Patient-level costs of major cardiovascular conditions: a review of the international literature

Objective: Robust cost estimates of cardiovascular (CV) events are required for assessing health care interventions aimed at reducing the economic burden of major adverse CV events. This review synthesizes international cost estimates of CV events.

Methods: MEDLINE database was searched electronically for English language studies published during 2007-2012, with cost estimates for CV events of interest – unstable angina, myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke, and CV revascularization. Included studies provided at least one estimate of patient-level direct costs in adults for any identified country. Information on study characteristics and cost estimates were collected. All costs were adjusted for inflation to 2013 values.

Results: Across the 114 studies included, the average cost was US $6,466 for unstable angina, $11,664 for acute myocardial infarction, $11,686 for acute heart failure, $11,635 for acute ischemic stroke, $37,611 for coronary artery bypass graft, and $13,501 for percutaneous coronary intervention. The ranges for cost estimates varied widely across countries with US cost estimates being at least twice as high as European Union costs for some conditions. Few studies were found on populations outside the US and European Union.

Conclusion: This review showed wide variation in the cost of CV events within and across countries, while showcasing the continuing economic burden of CV disease. The variability in costs was primarily attributable to differences in study population, costing methodologies, and reporting differences. Reliable cost estimates for assessing economic value of interventions in CV disease are needed.
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Introduction

For decades, the broad class of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) has been the leading cause of mortality worldwide, responsible for 30% of all deaths (~17 million annually). CVD is responsible for >7.5 million inpatient cardiovascular (CV) procedures in the US and just >2,500 inpatient discharges per 100,000 persons in Europe. CVD places a significant economic burden on patients and health care systems. In 2010, the direct medical costs of CVD totaled US $272 billion in the US alone (2008 USD). In the European Union (EU), direct medical costs of CVD are estimated to reach €106 billion annually. The health care burden of CVD continues to grow with an aging population as well as the contribution of clinical risk factors, such as obesity, high-lipid levels, and consequent atherosclerosis and hypertension.
The clinical complexity and extensive economic burden of CVD has led to a number of studies examining the costs of major CV conditions. As the standard of care for the treatment of CV conditions evolves, the cost of care also increases. A considerable literature focused on reviews of economic evaluations in CVD exists but few reviews on cost-of-illness (COI) studies in CVD have been published recently. The COI study reviews that have been published in the past 5 years have focused solely on costs of stroke. No review to date has synthesized international COI studies on all the major components of CVD. Reliable and recent cost estimates are necessary components of economic evaluations and decision making on reimbursement for CV interventions.

This review aims to summarize patient-level estimates from CVD COI studies published in English, between 2007 and 2012. CV events are expensive worldwide both in terms of direct medical costs at the time of event and through subsequent follow-up health care costs after the event. Therefore, the objectives of this review are to identify short-term (initial hospitalization) and follow-up (through 1 year after initial hospitalization) costs of each condition and identify gaps in the COI literature.

### Methods

#### Search strategy

An electronic search of the published scientific literature was conducted to identify relevant cost studies for CV events of interest using PubMed to query the MEDLINE electronic bibliographic database. The review focused on English language studies published between January 1, 2007, and December 31, 2012. Each CV event MeSH term, such as “angina”, “myocardial infarction (MI)”, “stroke”, “heart failure”, “coronary revascularization”, “peripheral arterial disease”, and “cerebral revascularization”, was paired with MeSH term “health care costs”. Searches were otherwise limited to human studies published in English language only.

#### Selection criteria

Original studies providing patient-level cost estimates for any condition identified in the search strategy were included. Review articles were excluded, but reference lists of review articles were hand searched for relevant original studies. No restriction was made on the country of origin. Cost-effectiveness studies of specific interventions and treatments were excluded due to specificity of the patient population and treatment arms, which are unlikely to be generalizable to overall cost estimates. Additional exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) population size <100 patients, 2) studies on child or adolescent populations, and 3) studies providing only indirect medical costs or population-level costs. Table 1 presents the selection criteria for this review.

This article focuses on the subset of studies evaluating the following CV events: unstable or unspecified angina, MI, ischemic or unspecified stroke, heart failure, and coronary revascularization procedures. Studies without cost estimates in one of these categories were excluded from this review.

