Insights into the molecular etiology of exercise-induced inflammation: opportunities for optimizing performance
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Abstract: The study of exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD) is of paramount importance not only because it affects athletic performance but also because it is an excellent model to study the mechanisms governing muscle cachexia under various clinical conditions. Although, a large number of studies have investigated EIMD and its associated inflammatory response, several aspects of skeletal muscles responses remain unclear. In the first section of this article, the mechanisms of EIMD are reviewed in an attempt to follow the events that result in functional and structural alterations of skeletal muscle. In the second section, the inflammatory response associated with EIMD is presented with emphasis in leukocyte accumulation through mechanisms that are largely coordinated by pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines released either by injured muscle itself or other cells. The practical applications of EIMD and the subsequent inflammatory response are discussed with respect to athletic performance. Specifically, the mechanisms leading to performance deterioration and development of muscle soreness are discussed. Emphasis is given to the factors affecting individual responses to EIMD and the resulting interindividual variability to this phenomenon.
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Introduction

Skeletal muscle injury may result from toxin injection, crushing, freezing, and mechanical disruption induced by forceful stretches of muscle fibers. Skeletal muscle fiber damage may also result from intense, unaccustomed, extreme (i.e., ultra-endurance events such as marathon running, triathlon, etc.) and/or eccentric types of exercises that are characterized by forceful lengthening contractions during which muscle fibers are overstretched.1

According to the model of Proske and Allen,2 within stretched fibers, some sarcomeres may be more resilient than others resulting in greater absorption of the stretch by the weaker sarcomeres which, depending on the magnitude of the stretch, become more vulnerable as the overlap between myosin and actin filaments is minimized toward the end of the stretch. Following numerous eccentric stretches, more and more of the weaker sarcomeres are gradually overstretched, and as fiber stretching continues, the more resilient sarcomeres become overstretched. Since myofilaments of these sarcomeres may not return to their original overlapping state during the relaxation phase of the muscle, a mechanical disruption of these sarcomeres develops which is eventually transmitted to neighboring areas in muscle vicinity resulting in subcellular...
damage, that is, a collapse of membrane surrounding the sarcoplasmic reticulum, transverse tubules, and the muscle fibers themselves. This series of events compromises the process of excitation–contraction coupling in damaged sarcomeres and results in the release of calcium ions from sarcoplasmic reticulum into the cytoplasm where they stimulate proteolytic enzymes that promote further muscle fiber degradation. During this first phase, aseptic exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD) leads to the onset of an inflammatory response associated with the activation of leukocytes, muscle edema, deterioration of muscle function, delayed-onset of muscle soreness (DOMS), increased release of muscle proteins into the interstitial space, and circulation and a rise in muscle temperature. Even after an extensive injury, skeletal muscle demonstrates an extraordinary ability for healing. Consequently, a regeneration or healing phase follows the first inflammatory phase. Muscle regeneration is related to the activation of a set of mononucleated cells, known as satellite cells, which subsequently proliferate, differentiate, and enter the damaged myofibers to synthesize new fibers or contribute to the healing of other fibers with a less severe damage. This phase is characterized by a marked rise of muscle protein synthesis. The inflammatory and the regeneration phases are operationally interconnected, and the disturbance of the former may hamper the later. Evidence suggests that suppression of the inflammatory phase may lead to an attenuated overcompensation or healing during the regeneration phase. The scope of the present article is to review the first inflammatory phase and disclose important implications for exercise training and overall athletic performance. The mechanisms underlying EIMD are presented in the first part of this review. The second part describes the initiation and propagation of local and systemic inflammatory response. Finally, the third part presents the changes in skeletal muscle performance during the inflammatory response and discusses important implications for sports performance.

