Pharmacokinetics and relative bioavailability of fixed-dose combination of clopidogrel and aspirin versus coadministration of individual formulations in healthy Korean men
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Background: Simultaneous prescription of clopidogrel and low-dose aspirin is recommended for the treatment of acute coronary syndrome because of improvements in efficacy and patient compliance. In this study, the pharmacokinetics of a fixed-dose combination (FDC) of clopidogrel and aspirin was compared with coadministration of individual formulations to clarify the equivalence of the FDC.

Methods: This was a randomized, open-label, two-period, two-treatment, crossover study in healthy Korean men aged 20–55 years. Subjects received two FDC capsules of clopidogrel/aspirin 75/100 mg (test) or two tablets of clopidogrel 75 mg and two capsules of aspirin 100 mg (reference) with a 14-day washout period. Plasma concentrations of clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid were measured using validated ultraperformance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. Bioequivalence was assessed by analysis of variance and calculation of the 90% confidence intervals (CIs) of the ratios of the geometric means (GMRs) for AUCₘₐₓ and AUCₙₐₙ for clopidogrel and aspirin.

Results: Sixty healthy subjects were enrolled, and 53 completed the study. Clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid showed similar absorption profiles and no significant differences in Cₘₐₓ, AUCₙₐₙ, and Tₘₐₓ between FDC administration and coadministration of individual formulations. The GMRs (90% CI) for the Cₘₐₓ and AUCₙₐₙ of clopidogrel were 1.08 (0.95, 1.23) and 0.93 (0.84, 1.03), respectively. The GMRs (90% CI) for the Cₘₐₓ and AUCₙₐₙ of aspirin were 0.98 (0.84, 1.13) and 0.98 (0.93, 1.04), respectively. Both treatments were well tolerated in the study subjects.

Conclusion: The FDC of clopidogrel and aspirin was bioequivalent to coadministration of each individual formulation. The FDC capsule exhibited similar safety and tolerability profiles to the individual formulations. Therefore, clopidogrel/aspirin 75 mg/100 mg FDC capsules can be prescribed to improve patient compliance.
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Introduction

Dual antiplatelet therapy consisting of aspirin and an adenine diphosphate (ADP) P2Y12 receptor antagonist is recommended as the standard antiplatelet regimen for patients with coronary artery disease.¹ Clopidogrel, an ADP P2Y12 receptor antagonist, strongly inhibits formation of thrombosis by impeding platelet binding regardless of the degree of degranulation or blood flow status.²,³ Aspirin has antiplatelet effects by irreversible inhibition of the formation of thromboxane A2 by acetylation of platelet
cyclooxygenase, thereby contributing to decreasing the mortality caused by myocardial infarction, stroke, and cardiovascular diseases. In several studies, combined clopidogrel and aspirin treatment increased platelet aggregation, and this effect was superior to administration of aspirin alone in reducing the incidence of cardiovascular death and nonfatal myocardial infarction or stroke. A recent meta-analysis concluded that short-term aspirin in combination with clopidogrel is more effective than monotherapy as a secondary preventive treatment for stroke or transient ischemic attack without increasing the risk of hemorrhagic stroke and major bleeding events.

In addition, approximately 50% of Asians, including Koreans, have a gene variant that leads to resistance to clopidogrel; therefore, there is a safety issue of thrombus formation. However, it is well recognized that low-dose aspirin strongly enhances CYP2C19 enzyme activity and increases drug efficacy in patients having clopidogrel resistance.

Fixed-dose combination (FDC) formulations have an important role in the management of coronary artery disease. The FDC of aspirin and clopidogrel has increased therapeutic compliance, which is a major factor in the poor outcome of stenting patients for acute coronary syndrome, by simplification of the medical regimen. In addition, overall costs can be lowered by decreasing the number of prescriptions, bottles, labels, etc. Clopidogrel is rapidly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, with a maximum concentration \( C_{\text{max}} \) of 2 ng/mL at 1.4 hours following administration of clopidogrel 75 mg, and is eliminated with a half-life \( t_{1/2} \) of 1.7 hours. In contrast, enteric-coated formulations of aspirin 100 mg show continuous absorption from the intestine with a time-to-peak \( C_{\text{max}} \) approximately 4 hours, and then the drug is rapidly eliminated with a \( t_{1/2} \) less than 1 hour. Based on these pharmacokinetic properties of the two drugs, there should be little possibility of interaction in the absorption profiles, and their short \( t_{1/2} \) indicates that they are suitable for FDC because both drugs are almost completely eliminated within 12 hours after dosing.

