Ineffective esophageal motility and the vagus: current challenges and future prospects
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Abstract: Ineffective esophageal motility (IEM) is characterized by low to very low amplitude propulsive contractions in the distal esophagus, hence primarily affecting the smooth muscle part of the esophagus. IEM is often found in patients with dysphagia or heartburn and is commonly associated with gastroesophageal reflux disease. IEM is assumed to be associated with ineffective bolus transport; however, this can be verified using impedance measurements or evaluation of a barium coated marshmallow swallow. Furthermore, water swallows may not assess accurately the motor capabilities of the esophagus, since contraction amplitude is strongly determined by the size and consistency of the bolus. The "peristaltic reserve" of the esophagus can be evaluated by multiple rapid swallows that, after a period of diglutative inhibition, normally give a powerful peristaltic contraction suggestive of the integrity of neural orchestration and smooth muscle action. The amplitude of contraction is determined by a balance between intrinsic excitatory cholinergic, inhibitory nitrogic, as well as postinhibition rebound excitatory output to the musculature. This is strongly influenced by vagal efferent motor neurons and this in turn is influenced by vagal afferent neurons that send bolus information to the solitary nucleus where programmed activation of the vagal motor neurons to the smooth muscle esophagus is initiated. Solitary nucleus activity is influenced by sensory activity from a large number of organs and various areas of the brain, including the hypothalamus and the cerebral cortex. This allows interaction between swallowing activities and respiratory and cardiac activities and allows the influence of acute and chronic emotional states on swallowing behavior. Interstitial cells of Cajal are part of the sensory units of vagal afferents, the intramuscular arrays, and they provide pacemaker activity to the musculature that can generate peristalsis in the absence of innervation. This indicates that a low-amplitude esophageal contraction, observed as IEM, can be caused by a multitude of factors, and therefore many pathways can be potentially explored to restore normal esophageal peristalsis.
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Introduction

Definition

Ineffective esophageal motility (IEM) is characterized by a distal esophageal contraction amplitude of <30 mmHg on conventional manometry, a distal contractile integral (DCI) of <450 mmHg/s/cm on high-resolution manometry (HRM) (weak contraction), or <100 mmHg/s/cm (failed contraction) in 50% or more test swallows, hence affecting the smooth muscle esophagus. IEM is the most common abnormality observed in routine esophageal manometry, with an estimated prevalence of 20%–30%; Scheerens et al reported a prevalence of 51% in patients with esophageal dysphagia. Before 2008,
a threshold of 30% was used, but a threshold of 50% correlates better with dysphagia and heartburn.1,4,5 IEM is manifested as a primary motor dysfunction in 50% of patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD),6 and is very common in patients with both GERD and respiratory symptoms.5 Gross pathologic injury is not necessary for motor dysfunction.6

Other conditions in which IEM is encountered are Barrett’s esophagus, diabetes with neuropathy, amyloidosis, acute ethanol ingestion, chronic alcoholism with neuropathy, adenocarcinoma, eosinophilic esophagitis, endoscopic submucosal dissection, odynophagia, regurgitation, chronic cough, chest pain, and rheumatic disease.1,5

This review focuses on the mechanisms behind the potential “ineffectiveness” in IEM, with a focus on the contributions of the vagus and interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC).

What is needed to confirm the “ineffective” in IEM?

Bolus transit

The first indication of IEM comes from manometry when the amplitude of the propagating contraction is low as indicated earlier. However, this motor pattern, although classified as “ineffective”, does not necessarily indicate that a bolus does not get moved through the esophagus.

