Overall skin tone and skin-lightening-improving effects with oral supplementation of lutein and zeaxanthin isomers: a double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial
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Purpose: Carotenoids, especially lutein and zeaxanthin isomers (L/Zi), filter blue light and protect skin from environmental factors including high-energy sources. These carotenoids may be able to block the formation of melanin pathways, decrease cytokines, and increase antioxidants.

Subjects and methods: This is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial over a 12-week supplementation period. Fifty healthy people (50 healthy subjects were recruited and 46 subjects completed the study) (males and females, age: 18–45 years) with mild-to-moderate dry skin were included in this study. Skin type of the subjects was classified as Fitzpatrick skin type II–IV scale. Subjects were administered with either an oral dietary supplement containing 10 mg lutein (L) and 2 mg zeaxanthin isomers (Zi) (L/Zi: RR-zeaxanthin and RS (meso)-zeaxanthin) or a placebo daily for 12 weeks. The minimal erythemal dose and skin lightening (L*) were measured via the Chromameter®. The individual typological angle was calculated. Subjective assessments were also recorded.

Results: Overall skin tone was significantly improved in the L/Zi group compared to placebo (P<0.0237), and luminance (L*) values were significantly increased in the L/Zi group. Mean minimal erythemal dose was increased with L/Zi supplementation after 12 weeks of supplementation. L/Zi supplementation significantly increased the individual typological angle.

Conclusion: L/Zi supplementation lightens and improves skin conditions.
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Introduction

Free radicals and imbalance of antioxidant activity1 lead to oxidative stress. Breakdown of DNA, lipids, and proteins and pathogenesis of cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, neurodegenerative diseases, and skin aging2,3 are caused due to oxidative stress conditions. Dietary antioxidants may protect against oxidative damage and free radical damage due to high-energy sources. Lutein (L) and zeaxanthin (Z) are two of the most abundant macular carotenoids present in the diet and are responsible for the bright colors of many fruits and vegetables. Lutein (L) and zeaxanthin isomers (Zi) differ by location of a single double bond. Zeaxanthin isomers are (3R, 3′R)-zeaxanthin and (3R, 3′S)-zeaxanthin (also called as meso-zeaxanthin). These macular carotenoids are present in the macula of the retina. Humans are unable to synthesize macular carotenoids and hence supplementation of macular carotenoids will meet their requirements. Many commercially available lutein/zeaxanthin preparations extracted
from the marigold flower (Tagetes erecta L.) are available. Lutein and zeaxanthin are potent antioxidants, and they can filter high-energy blue light. Thus, Xanthophylls may be protective against photoinduced oxidative damage.

Lutein and zeaxanthin isomers are naturally occurring carotenoids available in several different fruits, vegetables, legumes, skin of seafoods, and eggs. Lutein (L) and zeaxanthin isomers (Zi) may inhibit lipid peroxidation and quench singlet oxygen. Antioxidants in the body and skin protect against ultraviolet A (UV A) radiation, by 50%. L/Zi degradation (ie, malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxyalkenals) present in skin cells. Furthermore, lutein and zeaxanthin isomers may inhibit the peroxidation of membrane lipids and protect the skin from high-energy sources. As such, consumption of lutein and zeaxanthin isomers may provide benefits to skin, including protection against blue light or high-energy sources causing oxidative damage to skin. Fifty percent of the total skin oxidative burden is generated by visible light. High doses of infrared radiation were observed to generate highly reactive singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radicals in human skin. In addition, blue light violet irradiation may cause degradation of cutaneous carotenoids by 13.5% and 21.2% directly after irradiation at 50 J/cm² and 100 J/cm², respectively (P<0.05), that indirectly indicate the amount of generated free radicals, especially reactive oxygen species (ROS), in human skin.

Lutein and zeaxanthin have been shown to protect human lens cells and rat kidney fibroblasts against oxidative damage in vitro. The decrease in antioxidant enzymes in rat kidney fibroblasts exposed to ultraviolet A (UVA) radiation was inhibited with lutein. Furthermore, cells incubated with lutein significantly decrease oxidative stress metabolites and degradation (ie, malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxyalkenals) induced by ultraviolet B (UVB) irradiation, by 50%. L/Zi protection of tissues from oxidative stress was demonstrated in animal studies. Supplementation with lutein and zeaxanthin protected SKh-1 hairless mice from photoaging. In L/Z-treated mice, increase in survival time, decrease in tumor multiplicity and total tumor volume, and reductions in skin-fold thickness and number of infiltrating mast cells were observed.

