Infantile esotropia: risk factors associated with reoperation
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to identify clinical and demographic factors associated with misalignment after first surgery performed on children affected by infantile esotropia to evaluate the reoperation rate. A retrospective study was carried out, analyzing data from 525 children who underwent bilateral medial recti recession, bilateral lateral recti resection, and inferior oblique recession and anteroposition by the same surgeon (AM). Postoperative evaluation included assessment of motor alignment at approximately 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years. Statistical analysis was performed with a logistical regression model in which the dependent variable was the presence/absence of reoperation. We found that late surgery (after 3 years of age) and a family history of strabismus are associated with a higher risk of reoperation, while some clinical factors, including some classically associated with worst motor outcome as preoperative angle, dissociated vertical deviation, and amblyopia, did not influence the incidence of reoperation in infantile esotropia. Male patients and patients with hyperopia in preoperative examinations have a significantly decreased reoperation rate.
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Introduction

Infantile esotropia (IE) is one of the most common forms of pediatric strabismus, with a birth prevalence of 25 per 10,000 newborns.1 IE is a large, constant, stable angle esotropia with an onset within the first 6 months of life.2 Features associated with IE include alternating esotropia, cross fixation, manifest-latent nystagmus, overacting inferior obliques, dissociated vertical deviation (DVD), and reduced binocular vision.3 The final scope of treatment of IE is to align the visual axes to guarantee the development of binocular vision. The focal point of this treatment is surgical intervention.4 Nevertheless, due to the lack of randomized trials comparing different surgical strategies for IE, the most effective one and the optimal timing have not yet been universally defined.3 According to scientific literature, surgical alignment of eyes in IE has a variable success rate reported to be from 45% to 80%;5 nevertheless, there are still few data regarding risk factors associated with the need of reoperation. The aim of this study was to identify clinical and demographic factors associated with misalignment after first surgery in IE patients and reoperation rate.

Materials and methods

A retrospective study was carried out analyzing data from 863 patients, examined and operated between 1980 and 2014 by the same surgeon (AM) in the Department of Ophthalmology of “Federico II” University of Naples, in the Department of Ophthalmology, Orthoptics and Pediatric Ophthalmology of University of Salerno, and in two other private centers.
This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Salerno. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents/guardians of all patients.

The study inclusion criteria are the following:
- confirmed IE diagnosis;
- strabismus angle ≥30 prism diopter (pD);
- IE onset by the first 6 months of age;
- absence of central nervous system anomalies and ocular diseases;
- absence of hypermetropia over 3 diopters preoperatively;
- never undergone previous extraocular muscle surgery at the time of examination.

To avoid heterogeneity in surgical approach, we selected patients who underwent bilateral medial recti recession (OO rec MR), bilateral lateral recti resection (OO rec LR), and inferior oblique recession and anteroposition (O rec-ap IO). Patients who underwent other surgical techniques were excluded. In all children, horizontal strabismus and upshoot were diagnosed. Overall, 525 patients were compatible with the established criteria. All patients underwent a preoperative assessment evaluating: sex, familiarity for strabismus, entity of the angle of deviation at nearby Krimsky test (estimated after the total correction of the refractive defect when present), antiamblyopic occlusion therapy, optical correction, lateral recti hypofunction, hypertropia, alphabetical variations (V or A variations), DVD, nystagmus, anomalous head positions, amblyopia, and cross fixation. We divided our sample into groups based on the amount of deviation: group 1 (30–40 pD), group 2 (41–59 pD), and group 3 (≥60 pD). As the presence of upshoot fell within our inclusion criteria, we considered as a discriminating factor the uni- or bilaterality and the symmetry of it. In children with bilateral symmetric upshoot, the same entity of recession with anteroposition of inferior oblique was performed in both eyes, while asymmetric upshoot required a different entity of recession and anteroposition in the two eyes. Therefore, we evaluated the number of those patients in whom upshoot was not either bilateral and/or symmetric in order to consider the possible different rate of unsuccessful surgery. Furthermore, we divided our sample into three intervals, according to the age at time of surgery: within the 2 years of life, between 2 and 3 years, and over 3 years (before age 5).

Considering the surgical strategy, two main parameters were examined: timing and associated conjunctival recession (performed or not performed).

Postoperative evaluation included assessment of motor alignment approximately at 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years. Motor alignment was categorized as “adequate” if alignment was within 10 pD (deviation <0 and ≤10 pD or deviation >0 and ≥10 pD) after a single intervention, or “unsuccessful” if deviation was ≥10 pD or ≤10 pD. Additional muscle surgery and age at reoperation were noted. The same surgeon (AM) decided when reoperation was needed, considering the following criteria: significant residual esotropia or secondary exotropia with a measurable angle >10 pD reported during different postoperative examinations not influenced by optical correction, sensory state evaluation, appropriate angle follow-up showing angle stability and allowing to give surgical indications, and presence of DVD.