Nguyen et al7 showed that the proportion of liquid boluses cleared was directly related to contraction amplitude and did not increase significantly above a threshold of 22 mmHg in the midesophagus and 30 mmHg in the distal esophagus. The relationship between contraction amplitude and bolus clearance appeared to be confirmed by a study in patients with severe IEM, which showed that oral bethanechol improves contraction pressures and bolus transit in the smooth muscle part of the esophagus.8 This suggests that in IEM, the amount of acetylcholine that is released from the cholinergic nerves may not be enough to give full amplitude contractions, but if it is helped by a sustained dose of the muscarinic agonist bethanechol, effective contraction amplitudes can be restored and bolus transit improved. However, in a study of 70 patients with classical manometry profiles of IEM, 48% of ineffective liquid and 38% of ineffective viscous swallows showed complete bolus transit based on impedance measurements,8 whereas 95% of manometric normal swallows of patients with normal esophageal manometry or isolated lower esophageal sphincter (LES) abnormalities (ie, hypertensive, hypotensive, and poorly relaxing LES) had normal bolus transit for liquid. Addition of impedance monitoring to manometry may allow discrimination between patients suffering from impaired bolus clearance and patients with disordered esophageal perception.3 A barium swallowing test with a marshmallow can also be used to clarify a potential relationship between impaired bolus transit and symptoms associated with dysphagia. Ineffective bolus transit cannot be determined by manometry alone.

High-resolution manometry

HRM shows that esophageal peristalsis is not a seamless wave of propagation but a coordinated sequence of contractions involving distinct segments with morphological and functional differences.9 A normal swallow-induced peristalsis includes the coordination of the following: the upper esophageal sphincter and its surrounding muscle activities, sequential contractions of the esophageal body, and the LES and crural diaphragm activities. HRM shows whether or not the propulsive contraction reaches the LES, which may affect bolus transit. HRM can also identify high intrabolus pressure, which may impair peristalsis. HRM according to the Chicago classification v 3.0 identifies weak and failed peristalsis as described earlier and considers both ineffective. IEM in conjunction with transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation (TLESR), with or without esophageal shortening, hiatal hernia, hypertensive upper esophageal sphincter or hypotensive LES, may suggest different underlying pathophysiology. The nature of the contraction following multiple rapid swallows (MRS) will help to confirm the peristaltic reserve. Responses to solid food may reproduce typical symptoms. Testing responses to prokinetics may provide evidence for clinical management. Although all these parameters characterize esophageal peristalsis, they may not necessarily predict GERD.10 If antireflux surgical intervention is contemplated, it was suggested that the higher the DCI ratio, the lower the likelihood of postsurgery dysphagia.7 Antireflux surgery may alleviate IEM or IEM may appear after surgery.11

Water versus viscous swallows and bolus size

Decisions about ineffective motility have to take into account that contraction amplitudes required to empty a bolus vary with the consistency of the bolus.8 It has been reported that 14.3% of patients with abnormal transit of liquids showed normal transit of a viscous bolus.8 Hence, a challenge with only liquid swallows may not be enough to identify clinically relevant IEM. Secondary peristalsis is more often disturbed compared with primary peristalsis.12 With spontaneous secondary peristalsis, the contraction amplitude might depend to a large extent on the bolus size; measurement of force of contraction in response to balloon distention can accurately measure sensory dysfunction.12
Incorporation of a meal in standard manometry

Sweis et al\textsuperscript{13} proposed the inclusion of a standard meal in motility testing. They found that spontaneous reporting of symptoms did not occur during water swallows but 67\% of patients reported symptoms during a meal and 75\% of these symptoms were related to an observed motor dysfunction. Often, a motor dysfunction was associated with reflux or regurgitation following a meal. Importantly, bolus retention was most often associated with four or five ineffective swallows in sequence rather than a single “ineffective” motor pattern.\textsuperscript{13} HRM during a meal can also identify behavioral abnormalities such as rumination.\textsuperscript{13}

