Intracerebroventricular urocortin 3 counteracts central acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagic and gastroprokinetic effects via CRF receptor 2 in rats

Chun Yeh¹
Ching-Heng Ting²
Ming-Luen Doong³
Chin-Wen Chi⁴,⁵
Shou-Dong Lee¹
Chih-Yen Chen⁶-⁸

¹Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Cheng-Hsin General Hospital, ²Department of Pathology, Mackay Memorial Hospital, ³Institute of Physiology, ⁴Institute of Pharmacology, National Yang-Ming University School of Medicine, ⁵Department of Medical Research, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, ⁶Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, ⁷Faculty of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University School of Medicine, ⁸Taipei, Taiwan Association for the Study of Small Intestinal Diseases, Guishan, Taiwan

Purpose: Urocortin 3 is a key neuromodulator in the regulation of stress, anxiety, food intake, gut motility, and energy homeostasis, while ghrelin elicits feeding behavior and enhances gastric emptying, adiposity, and positive energy balance. However, the interplays between urocortin 3 and ghrelin on food intake and gastric emptying remain uninvestigated.

Methods: We examined the differential effects of central O-n-octanoylated ghrelin, des-Gln⁴-ghrelin, and urocortin 3 on food intake, as well as on central acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagia via central CRF receptor 2 (CRF receptor 2) pathway. The functional importance of corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) receptor 2 in urocortin 3-induced responses was examined by ICV injection of the selective CRF receptor 2 antagonist, astressin-B.

Results: ICV infusion of urocortin 3 opposed central acyl ghrelin-elicted hyperphagia via CRF receptor 2 in satiated rats. ICV injection of O-n-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln⁴-ghrelin were equally potent in accelerating gastric emptying in fasted rats, whereas ICV administration of urocortin 3 delayed gastric emptying. In addition, ICV infusion of urocortin 3 counteracted central acyl ghrelin-induced gastroprokinetic effects via CRF receptor 2 pathway.

Conclusion: ICV-infused urocortin 3 counteracts central acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagic and gastroprokinetic effects via CRF receptor 2 in rats. Our results clearly showed that enhancing ghrelin and blocking CRF receptor 2 signaling in the brain accelerated gastric emptying, which provided important clues for a new therapeutic avenue in ameliorating anorexia and gastric ileus found in various chronic wasting disorders.
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Introduction
The anorexia–cachexia syndrome often occurs in human chronic inflammatory diseases, such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, uremia, and rheumatoid arthritis, in which central nervous mechanisms are deeply engaged. Emerging evidence indicates that the corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) family of peptides play key roles in the activity and plasticity of neurons in enduring changes in anorexigenic effects in feeding behavior.³-⁵ Urocortin 3, the latest identified member of the CRF family, has been shown to be involved in feeding and metabolic control under stress conditions. Increased messenger RNA expression of urocortin 3 in the hypothalamus has been observed in rat models with acute restraint⁶ and chronic psychological⁷ stress. Central urocortin 3 has also been demonstrated to be responsible for anxiety-like behaviors and metabolic functions.⁸ Taken together, urocortin 3 appears to be a key neuromodulator...
linking stress-induced anxiety and energy homeostasis under anxious conditions.

Meanwhile, ghrelin, exhibiting appetite- and adiposity-enhancing properties, is useful in improving appetite. Plasma levels of acyl ghrelin have been shown to be compensatory but insufficiently increased in tumor-bearing rats compared to paired-fed controls, which may be the consequence of hyperactivation of the CRF family. To overcome insufficient ghrelin levels and response, administration of exogenous ghrelin and its mimetics have been considered to be effective in treating eating/wasting disorders and cachexia. In addition, potentiation of ghrelin receptor signaling by modulating the CRF family is another attractive strategy. Intracerebroventricular (ICV) injection of urocortin 3 but not CRF increased plasma ghrelin concentration in chicks, suggesting that urocortin 3/CRF receptor 2 is the main regulator in the reciprocal interactions with the ghrelin/growth hormone secretagogue receptor 1a system. However, no information is available at present regarding the interplays between urocortin 3 and acyl ghrelin on feeding.