Mechanisms and consequences
EIMD is associated with muscle soreness or discomfort and a marked decline of muscle strength during the first 12–72 h postexercise depending on the magnitude of the muscle-damaging exercise, and as stated earlier, it is related to the disruption of subcellular structures. Although this phenomenon was described as early as the first part of the 20th century, the mechanisms underlying EIMD are not entirely understood. Despite that isometric (static work, length of the muscle remains unchanged) and concentric (length of the muscle decreases) muscle contractions are able to elevate skeletal muscle damage markers, the vast majority of published studies support the notion that eccentric work is primarily associated with EIMD. Eccentric (lengthening) work, either in their isolated form on an isokinetic dynamometer or as a part of the stretch-shortening cycle during downhill running, is used experimentally to study EIMD. In fact, eccentric EIMD is thought to be the basis for the increase of skeletal muscle mass (hypertrophy) seen with exercise training, especially resistance exercise training, suggesting that muscle micro-trauma induced by mechanical stress of acute exercise is a prerequisite for its subsequent growth during the adaptation phase. Moreover, Givli suggested that EIMD may also be utilized to develop safer and more effective training and recovery protocols based on a personalized approach.

Study of EIMD aids to understand the mechanisms governing muscle degeneration and regeneration, so that findings may be extrapolated to various clinical conditions that demonstrate deviations in these mechanisms such as in dystrophies, inflammaging, cancer, and so on. For example, muscular dystrophies are characterized by extensive skeletal muscle degeneration due to the lack of dystrophin, calcium toxicity, free radical generation, activation of proteolytic enzymes, degradation of muscle proteins, and finally loss of muscle function and patient’s physical independence. Understanding the molecular mechanisms linking muscle damage with inflammatory cascade and proteolysis using the EIMD model to induce mechanical strain to the cytoskeleton of the muscle could help toward the development of potential treatments for the clinical symptoms associated with this disease. During the last few years, a number of pharmacological agents and/or nutritional supplements have been tested in this direction using the EIMD model. Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) represents another example. RA demonstrates an intense inflammatory response through the production of the inflammatory cytokines at the site of the disease (i.e., joints) affecting distant tissues and play a significant role in skeletal muscle wasting. Furthermore, oxidative stress is frequently reported in patients with RA since cells present in inflamed joints (e.g., macrophages, neutrophils, and lymphocytes) have the ability to produce free radicals. Therefore, finding ways to manipulate the inflammatory responses and modulate the redox response following EIMD could give insights on cellular mechanisms leading to muscle cachexia and how to confront clinical conditions like RA and potentially others.
DOMS and strength loss during EIMD were originally attributed to subcellular disruption of skeletal muscle fibers.10,12 This notion was further supported by the indirect evidence of increased concentration of muscle proteins into the circulation in response to EIMD, suggesting a potential leakage of intracellular content due to damage of muscle fiber membrane.12,21,22 This theory was further supported by marked sarcomeric distortions (e.g., Z-line streaming) identified by electron microscopy following EIMD in both human and animal studies12,23,24 or infiltration of extracellular dyes into the muscle in animal studies.16,22 Damage of muscle fiber membrane also causes influx of extracellular substances (e.g., albumin and fibronectin).12,26,27 Increased membrane permeability has been linked to the stimulation of sodium and calcium channels in response to repetitive stretching of muscle fibers by lengthening contractions.28,29 Nevertheless, a large variation exists in the manifestations of the magnitude of EIMD induced by eccentric exercise protocols ranging from extensive local or systemic inflammatory responses and myofiber traumá7,12,20 to less pronounced injury in humans31 probably due to large variations in muscles tested as well as exercise protocols used. Moreover, protocols using electrical stimulation on animals to simulate eccentric contractions, although useful to study molecular mechanisms underlying EIMD, may not be physiologically relevant to that produced by humans.32 Electron microscopy revealed that EIMD is characterized by extensive fiber necrosis, Z-line streaming at sarcomere level for as long as 1–3 days following eccentric exercise depending on the magnitude of the exercise stimulus,12,23,24,30,33 and deterioration of a significant structural (e.g., desmin and dystrophin) and myofibrillar proteins (e.g., myosin), suggesting an increased rate of degradation.32,34–36 Necrotic parts of injured myofibers appear histochemically as inflated and orbicular.7 Although others argue that eccentric exercise does not cause necrosis,38 myofiber necrosis may be accompanied by macrophage infiltration, especially at the perimysium and endomysium, through toll-like receptors indicating an abrupt mobilization of the immune system during the first hours postexercise.30,39,40 Interestingly, damaged myofibers also demonstrate the aggregation of satellite cell-derived myoblasts.30,38,41–43 These findings suggest that DOMS and strength loss are primarily related to this disruption of contractile and structural proteins located in sarcomeres. However, Yu et al14 suggested that these structural deformations of muscle fibers do not indicate muscle damage but rather a regeneration process suggestive of an adaptation at cellular level. Animal models seem to demonstrate a greater rate of subcellular derangement than human models.44,45

