Effectiveness of corifollitropin alfa used for ovarian stimulation of poor responder patients
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Purpose: To evaluate the efficiency and efficacy of corifollitropin alfa (follicle-stimulating hormone–carboxy terminal peptide) in the treatment of poor responder patients.

Methods: A total of 85 poor responder patients with a mean age 40.2±3.9 years entered our assisted fertilization program. The patients were prospectively randomized into two groups based on the ovarian stimulation regimen used: group A (study group) (n=42) received clomiphene citrate and corifollitropin alfa for the first 7 days of stimulation followed by recombinant follicle stimulating hormone (rFSH) in a gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist protocol, and group B (control group) (n=43) received clomiphene citrate and a daily injection of rFSH in a gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist protocol. We analyzed the stimulation outcome, the number of retrieved oocytes, cleaving embryos, and pregnancy and implantation rates as well.

Results: Comparable results were observed between the two groups in terms of demographic data, stimulation outcome, and the number of canceled cycles. There were no differences evident between groups A and B with respect to the number of retrieved oocytes (3.0±0.8 and 2.7±0.7, respectively) and the number of cleaving embryos (1.8±0.6 and 1.7±0.7, respectively). Higher, though not statistically significant, differences were observed in favor of group A compared to group B in terms of pregnancy rate per cycle (19% and 16.3%, respectively), pregnancy rate per transfer (21.6% and 17.9%, respectively), and implantation rate (14.7% and 13.4%, respectively). Also, miscarriage rate was similar between patients treated with corifollitropin alfa and those treated with daily rFSH injection (12.5% and 14.2%, respectively).

Conclusion: The results show that ovarian stimulation with corifollitropin alfa appears to be as efficacious and efficient as daily injection rFSH regimen to treat patients with poor ovarian response.

Keywords: Long-acting FSH, recombinant FSH, ovarian stimulation, ovarian response, pregnancy

Introduction

The ovarian stimulation induction is a prerequisite procedure in assisted fertilization technologies. The recruitment of a sufficient number of growing follicles following ovarian stimulation increases the oocyte yield and subsequently the success rate after in vitro fertilization (IVF) treatment. However, some women exhibit a scarce ovarian response following controlled ovarian stimulation, and this phenomenon is mainly observed in the so-called “poor responder” patients. Poor ovarian response (POR) to gonadotropins affects ~9%–24% of IVF patients, and many other causes could be involved, such as woman’s age, endometriosis, genetic disorders, ovarian surgery, or even iatrogenic factors. Until recently, there was insufficient evidence to uniformly define poor responder patients, and the most common criteria used were based on the low number of recovered oocytes following an adequate ovarian stimulation.
More recently, a first systematic and realistic attempt to identify women who respond poorly to stimulation has been reported by the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology. They established and published the so-called “Bologna Criteria”\textsuperscript{9,10} which include: 1) advanced women’s age (>40 years) or any other risk factor for POR; 2) recovering a few number of oocytes (<3 oocytes) following previous ovarian stimulation; and 3) abnormal ovarian reserve test (antral follicle count <5–7 or antiMullerian hormone <0.5–1.1 ng/mL). However, it has been established that the presence of two of these criteria after maximal stimulation are sufficient to define a patient as poor responder in the absence of advanced maternal age or abnormal ovarian reserve test.\textsuperscript{9,10}

Several ovarian stimulation regimens have been used to treat poor responder women, but the results achieved are still controversial. These protocols include: varying the dose of gonadotropins or the day of menstrual cycle to initiate the stimulation,\textsuperscript{11,12} high dose of gonadotropins in a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) long protocol,\textsuperscript{13,14} administration of GnRH and gonadotropins together in the follicular phase (flare-up protocol),\textsuperscript{15,16} pre-cycle estrogen treatment,\textsuperscript{17} growth hormone co-treatment,\textsuperscript{18} gonadotropin suppression with oral contraception before IVF cycle,\textsuperscript{19} using clomiphene citrate for stimulation\textsuperscript{20} or clomiphene citrate with human menopausal gonadotropin in an antagonist GnRH protocol,\textsuperscript{21} and non-stimulated (nature cycle) IVF treatment.\textsuperscript{22} All these stimulation regimens have been used with a limited success, and adequate number and quality of retrieved oocytes in poor responder women still remain the most challenging items in assisted reproductive technologies.\textsuperscript{23,24}