In this study, we investigated the differential effects of central urocortin 3 and two splice variants of acyl ghrelin on food intake and evaluated peptide–peptide interactions in conscious rats. As appetite and gut motility are inextricably linked, we appraised the differential influences of central urocortin 3 and acyl ghrelin on spontaneous food intake in freely fed rats and urocortin 3 on food intake and evaluated peptide–peptide interactions in conscious rats. As appetite and gut motility are inextricably linked, we appraised the differential influences of central urocortin 3 and two splice variants of acyl ghrelin, as well as their cross talks, on gastric emptying. Finally, the functional importance of CRF receptor 2 in mediating these biological actions on food intake and gastric emptying was explored.

### Materials and methods

#### Animals

Male Sprague–Dawley rats weighing 250–320 g (7–8 weeks old) were obtained from National Yang-Ming University Laboratory Animal Center, Taipei, Taiwan. Rats were kept in rooms with controlled illumination (light cycle: 8 am to 8 pm), humidity and temperature (22.5°C ± 1.5°C) with free access to water and laboratory chow pellets (BioLASCO Taiwan Co. Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan). All experiments were performed after 8 am in conscious rats, according to the guidelines approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taiwan.

#### Surgery

**Implantation of ICV catheter**

For ICV implantation, rats were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, Nembutal; Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA), placed in a stereotaxic apparatus (Benchmark™, myNeuroLab, St Louis, MO, USA), and implanted with a guide cannula (25-gauge; Eicom, Kyoto, Japan), which reached the right lateral ventricle. The coordination in stereotaxic apparatus were 0.8 mm posterior to the bregma, 1.4 mm right lateral to the midline, and 4.5 mm below the outer surface of the skull using a stereotaxic frame with the incisor bar set at the horizontal plane passing through the bregma and lambda. The secure of the guide cannula, insertion of a dummy cannula (Eicom) into the guide cannula, and placement of a screw cap (Eicom) were performed as in our previous study. The rats were allowed at least 7 days for full recovery after the implantation of ICV catheters before starting the studies of food intake and gastric emptying. All ICV injections were done over 60 seconds injecting a total volume of 10 µL in each rat via the AMI-5 (Eicom).

#### Preparation of drugs

Rat O-n-octanoylated ghrelin (American Peptide Co, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), des-Gln14-ghrelin (Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK), the highly selective ligand for CRF receptor subtype 2, mouse urocortin 3 (American Peptide) and the highly selective CRF receptor 2 antagonist, astressin-2B (Polypeptide Laboratories, Torrance, CA, USA), were kept in powder form at −20°C, and dissolved in sterile, pyrogen-free 0.9% saline (Otsuka, Tokyo, Japan) immediately before use.

#### Food intake study

The measurement of food intake was performed as in our previous studies with a slight modification. In short, the cumulative food intake was recorded and calculated at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours immediately after ICV injection. The total volume of ICV injection was 10 µL in each rat. Food intake was determined by measuring the difference between the preweighed standard chow and weight of chow at each time point. At least 7 days before the food intake tests, all rats were allowed to fully acclimatize to the environment. Light-phase experiments for freely fed rats were started at 8 am. Before the tests, the rats were given free access to food and water (freely fed). A standard diet (BioLASCO Taiwan Co. Ltd.) was applied.

Influences of two splice variants of acyl ghrelin and urocortin 3 on spontaneous food intake in freely fed rats

In the first experiment, a vehicle (10 µL of saline), O-n-octanoylated ghrelin (0.1 nmol), des-Gln14-ghrelin
(0.1 nmol), or urocortin 3 (1.0 or 2.0 nmol) was injected via the ICV catheter. The cumulative food intake was recorded at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours after the injection. The optimal dosages of O-n-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln₁⁴-ghrelin on food intake were chosen according to our previous study.¹⁵,¹⁶

**Effects of ICV-infused urocortin 3 on central splice variants of acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagia in freely fed rats**

In the second experiment, a vehicle (5 µL of saline) or urocortin 3 (1.0 or 2.0 nmol) was ICV injected immediately before the injection of O-n-octanoylated ghrelin (0.1 nmol), or des-Gln₁⁴-ghrelin (0.1 nmol). Immediately after the ICV injection, the cumulative food intake was recorded at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours. The optimal dose of urocortin 3 counteracting acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagia was chosen from this step.

