Anatomic distribution of supraclavicular lymph node in patients with esophageal cancer
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Purpose: Definitive chemoradiation therapy remains the standard of care for patients with localized esophageal carcinoma who choose nonsurgical management. However, there is no consensus regarding delineation of the nodal clinical target volume (CTVn), especially for lower cervical lymph nodes. This study aimed to map the location of metastatic supraclavicular lymph nodes in thoracic esophageal carcinoma patients with supraclavicular node involvement and generate an atlas to delineate the CTVn for elective nodal radiation of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

Patients and methods: In this study, the supraclavicular regional lymph node was further divided into four subgroups. The locations of the involved supraclavicular nodes for all patients were then transferred onto a template computed tomography (CT) image. A volume probability map was then generated with nodal volumes, and was displayed on the template CT to provide a visual impression of nodal frequencies and anatomic distribution.

Results: We identified 154 supraclavicular nodal metastases based on CT image in 96 patients. Of these, 29.2% were located in group I region, 59.7% in group II region, 10.4% in group III region, and 0.7% in group IV region.

Conclusion: On the basis of our study, we suggest that the appropriate radiation field of CTVn should include the group I and II regions and the CTVn exterior margin along the lateral side of the internal jugular vein may be suitable.
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Introduction

Esophageal cancer (EC) is the sixth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide and is characterized by extreme aggression and poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{1,2} Cervical and upper thoracic lesions account for \textasciitilde15% of all ECs. A complicated anatomy limits the surgical procedures that can be used for these lesions.\textsuperscript{3} Definitive chemoradiation therapy (DCRT) remains the standard of care for patients with localized EC who choose nonsurgical management.\textsuperscript{4} Radiation therapy, a component of DCRT, is one of the most important therapeutic methods in the treatment of the upper thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). Most studies report that the neck and upper mediastinum are the regions most commonly affected by nodal metastasis in patients with upper thoracic EC.\textsuperscript{5–7} Ding et al surveyed 18,415 patients in 45 observational studies to determine which node level should be included in the target volume for patients undergoing DCRT.\textsuperscript{8} Their data came from patients who were undergoing surgical treatment with two-field or three-field dissection. The lymph node metastasis rates of upper thoracic EC in the cervical, upper mediastinal, midmediastinal, lower mediastinal, and abdominal levels were 30.7%, 42.0%, 12.9%, 2.6%, and 9%, respectively.
respectively. Similarly, Li et al demonstrated that for cervical and upper thoracic lesions, the most common site of nodal metastasis is the neck (39.2% and 21.8%, respectively), and proposed that the supraclavicular lymph nodes should be included at the superior border of the target volume.\(^9\) Guidelines from the US National Comprehensive Cancer Network recommend that for cervical EC, the supraclavicular nodes and even the higher echelon cervical nodes should be subjected to elective treatment.\(^10\)

However, there is no consensus regarding delineation of the nodal clinical target volume (CTVn), especially for lower cervical lymph nodes. Esophageal carcinoma is greatly capable of metastasizing with an extensive and not clearly defined range of invasion.\(^11\) Taking into consideration the microscopic spread, the irradiation fields of nodal target volume may cover both involved lymph nodes and regional nodes. Of note, a large lateral extent of the conventional supraclavicular irradiation field theoretically provides a better regional control, while the radiotherapy-related toxicities cannot be ignored and the implementation of three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy and intensity-modulated radiation therapy requires accurate and precise definition of the CTVn.\(^12–15\)

In this study, we characterize the distribution of these nodes according to computed tomography (CT) data in patients with supraclavicular regional lymph node involvement, and use this evidence to suggest contours of the appropriate clinical target volume (CTV) margin in supraclavicular nodal region, which would potentially improve conformation treatment in this patient population with decreased treatment-related toxicity.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

After obtaining approval from the Medical Ethics Committee of Shandong Cancer Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, we retrospectively reviewed our database of upper ESCC patients from June 2010 to July 2015. All patients had been histologically or cytologically confirmed with ESCC. We retrospectively analyzed patients who had cervical and upper thoracic ESCC without distant metastasis at diagnosis. Esophageal lesions in the reviewed patients were assessed and characterized using examinations that included esophagography, endoscopy, CT imaging, and for some patients, combined positron-emission tomography–computed tomography and endoscopic ultrasonography. The eligible criteria for inclusion in the study were a diagnosis of metastatic supraclavicular regional lymph nodes, either relapse at the supraclavicular region after chemoradiation with involved field or initial metastases, and availability of diagnostic CT scans of the supraclavicular region. Clinical characteristics of 96 EC patients with supraclavicular node involvement are shown in Table 1. All participants provided written informed consent.

