The effects of magnesium sulfate therapy after severe diffuse axonal injury
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Purpose: To evaluate the clinical effects of magnesium sulfate in the treatment of diffuse axonal injury (DAI).

Patients and methods: This study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted in the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou and Zhuhai People’s Hospital, Zhuhai, two trauma center hospitals. A total of 128 patients suffered from DAI, with initial Glasgow coma scale (GCS) scores of 3–8. They were randomly divided into two groups: magnesium sulfate treatment (MST) group (n=64) and control group (n=64). The MST group received 250 µmol/kg magnesium sulfate intravenously 20 minutes after admission, followed by 750 µmol/kg magnesium sulfate intravenously daily for 5 days. The control group received standard management without MST. GCS scores and serum neuron-specific enolase values were measured and recorded at admission, and on days 3 and 7 after injury. Outcomes were determined by Glasgow outcome scale scores at discharge and at 3 months’ follow-up, respectively.

Results: After the 7-day treatment, patients in the MST group, compared with those in the control group, had a lower serum neuron-specific enolase level (25.40±1.10 vs 3.90±1.44, P=0.004) and higher GCS score (8.23±2.72 vs 7.05±2.64, respectively, P=0.016). Although the length of stay and mortality did not differ between the groups in the intensive care unit, Glasgow outcome scale score was significantly lower in the MST group at discharge (3.30±1.35 vs 3.90±1.10, P=0.004) and 3 months after discharge (2.95±1.48 vs 3.66±1.44, P=0.009).

Conclusion: Early treatment with magnesium sulfate resulted in a significant improvement in DAI outcome. Further studies are needed to confirm the clinical significance of treatment of DAI patients with magnesium sulfate.
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Introduction

Diffuse axonal injury (DAI) is one of the most common and severe types of traumatic brain injury (TBI) after vehicle accidents.¹ When screening all forms of TBI, it has been confirmed that 60% of all severe and 30% of moderate brain injury victims are associated with DAI.² It has been proven that severe DAI can cause an increased incidence of morbidity, mortality, and development of a persistent vegetative state.³,⁴ The severity of injury is typically more in younger than older people but the outcomes are worse in the elderly.⁵

Brain ischemic damage as a result of secondary brain injury is extremely common in the later period following TBI, with 80% to 90% of the victims showing evidence of ischemic brain damage by histopathological examinations.⁶,⁷ Recently, researchers observed that an ultra-early period of severe reduction in brain blood flow occurs within 6 hours after the initial insult in one-third of the patients with severe TBI.⁸
This ultra-early ischemia is characterized by focal reductions in cerebral blood flow below the ischemic threshold of 18 mL/100 g/min, which leads to poor blood supply and ischemic neuronal necrosis. Heath and Vink have shown that there is a significant and sustained decrease in free Mg²⁺ concentration in the brain after traumatic brain axonal injury; it is correlated with the neurological deficit and prognosis. Previous research has shown that administration of magnesium sulfate was an effective treatment for focal or diffuse TBI, when given a bolus 30 minutes after injury at least; treatment with magnesium sulfate improved neurological outcome versus treatment with placebo. Hence, we believe it is of high clinical value to further investigate the impact of early and sustained magnesium therapy in TBI. It is well-known that mild hypothermia may improve brain injury, magnesium as a potential neuroprotective agent, and may have an effect in the treatment of head injury and brain ischemia. The mechanism is more likely to be obtained by an increase in magnesium concentration in plasma and then maintaining magnesium level in brain extracellular fluid. The study was designed to observe the clinical effect of magnesium sulfate in the treatment of DAI. To our knowledge, there are few existing studies that have examined the effect of magnesium sulfate in the treatment of DAI. If magnesium eventually is found to be even moderately effective as a neuroprotective agent in TBI, there may be significant advantages. It is widely available, inexpensive, and has an established safety profile. This contrasts with concerns over the safety of noncompetitive N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonists in particular. The purpose of this study was to observe the clinical outcome of early administered magnesium after TBI.