González et al compared the effects of 0.4% or 0.04% lutein- and zeaxanthin-enriched diets with the effects of a standard control diet, which showed a significant decrease in UVB-induced skin inflammation. Furthermore, there was a significant decrease in apoptotic cells and cell proliferation in the lutein- and zeaxanthin-fed mice compared with control mice.

To date, only four clinical studies have reported the effects of supplementation with lutein and zeaxanthin on oxidative damage or skin health. These small studies demonstrated that L/Zi supplementation may reduce oxidative stress and improve skin hydration.

This study investigated the efficacy of lutein (10 mg/d) and zeaxanthin isomers (2 mg/d) oil suspension softgel capsule in comparison with a placebo in improving skin color, photoprotective activity, and overall skin tone in healthy male and female adults. The study was conducted based on international standards of Good Clinical Practice, applicable government regulations, as well as institutional research policies and procedures.

### Subjects and methods

#### Subjects

This study is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with a 12-week supplementation period. Fifty subjects were recruited and 25 subjects were randomized to each treatment. Forty-six subjects completed the study. Four subjects dropped due to personal reasons. Adult male and female volunteers were enrolled in this study. After screening, subjects were randomized to placebo or actives [L/Zi] supplementation.

Study population criteria: Subjects were normal healthy people aged 18–45 years, subjects with mild-to-moderate dry skin (determined by the investigator) and Fitzpatrick skin type II–IV scale were included (Table 1). In addition, subjects were nonsmokers, with body mass index of 20–34 kg/m², normal values for clinical blood chemistry, hematology, and normal urine values for standard chemistry. Subjects were requested to avoid carotenoid-rich diets including lutein/zeaxanthin isomer-rich foods and instructed to follow the dietary restriction list provided for 10 days during the study period. Subjects were requested to avoid exposure to high-energy sources including sunbath and requested to complete all clinic visits and to fill the diaries/records.

Subjects were requested avoid cosmetics such as sunscreen and cosmetic procedures, ie, chemical peels, microdermabrasion, and laser treatment on the forearms and face and to use only the bodywash and body cream provided for the entire 12-week study duration and to follow specific instructions related to their use on study visit days. Subjects were instructed to give signed informed consent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Fitzpatrick scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exclusion criteria include subjects who used retin-A or a retinoid, alpha-hydroxy acids, salicylic acid, or vitamin C for 3 months before enrollment or using any topical medication on their face. Subjects were excluded if they had a history of, or current, disease or condition on the skin found by the investigator dermatologist (eg, atopic skin, acne vulgaris, facial scars, psoriasis, eczema, and other scaly inflammatory diseases); history of, or current, diagnosis of uncontrolled chronic conditions, use of any dietary supplement, over-the-counter, or prescription product with a sign of improving the appearance or condition of the skin (eg, antioxidants, anti-aging retinoids, antibiotics, corticosteroids) within 1 month enrollment; and with a history of severe alcohol consumption or drug abuse in the past year (obtained through medical history). Subjects were excluded if they were participating in another intervention, positive pregnancy test in women of childbearing potential, pregnant or breastfeeding or planning on becoming pregnant, and women of childbearing potential not using effective nonhormonal contraception.

Ethical approval
The study protocol was approved by an independent institutional review board/Ethics Review Committee IntegReview, Austin, TX, USA. The study trial was registered at ISRCTN #15646478.

Investigational product
The investigational product, Lutemax® 2020 soft gelatin capsules (Actives [L/Zi]: lutein 10 mg and 2 mg zeaxanthin isomers, obtained from the extract of dried flowers of marigold, Tagetes species) supplied by OmniActive Health Technologies Ltd., India. A matched placebo (safflower oil) in size and appearance was used. Subjects were instructed to take one softgel daily with water, after breakfast for 12 weeks.

Methods
Vital signs and medical history
Blood pressure, pulse rate, breathing rate, and body temperature were recorded. Medical/cosmetic history and diaries were collected from all the subjects.

Subjective assessments and skin elasticity
Subjects completed a self-assessment questionnaire to assess overall skin tone (firmness, dryness, radiance and texture, and appearance of pores), facial discoloration, facial lines, and wrinkles based on the clinical evaluations obtained using the 10-point visual analog scale (where 0=none, 1–3=mild, 4–6=moderate, and 7–9=severe). Overall skin health assessments such as skin tone were assessed using a visual analog scale questionnaire. Overall skin tone is defined as overall skin color and a reflection of skin health.