A novel recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone (rFSH) analog, known as corifollitropin alfa, has recently been introduced for ovarian stimulation in patients undergoing IVF treatment.\textsuperscript{25} It contains an alpha subunit, which is identical to that of FSH, coupled to a hybrid subunit of the beta subunit of human FSH and the carboxy-terminal peptide of the beta subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin.\textsuperscript{26-27} Clinical data on corifollitropin alfa have shown that it has longer half-life and slower absorption rate compared to rFSH.\textsuperscript{28,29} Thus, the administration of a single dose of corifollitropin alfa during the early follicular phase of the menstrual cycle is capable of sustaining follicular development for the first week of stimulation unlike rFSH, which must be injected daily.\textsuperscript{30} Moreover, it has been demonstrated that corifollitropin alfa is well tolerated by patients and its use does not present any adverse events or complications.\textsuperscript{31} Because of its bioactivity profile, some authors have suggested that corifollitropin alfa may have a positive effect on stimulation outcome of poor responder women undergoing IVF treatment.\textsuperscript{32,33}

In the present study, we evaluated the effect of the long-acting FSH and corifollitropin alfa on stimulation and clinical outcomes, when used for ovarian stimulation of poor responder women.

**Methods**

**Patient selection**

A total of 85 poor responder women entered our IVF program from July 2013 to December 2015. The patients were considered poor responder according to “Bologna Criteria”:\textsuperscript{9} 1) advanced women’s age (>40 years); 2) few number of retrieved oocytes (<3 oocytes) following previous ovarian stimulation; and 3) abnormal ovarian reserve test (antral follicle count <5–7 or anti-Mullerian hormone <0.5–1.1 ng/mL).

The patients were included in the study if they fulfilled all criteria or at least two of them. The patients were randomized before gonadotropin stimulation, using a computer-generated random assignment. Sealed envelopes were used until starting the process of randomization in order to conceal treatment allocation. The patients received all the information regarding the nature of the study and gave their written informed consent. The study was approved by One Day Medical Center ethical committee.

The primary parameters considered for statistical analysis were: the number of recovered oocytes, the number of mature metaphase II oocytes, the number of cleaving embryos, pregnancy rate, and implantation rate. We analyzed also the stimulation outcome, ie, canceled cycles, duration of stimulation, serum estradiol level, endometrial thickness on the day of human chorionic gonadotropin administration, fertilization rate, delivery rate, and miscarriage rate.

**Ovarian stimulation**

The patients were prospectively randomized into two groups: corifollitropin (group A), as the study group, and daily rFSH injection (group B) as the control group. In group A, 42 women aged 40.3±3.9 years were stimulated with 150 mg/day clomiphene citrate, starting from days 2 to 6 of the cycle. On day 2 of the cycle, a single dose of 150 μg of corifollitropin alfa (Elonva, Merck Sharp & Dohme Limited, Rome, Italy) was administered and thereafter a dose of 225 IU/day of FSH (Gonal F, Merk Serono, Rome, Italy) was administered daily, starting from day 7 of the cycle onward. In group B, 43 women aged 41±2.6 years received 150 mg/day clomiphene citrate from days 2 to 5 of the cycle and a dose of 225 IU of rFSH was administered...
daily, starting from day 3 of the cycle onward. When the leading follicle reached 14 mm, all patients in both groups received 0.25 mg/day GnRH antagonist. A dose of 10,000 IU of human chorionic gonadotropin (Gonasi HP, IBSA, Lugano, Switzerland) was administered in order to induce the final ovulation maturation and trigger when the leading follicle reached a diameter of 18–20 mm and at least two follicles of 17–18 mm. Ovum pick-up was performed 36 hours after human chorionic gonadotropin administration and the retrieved oocytes were assessed for their maturity. Mature metaphase II oocytes were inseminated by intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection and the resultant viable embryos were transferred into the patient’s uterus. The embryo transfer was performed on day 3 after insemination and a dose of 50 mg/day of progesterone was administered in order to support the luteal phase after embryo transfer.