Statistical analysis was performed with a logistical regression model in which the dependent variable was the presence/absence of reoperation, while the predictors have been selected using a forward type model. The model has been adjusted for the “conjunctival recession” variable.

Results
Preoperative data are shown in Table 1. Esotropia angle before surgery had a range from 30 to 40 pD in 144 children (27.43%), 41 to 59 pD in 204 patients (38.85%), and was >60 pD in 177 (33.71%). All children presented constant esodeviation at two consecutive examinations prior to surgery. In all, 194 children (36.95%) were operated before the age of 2 years, 228 (43.43%) between 2 and 3 years, and 103 (19.61%) after 3 years. Conjunctival recession was performed in 65.3% of cases.

None of the children missed the 3-month postoperative visit, while 17 (3.2%), 34 (6.5%), and 117 (22.3%) missed the 6-month, 1-year, and 5-year postoperative visit.

Table 1 Preoperative data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency (%) (total 525 children)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>310 (59.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>215 (40.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity for strabismus</td>
<td>52 (9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion therapy</td>
<td>349 (66.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical correction</td>
<td>473 (91.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral recti hypofunction</td>
<td>335 (63.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertropia</td>
<td>63 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V pattern</td>
<td>2 (0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A pattern</td>
<td>56 (10.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVD</td>
<td>151 (28.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nystagmus</td>
<td>51 (9.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomalous head positions</td>
<td>241 (45.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amblyopia</td>
<td>141 (26.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross fixation</td>
<td>179 (34.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Here, we report the presurgical data of 525 patients (and their percentage).

Abbreviation: DVD, dissociated vertical deviation.
The percentage of patients lost at follow-up considerably increased 5 years after surgery. Adequate alignment (within 10 pD of orthotropia) was achieved for 71.2% to 81.3% of children at the 3-month, 6-month, 1-year, and 5-year postoperative visit (Table 2).

Regarding reoperation, 50 children (9.52%) underwent additional surgery at 2.93 years after the first operation, at a mean age of 6.54 years. Fourteen reoperations were performed in group 1, 17 reoperations in group 2, while 19 second surgeries were recorded in group 3.

A total of 35 children needed additional surgery for consecutive exotropia while 15 for residual esotropia.

Concerning statistical analysis, in a multiple-variable logistic regression model, older age and family history of strabismus were associated with an increased probability of reoperation, while male sex and hypertropia were associated with a decreased probability of reoperation (Table 3).

Antiamblyopic occlusion therapy, optical correction, lateral recti hypofunction, alphabetical variations (V or A variations), absence of bilateral symmetric upshoot, DVD, nystagmus, anomalous head positions, amblyopia, and cross fixation were not associated with reoperation.

## Discussion

Although reoperation is one of the most common complications of IE surgery, only a few studies have identified factors associated with unsuccessful postoperative motor alignment.

The timing of initial surgery is one of the most important clinical factors associated with motor and sensory outcome. Our study confirms, as reported by recent studies,\(^6\),\(^7\) that surgery performed after the age of 3 years increases the risk of unsuccessful alignment at first operation; in fact, children operated after 3 years of age have a significant increase of risk of reoperation (odds ratio [OR] 5.4; \(P<0.001\)). Instead, surgery performed between 2 and 3 years of age is not significantly associated with a higher risk of need of reoperation compared with surgery performed before 2 years old (OR 1.616; \(P=0.230\)). Our results are coherent with other studies that indicate late surgery as cause of unsatisfactory motor outcome.\(^6\),\(^9\)

Another important parameter to be considered is stereopsis. As suggested by other studies,\(^6\),\(^7\) IE patients who are operated late generally achieve worse stereopsis, resulting in poorer motor alignment.

The current study does not investigate the long-term prevalence of stereopsis after surgery. However, we have evaluated sensory postoperative outcomes in another in-press study on a similar IE patient cohort. The data by our multivariate analysis report that children operated after 2 years of age were 0.4 times less likely to obtain binocular single vision (BSV) compared with children operated before 2 years of age (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 0.38, 95% confidence interval 0.17–0.89, \(P=0.025\)).\(^10\)

Accordingly, several clinical studies showed that surgical realignment of the eyes during the first 2 years of life is associated with better sensory outcome than surgical alignment performed after 2 years of life.\(^11\),\(^12\)

While in North America there is a tendency to perform surgery for IE usually before 12 months of life (early surgery),

### Table 2 Motor alignment 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years after surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative angle</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months</th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th>5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤10 pD</td>
<td>87/525 (16.57)</td>
<td>70/508 (13.78)</td>
<td>82/491 (16.70)</td>
<td>42/408 (10.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between –10 and +10 pD</td>
<td>374/525 (71.23)</td>
<td>381/508 (75)</td>
<td>339/491 (69.04)</td>
<td>332/408 (81.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10 pD</td>
<td>64/525 (12.20)</td>
<td>57/508 (11.22)</td>
<td>70/491 (14.26)</td>
<td>34/408 (8.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Here, we report the postsurgical deviation angle based on the quantity and the elapsed time after surgery (%).

