Biomarkers in critical illness: have we made progress?
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Abstract: Biomarkers have emerged as exemplary key players in translational medicine. Many have been assessed for timely recognition, early treatment, and adequate follow-up for a variety of pathologies. Biomarker sensitivity has improved considerably over the last years but specificity remains poor, in particular when two “marker-sensitive” conditions overlap in one patient. Biomarker research holds an enormous potential for diagnostic and prognostic purposes in postoperative and critically ill patients who present varying degrees of inflammation, infection, and concomitant (sub)acute organ dysfunction or failure. Despite a remarkable progress in development and testing, biomarkers are not yet ready for routine use at the bedside.
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Biomarkers: protagonists of translational medicine

Biomarkers hold considerable promise in current translational medicine. We previously discussed the role of biomarkers for early diagnosis of acute kidney injury (AKI) in critically ill patients. Meanwhile, other biomarkers have found the way from bench to bedside. Clinical experience has shown that some of these markers may become powerful tools in the management of critically ill patients. However, the complexity of acute disease conditions exhibits some pitfalls for biomarker use that need further investigation.

Renal biomarkers easily outperform “traditional” variables such as creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate to predict occurrence and outcome of AKI.1–3 However, many questions remain. Whether biomarkers can improve the approach to the sick or compromised kidney, in particular regarding timely initiation of continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), has insufficiently been clarified. Moreover, biomarkers lack specificity in case of sepsis-induced AKI or in AKI associated with the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Also, a potential role of biomarkers to assist in decision processes for admission or discharge in emergency and intensive care units (ICUs) has not been established.

The present paper aims to briefly update actual knowledge on the (potential) use of biomarkers in critically ill patients.

Biomarkers and AKI: growing up from the cradle

AKI is a major determinate of morbidity and mortality in critically ill patients. Crucial issues are early detection of the at-risk population and timely start of therapy. In this context, biomarkers may have a decisive preemptive role.
Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL)

Patients with predominant cardiorenal syndrome and high serum concentrations of NGAL were found to be at risk of developing AKI, even in the absence of oliguria and increased creatinine levels. NGAL-positive patients also more frequently require renal replacement therapy and have higher mortality rates. This new entity, divulged by using a biomarker as “subclinical AKI”, suggested that current concepts and definition of AKI might need reassessment.

Cycle cell arrest biomarkers

The SAPHIRE study showed that two novel cell cycle arrest biomarkers, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 and urinary insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7, largely outperformed existing markers. Whether these markers can predict the need for or duration of renal replacement therapy is currently under investigation. Studies in ICU patients will help to identify the potential of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2/insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7 for early detection of postoperative or antimicrobial-related toxic AKI.

Cystatin C (Cys-C)

High serum Cys-C levels at admission were found to predict subclinical AKI in patients admitted in the ICU.

Vitamin-D-binding protein, kidney injury molecule-1, and interleukin-18

These biomarkers have been subject of very recent investigations. Vitamin-D-binding protein (VDBP) is a low molecular weight protein that is filtered through the glomerulus as a 25-(OH) vitamin D3/VDBP complex. In the normal kidney, VDBP is reabsorbed and catabolized by proximal tubular epithelial cells reducing urinary excretion to trace amounts. Acute tubular injury is expected to result in urinary VDBP loss. VDBP and kidney injury molecule-1 were identified as early and very sensitive markers of contrast-induced nephropathy, whereas interleukin-18 and kidney injury molecule-1 predicted early-stage AKI in burn patients.

