Application of 18F-FDG PET/CT combined with carbohydrate antigen 19-9 for differentiating pancreatic carcinoma from chronic mass-forming pancreatitis in Chinese elderly
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Objective: The current study was designed to analyze the value of 18F-FDG positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) combined with carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) in differentiating pancreatic carcinoma (PC) from chronic mass-forming pancreatitis (CMFP) in Chinese elderly.

Methods: As it is impossible to differentially diagnose PC from CMFP, 60 participants older than 65 years with focal pancreatic lesions were scanned by 18F-FDG PET/CT and their CA19-9 levels were tested. Diagnoses of all participants were confirmed by comprehensive methods including aspiration biopsy, surgical pathology, and clinical follow-up of 12 months. Twenty participants with CMFP were included in CMFP group and 40 participants with PC in PC group.

Results: In CMFP and PC groups, 46 participants showed increased 18F-FDG uptake, 43 had elevated CA19-9 levels, and 38 participants had both increased 18F-FDG uptake and elevated CA19-9 levels. Standardized uptake value maximum of PC group (5.98±2.27) was significantly different from CMFP group (2.58±1.81, P<0.05). Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 18F-FDG PET/CT in differentiating PC from CMFP were 95%, 60%, and 83.3%, respectively. CA19-9 levels of PC group (917.44±1,088.24) were significantly different from CMFP group (19.09±19.54, P<0.05). Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of CA19-9 levels in differentiating PC from CMFP were 87.5%, 60%, and 78.3%, respectively. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 18F-FDG PET/CT combined with CA19-9 levels in differentiating PC from CMFP were 90%, 90%, and 90%, respectively.

Conclusion: 18F-FDG PET/CT had reliable sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in differentiating PC from CMFP, and CA19-9 levels could be helpful in 18F-FDG PET/CT for differentiating PC from CMFP in Chinese elderly. Moreover, 18F-FDG PET/CT combined with CA19-9 levels was found to be an effective method to differentially diagnose PC from CMFP and has paved the way for the timely and safe treatment of PC and CMFP in Chinese elderly.
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Introduction
Pancreatic carcinoma (PC) has become a common malignant tumor accounting for 8%–10% of digestive system malignancy.¹ It is difficult to be diagnosed and cured, and it spreads and relapses easily; it is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death.² Chronic mass-forming pancreatitis (CMFP) is a special type of chronic pancreatitis associated with autoimmune reaction, diseases due to drinking, and biliary diseases,
and accounts for 10%–30% of chronic pancreatitis. Both PC and CMFP are focal pancreatic lesions and show very similar symptoms, signs, and imaging performance. Differential diagnosis between PC and CMFP is very difficult, and misdiagnosis and mistreatment of PC and CMFP have serious impacts on patients. Noninvasive imaging examinations including abdominal ultrasound, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging provide insufficient information regarding differential diagnosis between PC and CMFP. As one kind of imaging method combining anatomical image with functional metabolism, 18F-FDG positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) has the potential to make a differential diagnosis of focal pancreatic lesions. However, the studies exploring the application of 18F-FDG PET/CT for differential diagnosis of focal pancreatic lesions are limited, especially in Chinese elderly. Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) is a cancer marker often used to screen PC, but CA19-9 levels are also found to be abnormal in patients with CMFP as well as in those with numerous benign and malignant diseases of hepatobiliary and gastrointestinal systems and, therefore, CA19-9 cannot be used solely in differentially diagnosing PC from CMFP. The current study was designed to analyze the value of 18F-FDG PET/CT combined with CA19-9 in differentiating PC from CMFP in Chinese elderly.

Methods

Study participants
As it is impossible to differentially diagnose PC from CMFP, 60 participants older than 65 years with focal pancreatic lesions were scanned by 18F-FDG PET/CT and their CA19-9 levels were tested. They showed similar symptoms, signs, and imaging performance including abdominal ultrasound, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging. Diagnoses of all participants were confirmed by comprehensive methods including aspiration biopsy, surgical pathology, and clinical follow-up of 12 months. Twenty participants with CMFP were included in CMFP group and 40 participants with PC in PC group. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Chinese People’s Liberation Army General Hospital and performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Each participant provided written informed consent to be included in the study and their images to be published in this paper.

Image interpretation and blood test
All participants were injected with 18F-FDG (5.55 MBq/kg) and scanned by Siemens Biograph 64 high-definition PET/CT. Scanned area ranged from the skull base to the upper femur, and obtained image was reconstructed by ordered subset expectation maximization. Standardized uptake value maximum (SUVmax) levels were measured from region of interest in 18F-FDG PET/CT image through semi-quantity analysis. Blood samples were drawn from all participants by venipuncture and CA19-9 levels were tested by automated electrochemical luminescence immunoassay. SUVmax levels more than 3.0 were referred to as increased 18F-FDG uptake and CA19-9 levels more than 37 U/mL as elevated CA19-9 levels.

Statistical analyses
Continuous variables were expressed as mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range) and analyzed by Student’s t test or Mann–Whitney U test. Categorical variables were expressed as number (percentage) and analyzed by chi-square test. Bivariate correlations were assessed by Pearson or Spearman coefficients. All analyses were performed by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) software, and a two-tailed test with P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Of the study participants, 38 were men (63.3%) with a mean age of 69±7.1 years. Table 1 shows the patients characteristics. In CMFP and PC groups, 46 participants showed increased 18F-FDG uptake. Among 46 participants, 38 had PC and eight had CMFP. Among the remaining 14 participants with normal 18F-FDG uptake, two had PC and 12 had CMFP. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 18F-FDG PET/CT in differentiating PC from CMFP were 95%, 60%, and 83.3%, respectively (Table 2). SUVmax of PC group (5.98±7.1) was significantly different from CMFP group (2.58±4.0, P<0.05). Figures 1 and 2 are the images obtained from two participants with CMFP and PC, respectively.

