities when comparing mortality and readmissions among hospitals, Charlson comorbidity index has been derived for each inpatient using information from the Danish National Patient Registry. Table 3 shows the development from 2008 to 2014 with regard to use of NIV, mortality, and readmissions.

**Examples of research**

Each year, DrCOPD produces a standard report in Danish, which is published online at [www.Sundhed.dk](http://www.Sundhed.dk) and focuses on indicator fulfillment at the hospital level, regional level, and in all of Denmark.

To date, data from DrCOPD have been used to publish two papers focusing on the development in quality of care for outpatients with COPD and on the development regarding treatment of acute exacerbation. The first paper documents improved registration of the processes of care defined as high quality of care of COPD. The second paper focuses on the treatment of COPD patients admitted because of an exacerbation and showed that the use of NIV in Denmark increased from 2008 to 2011, but that regional variation remained and no substantial improvements in mortality were observed. A number of new studies focusing on changes in smoking habits and medicine adherence among the patients are continuing.

**Administrative issues and funding**

Reporting to DrCOPD is mandatory for all Danish hospitals. There is no economic incentive, but there is substantial interest from hospital boards of directors, politicians, and patient organizations regarding both the completeness of registration and the performance of the individual hospitals with regard to indicator fulfillment. Data are collected in accordance with current Danish data protection laws (Sundhedslovens § 196, stk. 4 – law nr 546, dated 24.06.2005/law nr 603, dated 18.06.2012). Laws and procedures for data access to DrCOPD are governed by the Data Protection Agency (Datatilsynet) and the National Board of Health (Sundhedsstyrelsen). Applications for access to DrCOPD are reviewed by the Chair and Steering Committee following application to The Danish Clinical Registries – a national improvement program (RKKP), which is a nationwide initiative for monitoring and improving the quality of care for many important frequent or chronic diseases or conditions. The funding for the administration of the database and for preparation of the annual reports comes from RKKP.

**Conclusion**

Since its initiation in 2008, DrCOPD has enrolled several thousands of individuals with COPD. Through its focus on
Table 2 Variables registered in Danish Register for COPD for outpatients from 2008 through 2014 and indicator fulfillments (% [95% CI])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lung function</td>
<td>Proportion of patients with measurements of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV\textsubscript{1}) of expiration</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>40 (43; 48)</td>
<td>61 (60; 62)</td>
<td>78 (78; 79)</td>
<td>85 (84; 85)</td>
<td>89 (88; 89)</td>
<td>89 (89; 90)</td>
<td>91 (90; 91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Proportion of patients with registration of BMI</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>38 (37; 39)</td>
<td>60 (59; 61)</td>
<td>78 (77; 79)</td>
<td>85 (84; 86)</td>
<td>89 (88; 89)</td>
<td>89 (89; 90)</td>
<td>91 (91; 91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>Proportion of patients with registration of dyspnea using the MRC scale</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>37 (36; 38)</td>
<td>58 (57; 59)</td>
<td>76 (75; 77)</td>
<td>83 (83; 84)</td>
<td>88 (87; 88)</td>
<td>88 (88; 89)</td>
<td>90 (89; 90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>Proportion of patients with registered smoking status</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>38 (37; 39)</td>
<td>59 (58; 60)</td>
<td>78 (77; 78)</td>
<td>83 (83; 84)</td>
<td>87 (87; 88)</td>
<td>87 (86; 87)</td>
<td>89 (89; 90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation encouragement</td>
<td>Proportion of active smokers encouraged to stop smoking at least once a year</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>83 (81; 85)</td>
<td>85 (84; 87)</td>
<td>92 (91; 93)</td>
<td>94 (93; 94)</td>
<td>96 (95; 97)</td>
<td>96 (95; 96)</td>
<td>86* (85; 87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD rehabilitation</td>
<td>Proportion of outpatients with MRC ≥3 offered participation in pulmonary rehabilitation</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>60 (50; 62)</td>
<td>68 (67; 69)</td>
<td>86 (85; 87)</td>
<td>92 (91; 93)</td>
<td>95 (94; 95)</td>
<td>96 (96; 96)</td>
<td>97 (96; 97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with LAMA/</td>
<td>Proportion of outpatients with MRC ≥2 treated with long-acting inhaled bronchodilators, either LAMA or LABA</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>95 (94; 95)</td>
<td>95 (94; 95)</td>
<td>92 (91; 92)</td>
<td>91 (90; 91)</td>
<td>90 (89; 90)</td>
<td>87 (86; 88)</td>
<td>91 (90; 91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LABA</td>
<td>Proportion of outpatients with MRC ≥2 who are being treated with long-acting inhaled bronchodilators and have FEV\textsubscript{1} ≥60% of predicted who are treated with inhaled steroids</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>91 (90; 91)</td>
<td>90 (89; 90)</td>
<td>87 (86; 88)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation technique</td>
<td>Proportion of outpatients on inhalation medication, who have been trained in inhalation technique</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>74 (73; 75)</td>
<td>85 (84; 86)</td>
<td>88 (88; 89)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The wording of the smoking cessation indicator has been altered in 2014, which is the probable explanation for the lower indicator fulfillment.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LAMA, long-acting antimuscarinic agent; LABA, long-acting beta-2-agonist; MRC, Medical Research Council.
the quality of care, DrCOPD has been a well-functioning instrument for improving the management of care for Danish patients with COPD. In the future, the register will also become a powerful tool for clinical and epidemiological research on COPD.
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