Cutometer® MPA 580 (Courage + Khazaka electronic GmbH, Germany) was used to measure forearm skin elasticity.

Skin color and photoprotective activity assessments
Minolta Chromameter CR-400 was used to measure photoprotective activity and skin surface redness 24 hours after exposure of the right forearm to 60 mJ/cm² UVA/UVB light (280–320 nm) from a 150 W solar stimulator. Standard procedures and methods were used to determine minimum erythemal dose (MED) for untreated skin before initiation of the study. MED for treated skin was determined during the study period.

Chromametry (Chromameter Minolta CR-200, Ahrensburg, Germany) using three-dimensional color system (L-, a-, and b-values) was used to measure skin color. Three different measures to indicate skin color were determined. Lightness of skin was measured by L-values, sallowness was an indicative of b-values (blue/yellow axis), red/green axis was a measure of a-values (red/green axis), and erythema formation to quantify skin responses to high-energy sources. Individual typological angle (ITA°) is an index that determines the skin color or skin pigmentation degree of a subject.

$$\text{ITA}° = \left[ \text{Arc tan} \left( \frac{(L^* - 50)}{b^*} \right) \right] \times 180 \quad (1)$$

VISIA-CR® 2.2
The Canfield VISIA-CR® 2.2 Facial Imaging Booth w/Canon 5D Professional Digital body gives photographs of the face during the study. The hardware controlled and images are data-based analyzed using Canfield software. A photoconsent form was provided to the subject for permission to use the photographs.

With regard to the clinical photographs, the only variable during the study was condition of the skin. Therefore, anything extraneous to the skin (jewelry, makeup, clothing, etc) was eliminated from the photographic fields from baseline to the last series of photographs. Lighting, framing, exposure, and reproduction ratios were held constant. At the end of the study, the photographs simulate a time-lapsed movie.

Compliance: Subjects completed compliance records daily to document that the daily doses have, indeed, been consumed and that they consumed according to dosing
instructions (ie, after the breakfast meal). Compliance was measured by counting the number of unused study products collected during the midpoint and last visits.

Safety: Adverse events information based on subject reports and safety clinical chemistry measures were recorded. Clinical biochemistries such as hematology, hemoglobin, components of complete blood count, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, liver, and kidney functions were assessed. Specific gravity, pH, protein, glucose, ketones, bilirubin, blood, nitrite, leukocytes, and microscopic urine assessments were analyzed for all participants.

Statistical analysis
The results were statistically analyzed using methods of descriptive and inference statistics. The intent-to-treat analysis was conducted between the treatment and within groups. The time points were compared using a two-sided paired Student’s t-test. The pre/post differences were compared among the groups using a two-sided, two-sample Student’s t-test. \( P < 0.05 \) was determined as statistical significance.

Results
The baseline characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 2. The mean age of subjects was 36.1±5.3 years, and they had normal healthy body mass index. The skin photo-type according to Fitzpatrick’s classification was types II–IV. A subset of analysis was conducted for subjects with skin types II and III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Actives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>37±6.0</td>
<td>36±7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td>4/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.05±3.57</td>
<td>26.83±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>114±11.13</td>
<td>111±12.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>73±7.93</td>
<td>72±10.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (HR), beats per minute</td>
<td>70±10.92</td>
<td>67±10.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate, breaths per minute</td>
<td>16±1.99</td>
<td>18±1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral temperature, °F</td>
<td>98.51±0.43</td>
<td>98.45±0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: M/F, male/female; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2 Baseline characteristics (mean ± SD)

Table 3 An increase in \( L^* \), ITA, and decrease in \( b^* \) observed after L/Zi supplementation indicative of skin lightening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>L/Zi (actives)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( L^* )</td>
<td>Baseline 62.02</td>
<td>Week 12 62.59 ( \Delta L^* ) (0.057) 0.57 (0.03216)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b^* )</td>
<td>Baseline 16.50</td>
<td>Week 12 15.94 ( \Delta b^* ) (0.056) 0.56 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITA 35.91</td>
<td>37.79 ( \Delta ITA ) (0.018) 1.88 (0.0754)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>Week 12 39.97 ( \Delta ITA ) (0.037) 3.67 (0.0009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Significance over baseline; \( P \)-value in parenthesis.
Abbreviations: ITA, individual typological angle; L, lutein; Z, zeaxanthin isomers.