### Study selection

Four reviewers reviewed all abstracts against eligibility criteria. Abstracts selected for full-text review by the reviewers were retrieved. One reviewer reassessed the full list of abstracts recommended for inclusion. Full-text review was conducted by four reviewers to assess eligibility for abstractions. Any disagreements were adjudicated by discussion. Abstract and full-text review was conducted using Microsoft Excel software.

### Table 1 Selection criteria for studies of cardiovascular event costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Adults (18+ years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Primary prevention interventions aimed at lowering cholesterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary prevention of cardiovascular events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burden of disease analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Direct medical costs of cardiovascular events listed in review question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Must report cost (modeled or primary) for requested cardiovascular event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>No restriction on time horizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>All countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prospective or retrospective observational studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Systematic reviews with or without meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(for hand searching primary articles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication dates</td>
<td>January 2007–December 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Children (&lt;18 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size (N&lt;100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults with congenital heart conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults with traumatic brain injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brain aneurysms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Primary prevention interventions not aimed at lowering cholesterol (eg, smoking cessation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disease management studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost-effectiveness studies*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HTA submissions*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trials of diagnostic therapies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Screening or monitoring trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Indirect medical costs (eg, absenteeism and caregiver burden)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Cost-effectiveness studies and health technology assessment submissions were excluded in the original search strategy protocol for this project. However, results from a separate literature review examining statin cost-effectiveness trials have been incorporated in this report.

Abbreviation: HTA, health technology assessment.
Data extraction and synthesis

Titles and abstracts were screened to assess relevance of the study to review. Relevant full-text articles were obtained for study, and cost estimates and methodology as reported by authors were abstracted. Though no formal assessment of quality was undertaken, study design, sample sizes, and presence of precision estimates (eg, standard deviation) were abstracted to give context to cost estimates. Cost estimates derived from hospital charges unconverted to costs were collected but not abstracted. Thus, articles where only hospital charge data were reported are not included in this article. Cost estimates may be calculated based on a number of methods: reimbursement-based methods, eg, Medicare Diagnosis-Related Groups, top-down methods, and bottom-up methods. We have included the inclusion population and study design in Supplementary material. Costs for patients with comorbidities or other subpopulations were collected and abstracted. Where possible, only inpatient cost estimates provided by authors were abstracted for comparison between studies. If inpatient costs were not available, costs as reported by study authors and the type of cost recorded were included. Studies were stratified by the type of cost as follows:

- Acute cost: inclusive of a procedure or initial hospitalization,
- Follow-up cost: inclusive of rehospitalization episodes, second procedures, continuing care after initial procedure, hospitalization, or routine care, occurring from the day after discharge until 1 year after an event.
- End-of-life cost: specific to the period immediately preceding death (for heart failure studies only).

All costs were inflated to 2013 values in each country's currency utilizing the medical component of the Consumer Price Index.\(^7\) Costs were then adjusted to international dollars using the 2013 purchasing power parity index.\(^8\) Currency year as reported by author was utilized for inflation to 2013 values; if authors did not specify the currency year, the latest source data year was used for inflation. If source data year was not specified, the study publication year was used for inflation.

Results

The search identified 1,178 abstracts. Of these abstracts, 252 (21%) abstracts were retrieved for full-text review. The bibliographies of systematic reviews were searched, yielding an additional seven studies, for a total of 259 studies reviewed. Of the 259 studies retrieved, 83 studies were discarded for having an excluded study design (eight studies), indirect costs or population costs only (22 studies), no event cost estimate (33 studies), review articles (twelve studies), and a sample size of <100 patients (eight studies). A total of 176 studies were abstracted after full-text review (Figure 1).

Due to the breadth of the results, this article focuses on studies evaluating the following CV events: unstable or unspecified angina, MI, ischemic or unspecified stroke, heart failure, and coronary revascularization procedures, for which 114 studies (65% of abstracted studies) were abstracted.

