Invasive atypical thymic carcinoid: three case reports and literature review
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Abstract: Atypical thymic carcinoid is an extremely rare thymic neuroendocrine tumor derived from the neuroendocrine system. The aims of this paper were to investigate the clinical features of atypical thymic carcinoid and collate information and experience to improve the diagnosis and treatment of this disease. We describe three cases of atypical carcinoid of the thymus; clinical features, pathological data, treatment modalities, and short-term patient outcomes were summarized and analyzed. The initial clinical symptoms and signs of all three patients were nonspecific and an anterior mediastinal mass was found in each patient on chest computed tomography scan. All three patients underwent surgical resection (total thymectomy and complete excision of the tumor), followed by postoperative radiotherapy, with or without chemotherapy. The diagnoses of three patients were confirmed by pathological and immunohistochemical evaluation. We also present a review of the literature to collate as much information as possible and provide a reference for proper diagnosis and treatment of atypical thyroid carcinoid.
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Introduction

Thymic neuroendocrine tumors were first described by Rosai and Higa.1 The total number of the reported cases internationally to date is ~4002 and atypical thymic carcinoid represents an extremely rare group among these with an annual incidence of ~0.18 per 1,000,000 population.3 The total number of atypical thymic carcinoid cases reported internationally to date is just over 100.4 Patients with atypical thymic carcinoid usually present with nonspecific symptoms and approximately half of the cases have no obvious clinical manifestations.5 These characteristics reduce the likelihood that patients will seek medical attention at an early stage, and such tumors may even initially escape detection, due to their location. However, atypical thymic carcinoid tumors tend to have an aggressive clinical course. These neoplasms exhibit local recurrence or distant metastasis in ~20%–30% of patients,6 and previously reported overall 5-year survival rates range from 56% to 77%.7 In order to improve the effectiveness of the treatment of patients with atypical thymic carcinoid, it is essential that clinicians are able to recognize these tumors. In this report, we describe three cases which offer insights valuable for future study of atypical thymic carcinoid.

Case reports

Three cases of atypical thymic carcinoid tumor were collected from the department of thoracic surgery of our hospital from 1999 to 2015. All subjects gave written informed consent to have their data/images published in this report. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Shandong Cancer Hospital.
Case 1
A 37-year-old male patient was hospitalized as a result of the discovery of an anterior mediastinal mass during physical examination in 2012 at another hospital. Mediastinal tumor resection was performed and a diagnosis of atypical thymic carcinoid tumor was made, based on histological evaluation. After the first operation, case 1 underwent six cycles of chemotherapy consisting of docetaxel (60 mg/m² on day 1 and 80 mg/m² on day 8) and cisplatin (60 mg/m² on days 1 and 2).

Two years later, the patient was readmitted to our hospital due to chest pain, and relapsing masses were found. Physical examinations were normal. The patient had a history of smoking for more than 10 years. He did not have a previous history of long-term medication or of exposure to chemicals, smog, or dust, a family genetic history, or a history of cancer. A routine blood test was normal, and laboratory tests revealed normal liver and kidney functions. The total serum calcium level was 2.90 mmol/L (normal range, 2.03–2.54 mmol/L). The serum tumor marker levels were as follows: neuron-specific enolase (NSE) was 15.19 ng/mL (normal <17 ng/mL). Computed tomography (CT) scans of the chest revealed an anterior mediastinal mass of 1.8 cm in the greatest dimension. Thymectomy was performed for this case, with partial resection of the surrounding tissues, as described in Table 1. Postoperative pathological analysis confirmed the diagnosis of atypical carcinoid, Masaoka stage III.

After the second operation, case 1 underwent adjuvant radiation therapy (50 Gy/25 f) and chemotherapy (VIP protocol: ifosfamide intravenous drip on days 1–5, cisplatin 40 mg intravenous drip on days 1–4, and etoposide 100 mg intravenous drip on days 1 and 2).

The response to the therapy of case 1 was evaluated as complete response. Adverse events related to radiotherapy and chemotherapy in case 1 were grade 1 in the gastrointestinal system and grade 2 in the bone marrow.

Case 2
A 75-year-old male patient was admitted to our hospital in August 2015 with complaints of chest tightness and discomfort while climbing stairs. Physical examinations were normal. Laboratory test results were similar to those of case 1. The total serum calcium level was 2.50 mmol/L and NSE was 13.69 ng/mL. A CT scan revealed an anterior mediastinal mass measuring 4.5 cm in the greatest dimension. Thoracoscopic tumor resection and right upper lobe wedge resection were performed. After the operation, only radiotherapy was administered at the same radiation dose as described for case 1, as the patient refused to accept chemotherapy. The response to radiotherapy was evaluated as complete response.