Minimal erythema dose
An increase in MED was observed in actives over baseline. No significant difference was observed between the placebo and L/Zi groups (Figure 1). The higher the MED, the greater the individual’s resistance to the production of erythema.

Chromameter
Change in skin color was observed, and \( L^* \) calculation and visual grading of standardized photographs were taken by a dermatologist. Table 3 shows a significant increase in \( L^* \) and ITA \( \circ \) after 12 weeks of L/Zi supplementation compared to baseline and also shows a highly significant decrease in skin sallowness after L/Zi supplementation over baseline. Significant change was observed with the placebo but the difference in the L/Zi group was more significant than placebo. The changes in color were consistent in L/Zi supplementation group. Overall an increase in MED, an increase in \( L^* \), a decrease in \( b^* \), and an increase in ITA \( \circ \) suggest that L/Zi supplementation use may be effective in whitening the skin. The skin-lightening effect of L/Zi may be attributed to its antioxidant activity.

Subjective assessments and skin elasticity
Subjective assessments are reported in Table 4. Skin tone evenness was significant in L/Zi treatment over baseline, and a trend of significance was observed for skin firmness in L/Zi-treated group. Skin tone clarity was increased, and facial fine lines and wrinkles were increased in placebo. An overall skin
In 2006, AREDS2 researchers reported a 5-year supplementation study, in which substituting macular carotenoids (10 mg lutein and 2 mg zeaxanthin) to be a appeared safe and effective alternative to β-carotene. Subjects reported 18% reduction in progression to advanced AMD in L/Z-treated group and reduction is higher in lowest dietary intake. In the US, the dietary intake of lutein and zeaxanthin (L/Z) is typically <1 mg/d. The study suggests that L and Z plus AREDS formula reduced progression to legal blindness. In overall, 5-year supplementation with L and Z supplementation suggests safety and may be a substitute for β-carotene.

Lipid peroxidation and generation of peroxyl radicals may result due to exposure to high-energy sources. Lutein and zeaxanthin isomers are thought to play an important role in the protection of blue light, particularly against photooxidative damage.

In the present study, we demonstrated that daily supplementation of L/Z decreases the intensity of erythema induced by UV-light exposure compared to placebo. Other in vitro studies have suggested that lutein and zeaxanthin are more resistant to degradation from oxidative stress in comparison to other carotenoids (ie, β-carotene and lycopene). Given their ability to act as potent antioxidants and light filters, lutein and zeaxanthin have been proposed to confer protection against oxidative damage in the skin. Following exposure to UV irradiation, the generation of ROS and the resulting photooxidative damage are manifested as erythema formation (ie, sunburn), which cumulatively can lead to aging of the skin and development of photodermatoses and cancer.

MED increased in L/Z-treated group, and no erythema was observed. In a recent study, mild UV irradiation affected significant changes including downregulation of c-SRC and β-catenin and upregulation of G proteins. There were changes in cytokine gene expression and enhanced antioxidant genes (superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase).

Skin from animals fed diets containing 0.4% lutein had much less formation of ROS following UV irradiation compared to skin from control mice when tested ex vivo. Mice consuming lutein plus zeaxanthin had significant reductions in apoptotic keratinocytes compared to controls. Moreover, mice receiving lutein plus zeaxanthin compared to controls reported protection against photoaging effects of UVB radiation.

Studies have noted the presence of lutein and zeaxanthin in skin, which may reduce oxidative metabolites. People who regularly consume multivitamins reported higher levels.

### Table 4 Subjective assessments for skin attributes (mean change from baseline)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>L/Z (actives)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facial discoloration</td>
<td>−1.20</td>
<td>9.87*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial lines and wrinkles</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall skin tone*</td>
<td>−8.20</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Significant change from baseline. P=0.0927 over baseline (directional); placebo: P=0.5. *Significant changes between the treatments.

**Abbreviations:** L, lutein; Z, zeaxanthin isomers.
of carotenoids, including lutein, in skin compared to non-supplementing people.30,32 Lutein and zeaxanthin supplementation/topical application on skin health was reported in a small randomized trial and demonstrated that photoprotective activity was highest in the combined treatment group (oral combined with topical treatment) and skin elasticity unchanged after 8 weeks. In the current study, L/Zi supplementation increased MED after 12 weeks supplementation, and elastic recovery with more firmness was also observed.

