Impaired social cognition in anorexia nervosa patients
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Introduction

Previous studies have revealed that some traits of autistic spectrum disorder (ASD) were often observed in anorexia nervosa (AN) patients and not a few AN patients were diagnosed as having ASD.¹ ² Therefore, cognitive characteristics found in patients with ASD have been paid attention to in the research of AN. To date, set-shifting, central coherence, and social cognition of AN patients have been explored to discover the neurocognitive factors related to pathology of the disease.³ ⁴ ⁵

Holliday et al reported that difficulty of set-shifting in AN patients was not a consequence of starvation.⁶ Other studies also revealed that dysfunction of set-shifting was not due to the influence of other clinical factors such as psychiatric symptoms.⁷ Also, as for central coherence, it is reported that AN patients have weak central coherence that leads to their focus on local rather than global processing, particularly in visuospatial domain.⁸ Kanakam et al regarded poor ability of set-shifting and weak central coherence as the endophenotypes of eating disorders.⁹

On the other hand, research on social cognition including theory of mind (ToM) is still at initial stage and so far, divergent findings have been reported.⁹ Social cognition refers to the psychological processes that are related to perception, encoding, storage, retrieval, and regulation of information about other people and ourselves.¹⁰ ToM is the ability to understand the mental states of other people and oneself and use this information to predict their behavior.¹¹ Harrison et al reported that AN patients had significantly lower score on the Reading the Mind in the Eyes¹² and more difficulties in emotion regulation than healthy controls (HC).¹³ Later, they found in a study with a larger sample size that...
only restrictive AN patients had significantly lower score in Reading the Mind in the Eyes than HC.14 However, Tchanturia et al reported that AN patients showed worse performance not only in ToM task but also in control task, and did not bolster a specific link between ToM and AN.15 Oldershaw et al suggested that poor performance in ToM task in AN patients might be caused by starvation.3 Considering these results, characteristics of social cognition of AN patients are still unclear. Especially, it seems unclear whether poor performance in social cognition in AN patients is caused by depression and anxiety, which are the common symptoms in AN patients.13,14,16,17

Furthermore, researchers have begun to pay much attention to the metacognition of AN patients. Metacognition is the ability to evaluate the accuracy of one’s own judgments,18 and poor metacognition of AN patients has been reported.19,20 Nevertheless, as far as we know, there is no study to investigate if metacognition of AN patients is influenced by clinical symptoms such as depression, anxiety, and starvation.

The purpose of this study was to elucidate the characteristics of social cognition of AN patients.

Methods

Subjects

Clinical data were collected from the Department of Psychiatry, Tokushima University Hospital from 1 March 2015 to 31 March 2016. Subjects consisted of 18 female patients having a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-521 diagnosis of AN and 18 HC matched for sex, age, and intellectual ability. Patients were excluded if they presented with any organic central nervous system disorder, epilepsy, mental retardation, severe somatic disorder, or substance dependence. Of 28 patients, 19 agreed to participate in the study. As one patient did not complete all the assessments, data from 18 patients were used for analysis.

As for HC, subjects were excluded if they had a history of any psychiatric illness or if their score of depression or anxiety was not within the range of mean ± 2 standard deviation (SD) or if their body mass index (BMI) was not within normal range (18.5–24.9).21 We recruited HC from university and the local community.

All subjects were native Japanese speakers and gave us written consent to participate in this study.

Measures

Subjects were examined by experienced clinical researchers using the following measures:

1. Japanese Adult Reading Test (JART)

To estimate intellectual ability, we used the JART,23 that is, the Japanese version of the National Adult Reading Test.24 In the present study, 25-item short version of the JART was used.

2. Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II)

The Japanese version of the BDI-II25,26 was used to assess severity of depression. The BDI-II consists of 21 self-report items and each item is measured on a 4-point scale. Higher score represents severe level of depression.

3. State–Trait Anxiety Inventory-Form JYZ (STAI-JYZ)

The STAI-JYZ,27 that is, the Japanese version of the STAI,28 was used to evaluate state and trait anxiety. It consists of 40 self-report items and each item is rated on a 4-point scale. State anxiety reflects evoked anxiety to a temporary situation and trait anxiety involves a general tendency to perceive situations as threatening. Higher score indicates higher level of anxiety.