**Roles of ICV CRF receptor 2 in central urocortin 3 counteracting acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagia in freely fed rats**

In the third experiment, a vehicle (3.3 µL of saline) or astressin₂-B (20 nmol) was ICV injected immediately before a vehicle (3.3 µL of saline), or urocortin 3 (2.0 nmol) in rats receiving either ICV-infused O-n-octanoylated ghrelin (0.1 nmol) or des-Gln₁⁴-ghrelin (0.1 nmol) stimulation on food intake. The total volume of ICV injection was 10 µL for each rat. Immediately after the ICV injection, the cumulative food intake was recorded at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours. The dosage of urocortin 3 was selected based on the above studies. The ratio of astressin₂-B/urocortin 3 was modified from those described in the previous studies.¹⁸,¹⁹

**Gastric emptying of charcoal, non-nutrient, semiliquid meal**

After being deprived of food for 16 hours, with free access to water, the non-nutrient semiliquid gastric emptying was measured in conscious rats (food-deprived). The total volume of ICV injection was 10 µL for each rat. Fifteen minutes after the ICV injection, the conscious rats were gavaged via a catheter (PE-205, ID: 1.67 mm, OD: 2.42 mm, Clay Adams, Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) with test meal containing Na₂⁵¹CrO₄ (0.5 Ci/mL) and 10% charcoal in physiological saline (3 mL/kg) on the day of the experiment (around 9 am). The test meal was continuously stirred before intubation. Additional air (0.5 mL) was added to flush the residual charcoal suspension remaining in the catheter. Thirty minutes later, the rats were decapitated.¹⁷ The details are described in the previous study.²⁰ Semiliquid gastric emptying was calculated as (total radioactivity in the small intestine/total radioactivity in the stomach and small intestine) × 100%, 30 minutes after gavage.²⁰

**Influences of two splice variants of acyl ghrelin and urocortin 3 on gastric emptying in food-deprived rats**

In the first experiment, a vehicle (10 µL of saline), O-n-octanoylated ghrelin (0.1 nmol), des-Gln₁⁴-ghrelin (0.1, 0.3, or 1.0 nmol), or urocortin 3 (0.1, 0.3, or 1.0 nmol) were ICV injected. The optimal dosage of O-n-octanoylated ghrelin on gastric emptying was chosen according to our previous study.¹⁷ The optimal dose of des-Gln₁⁴-ghrelin on gastric emptying was selected from this step.

**Effects of ICV infusion of urocortin 3 on central splice variants of acyl ghrelin-induced acceleration of gastric emptying in food-deprived rats**

In the second experiment, a vehicle (5 µL of saline) or urocortin 3 (0.1, 0.3, or 1.0 nmol) was ICV injected immediately before O-n-octanoylated ghrelin (0.1 nmol) or des-Gln₁⁴-ghrelin (0.1 nmol). The dose of urocortin 3 counteracting acyl ghrelin-induced gastroprokinetic responses was chosen from this step.

**Roles of ICV CRF receptor 2 in central urocortin 3 counteracting acyl ghrelin-induced acceleration of gastric emptying in food-deprived rats**

In the third experiment, a vehicle (3.3 µL of saline) or astressin₂-B (3 nmol) was ICV injected immediately before a vehicle (3.3 µL of saline) or urocortin 3 (0.3 nmol) in rats receiving either ICV-infused O-n-octanoylated ghrelin (0.1 nmol) or des-Gln₁⁴-ghrelin (0.1 nmol) stimulation on gastric emptying. The optimal dosage of urocortin 3 was selected based on the above studies, whereas the ratio of astressin₂-B/urocortin 3 was modified from that described in the previous studies.¹⁸,¹⁹

**Statistical analyses**

All results were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. Food intake or gastric emptying was compared between O-n-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln₁⁴-ghrelin-treated rats using a two-way analysis of variance. One-way analysis of variance followed by a Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test was used to analyze difference among groups, when analyzing one-variable...
experiments with more than two groups. Differences were considered statistically significant if \( P < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Effects of ICV injection of \( O-n \)-octanoylated ghrelin, des-Gln\(^{14} \)-ghrelin, and urocortin 3 on spontaneous food intake in freely fed rats**

In freely fed conscious rats, ICV injection of \( O-n \)-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln\(^{14} \)-ghrelin at the dosage of 0.1 nmol/rat significantly increased the cumulative food intake at 1, 2, 4, and 8 hours (Figure 1A–D), whereas ICV infusion of urocortin 3 at dosage of 1.0 and 2.0 nmol/rat did not affect any cumulative food intake compared to vehicle control group (Figure 1A–F).