Multiple rapid swallows

Performing a MRS test to assess the “peristaltic reserve” has been advocated as an adjunct to standard high- or low-resolution manometry.\textsuperscript{14} MRS consists of administering five 2 mL water swallows separated by 2- to 3-second intervals; MRS profoundly inhibits the esophageal body and LES, not allowing significant peristaltic propagation but is normally followed by an esophageal contraction of increased amplitude. With HRM, the contraction that followed MRS is compared with the average DCI\textsuperscript{2} of the prior ten test swallows giving a DCI ratio. A DCI ratio of $>1$ is present in 78\% of healthy individuals. Whether assessing the DCI ratio is useful was questioned recently and it was advocated that one should simply use low- or high-amplitude contractions with $<450\ \text{mmHg/s/cm}$ as a benchmark for ineffective motility.\textsuperscript{15} It is likely that manometry with additional MRS can better assess a clinically relevant motor dysfunction, better predict ineffective bolus transit, and can better predict success of treatments.\textsuperscript{15} A strong performance with MRS in patients with IEM suggests “peristaltic reserve” and may predict success of prokinetic treatment. A poor contraction with MRS in patients with dysphagia showing normal swallow-induced peristalsis suggests some diminished mechanism of cholinergic excitation.\textsuperscript{14} Almost 70\% of 109 patients with esophageal symptoms and normal manometry had abnormal MRS, mainly consisting of an inability to increase the amplitude of distal esophageal body contractions after MRS. A total of 38\% of 48 patients with IEM were able to generate normal contractions after MRS.\textsuperscript{14}

The role of LES relaxation in IEM

Weak peristalsis is accompanied by low-amplitude contractions and most often also by short-duration LES relaxation; the duration of LES relaxation appears to play a role in disordered bolus transit and clearance in dysphagia patients with normal esophageal manometry and with IEM.\textsuperscript{3} Mean duration of LES relaxation for viscous swallows with normal bolus transit was longer than that of swallows with abnormal bolus transit probably related to the fact that the duration of LES relaxation is modified by the timing of the postrelaxation LES contraction.\textsuperscript{3} The role of LES relaxation is probably of less importance for bolus transit compared with esophageal peristalsis.\textsuperscript{8}

Vagal control of esophageal peristalsis

The amplitude of swallow- and bolus-induced peristaltic contractions is determined by the balance of inhibitory and excitatory neural activities coming from the autonomic and intrinsic nervous systems (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{16}

At rest, the esophagus is quiet and a stimulus is required to generate motility. A bolus in the oropharyngeal region activates the swallowing center in the brain that initiates sequential vagally mediated contractions in the striated and the smooth muscle esophagus (Figure 2). A swallowed bolus triggers many sensory factors giving vagal input to the solitary nucleus that evokes peristalsis via vagal dorsal motor neurons to the smooth muscle part. The nucleus ambiguous houses the pattern generator for the striated esophagus. The bolus to be swallowed has to have minimal features; otherwise, the sensory coding produces an uncertain evaluation by the solitary nucleus and an inefficient swallow may result.\textsuperscript{17,18} An occasionally failed swallow-induced esophageal peristalsis in all or part of the esophagus is common in humans, in particular, with dry swallows.

The bolus-evoked peristaltic activity in the smooth muscle esophagus consists of a wave of inhibition (deglutitive inhibition, including LES relaxation\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{29}}) followed by a wave of contraction; the efferent output shows first the release of nitric oxide to inhibit the musculature, and thereafter a cholinergically mediated contraction occurs with a variable delay, the longest delay in the distal esophagus. The delay appears to be generated in part by continuing bolus-induced activation of intramural descending nitrergic nerves with long projections.\textsuperscript{18}

Bolus-induced, secondary peristalsis can be initiated by intrinsic neural programs independent of vagal activity, but is influenced by vagal activity.\textsuperscript{20} Normal swallowing is likely a combination of vagal and intrinsic activity.\textsuperscript{18}