Study characteristics

Included studies provided estimates from 26 countries, with more than half of studies based on US population samples (n=60; 53%), followed by UK (n=10; 9%), Germany (n=7; 6%), and Sweden (n=6; 6%), (Table 2). Mean costs for coronary revascularization procedures were found in 48 studies, with ischemic stroke estimates found in 32 studies. Two US studies\(^9,10\) and one EU study\(^11\) provided cost estimates for all events of interest. Cost estimates were aggregated by region, based on the presence of two or more studies in a geographical area. A list of the full population and study design characteristics of each included study is provided in Supplementary material.

Cost reporting

Costs were categorized based on the authors’ reported cost components. Only direct medical costs were abstracted from the studies and classified into either acute (inpatient hospitalization for event) or follow-up (after discharge for initial event). All inpatient costs were abstracted based on authors’ definition of a hospitalization for treatment of a defined CV event, regardless of the length of stay. Length of hospitalization stay was not assessed in this article. For comparison, only follow-up costs through the first year after initial event were abstracted.

Costs were categorized for each CV event, as defined by the authors. We have separately identified costs for procedures (coronary bypass graft surgery [CABG] and percutaneous coronary intervention [PCI]) and hospitalizations due to causal events (unstable angina and MI), based on how the authors identified the costs in the article. Therefore, cost estimates for unstable angina or MI events may potentially include embedded revascularization components that were not identified as a separate cost component.

Source data

Source data were identified through methods indicated by study authors in the following five study designs: claims database analyses, disease registry studies, population-based
registry studies, retrospective cohorts from a single hospital, and a randomized control trial (RCT). Claims data analyses were utilized in US-based 46 studies (39%): retrospective and prospective hospital cohorts were utilized in 35 studies (30%), and disease-specific registries were utilized in 16 studies (14%). RCT economic substudies were the basis of 16 studies (14%) (Table 2). Studies predominantly used source data collected within the past 10 years (2003 or later). Only 14 studies (12%) reported cost estimates where all data were collected before 2003.

**Comorbidity and history of event subgroups**

Though several studies (n=37; 32%) used multivariate models to assess cost predictors, only 21 (18%) studies provided cost estimates among high-risk patients (such as patients with...
Table 2 Summary of characteristics of cost-of-illness study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study characteristics</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV event costs†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary revascularization</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source data type†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims database</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease registry</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital cohort</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population registry</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: †A study may be counted more than once if it provides estimates in more than one country for more than CV event or if it uses multiple data sources.

Abbreviation: CV, cardiovascular.

comorbidities and a history of the event). Diabetics were the most prominent subgroup, with cost estimates found in five studies. Costs among patients with a history of the CV event were provided in four studies (Figure 2).

Costs of unstable angina hospitalization

In the US, two studies reported acute hospitalization costs, with an average of $7,916 (median: $7,841), with a slightly higher estimate for diabetics ($8,032).10 Outside the US, acute costs for angina were only found in a Thai hospital cohort of acute coronary syndrome patients ($2,893) (Table 3).12

Of the three studies reporting follow-up costs, two studies were US claims analyses10,13,14 and one study was a Swiss population registry.15 Costs ranged from $9,282 for rehospitalization among diabetics10 to $28,509 in attributable costs at 1 year.13 One-year follow-up costs in the only European study, a Swiss population registry, averaged €18,224.15

Costs of MI

Of 18 studies on MI, eight (44%) studies were conducted in the US.9,10,13,16,17–20 Nine studies assessed data from one or more European countries (50%).11,15,21–27 Outside the US and Europe, two cost estimates were found in a Thai cohort of acute coronary syndrome patients12 and an Australian CVD registry.28

Over all studies, the mean cost of an acute MI was $11,664 (median: $7,324). Cost of acute hospitalization for MI ranged from $547 in Hungary21 to $30,021 for US diabetics.10 In the US, the mean cost of acute MI was $24,695 (median: $26,749). Cost estimates of acute MI averaged $5,966 (median: $6,749) in Europe and $11,682–$12,006 among diabetics based on a Swedish registry.26

An average cost of follow-up over a 1-year period was $32,379 (median: $27,430) based on three US claims analyses10,13,20 and one Swiss registry analysis (Table 4).15

Costs of heart failure

Heart failure costs were abstracted from 28 studies, mostly using US data (n=23; 79%).9,10,16,30–49 Three economic sub-studies of multicountry RCT populations also included US samples.50–52 One Argentinian hospital cohort,53 a Canadian hospital cohort,54 and a Thai hospital cohort55 are the only studies with cost estimates outside the US.