In CMFP and PC groups, 43 participants showed elevated CA19-9 levels, among whom, 35 had PC and eight
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| Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; PC, pancreatic carcinoma; CMFP, chronic mass-forming pancreatitis; SD, standard deviation. |
had CMFP. Among the remaining 17 participants with normal CA19-9 levels, five had PC and 12 had CMFP. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of CA19-9 levels in differentiating PC from CMFP were 87.5%, 60%, and 78.3%, respectively (Table 2). CA19-9 levels of PC group (917.44 ± 1,088.24) were significantly different from CMFP group (19.09 ± 19.54, P<0.05). There were significant correlations between SUVmax and CA19-9 levels in CMFP (r=0.881, P<0.05) and PC (r=0.439, P<0.05) groups. Scatter plots for SUVmax and CA19-9 levels in CMFP and PC groups are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Of the study participants in both CMFP and PC groups, 38 participants showed both increased 18F-FDG uptake and elevated CA19-9 levels. Among the 38 participants,
Notes: Computed tomography showed that there was a suspicious lesion in her pancreas. Her carbohydrate antigen 19-9 level was 1015. 18F-FDG PET/CT showed that there was a lesion with increased 18F-FDG uptake (standardized uptake value maximum =6.34) in her pancreas. Finally, she was diagnosed with pancreatic carcinoma.

Abbreviations: PET, positron emission tomography; CT, computed tomography.

Figure 3 Scatter plot for participants with chronic mass-forming pancreatitis between standardized uptake value maximum and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 levels.

Figure 4 Scatter plot for participants with pancreatic carcinoma between standardized uptake value maximum and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 levels.
36 had PC and two had CMFP. Among the remaining 22 participants, four had PC and 18 had CMFP. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 18F-FDG PET/CT combined with CA19-9 levels in differentiating PC from CMFP were 90%, 90%, and 90%, respectively (Table 2).

Discussion
PC has an increasing trend of prevalence and accounts for 1%–2% of malignant tumor.\(^1\) It is difficult to be diagnosed and cured, and spreads and relapses easily; it is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death.\(^2\) CMFP, also known as inflammatory pancreatic mass, accounts for 10%–30% of chronic pancreatitis.\(^3\) PC and CMFP can be easily misdiagnosed which has a negative impact on patients, family, and society; therefore, it is high time that an accurate differential diagnosis between PC and CMFP is made possible.\(^4\) Symptoms and signs of PC and CMFP are very similar and, therefore, cannot be relied on for differential diagnosis. Because both PC and CMFP are focal pancreatic lesions, noninvasive imaging examinations including abdominal ultrasound, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging have a limited effect on differential diagnosis between PC and CMFP.\(^5\) Use of invasive means such as surgical exploration and aspiration biopsy will not only lead to misdiagnosis and mistreatment due to deviations of draw materials but also to risk of bleeding and pancreatic fistula.

18F-FDG PET/CT is a high-tech imaging equipment that not only accurately indicates anatomical image but also effectively displays functional metabolism.\(^6,7\) As PC cells grow rapidly and anaerobic glycolysis increases, 18F-FDG was taken up more by PC cells, which are converted into 6-P-18F-FDG and stored in PC cells. 18F-FDG PET can clearly show PC focus with metabolic boost and 18F-FDG concentration and have the ability to make a differential diagnosis of focal pancreatic lesions.\(^6,7\) Reske et al\(^11\) found that SUVmax of PC was obviously higher than that of CMFP. Prior studies have explored the application of 18F-FDG PET/CT for the diagnosis of PC, but these studies were limited, especially in Chinese elderly.\(^8\) The current study found that 18F-FDG PET/CT had reliable sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in differentiating PC from CMFP in Chinese elderly.

As a cancer marker often applied to screen the PC, CA19-9 is a mucoprotein present in pancreatic, biliary, gastric, and intestinal epithelium cells.\(^14\) On the one hand, PC cells grow up, invade, and injure the normal pancreatic and biliary cells leading to the release of CA19-9; on the other hand, PC cells themselves release CA19-9 as they grow up. False-negative errors are obtained in the following conditions. When PC is small without or with light obstructive jaundice, CA19-9 levels are normal. When PC is big, CA19-9 levels are normal for 10% of people with Lewis-a-negative because of their inability to release CA19-9.\(^13\) Not only the condition Lewis-a negative but also diabetes affects CA19-9 levels. False-positive errors are observed in patients not only with CMFP but also with numerous benign and malignant diseases of hepatobiliary and gastrointestinal systems.\(^10\) For these reasons, CA19-9 levels are not solely used for the differential diagnosis of PC from CMFP.\(^9\) The current study suggested that CA19-9 levels could be helpful in 18F-FDG PET/CT for differentiating PC from CMFP in Chinese elderly.

Conclusion
The current study confirmed that 18F-FDG PET/CT combined with CA19-9 levels can be used as an effective method to make a differential diagnosis between PC and CMFP, and has paved the way for the timely and safe treatment of PC and CMFP in Chinese elderly.
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