The musculature itself, including the ICC pacemaker cells, can provide rhythmicity to esophageal smooth muscle;
in vitro, without innervation, cholinergic stimulation produces peristaltic rhythmic contractions at a velocity similar to that of swallow-induced peristalsis, but how this is integrated in the neural networks during swallow- or bolus-induced peristalsis is not known. Only intramuscular ICC form a dense network in the esophagus. The rhythmic contractions likely associated with ICC pacemaker activity become obvious in some patients with achalasia when strong rhythmic nonpropagating simultaneous pressure waves are present; the hypothesis is that normal nitrergic inhibition of the pacemaker activity is lost in achalasia. In the small intestine and colon, the ICC produce electrical slow waves that propagate based on a frequency gradient that gives direction to peristalsis. This may be similar in the esophagus where regular slow-wave activity at four to seven cycles per minute has been recorded in healthy volunteers, propagating at 4–6 cm/s. The close association between nitrergic nerves and intramuscular ICC would suggest that they may become reduced simultaneously in achalasia; however, this was not observed; in patients with severe reduction of nitrergic nerves, ICC could be structurally normal. This appeared to confirm that nitrergic nerves are primarily responsible for the propagating nature of the esophageal contraction. It is interesting to note that the frequency of the contractions in an achalasia patient occurred at seven cycles per minute, in the range of the slow-wave activity. It was proposed that weak peristalsis or reflux may be due to failure of ICC to produce significant slow-wave activity. The vagal sensory pathways from the smooth muscle esophagus

Vagal afferent neurons originate in special terminal structures in the myenteric plexus ganglia, the intraganglionic laminar endings (IGLEs), sensing shearing and tension, or within the musculature in intramuscular arrays (IMAs) where the nerve endings interact with intramuscular ICC (ICC-IM) but not with ICC associated with the myenteric plexus. The ICC-IM form a three-dimensional network within the circular muscle layer of the esophagus coursing parallel to smooth muscle fibers; they form gap junctions and peg and socket contacts with smooth muscle cells and are therefore ideally suited as stretch receptors. It is the ideal configuration to facilitate bidirectional communication between the vagus nerve and smooth muscle cells. ICC-IM are also heavily innervated by nitrergic nerves; it is not known how innervation of ICC affects vagal sensory information. Through IGLEs and IMAs a bolus transmits sensory information to the solitary nucleus via the nodose ganglion to regulate the intensity and timing of vagal output via vagal dorsal motor neurons that determines the timing and amplitude of the peristaltic contractions. Larger boluses and greater viscosity increase the contraction amplitude and duration and slow peristaltic velocity. IEM associated with secondary distention-induced peristalsis may therefore be caused by disturbance of sensory vagal pathways affecting motor function. In addition to sensory nerve endings located within the musculature, mucosal vagal afferents convey low threshold sensory information.
functional information to the brain, including mechanical, chemical, and temperature changes. This information may come directly from free nerve endings at the base of epithelial cells or indirectly via enterochromaffin cells or mast cells.

The vagal motor pathways to the smooth muscle esophagus

The sensory information that is processed in the solitary nucleus and the reticular formations around the solitary nucleus is transmitted to the dorsal motor nucleus. The rostral neurons in the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve preferentially innervate the excitatory motor neurons, whereas the neurons in the caudal region innervate inhibitory motor neurons. Through extensive branching, via nicotinic synapses, vagal efferents communicate extensively within the myenteric plexus ganglia and stimulate via nicotinic synapses to cholinergic as well as nitrergic neurons to affect smooth muscle cells (Figure 1). Possibly 60%–70% of myenteric neurons are connected to vagal cholinergic efferent neurons. Excitatory cholinergic motor neurons must be adequately stimulated by central and/or peripheral neural input to obtain a sufficient amplitude of peristaltic contractions. Ultimately, the threshold for peristaltic contraction, its timing in the peristaltic sequence, and the contraction amplitude are determined by a balance between excitatory and inhibitory influences at the muscle level, as described earlier.

