Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus botulinum toxin injection in chronic migraine prophylaxis: a pilot randomized trial
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Background: Chronic migraine is a prevalent disabling disease, with major health-related burden and poor quality of life. Long-term use of preventive medications carries risk of side effects.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to compare repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) to botulinum toxin-A (BTX-A) injection as preventive therapies for chronic migraine.

Methods: A pilot, randomized study was conducted on a small-scale sample of 29 Egyptian patients with chronic migraine, recruited from Kasr Al-Aini teaching hospital outpatient clinic and diagnosed according to ICHD-III (beta version). Patients were randomly assigned into two groups; 15 patients received BTX-A injection following the Phase III Research Evaluating Migraine Prophylaxis Therapy injection paradigm and 14 patients were subjected to 12 rTMS sessions delivered at high frequency (10 Hz) over the left motor cortex (MC, M1). All the patients were requested to have their 1-month headache calendar, and they were subjected to a baseline 25-item (beta version) Henry Ford Hospital Headache Disability Inventory (HDI), Headache Impact Test (HIT-6), and visual analogue scale assessment of headache intensity. The primary efficacy measures were headache frequency and severity; secondary measures were 25-item HDI, HIT-6, and number of acute medications. Follow-up visits were scheduled at weeks 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 after baseline visit.

Results: A reduction in all outcome measures was achieved in both the groups. However, this improvement was more sustained in the BTX-A group, and both the therapies were well tolerated.

Conclusion: BTX-A injection and rTMS have favorable efficacy and safety profiles in chronic migraineurs. rTMS is of comparable efficacy to BTX-A injection in chronic migraine therapy, but with less sustained effect.
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Introduction

Chronic migraine is the most common type of chronic headache; it affects 1.4%–2.2% of the general population. In an Egyptian study, the 1-year prevalence of chronic migraine was 2.9%. It has a higher impact on health-related quality of life (QoL) due to workday loss and reduced productivity. Cortical spreading depression (CSD) and trigeminovascular activation are the principal pathophysiological mechanisms of aura and migraine pain, respectively. CSD is potentially the first step as it can activate the trigeminovascular system. Many studies found evidence of cortical hyperexcitability or impaired intracortical inhibition in migraineurs. The chronicity of migraine was also attributed to changes in cortical...
Cortical excitability due to more impairment of central inhibition. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS), with its effect on cortical excitability, could be a potential therapeutic approach for migraine. The current Food and Drug Administration-approved treatment of chronic migraine is Botulinum toxin-A (BTX-A) injection, and no new disease-modifying drugs have come into clinical practice since the approval of topiramate and BTX. There is thus clearly a need for more effective pathophysiologically targeted treatment strategies.

The aim of the current study was to compare the effectiveness and safety of BTX-A, being the currently approved standard treatment of chronic headache, to rTMS. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has addressed this question.

Methods
This open-label, randomized study was conducted on 29 patients who were diagnosed with chronic migraine according to The International Classification of Headache Disorders - third edition-III (beta version). They were recruited from Kasr Al-Aini hospital outpatient headache disorders clinic, Cairo University, in the period from June 2013 to March 2015. Patients were randomly assigned to one of two groups; one group received BTX-A (n=15) and the other one received rTMS sessions (n=14). The study was approved by Neurology Department Board in Cairo University, and follows the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to the commencement of the study.

Exclusionary criteria
Patients with headache caused by overuse of medication, other chronic primary/secondary headaches, use of headache prophylaxis medication within 4 weeks of baseline, comorbid psychiatric disorders (including depression Beck’s Depression Inventory score >17 at day 1 of baseline), symptomatic headache, “demonstrable structural lesion by brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI),” patients who responded to medical treatments, and those with the possibility of lack of coherence during follow-up period. Prior to administration of study treatment, women in childbearing period should have a negative urine pregnancy test and have been using a reliable means of contraception.

Screening phase
All the patients were subjected to history taking, clinical assessment, and brain MRI in order to diagnose and to exclude secondary causes. Thereafter, they were requested to complete a baseline, pretreatment headache diary for 1 month in order to assess for headache days/month; attack frequency, duration, severity, characteristics, precipitants, and associated symptoms; and number of weekly acute medications. Initially, 34 patients were recruited, three of them were excluded as they had difficulty in complying with the follow-up visits (they were from northern Egypt), one patient withdrew his informed consent, and one patient failed to complete the screening questionnaire (Figure 1).