The average cost of an acute heart failure hospitalization across all studies was $11,686 (median: $10,291). Costs ranged from $529 in the Argentinian hospital cohort10 to $27,006 in a US claims analysis of patients aged 18–64 years.43 Acute costs for diabetics were found in one US claims analysis ($12,757).10 Follow-up costs through 1 year were estimated at $12,931 (median: $15,238), comprised solely of US studies. No follow-up costs were found in European studies. End-of-life costs (past 6 months) were estimated at an average $23,606 based on a US Medicare claims analysis and a Canadian registry cohort (Table 5).18,54
Costs of ischemic stroke

Cost estimates for ischemic or unspecified stroke were abstracted from 32 studies. US (n=13; 41%), 9,10,13,19,34,56–63 European (n=12; 38%),11,26,64–73 and Asian (n=4; 13%)74–77 cost estimates comprise the majority of studies, along with two Latin American hospital cohorts,78,79 a Canadian RCT,80 and an Australian registry.28 All but one of the US studies found analyzed claims data for cost estimates; the remaining US study provided cost estimates from a US CVD registry.61 Half of European estimates (n=6) were based on hospital cohorts with the other half from disease/population registries.

Across all studies, the average cost of an acute ischemic stroke hospitalization was $11,635 (median: $8,097). In the US, the mean cost was higher at $18,543 (median: $18,296). Costs in the US ranged from $8,069 in a Medicare claims analysis to $38,231 in young patients (aged 18–44 years).56 Acute stroke cost was $13,469 for patients with diabetes and $20,303 for patients with a previous stroke or transient ischemic attack.58 The average cost of acute ischemic stroke across Europe was $11,900, with a low estimate of $5,016 in a German hospital cohort and a high estimate of $24,451 in a Scottish population registry.63 Acute costs for diabetics were found in one Swedish regional registry ($21,060).26 One-year follow-up costs averaged $13,683 (median: $10,248), with a European average of $3,720 and a US average of $17,819 (Table 6).
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Table 5 Average cost of heart failure across all reported studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time horizon</th>
<th>Mean cost</th>
<th>Median cost</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute†</td>
<td>$11,686</td>
<td>$10,291</td>
<td>$529–$27,006</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US only</td>
<td>$12,383</td>
<td>$10,667</td>
<td>$5,343–$27,006</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up through 1 year</td>
<td>$12,931</td>
<td>$15,238</td>
<td>$2,258–$24,084</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up through 1 year (inpatient costs only)</td>
<td>$11,584</td>
<td>$15,238</td>
<td>$2,258–$19,844</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of life costs (past 6 months)‡</td>
<td>$23,606</td>
<td>$23,606</td>
<td>$19,637–$27,574</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: †Acute estimates include all US plus Argentinian hospital cohort. ‡Estimates from Canada and US. Costs are shown in US$.

Table 6 Average cost of ischemic stroke across all reported studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time horizon</th>
<th>Mean cost</th>
<th>Median cost</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute†</td>
<td>$11,635</td>
<td>$8,097</td>
<td>$1,077–$38,231</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US only</td>
<td>$18,543</td>
<td>$18,296</td>
<td>$8,069–$38,231</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU only§</td>
<td>$11,900</td>
<td>$8,325</td>
<td>$5,016–$24,451</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western</td>
<td>$10,230</td>
<td>$7,520</td>
<td>$5,016–$21,060</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>$17,776</td>
<td>$20,018</td>
<td>$8,858–$24,451</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia only</td>
<td>$4,183</td>
<td>$3,508</td>
<td>$1,077–$8,097</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America only</td>
<td>$3,388</td>
<td>$3,388</td>
<td>$2,225–$4,550</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up through 1 year†</td>
<td>$13,683</td>
<td>$10,248</td>
<td>$1,169–$52,333</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU only</td>
<td>$3,720</td>
<td>$2,133</td>
<td>$1,169–$10,248</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US only</td>
<td>$17,819</td>
<td>$16,547</td>
<td>$2,362–$52,333</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up through 1 year (inpatient costs only)‡</td>
<td>$6,819</td>
<td>$5,128</td>
<td>$1,169–$20,169</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: §European regions are based on the United Nations Statistics Division categories. †Includes all acute estimates in table plus one Australian cohort. ‡Estimates from Canada and US.