Vagal efferent pathways to the esophagus via the stomach

In patients with acid reflux, spontaneous TLESRs, occurring primarily by gastric distention, are accompanied by strong inhibition of esophageal body smooth muscle as evidenced by incomplete swallow-induced peristalsis during this activity. Careful analysis of TLESRs lasting >15 seconds, which were not influenced by other events, showed that 91% of the swallows during these long TLESRs were accompanied by peristaltic waves that were only seen in the most proximal lead. Poor peristaltic activity during TLESR was not observed in healthy persons. This indicates that IEM may be associated with abnormal vagal tone derived from abnormal sensory and/or motor vagal pathways from the stomach via the solitary nucleus to the esophagus. GERD is treated with antacids, but acid secretion is not the problem; the cause is a disordered control of the gastroesophageal reflux barrier. This suggests that the vagal mechanoreceptors may be the most important cellular target for future pharmacological treatment. The mechanoreceptors include the IGLEs and the IMAs. TLESRs accompanied by some form of reflux
are markedly increased after a meal, coinciding with a change in vagal activity as measured by vagal input to the cardiovascular system. Gastric vagal afferents send powerful vagal inhibition to the LES and esophagus, likely in part by suppressing vagal excitatory input that might be measured as reduced vagal cardiac tone. Hence, vagal tone, regulated by the central nervous system (CNS) and modified by vagal afferents, and receptors on the cell types that constitute vagal mechanosensors, including ICC, may be important targets for GERD treatment. Abnormal vagal activity was also suggested to be involved in IEM commonly observed in asthmatics.

**Many CNS activities can influence swallowing via the solitary nucleus**

The fascinating feature of the solitary nucleus is that it receives sensory information from the gut, the lung, the heart, and the vasculature and sends this information to the motor neurons that control these organs. Although input from different organs has a relation to specific subnuclei, this organ-specific distribution is not mutually exclusive, and visceral afferents have been shown to distribute widely within other subnuclei of the solitary nucleus. Dye labeling of swallow-related neurons showed many collaterals that presumably contact other neurons within the solitary nucleus. During a swallow, intracellular recording of respiration-modulated neurons in the solitary nucleus shows bursts of action potentials. This interaction at the level of the solitary nucleus is likely a reason why diseases with a high risk of aspiration have neural incoordination of breathing and swallowing. The solitary nucleus also houses the dorsal respiratory group involved in the generation of respiratory rhythm. The solitary nucleus is the primary site for reception of vagal afferents stimulated by baroreceptors. The solitary nucleus projects vagal afferents to the sinus node to affect heart rate, it also projects to spinal sympathetic neurons to increase heart rate and affect blood pressure. The interactions between various branches of the vagal nerve is demonstrated by a change in heart rate when a balloon is distended in the esophagus. Tougas et al showed that stimulation of the distal esophagus by balloon distension induced cardiac autonomic changes such as an decrease in heart rate, an increase in vagal activity measured by the high-frequency component of heart rate variability, and a reduction in sympathetic activity measured by its low-frequency component, demonstrating the link between esophageal sensory pathways and cardiac autonomic nervous system function.

Communication between gut microbiota and the brain involves vagal sensory pathways. Chronic probiotic treatment can alter γ-aminobutyric acid expression in the cortex, which is dependent on vagal activity, and this information projects to the solitary nucleus where it can affect vagal output to the esophagus. Bifidobacterium longum has anxiolytic effects that require intact vagal pathways and act through changes in enteric neural excitability. Bacterial infections in the gut or abnormal gut microbiota can lead to anxiety behavior; the gut signals are carried by the vagus to the cortex and hypothalamus that project to the solitary nucleus. The descending excitatory and inhibitory drives from the cortex and subcortex can influence the oropharyngeal initiation of swallowing and modulate the central patterns generator for swallowing. The cortex is plastic and neural circuitry can be influenced by trauma that may have long-lasting effects. This can influence cortex information that is communicated to the solitary nucleus, which can affect cardiac function and likely esophageal function.