Some of the topical skin-lightening products may cause inflammatory conditions and infections, photosensitivity, and hyperpigmentation. L/Zi was studied for more than 3 decades in vision health conditions and >10,000 people were included in the vision studies at a dose range of 6–40 mg L, up to 8 mg RR-zeaxanthin and 17 mg RS (meso)-zeaxanthin over 5 years. No adverse events were reported, nor changes in clinical biochemistries were observed.

Carotenoids possess anti-inflammatory properties.33 Other carotenoids such as β-carotene and lycopene exhibit antioxidant activity and affect gene signaling and expression at the molecular level. They protect the skin against solar erythema may be by increasing basal defense against high-energy light-mediated damage34 causing dark acne scars, freckles, dark underarms, and age spots.35 β-Carotene or lycopene may exhibit absorption at wavelengths of UVB and UVA range, respectively, and may protect against photodamage. L/Z are not converted to vitamin A and thus are unlikely to exert the adverse effects associated with hypervitaminosis A.36,37

In a clinically diagnosed study, 31 adults (26 females and five males) with melasma and with high-grade pigmentation improved to a lower grade of pigmentation with topical treatment with β-carotene, and some cases recovered completely. Only two participants had mild erythema and local irritation.39 In another study, the intensity of redness of the skin 24 hours after irradiation was observed in β-carotene-treated group (24 mg/d) and 8 mg/d carotenoid mix-treated group (β-carotene, lutein, and lycopene).40

Thepigmentation is due to overproduction of melanin by the pigment cells, melanocytes, which is taken up by the keratinocytes (epidermal melanosis) and/or deposited in the dermis (dermal melanosis and melanophages). Topical application of β-carotene lotion appears to be effective and safe in the treatment of melasma. In an isolated case study, a 69-year-old female with antecedent of breast cancer and recent transitory neurological symptoms40 reported yellow-to-orange skin pigmentation, more conspicuous on her palms and soles, while discoloration changes were absent in the eye and oral mucus membranes. The skin discoloration improved in ~2 months after correction of the inadequate diet.40

Carotenemia and lycopene are more accentuated on the palms and soles because the stratum corneum is thicker in these regions.41 Discoloration of palms and soles is consequence of pigment deposit due to slow conversion of carotenoids to vitamin A.42–45 In another case, a 68-year-old Caucasian female was presented with red-orange tinged skin of the palms and soles. Her diet includes 1 kg of kaki fruit daily. This condition disappeared after changes in her dietary habits.43 Another interesting case of carotenemia was described in an 66-year-old female secondary to increased ingestion of oral supplements of carotene.44 Based on these isolated case reports in old females, it is important to explore carotenoid intake, absorption, and effects on skin in aging.

Based on National Health Surveys, US adults consume vegetables (37.7%) and fruits (22.6%) less than one time a day.38,46 Hammond et al47 reported that people differ in their carotenoid absorption, especially from food. Further long-term studies need to explore on dietary lutein and Zi intakes and skin health in aging. The antioxidant properties of L/Zi are reported in several studies and reducing free radicals. The skin-lightening effect of L/Zi (Figure 3) may be due to its property of filtering out high-energy blue light rays present in sunlight and indoor lighting, and as a UV absorber/filter, it may also enhance tyrosinase inhibition and certainly increases antioxidant capacity. Skin color is a result of the melanin types (pheomelanin and eumelanin) as well as where the melanin is concentrated in the skin. By reducing the inflammation and blocking the free radicals, L/Zi reduces the formation of both melanin types. The reduction in eumelanin leads to an increase in the L* value, while the reduction in the pheomelanin leads to a decrease in the b* value. This in turn will lead to an increase in the ITA°, ie, a lightening of the overall skin tone. Because the L/Zi is taken orally, its concentration in the skin starts with the dermis then the epidermis reducing the depth of pigmentation. Few studies are ongoing to explore its mechanism of action on skin lightening and pigmentation. Current findings are from a 3-month study, and further long-term supplementation studies in different population are warranted.

Conclusion

Our study indicates that L/Zi (Lutemax® 2020) supplementation can promote skin lightening and improve skin health conditions. The mechanism by which supplementation effectively whitens the skin was due to its antioxidant properties.
and photoprotective activity. More research is required to understand the biological effects and photoprotective activity of L/Zi supplementation.
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