**Abbreviation:** pD, prism diopter.

### Table 3 Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) obtained by logistical regression model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject characteristics</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI for OR</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.196–0.719</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>2.408</td>
<td>1.034–5.61</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertropia</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.087–0.852</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first surgery (&lt;2 years)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.616</td>
<td>0.739–3.534</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>3.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first surgery (2–3 years)</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.343–12.445</td>
<td>2.343</td>
<td>12.445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Here, we report several parameters based on the OR and CI to evaluate the probability of a reoperation.
in Europe it is more often delayed till 12–36 months (standard surgery). In our practice, we do not operate children before 11 months due to the higher frequency of reoperation documented for early surgery.\(^\text{13}\) According to our data, inferior oblique hyperfunction evaluation is rarely accurate in very small children. Furthermore, potentially incomplete amblyopia treatment, angle instability, and delayed maturation of sensorimotor system, and possibility of at least partially spontaneous resolution justify our choice.

In our study, 50 (9.52%) children had a reoperation. Data from the literature report reoperation rate as variable. A reoperation rate of 34% was found by Trigler and Siatkowski,\(^\text{14}\) 16–21% by Foster et al,\(^\text{15}\) 28% by Maruo et al,\(^\text{16}\) and 20% by Teller et al.\(^\text{17}\) In the “Early versus Late Infantile Strabismus Surgery Study”,\(^\text{13}\) patients in an early-surgery cohort had 1.18 surgeries per patient compared with 0.99 surgeries per patient in late-surgery cohort. Simonsz and Eijkemans,\(^\text{18}\) in a recent and detailed study, analyzed the rate of reoperation and rate of spontaneous resolution in IE. The meta-regression analysis, including 12 studies, has shown reoperations rates between 60% and 80% for children first operated approximately at 1 year of age and ~25% for children operated around at 4 years of age. The authors conclude accordingly that the benefit of early surgery for gross binocular vision is balanced by a higher reoperation rate.

Concerning the operation type, comparing our experience with literature data, we can state that the surgical approach of bilateral medial recti recession, bilateral lateral recti resection, and inferior oblique recession and anteroposition guarantee a good surgical result.\(^\text{19}\)

Another factor to evaluate is the role of family history of strabismus in IE. In the literature, frequency of family history of strabismus is reported to be between 6% and 74%.\(^\text{13,14,20}\) In our patient cohort, the percentage of positive family history of strabismus is relatively low (9.9%). Our statistical model shows a higher risk of unsuccessful motor alignment at first surgery in children with a family history for strabismus (OR 2.4; \(P=0.042\)). We can speculate about the existence of genetically determined strabismogenic factors influencing both development of strabismus and treatment failure.

Unlike previous studies, we found a lower risk of reoperation in male sex (OR 0.37; \(P=0.003\)). In our sample, the percentage of male sex in the group of children requiring just one operation is 61% while it is 40% in the group of children who underwent reoperation. Trigler and Siatkowski\(^\text{14}\) found a similar frequency of male sex, 55% in the group requiring only one operation, 45% in the group requiring two or more operations, but the difference was not statistically significant.

Presence of hypertropia at presurgical evaluations affects motor outcome determining a significant lower risk of reoperation (OR 0.27; \(P=0.025\)). This finding is probably justified by the fact that in some children hypertropia may appear at a later stage, after the first intervention has been performed. Our study is not sufficient to provide data about the time of presentation of motor defects and relate the latter to the effect on treatment outcome.

Smaller preoperative angles are usually associated with a favorable motor outcome.\(^\text{14}\) In contrast with this study, we found that the entity of preoperative angle does not affect the risk of reoperation.

Deviation variability, antiamblyopic occlusion therapy, optical correction, lateral recti hypofunction, alphabetical variations (V or A variations), absence of bilateral symmetric upshoot, DVD, nyctagmus, anomalous head positions, amblyopia, and cross fixation were not associated with the need of reoperation.

**Conclusion**

We found that demographic factors, such as sex and family strabismus history, are associated with a higher risk of reoperation. On the other hand, some clinical features, including a few factors classically associated with worst motor outcome, such as preoperative angle, DVD, and amblyopia, did not influence, in our cohort, the incidence of reoperation. Patients with hypertropia in preoperative examinations have a significantly decreased reoperation rate. Concerning the timing of surgery, the first operation after 36 months of life is associated with a higher frequency of reoperation than children operated before 24 months of life; on the basis of the earlier considerations concerning binocular vision and reoperation rate, we suggest performing IE surgery between 12 and 24 months to achieve an optimal motor alignment.
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