Neural pathways that link the cortex, the hypothalamus, and the central amygdala to the solitary nucleus suggest that neural activity in various brain centers will influence solitary nucleus output. A psychiatric disorder such as major depression that affects the cortex may affect the output of the solitary nucleus thereby giving gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms. This may be the reason that treatment of depression can alleviate GI symptoms. Drugs that work on the brain in psychiatric disorders such as olanzapine also work on 5-HT2A receptors that are found in many areas of the brain including the solitary nucleus and it might be the reason that it can help alleviate psychiatric as well as GI symptoms. For a subset of patients, anxiety and emotion may be a direct cause of esophageal dysfunction.

The solitary nucleus also houses the central pattern generator for vomiting. Chemosensitive receptors detect emetic agents in the blood and relay this information by means of neural pathways that link the cortex, the hypothalamus, and the central amygdala to the solitary nucleus. The critical role of the vagus in the control of esophageal peristalsis makes it logical to assume that pharmacological...
treatment of vagal dysfunction may be one of the avenues to treat IEM. Vagal activity can potentially be modulated by the numerous receptors on its sensory and motor neurons, extensively reviewed elsewhere. Buspirone has been shown to increase LES pressure and amplitude of esophageal contractions possibly associated with 5-HT receptors stimulating acetylcholine release in the hippocampus. 5-HT receptors are potential targets present on vagal afferent endings in the solitary nucleus and in the nodose ganglion. Vagal afferents show spontaneous rhythmic activity that is attenuated by the 5-HT antagonist, granisetron, and by transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1 antagonist, capsazepine, suggesting that tonic activation of 5-HT and transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1 contributes to the spontaneous activity. Patients with multiple sclerosis and severe dysphagia improved with electrical stimulation of the solitary nucleus over a 3-month period with an implanted nerve stimulator. On the other hand, dysphagia can be a side effect of treatment of epilepsy with vagal nerve stimulation. The 5-HT agonist prucalopride decreased esophageal acid exposure but did not affect parameters of esophageal motor activity nor LES relaxation, although another 5-HT agonist tegaserod (it is also a 5-HT antagonist) did improve midesophageal motility, the peristaltic propagation velocity and in some patients it improved bolus transit.

Eating habits may influence IEM since the nature and size of the bolus strongly influence the amplitude, duration, and velocity of the peristaltic wave. Patients may be advised to chew sufficiently to stimulate the vagal output to the esophagus. In some patients, regularly chewing gum appears to have a beneficial effect in IEM, likely through vagal activation. Chewing gum also benefits gastric motility through vagal stimulation.

The problem may also lie in the musculature. In systemic sclerosis, esophageal aperistalsis and IEM are common, possibly related to circulating antibodies against the muscarinic M3 receptor. Vagal sensory units in the esophagus and fundus, associated with ICC, are major potential targets for drug development.

Manometry may aid in predicting success of treatment for IEM. Prokinetic treatment may be encouraged in patients who show a good response to MRS, judged by successful treatment with the cholinesterase inhibitor edrophonium intravenous. Autonomic nerve dysfunction as judged by cardiovascular reflex tests was related to delayed transit and abnormal peristalsis, and monitoring vagal activity, even indirectly, may also aid in understanding pathophysiology and potentially predicting success of treatment.

Conclusion

Weak peristaltic contractions are very often observed during an esophageal motility test. To determine if this constitutes a motor pattern that is ineffective in bolus transit, a simple wet swallow manometry test is not sufficient and hence additional tools are suggested in this review. Clinically relevant IEM may well be related to some kind of vagal dysfunction or reduced vagal tone since the vagal activity is paramount in controlling all aspects of esophageal peristalsis. Since the vagal afferent neurons communicate with many parts of the brain involved in control of heart rate and respiration as well as anxiety and emotion, IEM can be caused or influenced by a variety of extragastrointestinal conditions.
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