**CT diagnosis of metastatic nodes**

All CT images were reviewed and interpreted by two experienced radiological experts. Positive lymph nodes in our study were included based on the fluorodeoxyglucose avidity and CT appearance of the node. Features supporting the consideration for metastasis included a short axis >10 mm, a round shape, inhomogeneous enhancement, the presence of necrosis, at locations uncommon for reactive nodes, fluorodeoxyglucose avidity (if tested), and obviously responsive to anticancer treatment.\(^16–18\) Only those with qualified CT images explicitly exhibiting the sizes and locations of malignant nodes in the whole range of the supraclavicular region and lower neck were included.

**Definition of each lymph node group and node mapping**

The supraclavicular region is divided into the left and right sides of the body midline, and in this study, each side was further divided into four subgroups based on the imaging-based classification for the cervical nodes reported by Som et al or Feng et al including para-recurrent laryngeal neural nodes (group I), para-internal jugular venous

<p>| Table 1 Clinical characteristics of 96 esophageal cancer patients with supraclavicular node involvement |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>42–81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper thoracic</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of SCV node involvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial presentation</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse at follow-up</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment intention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curative</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palliative</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** SCV, supraclavicular.
nodes (group II), supraclavicular lymph nodes (group III), and level Vb posterior cervical lymph nodes (group IV). Table 2 shows the details of the anatomic structures of interest and the reference blood vessels for each lymph node region.\textsuperscript{19,20}

The locations of the involved supraclavicular nodes for all patients were then transferred onto a template CT image (registered by hand drawings from the diagnostic CT scan onto the template CT scan) according to methods previously described.\textsuperscript{21,22} The volumetric center of each lymph node was identified and used for subsequent characterization of location. All mapped lymph node locations were individually reviewed and registered most precisely onto the template CT image, by a radiologist and by two radiation oncologists. A volume probability map was then generated with nodal volumes, and was displayed on the template CT for a visual representation of the axial density of distribution. Finally, CTV contours of the suggested supraclavicular regional nodes were generated by radiation oncologists specialized in treatment of esophageal carcinoma, based on the anatomical distribution.

### Results

#### Patient characteristics

A total of 96 cervical EC and upper thoracic esophageal carcinoma patients with supraclavicular lymph node metastasis were retrospectively examined at our institution from June 2010 to July 2015. The sample included 72 male patients and 24 female patients with a median age of 62 years (range, 42–81 years). Of these, ten patients had stage I, 16 had stage II, 57 had stage III, and 13 had stage IV disease. In the 96 patients, 154 nodes (the number of positive lymph nodes in each patient ranged from 1–5) were considered to be metastatic in the supraclavicular region, 79 on the left and 75 on the right.

#### Anatomic distribution of supraclavicular nodes

The distribution of 154 supraclavicular nodal metastases in 96 patients is shown in Figure 1. We further evaluated in detail the lymph node involvement frequency for each lymph node group. In patients with upper EC, the lymph node spread affected mainly the group I and group II regions. Of the 154 nodes, 29.2% were located in group I region, 59.7% in group II region, 10.4% in group III region, and 0.7% in group IV region. The metastatic characteristics of lymph nodes in the subgroup supraclavicular region are shown in Table 3.
On the basis of our study, the lower cervical lymph nodes of the group I and group II regions are considered to be the high-risk regions of lymph node metastasis, which were defined as suitable for ENI. Of note, 10.4% of involved lymph nodes were located in group III region, and those positive lymph nodes were close to the lateral side of the internal jugular vein. Approximately 99% of involved lymph nodes in lower cervical region could be safely encompassed by a CTVn, including lymph node metastasis in group I, group II, and group III regions, indicating that the CTVn exterior margin along the lateral side of the internal jugular vein may be suitable.