Case 3
A 55-year-old male patient was admitted to our hospital in October 2015 because an anterior mediastinal mass was found during a routine physical examination. There were no associated complaints of hemoptysis, cough, chest pain, or any significant past medical or surgical history. Laboratory tests results were as follows: total serum calcium level 2.53 mmol/L and NSE 19.27 ng/mL. Radiological investigations revealed a mediastinal mass measuring 8 cm in its largest dimension (Figure 1). Thymectomy was performed for this case, along with sternotomy mediastinal tumor resection and left upper lobe wedge resection. The administration of adjunctive therapy was the same as that for case 2.

Pathological findings
Although the dimensions of the gross tumor specimens were quite different in the three cases, at 18×18×10, 42×38×45,
and 57×79×54 mm for cases 1, 2, and 3, respectively, the microscopic features were quite similar. Hematoxylin–eosin staining demonstrated that the tumor cells were arranged in a nested, trabecular, or pseudorosette pattern, with mild atypia and homogeneous staining in nuclei and chromatin in all three cases (Figure 2). Immunohistochemistry of tumor samples of the three cases demonstrated positivity for CD56, CAM5.2, CgA, CK, and Syn (Figure 3A–E), and staining indices for Ki-67 of between 5% and 20% (Figure 3F). Three identified tumors were at stage III during presentation.

For case 1, recurrence occurred 2 years after the first operation, and bone metastasis was found 5 months after case 1 underwent the second operation and treatment. Cases 2 and 3 are currently free of recurrence, while case 1 is also alive with a recurrent tumor. Detailed information for the three patients is summarized in Table 1.

Discussion

Atypical thymic carcinoid is a rare type of thymic neuroendocrine tumor, and approximately one-third of patients with atypical thymic carcinoid tumors are asymptomatic; therefore, the detailed clinical features of these tumors are not well defined. This hinders accurate diagnosis of the disease at an early stage, which is essential to ensure prompt treatment, given the poorer prognosis of atypical thymic carcinoid tumors compared with benign tumors of the thymus.

Classification and clinical manifestations

Thymic neuroendocrine tumors are malignant tumors originating from neuroendocrine cells, which are diffusely distributed in tissues and organs. Based on histological features and the degree of malignancy, such tumors can be divided into four types: typical carcinoid, atypical carcinoid, large cell neuroendocrine tumor, and small cell neuroendocrine tumor. The disease is more common in men than in women, with an average age at diagnosis ranging from 42.0 to 56.5 years. The three cases of atypical thymic carcinoid tumors reported in this paper were male, with an average age of 55.7 years, which is consistent with the literature.

Atypical carcinoid tumor is characterized by a high degree of malignancy and invasiveness without specific clinical features; however, as approximately one-third of patients have no obvious early symptoms and are identified during routine physical examination due to the discovery of an anterior mediastinal mass, the disease is generally already at an advanced stage at diagnosis. The remaining two-third of patients have nonspecific symptoms, which mainly manifest as cough, chest pain, breathing difficulties, and other symptoms related to the anterior mediastinal space-occupying lesions, making it unlikely that patients will receive medical attention at an early stage. In addition, these tumors may produce ectopic hormones, such as serotonin, leading to carcinoid syndrome in ~2% of patients. Ectopic production of adrenocorticotropic hormone, similar to that associated with Cushing’s syndrome, is present in ~25% of patients, and ~15% of patients present with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1, a syndrome associated with thymic carcinoid tumors. In ~20%–40% of patients with atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus, the tumor exhibits invasion of the chest wall, lung, liver, brain, or other organs. In the three cases reported in this paper, two cases had chest discomfort and one had no symptoms. All identified
tumors were at stage III during presentation, confirming the highly aggressive biological behavior of these neoplasms.

Diagnostic examinations

Diagnostic imaging examinations lack specificity for the diagnosis of atypical carcinoid tumors of the thymus. According to National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines, CT scanning with contrast is the initial imaging modality of choice; positron emission tomography-CT is optional for the diagnosis of atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus; and magnetic resonance imaging can also be used as clinically indicated. The following findings on CT images may suggest atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus: 1) a large mass with an irregular or lobulated shape in the mediastinum close to blood vessels or surrounding pericardium and 2) uniform or nonuniform density in plain scan, mild to moderate heterogeneous enhancement in enhanced scan, immature blood vessels, and liquefied necrotic area within the tumor. With the technical advances in multislice spiral CT, the application of magnetic resonance imaging for thymic tumor assessment has gradually reduced. For patients who have a contraindication to iodine contrast agent, magnetic resonance imaging can be used to evaluate the local invasion of the tumor. Although the CT findings in the three cases in this report were consistent with the literature, the diagnosis of atypical carcinoid tumor could not be made without final confirmation by histological evaluation.