Baseline assessment
After completing headache diary for a period of 1 month, patients were subjected to a baseline 25-item Henry Ford Hospital Headache Disability Inventory (HDI). Headache
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Randomization

Patients were then randomly assigned to one of two groups using a computer-based randomization: one group received BTX-A and the other group received rTMS sessions. In the BTX-A group (n=15), Phase III Research Evaluating Migraine Prophylaxis Therapy injection paradigm was adopted, with a total of 155–195 (Botox®) units were injected in a total of 31 sites across seven specific head and neck muscles ± 8 sites (following the pain). BTX-A was diluted with 2 mL of preservative-free normal saline, resulting in a concentration of 5 U/0.1 mL. In the rTMS group (n=14), 20 trains (10-s apart) of 100 stimuli each delivered at high frequency (10 Hz) and 80% of motor threshold (MT), using figure-of-8-shaped coil over the left MC (M1), were delivered to each patient, 3 days a week, for 1 month. The resting MT for the right abductor pollicis brevis muscle was determined using electromyography. MT intensity was defined as the lowest stimulation intensity that, in 10 trials, induced at least five motor-evoked potentials of at least 50 µV peak-to-peak amplitude. A Magstim Rapid® magnetic stimulator (Magstim Co. Ltd, Whitland, Dyfed, UK) was used, and the maximal stimulator output “peak magnetic field” was 1.2 T.

Follow-up visits were scheduled at weeks 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 after baseline visit. In each visit, the headache diary was reviewed by an independent rater in order to assess for headache frequency, severity, and attack-aborting medications. 25-Item HDI and HIT-6 were assessed monthly (at weeks 4, 8, and 12).

Outcome measures

The primary efficacy measures were headache frequency (days/month) and headache severity assessed by VAS; secondary efficacy measures were 25-item HDI, HIT-6, and number of acute medications.

Safety measures

Any adverse event that a subject reported during the study was recorded by the investigators, graded for severity (mild, moderate, or severe) and assessed for its relationship to study treatment (none, possible, probable, or definite). A serious adverse event was defined as one that was fatal, life-threatening, permanently disabling, or required admission to hospital.

Statistical methods

Data management was carried out with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version 17, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Simple descriptive analysis in the form of range, mean ± standard deviations, and frequencies (number of cases) were calculated for numerical data, and qualitative data were described using percent distribution. Efficacy and safety measures were assessed for all patients based on intention to treat. Comparison of BTX-A and rTMS groups in efficacy measures at endpoints using the LOCF was conducted using unpaired Student’s t-test, while the differences between means of the variables from same group before and after intervention were assessed using paired t-test. The chi-square test was used for comparison between the two groups of categorical data or frequency of events. P<0.05 was deemed as significant difference.

Results

Clinical characteristics

A total of 29 Egyptian patients were allocated to either rTMS sessions or BTX-A injection. Their age ranged from 21 to 52 years (mean age: 32.65±7.82 years). They were 10 males (34.5%) and 19 females (65.5%), and the mean duration of migraine was 5.22±3.15 years (ranged from 4 to 11 years). The basic clinical data for both the groups are summarized in Table 1.

Intervention

In BTX-A arm, the mean injected dose was 176.33±16.85 units (Botox®), one patient failed to show up after week 6. In the rTMS group, the mean injected dose was 176.33±16.85 units (Botox®), one patient failed to show up after week 6.

Table 1 Basic clinical data of included patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>BTX-A group (n=15)</th>
<th>rTMS group (n=14)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age range (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>32.81±7.64</td>
<td>31.98±8.12</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: F/M</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>9/5</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of migraine (years)</td>
<td>5.12±2.98</td>
<td>5.61±3.20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of chronicity</td>
<td>19.31±3.73</td>
<td>18.74±4.21</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache days/month</td>
<td>12.01±1.35</td>
<td>11.54±1.08</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attack duration (h)</td>
<td>7.77±1.54</td>
<td>7.65±1.65</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache severity (VAS)</td>
<td>11.91±2.67</td>
<td>12.15±2.89</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days with acute medications/mo</td>
<td>63.41±4.28</td>
<td>63.53±4.55</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIT-6</td>
<td>67.12±6.98</td>
<td>66.45±7.11</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: F, female; HDI, Headache Disability Inventory; HIT-6, Headache Impact Test; M, male; SD, standard deviation; VAS, visual analogue scale; h, hours.
visit. In the rTMS arm, a total of 24,000 pulses were delivered to each patient over 12 sessions (sessions duration: 6.5 min), two patients withdrew their consent during the stimulation period due to worsening headache (Figure 1).