Abbreviation: EU, European Union.

Costs of coronary revascularization

Forty-eight studies provided estimates on a coronary revascularization procedure – CABG, PCI, and a hybrid CABG–PCI procedure. Nearly half of the study estimates on revascularization were based on US populations (n=24; 48%);9,10,13,81–102 the remainder came from eleven European (23%),103–113 six Asian (13%),114–119 three Latin American studies (6%),120–122 and three multicountry RCTs (6%).123–125 Hospital cohorts (n=19; 40%), claims analyses (n=14; 29%) and RCTs (n=10; 20%) were the predominant methodologies for revascularization estimates.

CABG cost in the US averaged $57,577 (median: $61,445) with a range of cost estimates from $17,731 to $124,221 in eleven studies. Average CABG cost in Europe was $14,562 (median: $13,732) with a range of costs from $924 to $27,724 in four studies. There were too few studies to allow aggregation of CABG cost in Asia or elsewhere (Table 7).

Overall cost of PCI across all studies was $13,501 (median: $14,025) ranging from $520 in the multicountry RCT123 to $25,641 in a US-managed care claims analysis.85 PCI cost in Europe ($12,208; median: $11,296) and Asia ($11,717; median: $11,739) were comparable but based on only three studies each. PCI cost in the US was estimated at $20,146 (median: $19,429) based on six studies. Mean PCI costs in the US ($20,146) were nearly one-third of the mean CABG costs in US studies ($57,577). Mean CABG costs in Europe, however, were only slightly higher ($14,562) than PCI costs ($12,208) (Table 7).

Discussion

Previous reviews evaluating patient-level costs of care have focused on the cost burden of single components of CVD, such as stroke. These reviews have reported significant variation in cost estimates. Prior assessment of the ischemic stroke literature by Luengo-Fernandez et al6 found 20-fold difference in US costs of ischemic stroke ($468–$146,149) and a mean cost of $19,018. A review of COI from Demaerschalk et al5 reported a narrower range of $8,000–$23,000 (2008 USD) for acute care costs in the US and 1-year follow-up costs of care from $15,102 to $30,000. An earlier analysis of follow-up stroke costs among Western countries (US, Australia, Western Europe, and New Zealand) reported annual follow-up costs ranging from $7,975 to $54,546 (1998 USD).126 Only one study assessing chronic angina COI studies was identified, with reported annual costs of treatment in the US from $2,569 (1995 USD) to $7,207 (1992 USD).127

The mean cost of stroke found in this review, $18,543 in the US and $11,900 in Europe, are in-line with findings in previous reviews, with a fourfold variation between studies in this review comparable to prior work. For other CV events, substantial variation in cost estimates within regions was evident. For follow-up costs, estimate differentials may
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highest acute costs. Though fewer studies are available, costs
of revascularization procedures throughout Asia are also
higher than other reported event costs. Costs in the US remain
higher than other countries, though significant variation
likely be the result of follow-up length; a 6-month follow-up
period and a 1-year follow-up period will necessarily have
much different cost estimates attached. Acute cost estimates,
however, had significant variation as well. In the EU, cost
estimates for MI varied 19-fold, and a CABG procedure
varied 30-fold. Similarly, high estimates for CABG costs
were seven times higher than the lowest cost in the US and
eight times higher than the lowest cost in Asia. Acute costs
for CABG procedures, in particular, have outpaced other
CV event costs.

Follow-up event costs, comprised largely from US studies,
were found to be as high as acute costs, or in the case of MI
nearly three times higher than acute costs. Care in the year fol-
owing an acute episode, therefore, may be an important lever
in the reduction of the economic burden of CVD. However,
follow-up length varied widely from 30 days posthospitaliza-
tion to 1 year posthospitalization. Different cost components
may have been reported in various studies, such as inpatient,
outpatient, medication, and rehabilitation care, and the cost
components included in calculating the reported cost estimate
were not always clearly reported by the authors. Reporting
differences add to the variability in estimates.