In this study, we compared the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel, aspirin, and its active metabolite, salicylic acid, in healthy men after administration of an FDC formulation and coadministration of clopidogrel and aspirin.

**Subjects and methods**

The study protocol and written informed consent form were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Inje University Busan Paik Hospital (IRB Number 11-100). The study was carried out in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2010), the International Conference on Harmonization of Good Clinical Practice, and the current Korean Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

**Subjects**

Healthy men aged between 20 and 55 years within 20% of the ideal body weight according to Broca’s formula were enrolled in this study. All subjects were judged to be healthy based on the results of a detailed physical examination, 12-lead electrocardiogram, clinical laboratory tests, and vital signs at the screening. The following exclusion criteria were used: excessive consumption of caffeine (>5 cups/d), cigarettes (>10 cigarettes/d), or alcohol (>30 g of alcohol/d), administration of inducers or inhibitors of drug-metabolizing enzymes including proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) (lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole, and rabeprazole), rifampicin, carbamazepine, and barbiturals within 28 days; history of disease influence on drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (eg, gastrointestinal ulcer, ulcerative colitis, and history of abdominal operation); history of hemorrhagic symptoms and disease; aspartate aminotransferase (AST) or alanine aminotransferase (ALT) exceeding 1.25 times the upper limit of the normal range; total bilirubin exceeding 1.5 times the upper limit of the normal range; prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and bleeding time extended beyond the normal range; platelet level <150×10^9/L or >350×10^9/L; and participation in another clinical study within 90 days prior to the start of the study.

**Study design**

A randomized, open, two-period, two-treatment, two-sequence crossover study was conducted at the Clinical Trial Center of Inje University Busan Paik Hospital, Busan, Republic of Korea (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01496261). The 60 enrolled subjects were divided into two groups and randomized to one of two sequences (RT, TR) at the beginning of the study at a ratio of 1:1 using a randomization schedule generated using the SAS software (v 9.3; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Each group of 30 subjects was administered the study drugs in consecutive periods with a gap of 3 days between them.

The assigned study drugs were administered orally with 240 mL of water after a 10-hour overnight fast, and subjects continued fasting until 4 hours postdose. Subjects were administered two capsules of clopidogrel/aspirin 75 mg/100 mg FDC (Chong Kun Dang Pharm., Seoul, Republic of Korea) in one period. Subjects were coadministered two tablets of clopidogrel 75 mg (Plavix®; Sanofi-Aventis, Schiltigheim, France) and two capsules of
aspirin 100 mg (Astrix®; Boryung Pharm., Seoul, Republic of Korea) in the other period with a 14-day washout period. The length of the washout period was estimated to be greater than seven times the reported \( t_{1/2} \) of clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid (7.2–7.6, 0.4, and 2.1 hours, respectively). Blood samples for clopidogrel measurement were collected at preset time intervals of predose and 0.33, 0.67, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 hours after dosing. Samples for aspirin measurement were taken predose and 1, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 hours after dosing. Whole blood samples (5 mL) collected in EDTA (K2) tubes were centrifuged at 1,800×g for 10 minutes, and plasma samples were transferred to microtubes and stored at –70°C.

### Safety assessment
Subjects were asked about the occurrence of any adverse events (AEs) between consent and the last poststudy visit. Physical examinations and clinical laboratory tests were performed before and 24 hours after dosing in each period. Vital signs were monitored before and at 12 and 24 hours after dosing in each subject. Subjects who took the study drugs at least once during the study were included in the safety assessment.