4. Social Cognition Screening Questionnaire (SCSQ)

The Japanese version of the SCSQ18,29 was used to assess social cognition. The SCSQ has five domains, which are verbal memory, schematic inference, ToM, metacognition, and hostility bias. The task comprises ten short vignettes. Tester reads aloud each vignette and the subject answers three questions for each vignette with “yes” or “no”. Then, in the last question for each vignette, the subject answers about their confidence level of the answers. Higher score represents better level in each domain, except for hostility bias. As for hostility bias, higher score means larger bias.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using the Predictive Analytics Software Statistics 18 software (2009; SPSS Japan Institute). The comparison of demographic and clinical variables and the scores of the SCSQ between the AN and HC groups was carried out using Mann–Whitney U-test, and r(Z/N) was calculated to provide effect sizes, with an effect size of <0.1 defined as small, <0.3 as medium, and >0.5 as large.30 Then, in order to investigate whether there is still a significant difference in the scores of the SCSQ between the two groups when controlling for the effects of other clinical and demographic variables, analysis of covariance test was performed with the variables that showed significant differences in the preceding comparison as a covariate. Also, \( \eta^2_p \) (SS effect/[SS effect + SS error]) was calculated to provide effect sizes, with an effect size of <0.01 defined as small, <0.06 as medium, and >0.14 as large.

Ethical considerations

This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Tokushima University Hospital.
Results
Demographic and clinical characteristics of the AN and HC groups are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age and estimated intelligence quotient between the two groups. AN group presented a significantly lower BMI score (U=0.00, P<0.0001, r=−0.86) than HC group. Also, there were significant differences in BDI-II score (U=30.00, P<0.0001, r=−0.70), state anxiety score (U=51.50, P<0.0001, r=−0.58), and trait anxiety score (U=29.00, P<0.0001, r=−0.70) between the two groups.

Scores of the SCSQ in AN and HC groups are shown in Table 2. There were significant differences in ToM (U=85.50, P=0.012, r=−0.42), metacognition (U=94.00, P=0.023, r=−0.38), and total score of the SCSQ (U=67.50, P=0.003, r=−0.50) between the two groups. On the other hand, there was no significant difference in verbal memory, schematic inference, and hostility bias between them. Analysis of covariance with scores of the BDI-II, the STAI-JYZ, and BMI as a covariate showed significant differences in scores of ToM (F(1, 30)=6.60, P=0.015, \( \eta_p^2 = 0.16 \)), metacognition (F(1, 30)=5.62, P<0.024, \( \eta_p^2 = 0.13 \)), and the total (F(1, 30)=8.01, P=0.008, \( \eta_p^2 = 0.17 \)) between the two groups. On the other hand, there was no significant difference in verbal memory, schematic inference, and hostility bias between them. Analysis of covariance with scores of the BDI-II, the STAI-JYZ, and BMI as a covariate showed significant differences in scores of ToM (F(1, 30)=6.60, P=0.015, \( \eta_p^2 = 0.16 \)), metacognition (F(1, 30)=5.62, P<0.024, \( \eta_p^2 = 0.13 \)), and the total (F(1, 30)=8.01, P=0.008, \( \eta_p^2 = 0.17 \)) between the two groups.

Discussion
As for ToM, although some previous studies reported poor performance in ToM task in AN patients,13,14 there are also studies reporting negative findings about it. For example, Tchanturia et al15 and Russell et al17 found that AN group showed worse performance in both control and ToM elements of Happé’s Task11 than HC group.