This is the first review as far as the authors are aware that
synthesizes the costs of multiple CV conditions from COI
studies. The present review reports costs from COI studies
evaluating all major CV events and conditions reported
globally from 2007 to 2012. As evidenced by the findings
in this review, the worldwide cost burden of CVD remains
significant. Though comparison of results is hampered by
the differences in study design, several themes emerge. The
findings of the literature suggest that in the US and Europe,
revascularization procedures and MI are CV events with the
highest acute costs. Though fewer studies are available, costs
of revascularization procedures throughout Asia are also
higher than other reported event costs. Costs in the US remain
higher than other countries, though significant variation
within the US and other regions was found. Methodological
cost accounting, setting, and population differences may
account for some of this variation. Less variation was seen
among European estimates, with the exception of the cost of
MI in Eastern Europe, which was reported much lower com-
pared to the rest of Europe ($992 versus $5,966 across EU).

Subgroup cost estimates were not widely available in this
literature. Cost estimates across all events were reported in
only one US study.10 Based on this study alone, costs among
diabetic population appeared to be slightly higher than the
cost among the general population. More research on this and
other high-risk populations, including patients with a history
of a CV event or a previous CV event, is needed.

Though the broad scope of this literature review was
intended, several limitations remain. This review is intended
as a descriptive, narrative summary of global cost studies,
and as such no quantitative methods to assess effect size are

calculated. Cost-effectiveness models were excluded from
the selection criteria for this review due to very specific
treatments and patient population inherent in those studies.
As a result, additional literature or submissions to govern-
ing bodies assessing costs of care for specific therapies or
treatments published outside of MEDLINE is not provided in
this review. Cost estimates for any comorbidity are included
for abstraction in this review. Although comorbid conditions
may increase costs, patients with multiple conditions portray
“real-world” patient populations. We did not stratify costs
by the severity of conditions, largely due to methodological
differences in reporting by study authors. An assessment of
country-specific patient-level costs by, eg, patients with ST
elevation MI versus those without would add significantly to
the economic burden literature. This review included English
language literature only, and therefore, some cost estimates
in other languages may have been missed. Finally, we did
not include cost-effectiveness analyses in these results, and
additional robust data may be found in that literature. Though

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time horizon</th>
<th>Mean cost</th>
<th>Median cost</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute CABG</td>
<td>$37,611</td>
<td>$28,512</td>
<td>$249–$124,221</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US only</td>
<td>$57,577</td>
<td>$61,445</td>
<td>$17,731–$124,221</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU only</td>
<td>$14,362</td>
<td>$13,732</td>
<td>$924–$27,724</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America only</td>
<td>$3,331</td>
<td>$3,331</td>
<td>$2,836–$3,826</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute PCI</td>
<td>$13,501</td>
<td>$14,025</td>
<td>$520–$25,641</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US only</td>
<td>$20,146</td>
<td>$19,429</td>
<td>$16,104–$25,641</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU only</td>
<td>$12,208</td>
<td>$11,296</td>
<td>$8,068–$18,173</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia only</td>
<td>$11,717</td>
<td>$11,739</td>
<td>$9,383–$14,029</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up through 1 year – PCI</td>
<td>$11,012</td>
<td>$6,017</td>
<td>$1,686–$33,964</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up through 1 year – CABG</td>
<td>$28,903</td>
<td>$36,045</td>
<td>$1,170–$124,221</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Costs are shown in US$.
differences in study design were noted, the quality of each study design was not formally addressed.

Country-specific cost estimates are integral to health care decision making. Findings from this review indicate that a majority of evidence was collected in the US and Europe, and a dearth of patient-level cost estimates remains in other global regions. This review attempted to locate studies in any country available; yet a dearth of patient-level cost estimates outside the US and Europe remain unclear. Wide variation in cost estimates underscores the need for more reliable estimates of CV conditions, not only within the US but also globally. Given the importance and potential implications of these COI studies, standardized and endorsed protocols for the estimation of costs will facilitate comparison across countries and illnesses. The absence of specific guidelines for measurement in COI studies will continue to hamper efforts to compare estimates across studies.
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