Statistical analysis
The data were statistically analyzed using the JMP software (version 4.0.4; SAS Corp., Cary, NC, USA). According to the two-tailed hypothesis, the minimum total sample size required was 84 patients, at least 42 patients per group, in order to reach a statistical power of 80% with confidence intervals of 95% and an α level of 0.05. The two-tailed Student’s t-test, Fisher’s exact test, and two-by-two table were used to analyze the parameters between groups where appropriate, and the significance level was set at \( P \leq 0.05 \).

Results
Seventy-six out of the 85 studied women underwent the oocyte retrieval procedure, 37 women in group A and 39 women in group B. Nine patients were canceled because of ovarian response failure to stimulation: five in group A and four in group B. On comparing between the two groups, no statistically significant differences were observed regarding the primary end points (number of retrieved oocytes, number of cleaving embryos, pregnancy and implantation rates, and all studied secondary end points as well).

As depicted in Table 1, demographic data, stimulation outcome, and cancelation rate were similar in both groups. Table 2 shows comparable embryological results, without any relevant significant differences observed between groups A and B in terms of the number of retrieved oocytes (3±0.8 and 2.7±0.7, respectively), the number of mature oocytes (25±0.8 and 2.4±0.8, respectively), and the number of cleaving transferred (1.8±0.6 and 1.7±0.7, respectively). Higher, though not statistically significant, difference in favor of group A compared to B in terms of pregnancy rate per embryo transfer (21.6% in group A and 17.9% in group B), pregnancy rate per cycle (19% in group A and 16.3% in group B), implantation rate (14.7 in group A and 13.4 in group B), and delivery rate per transfer (13.5 in group A and 10.3 in group B). Ongoing pregnancy rate and miscarriage rate were also similar between the two groups (Table 3).

Discussion
Ovarian stimulation is an important step in assisted reproduction technologies. It implements the administration of exogenous gonadotropins to induce the development of a number of follicles, thus increasing the oocyte yield and the availability of transferable embryos. In turn, adequate oocyte recruitment and recovery, following ovarian-controlled hyperstimulation, increases the likelihood of conception. However, not all women undergoing IVF treatment respond adequately to gonadotropins’ stimulation. This phenomenon occurs mainly in the so-called “poor responder” patients.1

Obviously, poor response to gonadotropins’ stimulation still remains the most challenging problem in assisted reproduction field. Several ovarian stimulation approaches have been implemented with the aim to improve ovarian response and

**Table 1** Demographic data and stimulation outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group A corifollitropin + rFSH</th>
<th>Group B clomiphene + rFSH</th>
<th>Odds ratio/95% confidence intervals</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.00 (0.54–1.85)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age ± SD</td>
<td>40.3±3.9</td>
<td>40.9±2.6</td>
<td>1.03 (0.51–2.07)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI ± SD</td>
<td>25.1±1.9</td>
<td>24.9±2.1</td>
<td>1.27 (0.32–5.09)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of canceled patients (%)</td>
<td>5 (11.9)</td>
<td>4 (9.3)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.52–1.80)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients with egg retrieval</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.13 (0.46–2.76)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of stimulation (days)</td>
<td>13.3±4.5</td>
<td>12.4±2.2</td>
<td>1.08 (0.68–1.70)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol level on HCG day (pg/mL)</td>
<td>1,020±580</td>
<td>995±658</td>
<td>1.09 (0.41–2.89)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial thickness on HCG day (mm)</td>
<td>10.6±2.4</td>
<td>10.2±2.1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** No statistically significant differences were observed between both groups.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; HCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; rFSH, recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone; SD, standard deviation.
clinical outcome in poor responder women, but the results are still low and are often controversial.\textsuperscript{11, 21} The main reason for this controversy is due to the difficulties in establishing a uniform definition of poor responder patients, which can be universally applied.\textsuperscript{22} Recently, the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology working group on POR has published a consensus paper describing three criteria to be used to identify women with POR, the so-called “Bologna Criteria”.\textsuperscript{9, 10} Although these criteria remain the most realistic attempt to identify women with a high probability of having reduced ovarian reserves, further research on the various mechanisms and risk factors, which may differently influence the ovarian reserve, are still urgently needed.