Patients and methods

Participants

This study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled design conducted in two hospitals. We studied a total of 128 patients with DAI at the Department of Critical Care Medicine of Zhuhai People’s Hospital and the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (two regional trauma centers in People’s Republic of China) during a 17-month period between January 1, 2013, and May 31, 2014. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Zhuhai People’s Hospital and the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient or one family member before inclusion into the study. The study protocol is outlined in Figure 1.

All patients presenting with a diagnosis of DAI were checked for eligibility by the treating physician. Inclusion criteria were: 16 to 72 years of age and nonpenetrating head injury. Exclusion criteria were: patients with major associated extracranial injuries, patients who underwent craniotomy, and patients refusing participation. The sample population was composed of 104 males and 24 females, ranging in age from 16 to 72 years (mean age 41.04±17.15 years). All patients were admitted directly within 1 hour of the incident. Motor vehicle accidents accounted for 73%; while the remaining injuries were due to the result of falls, assaults, and other causes. Patients were examined by cranial computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging immediately on admission. Patients with DAI were diagnosed according to Adams and Gennarelli’s definition. Normal computed tomography findings of small or collapsed ventricles, subarachnoid hemorrhage, intraventricular hemorrhage, or small hematomas in the white matter with no or <5 mm midline displacement, or a combination of these, and Glasgow coma scale (GCS) scores of ≤8 at hospitalization, were included in this study. Patients with injury to multiple systems were not admitted to our intensive care units and therefore are not a part of our study.

Randomization

Patients who met the eligibility criteria were assigned to either the control group (placebo) or magnesium sulfate treatment (MST) group, by stratified block randomization. This was carried out using a computer system managed by a statistician not related to the project team in order to protect the double-blind design and integrity of the study. Randomization was stratified by sex, age (16–72 years of age), and GCS scores of ≤8 at hospitalization. The allocation ratio was 1:1. Patients, treating physicians, and investigators

Figure 1 Flow chart of the study.

Abbreviations: DAI, diffuse axonal injury; MST, magnesium sulfate treatment.
assessing outcomes and analyzing data would be unaware of the allocation.

Protocol for management and administration of DAI
Patients were promptly intubated if they manifested any signs of respiratory distress. Patients were electively intubated if their GCS scores were \( \leq 6 \) or if they displayed poor airway protective reflexes. An arterial line and central venous catheter were routinely placed. Mechanical ventilation was adjusted to keep partial pressure of carbon dioxide in the arterial blood between 32 and 35 mmHg. Patients underwent complete neurological assessment by the nursing staff hourly (more frequently if deteriorating). Hyperventilation, mannitol, and cerebral spinal fluid drainage were only used if patients had signs of elevated intracranial pressure (>20 mmHg). The MST group received 250 µmol/kg magnesium sulfate intravenously 20 minutes after admission, followed by 750 µmol/kg magnesium sulfate intravenously daily for 3–5 days. The control group received standard management without MST. The regimen chosen was intended to result in a serum magnesium concentration twice the physiological concentration, and maintain this level for 24 hours.

Data collection
The following information was collected from the medical records of each patient: age and sex details of initial injury, GCS score at admission, and on days 3 and 7 after the traumatic injury. Serum neuron-specific enolase (NSE) values were measured at admission, and on days 3 and 7. Outcome was determined by Glasgow outcome scale (GOS) score at discharge and 3 months after discharge for each patient. The GOS scores were defined as: I = mild or no disability, II = moderate disability, III = severe disability, IV = vegetative state, and V = death. The length of stay both in the intensive care unit and hospital was recorded.