Biomarkers of sepsis: a seven-league boots advance

Sepsis is the most frequent cause of death in noncoronary ICUs. Despite better awareness and more efficient therapeutic support, the mortality of sepsis remains unacceptably high. In view of an often skewed clinical presentation, laboratory diagnosis is of utmost importance. During the past decade, a myriad of biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis in septic patients has been proposed. However, most tests are either poorly sensitive or too complex and time consuming to be relevant for bedside use. An ideal biomarker has exquisite diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, high availability, is easy-to-use for decision making at the bedside, and cost-effective. C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) are the preferred markers of sepsis. Yet, many caveats regarding their use need consideration. CRP is produced in the liver and often fails to distinguish infection from inflammation in chronic liver disease. CRP also performs poorly in immunosuppressed patients (eg, patients receiving corticosteroids and transplant recipients). PCT has been promoted as a more infection-specific marker as it is generated by parafollicular thyroid cells and neuroendocrine cells in the lungs and intestine. PCT performs better than CRP, but many clinicians are reluctant to use it as a gold standard. A highly relevant issue is the behavior of CRP and PCT in patients undergoing CRRT. CRP is a 115 kDa molecule formed by five monomers that are bound together by relatively fragile calcium bridges. Most CRP circulates in the blood as a 22–25 kDa monomer. Theoretically, monomeric CRP is removed by CRRT as its molecular weight is below the cutoff of classical membranes (ie, 35 kDa). In addition, monomeric CRP is also removed by adsorption on the dialysis membrane. PCT is a small 13 kDa molecule and is only moderately removed by convection during CRRT. However, highly adsorptive membranes such as the AN69 (including the surface-treated type) and polymethylmethacrylate filters significantly boost PCT removal. The increasing application of highly adsorptive membranes for CRRT in most ICUs may significantly undermine the diagnostic and prognostic value of CRP and PCT as markers of infection.

An upcoming marker could be hemoglobin subunit beta (HBB), a component of hemoglobin. Hemoglobin is the main carrier of oxygen and carbon dioxide in cells of the erythroid lineage and ensures tissue oxygen delivery throughout the body. Blood HBB levels in septic patients are significantly higher than those in healthy volunteers, and values in septic shock exceed those found in severe sepsis. HBB levels apparently correlate with the degree of sepsis-induced endothelial cell dysfunction and thus may closely reflect sepsis severity.

An area of particular clinical interest is the behavior of biomarkers dedicated to detect a specific pathology (such as NGAL for AKI) in sepsis. Indeed, increased NGAL levels are also observed in many septic patients. Moreover, as up to
Biomarkers of ARDS: looking beyond the lung?

ARDS is a life-threatening complication of acute diseases such as sepsis, pneumonia, trauma, acute pancreatitis, aspiration of gastric contents, and near drowning. ARDS is characterized by diffuse alveolar injury, lung edema, neutrophil-derived inflammation, and surfactant dysfunction. Clinical manifestations are decreased lung compliance, severe hypoxemia, and bilateral pulmonary infiltrates. Sensitive biomarkers in plasma, tracheobronchial aspirate, or bronchoalveolar lavage fluid would surely improve severity staging and facilitate differentiation between mild, moderate, and severe ARDS. Also, changes in specific biomarker concentrations may quantitate evolving or receding lung injury and identify the activation of specific types of lung cells (eg, epithelial vs endothelial cells, neutrophils vs macrophages). This might represent an immense step forward in evaluating the patient’s evolution under current or experimental therapy. Among recently proposed markers, endocan aka endothelial cell-specific molecule-1 has considerable potential. This soluble 50 kDa dermatan sulfate proteoglycan is secreted by both pulmonary and kidney vascular endothelial cells. Plasma levels are dramatically increased in pneumonia patients with ARDS but not in subjects without ARDS. Endocan is also a promising biomarker to predict disease severity and mortality in patients with ARDS. Angiogenic and inflammatory factors in ARDS patients may be relevant for AKI since they can mediate interorgan “cross talk” expanding into simultaneous occurrence of ARDS and AKI. For example, patients with influenza A/H1N1-induced ARDS who develop AKI excessively produce monocyte chemotactic protein 1, vascular endothelial growth factor, and interferon gamma-induced protein 10. This explosive cocktail likely contributes to kidney injury and is associated with a higher risk of death.

Conclusion

Through the years, biomarker research has advanced by giant leaps. Sensitivity of biomarkers has improved considerably but specificity remains poor, in particular when two “marker-sensitive” conditions overlap in one patient (eg, sepsis and AKI; AKI and ARDS). Biomarkers are already important adjuvant tools for refining and optimizing diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of AKI and infection. In postoperative and critically ill patients who typically present varying degrees of inflammation, infection, and concomitant (sub)acute organ dysfunction or failure, biomarkers are “hot” and promising but not yet ready for prime time.
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