The diagnosis of atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus mainly relies on pathological examination. Macroscopically, early-stage tumors are typically smooth and round, while advanced tumors are irregular in shape with calcification. Microscopically, typical carcinoid of the thymus is well differentiated, with little necrosis and a mitotic index <2/10 high-power fields (HPF); atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus is rarer, and well differentiated, with a mitotic index ≥2/10 HPF; poorly differentiated neuroendocrine tumors of the thymus with a mitotic index >2/10 HPF can be further divided into small cell, or large cell, neuroendocrine tumors, based on cell morphology. The diagnosis of atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus is usually confirmed by immunohistochemistry, this knowledge may assist to formulate a proper differential diagnosis. The World Health Organization has defined such tumors as those with strong and diffuse expression, usually of more than one of the four neuroendocrine markers chromogranin A, synaptophysin, CD56, and NSE in more than 50% of tumor cells. Ki-67 index is also used as the index to discern tumor may be the high or low malignancy of tumor. Ki-67 index between 0% and 2% is known as G1 phase; the index between 2% and 20%, G2 phase; and the index between 20% and 100%, G3 phase. The phases G1, G2, and G3 mean well differentiated, middle differentiated, and poorly differentiated, respectively.

The diagnosis of atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus in three cases in this report with anterior mediastinal masses was confirmed by postoperative pathological evaluation.

Differential diagnosis

The diagnosis of atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus is implied by excluding other diagnoses. For patients with
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anterior mediastinal lesions, the differential diagnosis of the lesions includes all kinds of primary mediastinal tumors, principally lymphoma, germ cell tumors, parathyroid adenoma or carcinoma, pericardial cysts, thymoma, and metastatic tumors with other origins. Thymic tumors account for ~47% of anterior mediastinal tumors, including thymoma, thymic carcinoma, thymic cysts, lipomas, and thymic neuroendocrine tumors.

Treatment
First, early surgery is critical for the treatment of patients with atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus, as it has been suggested by the World Health Organization that this disease has a high malignant potential at all stages. Indeed, it has been reported that the prognosis of patients whose tumors have been completely resected was better than that of patients whose tumors could not be completely removed. Rea et al found that the long-term survival (mean 23.8 months) of patients with complete excision is 81.8% while that of patients without complete excision is only 9.1%.20 Weksler reported a 5-year survival rate of 58% in patients who underwent surgical resection versus 26% in patients who did not accept surgery.21 Second, for unresectable or metastatic tumors, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines recommend chemotherapy with or without radiation therapy. Tiffet et al also recommended adjuvant radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy for improved outcomes (no recurrence or distant metastasis).4 Okuma et al found that S-1 for thymic carcinoma achieved an 85% disease control rate.22 In contrast, Gaur4 and Cardillo19 reported a detrimental effect of radiotherapy for those patients who received it versus those who did not, and there was no survival benefit for radiotherapy. Definitive determination of whether the treatment is beneficial for patient survival awaits further studies; however, we believe that chemotherapy and radiotherapy are worth pursuing. Platinum-containing protocols, such as cisplatin and etoposide or taxol and cisplatin can be used for chemotherapy. With regard to radiotherapy, the radiation dose recommended by National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines (2015) is as follows:
1. A dose of 1.8–2.0 Gy per daily fraction is applied with conventional fractionation.
2. A dose of 60–70 Gy should be given to patients with unresectable disease.
3. For adjuvant treatment, the radiation dose consists of 45–50 Gy for clear/close margins and 54 Gy for microscopically positive resection margins. A total dose of 60 Gy and above should be given to patients with gross residual disease (similar to patients with unresectable disease).

In summary, almost every author stresses that complete surgical excision is the treatment of choice for thymic carcinoid. Adjuvant chemoradiation requires further study.

In addition to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, other therapeutic strategies have been reported. For example, Lausi et al found that tyrosine kinase inhibitors, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor inhibitors, somatostatin, histone deacetylase inhibitors, DNA methyltransferase inhibitor, cyclin-dependent, and tropomyosin globulin inhibitors may also be beneficial in the treatment of thymic carcinoid.23 Hamada et al report a case positive for CD117 (KIT) with a good clinical response (no local recurrence or distant metastasis) to imatinibmesylate.24

The postoperative treatment strategy for the three cases with atypical carcinoid tumor of thymus at stage III reported in this paper was consistent with the literature.

Prognosis
Patients with thymic neuroendocrine tumors have a poor prognosis. Even after radical treatment, the overall 5-year survival rate is only 30%–70%.3,4,7 Even though atypical carcinoid tumors of the thymus belong to the group of well differentiated, among these, the overall 5-year survival rate is 56%–77%7 and the overall 10-year survival rate is 30%.25 This is because of the aggressive nature of atypical carcinoid tumors that have high rates of recurrence and metastasis. Unfortunately, the follow-up time for the three cases presented in this report is too short to provide reliable prognostic information.

In conclusion, atypical carcinoid tumor of the thymus has the following features: it occurs more frequently in males than in females, the preoperative diagnosis is difficult, it has a high degree of malignancy and a high rate of metastasis, and postoperative survival rate is relatively low. As the prevalence of the disease is extremely low, prospective studies are not possible; therefore, treatment and diagnostic guidelines for the disease could be based on systemic retrospective studies containing a small number of cases.
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