Moreover, a recent Cochrane review, analyzing 10 published trials on different stimulation regimens used for the treatment of poor responder patients, did not find sufficient evidence to indicate any stimulation approach for routine clinical use to treat poor responder women.\textsuperscript{24} With the advent of DNA technology, a novel recombinant long-acting gonadotropin, corifollitropin alfa, has been recently produced and became available for clinical application. Its efficacy to stimulate multiple follicular growths has been proven in normal responder’s women undergoing IVF treatment.\textsuperscript{28–30}

A growing body of evidence, as reported in the literature, has widely demonstrated that long-acting FSH (corifollitropin alfa) is noninferior to daily rFSH. A systematic review and meta-analysis included four randomized trials, with a total of 2,326 normal responder patients, on the role of corifollitropin alfa compared to rFSH in a GnRH antagonist downregulation protocol. The analyzed data showed no significant difference in ongoing pregnancy rate, while an increased risk of developing ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome was observed in patients treated with corifollitropin alfa.\textsuperscript{31} More recently, another meta-analysis, analyzing three randomized controlled trials, involving 3,392 normal responder’s women, on corifollitropin alfa compared to rFSH did not evidence any significant differences in all studied parameters, including pregnancy and live birth rates. The authors concluded that a single dose of corifollitropin alfa for the first 7 days of stimulation is similarly effective as daily injected rFSH.\textsuperscript{32} Additionally, a Cochrane review including six randomized controlled trials, with a total of 3,753 patients, resulted in no significant differences between patients treated with the long-acting FSH and daily rFSH. From the analyzed data it was concluded that the long-acting FSH is a safe treatment and equally effective compared to daily FSH, but its efficacy in the treatment of hyper or poor responder women is still to be demonstrated.\textsuperscript{33} Moreover, treatment of older women (aged $\geq 35$ to $\leq 42$ years) with corifollitropin alfa has proven to be as efficacious as daily rFSH in terms of the number of recovered oocytes, pregnancy rate, and live birth rate.\textsuperscript{38}

### Table 2 Embryological characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group A corifollitropin + rFSH</th>
<th>Group B clomiphene + rFSH</th>
<th>Odds ratio/95% confidence intervals</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients with egg retrieval</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.97 (0.52–1.80)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of recovered oocytes (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>114 (3.0±0.8)</td>
<td>109 (2.7±0.7)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.57–1.63)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of mature inseminated oocytes (% mean ± SD)</td>
<td>92 (80.7) (2.5±0.8)</td>
<td>94 (86.2) (2.4±0.8)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.61–1.77)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of fertilized 2PN oocytes (% mean ± SD)</td>
<td>72 (78.2) (1.2±0.6)</td>
<td>74 (78.7) (1.8±0.6)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.59–1.83)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of cleaving embryos (% mean ± SD)</td>
<td>66 (91.6) (1.8±0.6)</td>
<td>67 (90.5) (1.7±0.7)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.59–1.83)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: No statistically significant differences were observed between both groups.

Abbreviations: rFSH, recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 3 Clinical outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group A corifollitropin + rFSH</th>
<th>Group B clomiphene + rFSH</th>
<th>Odds ratio/95% confidence intervals</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients who underwent embryo transfer</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.97 (0.52–1.80)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of embryos transferred ± SD</td>
<td>1.8±0.6</td>
<td>1.7±0.7</td>
<td>1.04 (0.59–1.83)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical pregnancies per embryo transfer (%)</td>
<td>8 (21.6)</td>
<td>7 (17.9)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.27–2.51)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical pregnancies per started cycle (%)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.85 (0.28–2.56)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implantation rate (%)</td>
<td>9/61 (14.7)</td>
<td>9/67 (13.4)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.33–2.44)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing pregnancies per transfer (%)</td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td>2 (5.1)</td>
<td>0.94 (1.13–7.09)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery rate per transfer (%)</td>
<td>5 (13.5)</td>
<td>4 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.19–3.04)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriage rate per pregnancy (%)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (14.2)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.06–21.87)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: No statistically significant differences were observed between both groups.