Statistical analysis
Data analysis was performed with a statistical software (SPSS for Windows, version 15.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All values were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Mean values and frequencies were compared by using analysis of variance and \( \chi^2 \) analysis, respectively. We performed stepwise logistic regression analysis to determine the effect of magnesium sulfate on in-hospital mortality after adjusting for age, initial GCS score, and initial serum NSE. Age and initial GCS score were entered in the model. We performed a second stepwise linear regression analysis to evaluate the effect of magnesium sulfate (MS) on GOS at discharge after adjusting for age, initial GCS score, and initial serum NSE. An entry criteria of \( P < 0.1 \) was used in this model. A \( P \)-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results
A total of 128 patients with DAI were treated with and without magnesium sulfate from January 1, 2013, to May 31, 2014, a comparison between the characteristics of the two groups is presented in Table 1. No significant differences were observed between the two groups with regard to age and initial GCS scores. We found significant improvement in the GCS score on days 3 and 7 after admission in the MST group (7.39±2.07 with MST and 6.23±2.29 without MST, \( P = 0.004 \)) and (8.23±2.72 with MST and 7.05±2.64 without MST, \( P = 0.016 \)), respectively. Although initial serum NSE was similar in both groups, maximum NSE recorded during the intensive care unit stay was significantly higher in the control group (Table 1). There was no difference in the frequency of hyperventilation, mannitol, and cerebrospinal fluid drainage between the two groups. The in-hospital mortality was lower in the MST group. The outcome at discharge and 3 months after discharge between the two groups is shown in Figures 2 and 3. The mean GOS score was significantly lower in the MST group at discharge (3.30±1.35 vs 3.90±1.10; \( P = 0.004 \)) and 3 months after

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>MST</th>
<th>NonMST</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>39.6±17.14</td>
<td>41.0±16.38</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>53.00 (82.81)</td>
<td>51.00 (79.68)</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II score</td>
<td>21.81±2.75</td>
<td>21.61±2.44</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mmol/L</td>
<td>10.60±1.71</td>
<td>10.25±1.40</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSE, g/L AD</td>
<td>32.76±6.88</td>
<td>33.12±6.13</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSE, g/L after 3 days</td>
<td>28.93±6.50</td>
<td>32.11±7.09</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSE, g/L after 7 days</td>
<td>25.40±6.66</td>
<td>29.58±7.32</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS AD</td>
<td>5.82±1.71</td>
<td>5.36±1.91</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS after 3 days</td>
<td>7.39±2.07</td>
<td>6.23±2.29</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS after 7 days</td>
<td>8.23±2.72</td>
<td>7.05±2.64</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS at discharge</td>
<td>3.30±1.35</td>
<td>3.96±1.10</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS after 3 months</td>
<td>2.95±1.48</td>
<td>3.66±1.44</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The events at discharge</td>
<td>46 (70.31)</td>
<td>52 (81.25)</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The events after 3 months</td>
<td>35 (54.69)</td>
<td>51 (79.69)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU duration, days</td>
<td>21.04±9.39</td>
<td>23.67±14.59</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU mortality (%)</td>
<td>20 (31.25)</td>
<td>26 (40.62)</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Events. GOS of patients were III, IV, and V (the poor prognosis group). \( P \)-value of < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Abbreviations: AD, admission; APACHE, acute physiologic score and chronic health evaluation; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; GOS, Glasgow outcome scale; ICU, intensive care unit; MST, magnesium sulfate treatment; NSE, neuron-specific enolase.
The cumulative proportion of patients who demonstrated improvement in neurological status (improvement in GCS score of ≥2 points compared to admission score) was higher in the MST group on days 3 and 7. According to GOS: I = mild or no disability and II = moderate disability were defined as a negative event = 0 (the good prognosis group); III = severe disability, IV = vegetative state, and V = death were defined as a positive event = 1 (the poor prognosis group). The survival curves (Figure 4) showed lower survival rate for the MST group but the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.077). Three months after hospital discharge, the cumulative survival rate in the MST group was significantly improved. Figure 5 shows a differentiation in the Kaplan–Meier curve and after the Cox regression analysis, a survival benefit for the MST group (P = 0.018). This shows that magnesium does not significantly improve the prognosis of DAI patients in the short term, but may improve long-term prognosis.

