Dear editor,

We have read and reviewed the article entitled as “Evaluation of choroidal thickness changes after phacoemulsification surgery” by Bayhan et al1 with great interest. The authors had analyzed the effects of phacoemulsification surgery on choroidal thickness (CT) using optical coherence tomography by postoperative month 1. They had found that there were statistically significant increases in all CT measurements, particularly in subfoveal and nasal areas, and the increase in CT was correlated with postoperative decrease of postoperative intraocular pressure. We express our gratitude to the authors for this valuable study, and we would like to ask the authors some important points.

Although there is not a full consensus between Bayhan et al and other studies in the literature concerning the effects of cataract surgery on CT, an increase in CT has been reported. Various studies have proposed that the increase in CT might be induced by inflammatory changes following cataract surgery and decreased postoperative intraocular pressure.2,3

In the study of Bayhan et al, we suppose that there was a different reason for increased postoperative CT, particularly for the one observed in the subfoveal area. As it is well known, the sharpest area of vision is the fovea, and the choroid beneath foveal area is called subfoveal choroid. Decreased visual function due to cataract may cause metabolic slowdown and subfoveal thinning. Synchronously, the choroid supporting that area may get thin in parallel with reduced metabolic demand of fovea. We suggest that this state may be related to the atrophy of unused organs. Therefore, we predict that an additional analysis regarding the correlation of preoperative visual acuity with preoperative CT may clarify that issue. In this regard, we would like to ask for the authors’ opinion.

Second, it has been suggested in the literature that CT may be utilized for assessing the diagnosis, outcomes, and follow-up of numerous local or systemic diseases.4,5 However, all these studies have revealed quite different and conflicting results. It has been well known that dozens of factors including menstrual cycle, psoriasis, systemic medicines, and lighting conditions of the environment where optical coherence tomography measurements are performed may influence CT.4,5 Therefore, all of the known factors affecting CT or possible factors that may affect CT should be standardized in studies concerning CT measurements. In this way, an accurate scientific analysis may be performed.
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Dear editor

We appreciate Doctors Uzun and Pehlivan for their comments on our recently published study, in which we analyzed the effects of phacoemulsification surgery on choroidal thickness (CT) using optical coherence tomography by postoperative month 1. We evaluated our data regarding the correlation of preoperative visual acuity with preoperative CT retrospectively to reply to their comments and found no correlation.

It has long been held that, in contrast to the retina and anterior uvea, the choroidal blood flow does not exhibit autoregulation. The purported reason is that the high choroidal blood flow and low oxygen extraction preclude the need for it. Thus, choroidal blood flow is expected to be much more than the metabolic demand not only in cataractous eyes but also in normal eyes. However, this is still the subject of debate. We hope that these speculations on the mechanisms will be addressed by further studies in the future.

The authors comment on the factors that influence the thickness of choroid, an approach with which we agree. In the literature, several factors including diurnal variation, age, sex, axial length, and smoking were reported to affect the CT. Because of this, we used strict exclusion criteria: patients with diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia or any known systemic diseases, uveitis, glaucoma, retinal vein/branch occlusion, or other vascular and inflammatory retinal diseases and previous eye surgery were excluded from the study due to the effect on CT. Smoking was an exclusion criterion as well. Also, OCT measurements were obtained in the morning (between 9 am and 11 am) in order to avoid the effect of diurnal fluctuations.

We thank the editor for the opportunity to address the comments and concerns raised by Doctors Uzun and Pehlivan.
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