### Bioanalysis
Concentrations of clopidogrel, aspirin, and the active aspirin metabolite salicylic acid were analyzed using validated ultraperformance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS/MS), ACQUITY UPLC™ System (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Clopidogrel bisulfate and its internal standard (rac clopidogrel-d4 hydrogen sulfate) and aspirin and salicylic acid and their internal standards (aspirin-d4 and salicylic acid-d4, respectively) were prepared using a 96-well solid-phase extraction plate, Bond Elut® Plexa 10 mg (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Detection and quantification were performed using a triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer with an electrospray ionization interface in positive mode and multiple reaction monitoring mode. Chromatographic separation of the compounds was accomplished using an ACQUITY UPLC® BEH C₁₈ column (1.7 µm, 2.1×50 mm; Waters) with acetonitrile in 1,000 mL of water containing 0.1% formic acid as the mobile phase.

A full validation of the assay was carried out with respect to selectivity, accuracy, precision, recovery, calibration curve, and stability. The calibration curves for clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid were linear over ranges of 0.01–20.0 ng/mL, 10.0–2,000 ng/mL, and 100–20,000 ng/mL, respectively, with coefficients of determination (\( R^2 \)) greater than 0.995 for all. The precision and accuracy for clopidogrel were 3.3%–8.8% and 94.7%–104.3%, respectively. The precision for aspirin and salicylic acid were 3.8%–4.4% and 2.5%–3.4%, respectively. The accuracy for aspirin and salicylic acid were 97.3%–100.3% and 99.3%–101.3%, respectively.

### Pharmacokinetic analysis
The pharmacokinetic parameters of clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid were estimated using a noncompartmental method using the WinNonlin software (v 6.1; Pharsight Corp, Mountain View, CA, USA). The below the limit of quantitation values occurring prior to the first measurable concentration were set to zero, and those occurring after the first measureable concentration were not included in the pharmacokinetic analysis. The \( C_{\text{max}} \) and time to \( C_{\text{max}} (T_{\text{max}}) \) were calculated directly from the concentration–time curve. The area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC) from time 0 to 24 hours (AUC\(_{\text{0–24h}}\)) was calculated according to the linear trapezoidal rule. AUC\(_{\text{0–12h}}\) was calculated using the following equation: AUC\(_{\text{0–12h}}\) = AUC\(_{\text{0–24h}}\) + \( C_{\text{last}} \lambda_z \), where \( C_{\text{last}} \) is the last observed concentration and \( \lambda_z \) is the elimination rate constant. The \( t_{1/2} \) was calculated as 0.693/\( \lambda_z \). Subjects who completed the study were included in the pharmacokinetic analysis.

### Statistical analysis
The log-transformed \( C_{\text{max}} \) and AUC\(_{\text{0–12h}}\) for clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid were compared using the average bioequivalence approach. This comparison was performed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with effects for sequence, subject within sequence, treatment, and period. Because, for logistical reasons, the two groups were administered the study drugs at different times, group effect was also included as a factor. Using ANOVA, the 90% confidence intervals (CIs) of the least-squares geometric means were calculated for \( C_{\text{max}} \) and AUC\(_{\text{0–12h}}\). The FDC formulation was considered bioequivalent if the 90% CIs of \( C_{\text{max}} \) and AUC\(_{\text{0–12h}}\) for clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid fell within the range of 0.80–1.25. To evaluate the difference of \( T_{\text{max}} \) between coadministration and FDC, Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used. All statistical calculations were performed using the SAS software (v 9.3; SAS Institute Inc.).

### Results
**Subject characteristics**
Sixty healthy men (30 subjects per group) were enrolled in the study and randomized. Demographic characteristics of 60 subjects are shown in Table 1.
subjects was 25.53 years (range, 21–38 years), and the mean body weight was 69.95 kg (range, 50.3–87.5 kg). Three subjects withdrew consent during the study and four subjects withdrew because of laboratory abnormalities before dosing. Thus, 53 subjects completed this study.