Muscles of the upper limbs seem to be more susceptible to EIMD probably due to their smaller muscle mass and utilization in daily activities.46,47

Extracellular matrix, mainly connective tissue, is affected by EIMD as well. Muscle fibers are mechanically connected with extracellular matrix through a complex organization of membrane-bound proteins (i.e., integrins, dystroglycans, and proteoglycans) that enable mechanical transmission among muscle fibers and fascicles.48 Histological data indicate that EIMD widens parts of the perimysium and endomysium with connective tissue dissociated from muscle fibers into a more expanded interstitium.27 These findings are coupled with others showing increased and prolonged collagen turnover indicative of a remodeling of extracellular matrix in response to its damage by exercise.49,50 However, extracellular matrix contains not only collagen fibers but also endothelial and pericyte cells, immune cells, stromal cells such as fibroblasts, nerve cells, and satellite cells that all combine to produce a physiological response, that is, muscle contraction. It is uncertain how all these cells are affected by EIMD and whether their potential damage or remodeling affects skeletal muscle fiber homeostasis. For example, intramuscular mechanical pressure during EIMD is transferred through the extracellular matrix inducing an early release of growth factors from fibroblasts that result in the activation of adjacent satellite cell populations via selective intracellular signaling pathways which may promote muscle healing.51,52 Vascular cells such as pericytes are also involved in EIMD by activating nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) signaling which is implicated in immune cell mobilization and satellite cell proliferation.7,53,54 However, it must be mentioned that NF-κB pathway mediates the upregulation of the activity of the ubiquitin–proteosome system (UPS) by proinflammatory cytokines (i.e., tumor necrosis factor-alpha [TNF-α] and interleukin-6 [IL-6]) thereby contributing to protein degradation of skeletal muscle proteins and overall EIMD.55 It is unclear whether the activation of UPS contributes or exacerbates EIMD or it represents a protective mechanism that clears damaged protein molecules and as such accelerates the inflammatory cascade and the subsequent healing. The involvement of the NF-κB–UPS pathway in EIMD constitutes a valuable experimental model to study skeletal muscle atrophy in conditions such as sepsis and cachexia induced by cancer and other clinical conditions. Therefore, it seems that extracellular matrix may be actively involved during EIMD and may affect muscle fiber healing, a perspective that needs to be addressed by future investigations.
As mentioned earlier, EIMD is associated with prolonged deterioration of muscle strength, DOMS, edema, increased temperature, and leakage of muscle proteins into the circulation. Prolonged (1–14 days) muscle strength reduction (10%–70%) is considered as one of the most valid markers of EIMD because among all markers they exhibit the highest correlation with histological evidence of muscle disruption. Recovery kinetics of muscle strength depend on genetics, the type of exercise, muscle group(s) involved, intensity, volume, and novelty of exercise. Strength loss is attributed to the so-called half-sarcomere nonuniformity, which states that most of finer length adjustment is accommodated by the weakest half-sarcomeres, which become weaker as muscle lengthening progresses and advances beyond the point of myofilament overlap and ultimately microtears develop. Repeated stretching further increases traumatized sarcomeres, and injury of muscle fibers is exacerbated causing membrane disruption and perforation of channels stimulated by mechanical stretch. Damage of junctophilins, that is, proteins connecting t-tubules with the membrane of sarcoplasmic reticulum mediating the communication between the calcium release channel and the dihydropyridine receptor, may also contribute to strength losses due to uncoupling of the excitation–contraction mechanism. This cascade of events induces a collapse of the excitation–contraction coupling mechanism and calcium kinetics from sarcoplasmic reticulum resulting in strength reduction. This mechanism accounts for 75% of early (up to 72 h) muscle strength deterioration with injury of other force-generating and transmitting structures accounting for the remaining 25%. Damage of junctophilin proteins results in the rise of intracellular calcium which accounting for the remaining 25% of early (up to 72 h) muscle injury. Although evidence implicates various other structural and contractile proteins, such as α-actinin-3, in strength loss following damaging exercise, more research is needed in order to understand the mechanical aspect of their contribution.