**Effects of ICV injection of urocortin 3 on central splice variants of acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagia in freely fed rats**

In freely fed conscious rats, ICV injection of urocortin 3 (2.0 nmol/rat) significantly attenuated central \( O-n \)-octanoylated ghrelin-induced orexigenic effects in 1-, 4-, 8-, and 12-hour cumulative food intake (Figure 2). Similarly, des-Gln\(^{14} \)-ghrelin also effectively increased the cumulative food intake at 1, 2, 4, and 8 hours (Figure 1A–D), whereas ICV infusion of urocortin 3 at dosage of 1.0 and 2.0 nmol/rat did not affect any cumulative food intake compared to vehicle control group (Figure 1A–F).

---

**Figure 1** The influence of ICV injection of \( O-n \)-octanoylated ghrelin, des-Gln\(^{14} \)-ghrelin, and urocortin 3 on cumulative food intake in freely fed satiated rats.

**Notes:** After ICV injection of different peptides, cumulative food intake was measured at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours. The results are shown in (A–F), respectively. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. \(^{\*\*\*} P < 0.01\), \(^{\#\#\#} P < 0.001\), compared to the vehicle-injected group; \(^{\dagger\dagger\dagger} P < 0.001\), compared to the \( O-n \)-octanoylated ghrelin-injected group; \(^{\ddagger\ddagger} P < 0.001\), compared to the des-Gln\(^{14} \)-ghrelin-injected group; \( n = 12 \) rats in each group.

**Abbreviations:** ICV, intracerebroventricular; SEM, standard error of the mean.
intake in 1–8 hours. ICV-infused urocortin 3 at 1.0 nmol/rat or 2.0 nmol/rat also significantly prevented the stimulation in 1-, 4-, and 8-hour (Figure 2A, C, and D) or 1-, 4-, and 12-hour (Figure 2A, C, and E) cumulative food intake induced by ICV-infused des-Gln\(^{14}\)-ghrelin in freely fed rats, respectively.

**Effects of ICV injection of astressin\(_2\)-B on central urocortin 3 counteracting acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagia in freely fed rats**

In freely fed conscious rats, ICV injection of astressin\(_2\)-B (20 nmol/rat), the selective CRF receptor 2 antagonist had no significant effect on central O-n-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln\(^{14}\)-ghrelin-induced stimulation of cumulative food intake up to 24 hours (Figure 3A–F). Interestingly, infusion of astressin\(_2\)-B (20 nmol/rat) significantly prevented the counteracting responses from ICV-infused urocortin 3 (2.0 nmol/rat) on central O-n-octanoylated ghrelin-induced stimulation in 1, 4, 8, and 12 hours cumulative food intake (Figure 3A and C–E). Similarly, ICV infusion of astressin\(_2\)-B (20 nmol/rat) also significantly opposed the counteracting responses from ICV-infused urocortin 3 (2.0 nmol/rat) on central des-Gln\(^{14}\)-ghrelin-induced stimulation in 1-, 4-, and 12-hour cumulative food intake in conscious freely fed rats (Figure 3A, C, and E).

**Effects of ICV injection of O-n-octanoylated ghrelin, des-Gln\(^{14}\)-ghrelin, and urocortin 3 on gastric emptying**

In food-deprived conscious rats, ICV injection of O-n-octanoylated ghrelin (0.1 nmol/rat) and des-Gln\(^{14}\)-ghrelin...
Yeh et al (0.01, 0.1, 0.3, and 1.0 nmol/rat) significantly enhanced gastric emptying, whereas ICV-infused urocortin 3 (0.1, 0.3, and 1.0 nmol/rat) effectively suppressed gastric emptying (Figure 4). The optimal dosage of des-Gln\textsuperscript{14}-ghrelin at 0.1 nmol/rat was selected for the subsequent gastric emptying experiments.