Pharmacokinetics

Mean plasma concentration–time profiles of clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid are shown in Figure 1. Descriptive statistics for the pharmacokinetic parameters of clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid are provided in Table 2. Similar to the coadministration of clopidogrel and aspirin, the $T_{\text{max}}$ of clopidogrel and aspirin administered as FDC were 0.67 ($P=0.85$) and 4.00 hours ($P=0.88$), respectively. ANOVA indicated a lack of group, period, sequence, and treatment effects for both $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC_{\text{last}}$. The mean $t_{1/2}$ of coadministered clopidogrel was 6.31 hours, which was comparable with that of FDC clopidogrel at 5.21 hours. The mean $t_{1/2}$ of aspirin was also similar for coadministration (0.65 hour) and FDC administration (0.63 hour). The 90% CIs for the ratio (FDC/coadministration) of the geometric means for

### Table 1 Demographics of study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>25.53±2.87 (21–38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.95±7.72 (50.3–87.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT (s)</td>
<td>10.85±0.41 (10.10–11.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPTT (s)</td>
<td>33.21±3.14 (27.20–40.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT (s)</td>
<td>89.43±24.61 (60–150)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mean ± SD (range).

Abbreviations: aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; BT, bleeding time; PT, prothrombin time; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 1 Mean plasma concentration versus time profiles.

**Note:** Linear scale (A) and log scale (B) of mean plasma concentration versus time profiles of clopidogrel, aspirin and salicylic acid in healthy subjects (n=53) after single-dose oral administration of two capsules of fixed-dose combination as test (open circle) and coadministration of two tablets of clopidogrel 75 mg and two capsules of aspirin 100 mg as reference (closed circle).
Pharmacokinetics of fixed-dose combination of clopidogrel/aspirin

Table 2 Pharmacokinetic parameters of clopidogrel, aspirin, and salicylic acid after single-dose oral administration of FDC capsules or coadministration of individual formulations of clopidogrel 75 mg/aspirin 100 mg (n=53)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>FDC</th>
<th>Coadministration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{\text{0-\text{last}}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>6.41±7.70</td>
<td>5.95±6.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{\text{inf}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>6.57±7.75</td>
<td>6.46±6.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{\text{max}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>3.89±3.82</td>
<td>3.73±4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{\text{max}}, h</td>
<td>0.67 (0.33–2.00)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.33–3.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2}, h</td>
<td>5.21±4.35</td>
<td>6.31±6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{\text{max}}, h</td>
<td>12.00 (3.00–24.00)</td>
<td>12.00 (4.00–24.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aspirin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>FDC</th>
<th>Coadministration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{\text{0-\text{last}}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>1.777.27±452.17</td>
<td>1.822.41±510.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{\text{inf}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>1.986.54±464.54</td>
<td>2.000.69±695.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{\text{max}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>783.58±281.68</td>
<td>870.60±386.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{\text{max}}, h</td>
<td>4.00 (2.00–6.00)</td>
<td>4.00 (2.00–6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2}, h</td>
<td>0.62±0.36</td>
<td>0.65±0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{\text{max}}, h</td>
<td>6.00 (5.00–10.00)</td>
<td>6.00 (5.00–10.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Salicylic acid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>FDC</th>
<th>Coadministration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{\text{0-\text{last}}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>47.219.97±13.384.12</td>
<td>46.523.63±13.398.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{\text{inf}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>51.138.82±13.715.45</td>
<td>49.788.69±13.335.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{\text{max}}, ng/mL</td>
<td>8.620.57±1.715.92</td>
<td>8.512.83±1.687.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{\text{max}}, h</td>
<td>5.00 (3.00–6.00)</td>
<td>5.00 (3.00–6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2}, h</td>
<td>2.22±0.44</td>
<td>2.15±0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{\text{max}}, h</td>
<td>12.00 (12.00–24.00)</td>
<td>12.00 (12.00–24.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mean ± SD; values expressed as median (range).

Abbreviations: FDC, fixed-dose combination; CV, coefficient of variance; SD, standard deviation; AUC_{\text{0-\text{last}}}, area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to last observation; AUC_{\text{inf}}, area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity; C_{\text{max}}, peak concentration of the drug; T_{\text{max}}, time needed to achieve C_{\text{max}}; t_{1/2}, elimination half-life.

C_{\text{max}}, AUC_{\text{last}}, and AUC_{\text{inf}} are presented in Table 3. The mean log-transformed ratios of the primary parameters and their 90% CIs were all within the predefined equivalence limit of 0.80–1.25.