**Primary outcome measures**

Ten patients in BTX-A group (66.7%) achieved a 50% reduction in their headache frequency and 11 patients (73.3%) showed 75% reduction in headache severity by the end of third week of injection session. In rTMS group, 10 patients (71.4%) reported 75% reduction of both headache frequency and severity after 4–5 sessions.

There was a significant reduction of the headache frequency (headache days per month) and headache severity (VAS) in the first follow-up visit (week 4) as compared to the month before treatment in both BTX-A and rTMS groups; with no significant difference between both arms ($P=0.84$). This significant improvement was maintained in visit 2 (week 6) and visit 3 (week 8). In the subsequent two visits (week 10 and week 12), this significant difference was maintained in BTX-A arm ($P<0.02$ and 0.03, respectively); whereas in rTMS arm, the difference in both the parameters (headache frequency and severity) became nonsignificant ($P=0.07$ and 0.09, respectively) (Figure 2).

**Secondary efficacy measures**

There was a significant improvement of the QoL assessed by HDI and reduction in headache disability assessed by HIT-6 in the first follow-up visit (week 4) as compared to the month before treatment in both BTX-A and rTMS groups, with no significant difference between both the arms ($P=0.62$). This significant improvement was maintained through week 8 visit. At the last assessment visit (week 12), this significant difference was maintained in BTX-A arm, whereas in rTMS arm, the difference in both the parameters (HDI and HIT-6) became nonsignificant ($P=0.06$ and 0.21, respectively) (Table 2).

**Safety measures**

No systemic reactions or serious adverse events were recorded. Injections-related adverse events included pain at the site of injection (n=5), hematoma (n=2), and blepharoptosis (n=1); these adverse effects were transitory and did not interfere with the patient activity, and did not need further management. In rTMS group (n=14), two patients (14.29%) experienced headache worsening which compelled them to withdraw their consent and one patient (7.14%) had transient tinnitus on the day of session which lasted for few hours and waned the continuation of sessions.

**Discussion**

There is robust evidence that supports the role of rTMS in migraine prevention. Studies have demonstrated that rTMS sessions over the M1 region help to restore defective intracortical inhibition (ICI) and to normalize excitability in the brains of migraineurs.21 The efficacy of high-frequency rTMS applied to the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) was initially demonstrated in a small sham-controlled pilot study.22 The postulated mechanism of the excitatory effects
of high frequency sessions in pain modulation was through the connectivity of DLPFC with pain processing centers in the brainstem and thalamus. In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, high-frequency (10 Hz) rTMS delivered to the hot spot of the right abductor digiti minimi provided >50% significant reduction in headache frequency and severity with a significant improvement in functional disability when compared to sham treatment. In another study, Teeper et al showed that low-frequency rTMS caused nonsignificant reduction of headache frequency when compared to the sham-treated group. On the contrary, Teo et al found that 10 Hz rTMS over M1 is poorly tolerated by chronic migraine patients, with high dropout rate (50%); however, the number of studied subjects was too small for any conclusion. Scalp discomfort and headaches have commonly been reported in rTMS studies, occurring in up to 40% of cases.