Discussion
Chemoradiotherapy has an important role in the treatment of EC in both the inoperable and the preoperative settings. However, regarding the target volume for radiation, different clinical practices exist. To date, the use of ENI or involved-field radiotherapy for chemoradiotherapy is still controversial. Some investigators consider that an irradiation volume covering only the gross tumor is appropriate. When using ENI or involved-field radiotherapy, primary tumor recurrence and distant metastases are the common failure sites, and the overall survival does not differ. However, some study revealed that the use of ENI can significantly increase local control and reduce the risk of complications. Currently, information on the location of supraclavicular regional lymph nodes is lacking. The optimal radiotherapy target volume for the supraclavicular lymph node region has not been determined. Because of inadequate data on the anatomical distribution of involved lymph nodes, patients treated with a supraclavicular field usually have no evidence of gross supraclavicular disease, and target volumes are often defined primarily on the basis of the distribution of normal lymphatics or by vascular and bony landmarks that have traditionally been used for field design.

To address the limitation of the current studies, we describe the distribution of supraclavicular region lymph nodes in patients with thoracic esophageal carcinoma according to CT imaging in our institution and analyzed the anatomic distribution of supraclavicular region lymph nodes in patients to outline the spatial and probabilistic distributions of supraclavicular region lymph nodes, providing data for an evidence-based approach to supraclavicular region radiation therapy field design. As seen in our study, the atlas showed that metastasis frequently occurred in the lower cervical lymph nodes in group I, group II, and group III regions. Combining the anatomy with our data, those regions are considered to be at high risk of ESCC lymph node metastasis, which were defined as areas suitable for ENI. Approximately 89% of involved lymph nodes in supraclavicular region could be safely encompassed by a CTVn, including group I and group II regions. The incidence of supraclavicular lymph node involvement in the group III region was 10.4%, and the location of those lymph nodes was close to the lateral side of the internal jugular vein. Moreover, the incidence of lymph node involvement in

### Table 3 Distribution of lower cervical nodal metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Right side</th>
<th>Left side</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
group IV was low, and it may be reasonable to exclude these lymph nodes from the CTVn. On the basis of our study, for patients with upper thoracic ESCC who choose DCRT as their treatment, we suggest that the appropriate radiation field of CTVn should include the group I and II regions and the CTVn exterior margin along the lateral side of the internal jugular vein may be suitable.

However, there are several limitations in our current study. First, our findings are based on an interpretation of CT imaging and not on pathology assessments. Microscopic disease can occur in normal-sized lymph nodes, and lymph node enlargement can be caused by benign conditions, limiting the accuracy of CT for interpreting nodal involvement in EC to 39%–85%. In addition, according to a meta-analysis, CT showed 50% sensitivity and 83% specificity for determining regional lymph node metastasis in thoracic tumors. Secondly, disadvantages in node registration by hand drawing onto one template CT are inherent. Additionally, there is a small, inherent source of error in transferring the involved supraclavicular nodes from each individual patient to a template CT. Finally, ours was a retrospective study with a small sample size, and included only 96 patients with supraclavicular regional lymph node involvement, which yielded 154 lymph nodes for the present analysis. Fortunately, the location of these nodes was highly reproducible, as illustrated by the volume probability maps in Figure 1, suggesting that our sample size is sufficient to propose the CTV contours of supraclavicular nodes.

Despite our study’s limitations, we believe that our study contributes novel, patient population-based data regarding the anatomic distribution of positive supraclavicular regional lymph nodes to generate a contour that may serve as a “template” to guide CTV delineation during conformal treatment planning. Our proposed contours may result in improved targeting of radiation treatment, which may allow for a significant decrease in normal tissue irradiation and its associated toxicities.

**Conclusion**

In summary, safe use of precise radiotherapy to prevent regional lymph node metastasis depends on accurate identification of the CTVn. We used CT imaging to create a probability map that sets out the incidence of nodal involvement by anatomic group in patients with EC. This study provides the radiation oncologist with valuable information regarding the anatomic distribution of supraclavicular metastases, which may serve as a “template” to guide CTV delineation for ENI of upper thoracic ESCC and might result in improvements to the target contour during radiation treatment, with decreased treatment-related toxicity.
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