DOMS usually peaks 1–2 days postexercise and recovers to baseline levels after 4–7 days. In contrast to muscle strength loss, DOMS demonstrates a moderate to low correlation with histological evidence of EIMD probably due to a significant variability of subjects. DOMS is attributed to muscle fiber disruption, disturbance of calcium homeostasis, and firing of IV nerves in response to various inflammatory by-products. In fact, synthesis and release of inflammatory agents (e.g., bradykinin, prostaglandins, histamine, and nerve growth factor) by proinflammatory cells (e.g., immune cells) postexercise may actually trigger selective pain receptors and produce soreness. Available evidence suggests that nitric oxide (NO) produced by muscle NO synthase may also stimulate nociceptors of C-fibers, thereby inducing a pain sensation. Generation of free radicals by the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase mechanism of the immune cells may also contribute to the damage of injured and noninjured muscle fibers and the onset of DOMS, a phenomenon also known as respiratory burst-induced secondary damage.

Allen et al suggested that skeletal muscle responses to EIMD follow a continuum with the type of eccentric muscle activation, genetic variability, muscle group engaged, and overload characteristics (intensity, volume, and degree of novelty) being the main determinants of the type of adaptations induced. EIMD is more severe when electrical stimulation is utilized to induce eccentric contraction (as compared to voluntary eccentric activation), genetic background is optimal (high vs. low respondents), and intensity, volume, and novelty are of sufficient magnitude. When EIMD is less severe, there is an increased likelihood for positive adaptations, whereas in more severe EIMD, an intense disruption of muscle fibers occurs and an augmented inflammatory response develops. In more extreme cases, a maladaptation occurs resulting in musculoskeletal injuries (e.g., strains), fiber necrosis, insufficient regeneration, and probably development of scar tissue. Other factors may also affect the predisposition and susceptibility to EIMD. Sex may be related to variations often seen in EIMD manifestations with women demonstrating strength decrements, DOMS, and membrane disruption of smaller magnitude probably due to a prophylactic effect of estrogens on muscle fiber membranes, calcium homeostasis, and inflammatory reaction. Whether DOMS responses are sex-dependent is less clear.

Other factors that may attenuate EIMD manifestations are regular exercise training and utilization of nutritional supplements (i.e., anti-inflammatory agents, antioxidants, and creatine supplementation). Since athletes have a heavy schedule, with training or sometimes competing more than once a day, finding ways to maximize or accelerate the recovery process from exercise training becomes crucial for their...
performance. Nutritional strategies, either by using dietary supplements or foods, have been proposed in the literature to encounter this challenge. It has been shown, for example, that protein supplementation, mainly through the ingestion of amino acids, has a positive effect in reducing muscle soreness following an exercise bout and accelerating functional recovery.96 Furthermore, subjects receiving food supplements such as cherry or pomegranate juice had a faster recovery in performance following an EIMD protocol.87–89 In addition, creatine supplementation has been shown to attenuate EIMD following an eccentric exercise protocol.90 Potential mechanisms that explain the effect of creatine supplementation on EIMD include a reduction in the inflammatory response and oxidative stress and regulation in the calcium homeostasis as well as promotion of proliferation and differentiation of satellite cells.91 However, not all supplements have been proven to be effective,92,93 and further research is needed to identify foods and supplements that are effective in either preventing EIMD or speeding up the recovery process.