Abbreviations: rFSH, recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone; SD, standard deviation.
Nevertheless, in all preliminary studies on corifollitropin alfa, poor responder patients were excluded from studied population due to their reduced ovarian reserve,\textsuperscript{37} but in our study we used a single dose of corifollitropin alfa administered in the early follicular phase of the menstrual cycle to induce multiple follicular recruitment and growth in poor responder patients undergoing IVF treatment. Previous studies have suggested that corifollitropin alfa might have a valuable role during the early phase of follicular development and growth. Based on the peculiar pharmacokinetic profile and bioactivity of corifollitropin alfa, which is characterized by around two-fold longer half-life in comparison to rFSH, it allows a sustained FSH activity during early follicular recruitment and development. This is a critical step for ovarian stimulation, particularly in the treatment of poor responder women.\textsuperscript{28,39}

The use of corifollitropin alfa to treat poor responder patients was first reported by Polyzos et al in two retrospective published studies.\textsuperscript{32,40} In one study, they retrospectively compared poor responder patients stimulated with corifollitropin alfa plus rFSH in a GnRH antagonist regimen, and poor responder patients stimulated with the standard human menopausal gonadotropin in a GnRH agonist protocol. The obtained results were comparable and the authors concluded that there is no relevant difference between the two groups regarding all studied parameters.\textsuperscript{32} In another retrospective study, the same authors reported on ovarian stimulation of two groups of poor responder women, based on their age: $\leq$40 years and $\geq$40 years groups. The patients in both groups were stimulated using corifollitropin alfa plus highly purified human menopausal gonadotropin in a GnRH antagonist regimen. The author observed a statistically higher pregnancy rate in favor of patients aged $\leq$40 years compared to those aged $\geq$40 years (28% and 0%, respectively), whereas all other studied parameters were similar between the two groups.\textsuperscript{40} Recently, a randomized controlled trial showed that there were no statistically significant differences in all studied parameters, including pregnancy and live birth rates, between poor responder patients treated with corifollitropin alfa and those treated with daily rFSH (follitropin beta).\textsuperscript{41} Additionally, Rinaldi and Selman\textsuperscript{31} have retrospectively compared poor responder women treated with corifollitropin alfa plus rFSH in a GnRH antagonist regimen and those stimulated with daily rFSH injection in a GnRH antagonist regimen. The achieved results were similar between the two groups for all analyzed parameters, including pregnancy and implantation rates. As the study was analyzed retrospectively and a small number of patients were included, the authors have addressed further studies on the possible positive effect of corifollitropin alfa when used for ovarian stimulation in poor responder patients.\textsuperscript{33}

In the present prospective randomized study, we attempt ovarian stimulation in poor responder patients using a single dose of corifollitropin alfa administered in early follicular phase followed by rFSH in comparison to rFSH daily administered to stimulate poor responder women. In both gonadotropin treatment protocols, clomiphene citrate was added because it has an antiestrogen activity and is capable of interfering with endogenous estrogen in the pituitary gland and hypothalamus by blocking the receptor-binding sites through a feedback-mediating mechanism, resulting in higher production of FSH and LH hormones by the pituitary gland. It also activates the production of a high level of gonadotropins, induces the ovarian follicle’s development, maturation, and ovulation. However, although a general agreement on the specific role of clomiphene citrate in ovarian stimulation is still lacking,\textsuperscript{32} some authors have suggested that it may have a positive effect in the treatment of patients with poor ovarian reserve.\textsuperscript{20,43–45}

Our results show that corifollitropin alfa exhibits similar results compared with daily injected rFSH when used to stimulate patients who respond poorly to ovarian stimulation with standard stimulation regimens. Nevertheless, no significant differences were observed between the two groups in terms of all studied parameters, including number of retrieved oocytes, mature oocytes, fertilization rate, pregnancy rate, and implantation rate; evidence of a trend was observed in favor of the patients stimulated with corifollitropin alfa plus rFSH compared to those treated with daily rFSH.

**Conclusion**

Our study shows that corifollitropin alfa appears to be as efficacious and efficient as a daily rFSH stimulation regimen, and suggests that it can be a reliable alternative stimulation regimen to treat patients with poor ovarian reserve. However, an additional study on a large number of patients should be addressed in order to establish the effective role of the long-acting FSH, corifollitropin alfa, in patients who respond poorly to gonadotropin stimulation.
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