Discussion

DAI remains the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in major brain injury, which occurs in more than half of all severe cases of clinical TBI. Early histopathological studies using silver staining techniques estimated that nearly 25% of patients ultimately died from severe TBI. However, using more sensitive indicators, such as β-amyloid immunohistochemical analyses, more recent studies indicate that axonal injury has been commonly observed and reactive axonal...
changes found in >90% of cases in severe TBI. The cause of axonal injury was believed to be due to injury that occurred as a direct result of the tensile forces of the primary initial trauma, but is now recognized that delayed process of progressive intra-axonal changes leads to disconnection and is considered part of the secondary axonal injury. This delayed process has been shown to be present between hours and days after the traumatic event and is thought to be largely responsible for many of the neurologic deficits in the posttrauma period. The current understanding of the mechanisms of axons injury is dramatically applied to the therapeutic approach. This study presents that the use of magnesium sulfate can improve neurologic impairment and outcome of DAI patient. Figures 2 and 3 show the outcome for the two study groups at discharge and 3 months after discharge. It is clear that the MST group had better outcome than control group.

In general, mechanical disruption of axon injury seldom results from direct TBI. Pathologically, traumatic shearing injury with focal, breaking of the subtle axonal cytoskeleton may lead to impaired axoplasmic transport, continued axonal swelling, and ultimate disconnection. According to the recent research about initial intra-axonal events that are triggered, the described sequence of reactive axonal change is multifactorial, such as axolemmal permeability, direct cytoskeletal damage/perturbation, or more overt metabolic/functional disturbance. Traumatically induced DAI will lead to diffuse deafferentation, which is a pathological change associated with morbidity and mortality.

Magnesium as a neuroprotective agent is approved by many preclinical models of ischemic and excitotoxic brain injury. Even with a severe brain injury, correcting magnesium deficiency is believed to prolong the victim’s life. Compared to a great majority of other neuroprotective agents, there are a number of clinical research studies with magnesium, such as preeclampsia/eclampsia and myocardial infarction, which confirm its safety and tolerability. A number of possible mechanisms of magnesium are considered, including that the Mg ion regulates cellular energy metabolism, vascular tone, and cell membrane ion transport. Magnesium is used generally to antagonize calcium in plasma. Furthermore, adenosine triphosphate concentrations may be regulated by magnesium; maintaining magnesium concentration is a precondition for adenosine triphosphate regeneration following ischemia and reperfusion damage. Magnesium causes vasodilation by stimulation of endothelial prostacyclin release, the latter prevents vasoconstriction. The NMDA receptor is a voltage-dependent ion channel; magnesium causes increasing extracellular magnesium concentrations by noncompetitive NMDA receptor blockade. Magnesium could protect both hippocampal neurons and white matter, avoid damage by glutamate-mediated necrosis and prolonged ischemia. In animal research, intracellular free magnesium concentration significantly declines after focal fluid-percussion injury in rats, which is associated with the decrease in intracellular high-energy phosphate stores and is in proportion to the severity of injury. Increasing extracellular magnesium concentration improves the recovery of hippocampal neuronal high-energy phosphates and accelerates regional cerebral blood flow to the ischemic brain area after ischemia. As a nonspecific antagonist of all subtypes of voltage-sensitive calcium channels, magnesium ion blocks the NMDA subclass of glutamate receptor and enhances recovery of cellular energy metabolism after ischemia. In addition, magnesium therapy facilitates cognitive recovery and improves behavioral outcome after brain injury.

The benefit of magnesium in therapeutic settings is considered more advantageous than that of many new drugs in recent years, such as safety and tolerability. The ideal dose of magnesium still remains unknown, but the experimental data show that the more the concentration of extracellular magnesium is at the local ischemic site, the greater will be the neuroprotective effect. The therapeutic dose for magnesium should be personalized, serum concentrations of 4–6 mmol/L are necessary, and in the meanwhile, the symptomatic inhibition of neuromuscular transmission must be monitored and avoided. The goal of this study was to enhance higher physiological concentration of magnesium by injecting extrinsic magnesium and maintain the high concentration levels for 24 hours. The cumulative survival rate (Figures 4 and 5) shows that the therapy of magnesium does not significantly improve the prognosis of patients at discharge, but may improve long-term prognosis.

**Conclusion**

In summary, this study was powered to detect differences in clinical outcome, and efficacy was inferred from the results. There was a trend toward favorable outcome in magnesium-treated DAI patients. This is consistent with previous observations. In conclusion, this study showed that magnesium sulfate therapy is effective in the treatment of patients with DAI.
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