The inflammatory response associated with EIMD

Locally, EIMD leads to leukocyte mobilization to the injured muscle tissue. The first leukocyte subpopulation that infiltrates traumatized muscle is the neutrophils within 4–6 h and remains elevated during the 24 h postinjury.17,94–96 Macrophage infiltration into the injured muscle follows 1–14 days postinjury depending on the magnitude of the insult.17,95,97 The mission of the leukocyte subsets is to remove cellular debris such as damaged protein molecules by releasing reactive oxygen and nitrogen species during a process called respiratory burst,7,96,98–100 proteolytic enzymes,32 and by synthesizing proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1ß, IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, and monocyte chemotactic protein-1 which are also expressed by muscle cells probably within the first 24 h after exercise.97,101 At this time, EIMD results in changes of the expression of leukocyte receptors as well as their activity thereby regulating their ability to infiltrate into the damaged tissue.102 These changes are paralleled by a upregulation of major adhesion molecules.22,103 Proinflammatory cytokines seem to start the disintegration of cellular fragments.104 A second wave of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-6 and transforming growth factor) are produced by damaged skeletal muscle several days within recovery.97,105,106 Other proinflammatory cytokines may be expressed and released by infiltrating immune cells such as IL-4 and IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra).95,106 Removal of cellular debris may be a prerequisite for the subsequent regeneration of injured myofibers.107 Consequently, injured muscle develops an intense chemotactic action to attract immune cells that are usually detected first in the extracellular compartment (1–3 days) and then intracellularly (4–8 days).17,35,39,108 The greater the damage the greater the infiltration of immune cells in the muscle environment.39,108,109 Recent evidence suggests that this inflammatory phase may be under redox-dependent regulation through the mediation of key intracellular signaling pathways such as that of NF-κB and MAPK which control cytokine synthesis and immune activation.7

The acute inflammatory response following damaging exercise elevates leukocyte numbers not only within the muscle milieu but also in the circulation, suggesting that EIMD elicits a systemic inflammatory response as well. This immune response is greater following eccentric exercise protocols (i.e., eccentric dynamometry, eccentric cycling, and downhill running) than other types or modes of exercise incorporating both concentric and eccentric actions such as level running, stepping, jumping, resistance exercise training, and sport activity.95,103,104,110–120 These differences are largely attributed to the characteristics of exercise (intensity, duration, and volume), muscle groups involved, and types of contraction that affect stress hormones at different degrees.12,114,121–123 These systemic immune changes are accompanied by alterations in the circulatory levels of proinflammatory cytokines such IL-1ß and TNF-α,7,121,123,124 indicating that EIMD may cause cytokine secretion by cells other than muscle (e.g., immune cells). Interestingly, after extensive EIMD, IL-6, also produced by skeletal muscle, may remain elevated even after the completion of the inflammatory phase as it has been implicated in the activation of satellite cell during the regeneration phase7,125,126 and release of anti-inflammatory molecules such as cortisol, IL-1ra, and IL-10.127 Collectively, these results suggest that EIMD induced both local and systemic inflammatory responses as depicted by changes in immune cells and cytokines.

On the other hand, several investigations suggest that during this initial proinflammatory phase, a number of anti-inflammatory molecules such as IL-10, IL-12, IL-1ra, soluble TNF-α receptors, and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor are secreted.7,110,124,128 Systemic levels or muscle expression of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), interferon (IFN)-γ, and cytokines 2, 5, 12, 13, 15, and 17 seem to remain unaffected by EIMD.