**Effects of ICV-infused urocortin 3 on central splice variants of acyl ghrelin-induced acceleration of gastric emptying**

In food-deprived conscious rats, ICV injection of urocortin 3 (0.1, 0.3, and 1.0 nmol/rat) significantly attenuated the enhanced gastric emptying resulting from ICV-infused

---

**Figure 3** The influence of ICV injection of corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 2 antagonist, astressin\textsubscript{2}-B, on central urocortin 3 counteracting acyl ghrelin-induced hyperphagia in freely fed satiated rats.

**Notes:** After the injection of either astressin\textsubscript{2}-B (20 nmol/rat) or saline, urocortin 3 (2 nmol/rat) or vehicle was injected immediately, then two variants of acyl-ghrelin were administrated. After ICV injection, cumulative food intake was measured at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours, respectively. The results are shown in (A–F), respectively. Data are presented as mean±SEM. *P<0.05, compared between groups with and without urocortin 3 injection; #P<0.05, compared between groups with and without astressin\textsubscript{2}-B injection; n=12 rats in each group.

**Abbreviations:** ICV, intracerebroventricular; SEM, standard error of the mean.
O-n-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln<sup>14</sup>-ghrelin (Figure 5). The optimal dosage of urocortin 3 (0.3 nmol/rat) to counteract acyl ghrelin-induced gastroprokinetic effect was chosen for the subsequent gastric emptying experiments.

Effects of ICV-infused astressin<sub>2</sub>-B on central urocortin 3 counteracting acyl ghrelin-induced gastroprokinetic responses

In food-deprived conscious rats, ICV injection of the selective CRF receptor 2 antagonist, astressin<sub>2</sub>-B (3.0 nmol/rat) had a slight but not significant decrease of the gastric emptying time. ICV-infused urocortin 3 (0.3 nmol/rat) significantly blocked the central O-n-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln<sup>14</sup>-ghrelin-induced acceleration of gastric emptying and this counteracting response was significantly reversed by the infusion of astressin<sub>2</sub>-B (Figure 6).

Discussion

Ghrelin and growth hormone secretagogue receptor 1a signaling pathways in the hypothalamus play important roles in the central nervous control of feeding behavior and energy partition. Des-Gln<sup>14</sup>-ghrelin constitutes one-fifth of ghrelin immunoreactivity in the circulation. The orexigenic effects elicited by central O-n-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln<sup>14</sup>-ghrelin, as well as the anorexigenic effects induced by central urocortin 3 in rodents, have been reported previously. Despite the fact that there are several studies reporting controversial effects of urocortin 1 on ghrelin levels, either the suppressing effect in rats or the enhancing effect in humans (peripheral administration) or the enhancing effect in rats (peripheral administration), our investigation is the first to explore the peptide–peptide interactions between central urocortin 3 and splice variants of acyl ghrelin on food intake, as well as to extend the knowledge regarding the role of CRF receptor 2 in mediating these actions. We
clearly demonstrated that ICV-infused urocortin 3 attenuated central acyl ghrelin-elicited hyperphagic responses, whereas the administration of the selective CRF receptor 2 antagonist, astressin$_2$-B, effectively prevented the counteractions in feeding.

CRF family peptides are known to be engaged with coping, resilience, and sensitivity with regard to anxiety and stress, while cachectic cancer patients often display higher anxiety scores. Additionally, urocortin 3 in the brain also mediates the anorexigenic and weight loss activities of other peptides, such as parathyroid hormone-related protein. In agreement with a previous finding that intrahypothalamic urocortin 3 reducing food intake by prolonging the post-meal interval, we not only demonstrated that ICV-injected urocortin 3 antagonized the ghrelin-induced food intake but also elucidated that the feeding suppression was mediated through central CRF receptor 2. This is consistent with the finding that CRF receptor 2-mediated stress-induced anorexia. According to our current findings, it is reasonable to hypothesize that hypothalamic urocortin-3/CRF receptor 2 pathway may be responsible for hypophagia caused by chronic diseases. Together, these results suggest that the central urocortin 3/CRF receptor 2 pathway is an important mechanism in modulating stress, food intake, and energy metabolism.