Safety

No serious or significant AEs occurred during the study. A total of six AEs were reported and all were considered mild. There were no AEs related to bleeding or abnormalities in coagulation tests.

Two AEs were reported for one subject (1.67%) after administration of FDC capsules: increases in ALT and AST. Four AEs were reported for three subjects (5% of study population) after coadministration of clopidogrel 75 mg and aspirin 100 mg: an increase in ALT and AST (in the same subject), an increase in blood bilirubin, and catheter site pain. Of these AEs, increases in ALT and AST were considered possibly related to the study drugs and the others were assessed as unlikely to be or definitely not related to the study drugs.

Discussion

The objective of the current study was to compare the pharmacokinetics of a newly developed FDC of clopidogrel 75 mg/aspirin 100 mg with that of coadministration of corresponding doses of clopidogrel and aspirin. Although exposure to clopidogrel can be highly variable with a coefficient of variance over 30%, we applied conventional bioequivalence criteria using a nonreplicative single-dose crossover design because of the narrow therapeutic window and safety issues with clopidogrel.13 Pharmacokinetic analysis showed that the FDC capsule was bioequivalent to the coadministration of the individual drugs.

In practice, clopidogrel is prescribed as a loading dose of 300–600 mg, with 75 mg given subsequently as a maintenance dose. In this study, a single oral dose of 150 mg, half the loading dose, was administered because of the high intraindividual variability in the degree of absorption. Although the aspirin dose of 200 mg is twice the usual maintenance dose (corresponding to 75–100 mg), it was considered acceptable because this was a single-dose study and aspirin shows linear pharmacokinetic characteristics.14

At the time this study was planned, there had been no studies investigating the intraindividual variability of the primary pharmacokinetic parameters of enteric-coated aspirin. Therefore, we calculated the sample size using the ratio of intra- and interindividual variability for the general formulation of aspirin.13 The sample size, based on an estimated intraindividual variability of C_{\text{max}} for aspirin of 38%, was calculated to be 24 subjects per group to detect a 20%
difference between the test and reference treatments with a power of 80% at a significance level of 5%. Thus, 60 subjects were considered sufficient to compare the relative pharmacokinetics of FDC and the coadministration of individual formulations. Our extrapolated intrapatient individual variability is in agreement with the 36.68% for an enteric-coated formulation of aspirin estimated by Jung et al.16

When we recruited subjects for this study, strict selection criteria were applied for alcohol uptake, smoking status, and other medications that have an effect on the clopidogrel-metabolizing enzyme CYP2C19, because variability in clopidogrel pharmacokinetics has been attributed to alcohol, smoking, CYP2C19 genotype, and genotype-related drug interactions. Clopidogrel is probably converted to ethyl clopidogrel in the presence of ethyl alcohol, the major ingredient of alcoholic beverages, causing a decrease in the total metabolism of clopidogrel. In addition, ethyl alcohol increases the cytotoxic effect of clopidogrel and the pharmacological activity by prolonged presence of clopidogrel by decreasing the total metabolism of clopidogrel (hydrolysis and transesterification) in the presence of ethyl alcohol.17

A potential role of smoking in the metabolism of clopidogrel and high on-treatment platelet reactivity were suggested. Pharmacodynamic studies and post hoc analyses of large clinical trials support a link between smoking status and the efficacy of clopidogrel therapy.18 In addition, clopidogrel is mainly metabolized to its active metabolite by CYP2C19,19 so it is important to consider comedication with drugs having the same metabolic route.

The present study has several limitations. In clinical situations, dual therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin is administered in multiple doses to cardiovascular disease patients of both sexes. However, all participants of this study were healthy men, who may not represent the target patients, and only a single dose of treatment was given. Because the antiplatelet effect of clopidogrel decreases with patient age,20 further multiple dosing studies, preferably in patients with cardiovascular disease, are needed to apply the FDC to clinical practice. Also, pharmacodynamic evaluation was not performed in the current study. Pharmacodynamic responses such as platelet aggregation were more related to clinical outcome than pharmacokinetics.21

Conclusion
The results from the present study indicate that the clopidogrel 75 mg/aspirin 100 mg FDC capsule was bioequivalent to individual formulations of corresponding doses of the two drugs.
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