Whether all types of exercises trigger such a response is questionable since the greatest elevations of these anti-inflammatory cytokines have been seen following extreme types of exercise such as marathon running with IL-1ß,
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TNF-α, and IL-6 rise preceding that of TNF-α receptors and IL-1ra.129 Although some investigators suggested that these anti-inflammatory cytokines may be released by mobilized immune cells,130 the tissues secreting these molecules are unknown. Anti-inflammatory cytokines seem to suppress inflammation by hindering expression and activation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and generation of immune cells.131–133

Several studies have shown a correlation between the systemic levels of proinflammatory cytokines and CK activity and myoglobin levels (EIMD markers) in the circulation postexercise.134–136 Although this observation is important, it does not explain the exact role of cytokines in EIMD-induced inflammatory response. Furthermore, the origin of these cytokines remains obscure despite findings suggesting muscle and immune cells as their potential source of release. Paulsen et al8 believe that in vitro studies do not consider the complex interaction between various tissues and humoral agents during and after exercise. Consequently, under in vivo conditions, cytokine production and release may occur from specific cell types exposed to specific local inhibitory or stimulating molecules.8 Although human studies are valuable, tissue sampling may be problematic since muscle homogenates or immune cell extracts may include various cell types that are difficult to distinguish, and they all may contribute to cytokine production.8 Cytokine levels in the circulation are merely product of their appearance and disappearance kinetics in that compartment and explain very little with regard to the tissue of their origin. Most of these molecules are produced by damaged myofibers, and they exist only at the local environment and as such their measurement in the blood is not possible.137 Serial sampling of muscle biopsies or inhibition of specific cytokines may be more useful in elucidating their role in EIMD-induced inflammation.8 Animal studies showed that cytokine depletion or deficiency may attenuate recovery, suggesting that these molecules are important for muscle healing.138,139 This is mainly attributed to the inadequate mobilization of neutrophils and macrophages to the site of injury and/or an attenuated myogenic response.140–142 Interestingly, antioxidant supplementation attenuated the elevation of proinflammatory cytokines in days 1–3 postexercise and resulted in reduced recovery of muscle strength during the regeneration phase, suggesting that cytokines may be implicated in the recovery process.7

Exercise-induced inflammation and sports performance

EIMD and its associated inflammatory response is of paramount importance for athletes’ performance for two basic reasons: 1) the rate of deterioration and recovery of performance and discomfort (DOMS) after an athletic activity is largely dependent on the magnitude of EIMD-induced inflammatory response and 2) it affects the frequency of training stimuli, that is, the time needed for optimal recovery in between practices, official events, and/or an event and a practice. A >20% decline of the force-generating capacity of muscles exhibits a close association with the magnitude of muscle damage and its associated inflammatory response.56,143–145 Smaller reduction of muscle strength is usually not accompanied by histological evidence of EIMD.8 Paulsen et al8 suggested that strenuous isolated eccentric activity produces a greater degree of EIMD than the so-called eccentrically biased activities such as downhill running or intense-level running. Evidence indicates that the magnitude of force, the degree of lengthening, velocity of movement, and overall volume of eccentric load are probably the most important factors dictating the rate of muscle injury in response to exercise.146–156 In fact, it has been shown that EIMD induced by a football match is associated with the number of explosive types of movement that incorporate a strong eccentric component.57,157