Treatment for the tricky anorexia–cachexia syndrome has been an underestimated and unmet clinical need. In cachectic cancer patients, higher-than-normal ghrelin levels were observed, suggesting that there are unknown, underlying mechanisms of the chronic wasting status, such as ghrelin resistance or insufficient increase of ghrelin. Due to anatomical vicinity, functional relationships between acyl ghrelin and CRF receptors located near the paraventricular nuclei area have been examined, including the interactions on anxiety, ingestive behavior, and secretion of luteinizing hormone. In our present study, we have clearly shown that the opposing effect of ICV-induced urocortin 3 against central acyl ghrelin-elicited hyperphagia is through CRF receptor 2 in satiated rats (Figures 2 and 3). Based on our results, we may provide some clinical implications for the potential management of poor appetite in cachexia. In addition to enhancing signaling of the ghrelin/growth hormone secretagogue receptor 1a system in the brain, a blockade of the central CRF receptor 2 can be considered as one of the strategies in alleviating hypophagia in certain chronic wasting disorders as well as those conditions with insufficient compensatory elevation of central acyl ghrelin leading to ineffective compensatory hyperphagia. However, as the precise role of CRF receptors in the modulation of feeding under chronic wasting diseases remains less investigated, further studies are required to validate the efficacy of CRF receptor 2 antagonists in various anorectic disorders.

Gastric emptying plays a pivotal role in the control of gastric tension and intestinal exposure of nutrients, and subsequently regulates hunger, satiation, and satiety. The strong association between gastric emptying and body weight implies that gastric motility may be involved in the long-term modulation of body weight balance. Previous studies also confirmed that upper gut motility and liquid gastric emptying were either reduced or delayed in anorectic conditions, such as in cancer subjects. However, disturbed gastric emptying is often underrecognized and not easily measured in chronic wasting conditions. With our findings that des-Gln$_{14}$-ghrelin was as potent as O-n-octanoylated ghrelin in the acceleration of gastric emptying in fasted rats (Figure 4), it is reasonable to speculate that insufficient ghrelin levels and inadequate ghrelin response may also participate in the dysfunction of gut motility in hypophagic patients with chronic diseases. Further investigation in this area may provide important clues for future clinical application of ghrelin and its derivatives.

On the other hand, ICV injection of urocortin 3 has been shown to inhibit nutrient, solid, gastric emptying in mice. Similarly, we demonstrated that ICV-infused urocortin 3 effectively delayed non-nutrient, semiliquid gastric emptying in food-deprived rats (Figure 4). Therefore, urocortin 3 may regulate the motility of the whole gastrointestinal tract via neurological control from the central nervous system. Our results also confirmed that CRF receptor 2 is responsible for the opposing effect of urocortin 3 on acyl ghrelin-induced gastroprokinetic response, as shown by the fact that the selective CRF receptor 2 antagonist, astressin$_2$-B, reversed the counteracting reactions (Figure 6). Hence, targeting CRF receptor 2 and/or growth hormone secretagogue receptor 1a in the brain may be promising in ameliorating gastrointestinal ileus in various chronic wasting diseases.

Conclusion

In conclusion, ICV-infused urocortin 3 counteracts central acyl ghrelin-elicited hyperphagia via CRF receptor 2 in satiated rats. Central administration of O-n-octanoylated ghrelin and des-Gln$_{14}$-ghrelin are equally potent in enhancing nonnutrient gastric emptying in fasted rats, whereas ICV-infused urocortin 3 delays gastric emptying and opposes ICV acyl ghrelin-induced gastroprokinetic effects via CRF receptor 2. Therefore, enhancing the ghrelin/growth hormone
secretagogue receptor 1a system and blocking CRF receptor 2 in the hypothalamus may provide a new therapeutic avenue in ameliorating anorexia and gastric ileus during human negative energy balance conditions, thus fulfilling the currently unmet medical needs of the real world.
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