In our series, each session was composed of 2,000 pulses delivered at 10 Hz over MC. This was adopted according to Brighina et al’s assumption, who reported that the motor ICI is significantly lower in migraineurs with subsequent paradoxical increase of intracortical facilitation (ICF). They also found that 1-Hz stimulation reduced motor-evoked potential amplitude and ICF in healthy controls, whereas it caused a significant ICF increase in migraineurs and showed that high frequency (10 Hz) stimulation of MC could potentiate ICI and normalize the cortical excitability through increase in short ICI. On the other hand, the concept of generalized cortical inter-ictal hyper-excitability (mainly in visual cortex) that was proposed in migraine is controversial; as some authorities documented that the MT, a parameter used to estimate MC excitability, was even higher in migraineurs suggesting cortical hypoexcitability. Though, there is no single model of migraine that explains all of the known features of the disorder; yet, altered functional connectivity between periaqueductal gray and cortical (limbic) centers plays an important role in migraine expression. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) has been used in migraine patients to test occipital cortex excitability by measuring phosphene threshold (PT), defined as the minimum intensity of a TMS pulse needed to evoke phosphenes, which is inversely related to the overall level of visual cortex excitability. Important discrepancies among different studies do exist, with some reports found increased, and others found decreased in the inter-ictal PT. These conflicting results make it very difficult to reach a definite conclusion by simple summation of previous results.

In the current series, rTMS sessions were applied on motor area (MC). Positive results of MC stimulation and the absence of significant benefits of active high-frequency rTMS of the DLPFC in other studies point to MC as a more promising target than the DLPFC, for larger trials of noninvasive brain stimulation in patients with chronic migraine. High-frequency stimulation of both MC and DLPFC can result in an analgesic benefit; however, their relative mechanisms are different; while stimulation at the MC activates a strong focal activation in thalamus, insula, cingulate-orbitofrontal junction, and periaqueductal gray (PAG) area, suggesting a top-down activation of the descending pain control system mediated via a motor–thalamus functional linkage; on the other hand, rTMS at the DLPF exerts a top-down inhibitory effect along the ascending midbrain–thalamic–cingulate pathway through the descending fibers from the prefrontal cortex.

Our results revealed significant improvement of both primary and secondary outcomes in both the study arms; however, this improvement was recorded earlier in rTMS group (after 4–5 sessions), but it wanes faster (2 weeks after discontinuation of sessions); on the contrary, in the BTX-A arm, significant improvement was recorded by the end of third week and was maintained till the end of the study period (12 weeks). Although a long-term maintenance of analgesia induced by high-frequency rTMS was established by Hodaj et al, in patients with chronic facial pain, yet they did not include chronic migraine and they used different protocols, with induction phase and maintenance phase for >5 months. However, this study provided an important evidence of “time-locked” effects of rTMS, as authors noticed significantly lower analgesic effect when session duration was shortened; in the present series, the long-term effects of rTMS was not addressed. The most commonly reported adverse events of rTMS in our patients were headache worsening (14.29%) and transient tinnitus (7.14%); in one study, headache was reported in 42% of participants who received active rTMS and in 33% of whom had sham sessions, and this headache was explained by pressing the coil against subjects’ heads for extended periods or by the induced muscle contractions. Most are mild and respond to over-the-counter treatments. Other reported adverse events included pain at the stimulation site, neck pain, muscle aches, dizziness, nausea, tiredness, and tinnitus; however, these adverse events are no more common after real TMS than after sham TMS. Lastly, as for MRI, patients should wear earplugs in order to minimize noise exposure from coil discharge and thus reduce the risk of transient threshold shifts or hearing loss.

A number of limitations should be considered while addressing the results of this study. One concern is the relatively
small sample size; however, this is a pilot study that provides a preliminary sketch for the feasibility and effectiveness of rTMS in chronic migraine; yet a well-structured protocol for larger randomized controlled trials is highly needed. Other limiting factors are the absence of “placebo” arm and the lack of long-term study of rTMS in chronic migraine. To reduce the influence of absence of placebo, a compromised clinical context was adopted that could minimize both the conditioning and the learning processes, being crucial mechanisms underlying placebo effect.40 This was achieved by a structured interview that aimed to clarify the study process and to optimize patients’ expectation especially about the rTMS sessions. Moreover, the follow-up visits were assessed by independent rater to decrease the bias resulting from the interaction between the patient, treating clinician, and treatment environment.41 The long-term efficacy of rTMS is questionable and needs to be elaborated.

In conclusion, chronic migraine is a road less travelled by an effective, yet, time-locked rTMS sessions; however, BTX-A can fill a major unmet need for those patients as an effective and safe preventive strategy that can be offered for those with disabling primary headaches who failed to respond adequately to conventional treatments and those with unacceptable side effects or in whom standard preventive treatments are contraindicated.
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