In cases of mild performance decline, defined by Paulsen et al8 as a drop of strength by <20%, creatine kinase activity (CK) in blood remains <1,000 U/L, inflammation is limited, and recovery is usually fast (within 12–48 h) independent of type of exercise.39,59,74,95,96,112 In the case of moderate performance decline, defined by Paulsen et al8 as a drop of strength by <20%–50%, myofiber necrosis may be observed (mostly in high-respondents).39 CK exceeds 1,000 U/L, the inflammatory response is more intense with leukocyte infiltration into the injured muscle, and degradation of structural and contractile proteins may be evident and recovery is usually completed within a week.22,35,39,158,159 In the case of severe performance decline, defined by Paulsen et al8 as a drop of strength by >50%, necrosis is usually observed in parts of the myofibers, CK may exceed 10,000 U/L, soreness is quite high, muscle swelling is evident, the inflammatory response is intense and characterized by a marked accumulation of immune cells into the traumatized tissue, and recovery usually takes 1–3 weeks or even longer if strength loss is >70%.24,30,39,108,109,160–166 In severe EIMD, increased proteolysis and muscle disruption is observed, even during early recovery, due to disturbances in calcium homeostasis.145,167 In these three scenarios, athletes may need to use different recovery strategies in order to be able to train or compete as soon as possible. Recovery treatments may be classified in four major categories: 1) pharmacological (e.g., inflammatory agents, NF-κB inhibitors, estrogen therapy, phosphodiesterase
Exercise-induced inflammation is caused by EIMD which is mainly associated with eccentric type of contractions that lengthen the muscles. Under conditions of repetitive lengthening contractions, weaker sarcomeres are compromised initiating micro-tearing of myofibers that spreads out to the cellular membrane and other subsarcolemal structures as well as to extracellular matrix and connective tissue. Calcium efflux from disrupted sarcoplasmic reticulum and stretch-dependent channels activate proteolytic enzymes that contribute to muscle damage by degrading structural and contractile proteins. Although the exact mechanisms are largely unknown, EIMD triggers an inflammatory response characterized by the accumulation and infiltration of neutrophils and macrophages into the damaged tissue. The activation and mobilization of these immune cells are mediated by cytokines released by injured muscle and other cells and may be under redox regulation. Immune cells remove cellular debris and protein fragments to allow for the subsequent muscle regeneration and healing. During the inflammatory phase, muscle strength declines and DOMS develops at a rate dictated by the magnitude of the stretching stimulus. During this phase, athletic performance is compromised for hours to days depending on the magnitude of muscle damage as well as a number of other factors. There is a large interindividual variability of the responses to EIMD, and this has to be acknowledged by sport practitioners. Although a good number of studies have investigated EIMD and inflammation, the exact mechanisms responsible for the onset of DOMS remain obscure. Research needs to unravel the neural

Conclusion
Exercise-induced inflammation is caused by EIMD which is mainly associated with eccentric type of contractions that lengthen the muscles. Under conditions of repetitive lengthening contractions, weaker sarcomeres are compromised initiating micro-tearing of myofibers that spreads out to the cellular membrane and other subsarcolemal structures as well as to extracellular matrix and connective tissue. Calcium efflux from disrupted sarcoplasmic reticulum and stretch-dependent channels activate proteolytic enzymes that contribute to muscle damage by degrading structural and contractile proteins. Although the exact mechanisms are largely unknown, EIMD triggers an inflammatory response characterized by the accumulation and infiltration of neutrophils and macrophages into the damaged tissue. The activation and mobilization of these immune cells are mediated by cytokines released by injured muscle and other cells and may be under redox regulation. Immune cells remove cellular debris and protein fragments to allow for the subsequent muscle regeneration and healing. During the inflammatory phase, muscle strength declines and DOMS develops at a rate dictated by the magnitude of the stretching stimulus. During this phase, athletic performance is compromised for hours to days depending on the magnitude of muscle damage as well as a number of other factors. There is a large interindividual variability of the responses to EIMD, and this has to be acknowledged by sport practitioners. Although a good number of studies have investigated EIMD and inflammation, the exact mechanisms responsible for the onset of DOMS remain obscure. Research needs to unravel the neural
pathways triggering the sensation of muscle soreness, the molecular mechanisms associated with immune cell activation, and the mechanisms regulating the transition from the inflammatory phase to the healing phase. So far, there are no clear guidelines regarding recovery strategies following EIMD mainly due to inconsistencies in protocols, time of administrations, dosages, exercise protocols, and type of techniques used among studies. Future research should provide clear evidence regarding optimal treatments for effective and timely recovery of both EIMD and performance following various types of exercise training modes and methods as well as competition. New genetic and molecular methodologies will allow scientists to answer critical questions regarding the pathways regulating muscle damage and healing.
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