Tapajóz et al also reported that AN patients had poorer performance in both ToM and control tasks of the Faux Pas Test18 than HC.16 Moreover, Oldershaw et al reported that worse performance in ToM task might be a result of starvation.5 These studies suggest that general cognitive function of AN patients may be worsened by some clinical factors.15–17

Although it is well known that AN patients often suffer from depression, anxiety, or other symptoms due to starvation, whether these coexisting symptoms would influence their social cognition is still unclear. In the present study, the average BMI of AN patients was much lower than that reported in previous studies15–17 and the levels of depression and anxiety were much higher than HC. Nevertheless, ToM was still significant after eliminating the effects of depression, anxiety, and BMI statistically. Therefore, we could conclude that AN patients’ poor performance in ToM task is not a result of such symptoms, but a definite cognitive feature.

Our findings also show dysfunction of metacognition of AN patients. To date, the ability of metacognition of AN patients has been reported to be worse than HC.19,20,33,34 Moreover, Arbel et al reported that metacognition might be an important mediator between basic cognitive deficits and poor insight.35 However, little is known about whether coexisting clinical symptoms such as depression, anxiety, and starvation influence metacognition. In the present study, we found that poor ability of metacognition still existed after removing the effects of depression, anxiety, and BMI statistically. Since metacognition refers to one’s knowledge concerning one’s own cognitive processes or anything related to them,36 we could suggest that AN patients are not good at monitoring and evaluating their own cognitive activities. Olstad et al reported that metacognition explained 51% of the variance in eating disorder symptoms, with “need to control thoughts” being the most important factor.20 Konstantakopoulos et al17 and Steinglass et al19 explained that distortion of body image was the main aspect of lack of awareness of the illness. Similarly, the present results could contribute to the explanation of some

Table 1 Demographic and clinical variables of the AN and HC groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AN (n=18)</th>
<th>HC (n=18)</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>35.44±8.65</td>
<td>32.78±9.44</td>
<td>U=129.50</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ from JART</td>
<td>101.06±9.89</td>
<td>107.78±11.23</td>
<td>U=109.50</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness</td>
<td>12.61±10.55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>14.78±2.28</td>
<td>20.92±1.69</td>
<td>U=0.00***</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>−0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI-II</td>
<td>31.72±15.90</td>
<td>6.17±7.01</td>
<td>U=30.00***</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-JYZ</td>
<td>53.56±15.24</td>
<td>36.06±8.78</td>
<td>U=51.50***</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>−0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State anxiety</td>
<td>62.00±13.57</td>
<td>38.44±9.37</td>
<td>U=29.00***</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ***P<0.0001. Statistics are based on Mann–Whitney U-test.

Abbreviations: AN, anorexia nervosa; BMI, body mass index; BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory-second edition; HC, healthy controls; IQ, intelligence quotient; JART, Japanese Adult Reading Test; SD, standard deviation; STAI-JYZ, State–Trait Anxiety Inventory-Form JYZ.
symptoms such as poor insight into disease or distortion of body image.

Besides ToM and metacognition, there was no significant difference in other domains of the SCSQ between AN and HC groups in the present study. As for verbal memory, Tapajóz et al reported no significant difference between AN and HC groups in memory ability assessed with the Faux Pas Test.16 Oltra-Cucarella et al also reported no significant difference in short-term recall and delayed recall between AN and HC groups.39 Considering these findings, we could conclude that verbal memory of AN patients is not impaired. Also, as for schematic inference, since it refers to the ability to infer what is occurring in a specific situation from uncertain and ambiguous context information,18 our result suggests that AN patients have no deficit of the ability. As to hostility bias, Dapelo et al found that AN group had a tendency to recognize people’s expression as being angry in the facial emotion recognition task.10,41 On the other hand, no significant difference in hostility bias was found between AN and HC groups in the present study. From these findings, it seems possible that AN patients could correctly recognize hostility bias from sentence task, but not from nonlanguage task.

**Limitations**

The current study has some limitations. First, the participation rate was relatively low. Therefore, the results may not reflect the features of the entire population of AN patients. Second, the sample size was relatively small. Therefore, further study with larger sample size would be required to confirm our results.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, in the present study, we found that AN patients had poor performance in ToM and metacognition tasks, and depression, anxiety, and starvation did not influence the results. Therefore, it is suggested that poor ability of the two areas of social cognition may be considered as factors related to the pathology of AN.
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